Risk factors and mechanisms of hepatocarcinogenesis with special emphasis on alcohol and oxidative stress
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Abstract

Hepatocellular cancer is the fifth most frequent cancer in men and the eighth in women worldwide. Established risk factors are chronic hepatitis B and C infection, chronic heavy alcohol consumption, obesity and type 2 diabetes, tobacco use, use of oral contraceptives, and aflatoxin-contaminated food. Almost 90% of all hepatocellular carcinomas develop in cirrhotic livers. In Western countries, attributable risks are highest for cirrhosis due to chronic alcohol abuse and viral hepatitis B and C infection. Among those with alcoholic cirrhosis, the annual incidence of hepatocellular cancer is 1–2%. An important mechanism implicated in alcohol-related hepatocarcinogenesis is oxidative stress from alcohol metabolism, inflammation, and increased iron storage. Ethanol-induced cytochrome P-450 2E1 produces various reactive oxygen species, leading to the formation of lipid peroxides such as 4-hydroxy-2-enal. Furthermore, alcohol impairs the antioxidant defense system, resulting in mitochondrial damage and apoptosis. Chronic alcohol exposure elicits hepatocyte hyperproliferation due to the activation of survival factors and interference with retinoid metabolism. Direct DNA damage results from acetaldehyde, which can bind to DNA, inhibit DNA repair systems, and lead to the formation of carcinogenic exocyclic DNA etheno adducts. Finally, chronic alcohol abuse interferes with methyl group transfer and may thereby alter gene expression.
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Introduction

Recent epidemiological data (from the year 2000) indicate that more than 560 000 new cases of hepatocellular cancer (HCC) occurred worldwide, which accounted for 5.6% of all human cancers (Bosch et al., 2004). Thus, HCC is the fifth most common malignancy in men and the eighth in women. Age-adjusted incidence rates for HCC were found to be extremely high in East and Southeast Asia, and in Africa (Ferlay et al., 2001). In Europe, there is a gradually decreasing prevalence from South to North. Overall, incidence rates for HCC were found to be higher in males compared to females. The worldwide HCC incidence rate doubled during the last two decades and younger age groups are increasingly affected (El-Serag and Mason, 2000; El-Serag, 2004). Risk factors for HCC may explain the high prevalence in Asia and Africa because of widespread infection with hepatitis B (HBV) and hepatitis C virus (HCV). It is estimated that at least 75–80% of cases of primary HCC are attributable to chronic viral hepatitis (Bosch et al., 1999). In addition, other risk factors include chronic alcohol misuse, non-alcoholic fatty liver disease (NAFLD), tobacco, oral contraceptives, and food contamination with aflatoxins. In Europe and in the United States, estimates of attributable risk are highest for chronic alcohol consumption, followed by HCV and HBV infections (Donato et al., 2002; Hassan et al., 2002). A major prerequisite for HCC is established liver cirrhosis, as reflected by the observation that 80–90% of all HCC develops in cirrhotic livers. Up to 54–70% of patients with compensated cirrhosis die from HCC (Fattovich et al., 2004). The increase in HCC may also reflect better therapeutic management of cirrhosis, resulting in longer survival and allowing the development of HCC. The 5-year cumulative HCC incidence in cirrhosis is between 10% and 30% in HBV and HCV infection, depending on the geographic region, approximately 20% in hereditary hemochromatosis and 8% in alcoholic cirrhosis (Fattovich et al., 2004). Predictors of HCC in cirrhosis include the severity of liver disease determined by the Child-Pugh score (Bolondi et al., 2001), the disease activity, reflected by serum transaminase activities (Benvengu et al., 1994; Tarao et al., 1999), and some histological criteria, such as the presence of large cell changes (LCC) (Borzio et al., 1995; Ganne-Carrié et al., 1996), macro-nodules (Borzio et al., 2003) and markers for hyperregeneration (Donato et al., 2001; Treţe et al., 2003).

However, some HCCs may occur in non-cirrhotic livers of patients with HBV and HCV infection and chronic inflammation of the liver associated with increased fibrogenesis and hyperproliferation. However, chronic inflammation is associated with oxidative stress, which plays a major pathogenic role in most chronic liver diseases. As for chronic hepatitis C, structural and non-structural viral epitopes, such as the core protein or the NSSA protein, were shown to elevate oxidative pressure (Liang and Heller, 2004).
In addition, patients with HBV infection show increased excretion of etheno DNA adducts in their urine, which results from the reaction of 4-hydroxynonenal (4-HNE) with DNA bases (Bartsch and Nair, 2004). This increase in etheno DNA adducts may arise from HBV-induced chronic inflammation and overproduction of reactive oxygen (ROS) and nitrogen species (RNS) and lipid peroxidation products. It is interesting that oxidative stress leading to lipid peroxidation and end products such as 4-HNE results in mutation of the p53 gene at codon 249, which is a hot spot in HCC (Hu et al., 2002). A strong synergistic effect exists between HBV infection and aflatoxin B1 exposure on incidence rates of HCC and on the mutation of the p53 gene at codon 249 (Smela et al., 2002). Although in cultured hepatocytes aflatoxin B1 binds at other codons than 249 of the p53 gene (Denissenko et al., 1998; Hu et al., 2002), it is possible that HBV infection and aflatoxin B1 exposure could result in enhanced lipid peroxidation, and lipid peroxidation products such as 4-HNE generated by HBV infection and aflatoxin B1 exposure may damage codon 249 of the p53 gene, initiating carcinogenesis (Ross et al., 1992; Smela et al., 2002). Thus, oxidative stress does occur in viral hepatitis, but may be potentiated by concomitant aflatoxin B1 exposure, which has been found in certain geographic areas of Africa and Asia.

It is beyond the scope of this review to discuss all risk factors for HCC with respect to oxidative stress and readers are referred to detailed reviews devoted to this issue (Bréchot, 2004; Fattovich et al., 2004; Kowdley, 2002; Liang and Heller, 2004; Yu and Yuan, 2004). The present review focuses on alcohol-related HCC, with special emphasis on oxidative stress. Other alcohol-related mechanisms promoting the development of HCC are outlined elsewhere (Stickel et al., 2002; Pöschl and Seitz, 2004; Stickel and Seitz, 2004; McKillop and Schrum, 2005).

Epidemiology of alcohol and hepatocellular cancer

Alcohol use is common in the U.S. and Western Europe and is increasing in Asia. In the U.S., 7% of the adult population meet the definition for alcohol misuse or dependence, thereby exceeding the prevalence of hepatitis C by five-fold (Grant et al., 1994). Similar data exist for some countries in Europe, including Germany, where 1.5 million individuals are alcohol-dependent and approximately 3 million people have alcohol-associated organ damage (Deutsche Hauptstelle für Suchtfragen, 2003). Case-control studies in countries with a high prevalence of alcohol use and a moderate prevalence of viral hepatitis, as well as studies from countries with a high prevalence of chronic viral hepatitis and a lower prevalence of alcohol use, report that chronic ethanol consumption is associated with an approximately two-fold increased risk for HCC (Morgan et al., 2004). The odds ratios increase further to five- to seven-fold when ethanol use exceeds 80 g/day for more than 10 years (Tagger et al., 1999; Hassan et al., 2002). In general, patients with alcoholic liver cirrhosis show HCC incidence of 1–2%.

Mechanisms of alcohol-associated carcinogenesis

Although alcohol itself leads to liver cirrhosis and promotes HCC, it is also a co-factor for the development of HCC in other chronic liver diseases. Thus, chronic alcohol misuse may enhance and/or accelerate hepatocarcinogenesis in patients with HBV and HCV infection, with hereditary hemochromatosis, or with NAFLD. With respect to viral hepatitis, alcohol may stimulate oxidative stress and may, therefore, contribute to inflammation (see below). It has been shown that chronic alcohol consumption of more than 25 g/day leads to a 10-year earlier occurrence of HCC in a Japanese population (Ohnishi, 1992) indicating an accelerating effect of alcohol in HBV-driven hepatocarcinogenesis. Chronic alcohol misuse also increases the risk of HCV infection (Inoué and Seitz, 2001). Whether this is due to impaired function of the immune system following alcohol ingestion or relates to the risky lifestyle of alcoholics is still unknown. In addition, alcohol may increase viral replication, possibly by immunosuppression. Finally, alcohol may stimulate inflammation and, thus, oxidative stress (Morgan et al., 2004).

In hereditary hemochromatosis, hepatic iron overload is a major factor in hepatocarcinogenesis (Kowdley, 2004) and alcohol enhances iron deposition in the liver, resulting in increased oxidative stress (see below).

With respect to NAFLD, it has become clear that type 2 diabetics are at increased risk for HCC (El-Serag, 2004). The pathogenesis of NAFLD includes the accumulation of fat in the liver, which may be predominantly induced by hyperinsulinemia due to peripheral insulin resistance. Free fatty acids induce cytochrome(457,671),(542,731)(457,671),(542,731) P450 (CYP2E1) and lead to ROS (Neuschwander-Tetri and Caldwell, 2003). Alcohol also increases CYP2E1 and enhances this pathophysiological pathway. In addition, tumor necrosis factor α (TNFα) is elevated in NAFLD and alcoholic liver disease (ALD), resulting in further aggravation of peripheral insulin resistance and in oxidative stress. It has been shown that the relative risk for HCC in type 2 diabetics is approximately 4, and it increases to almost 10 for consumption of more than 80 g alcohol per day (Hassan et al., 2002).
Various mechanisms may contribute to alcohol-associated carcinogenesis, including: (1) chronic inflammation resulting in increased oxidative stress, such as in alcoholic steatohepatitis (ASH); (2) acetaldehyde and its detrimental effect on proteins and DNA; (3) induction of CYP2E1 leading to increased ROS production, lipid peroxidation and DNA damage; (4) a decrease in antioxidant defense and DNA repair; (5) disturbed methyl transfer associated with DNA hypomethylation; (6) decreased hepatic retinoic acid (RA); (7) iron overload; and (8) profound impairment of the immune system.

Alcohol and inflammation

When alcohol consumption is regularly above a certain threshold, fatty liver develops in almost 100% of cases. However, only 30% of these patients develop alcoholic fibrosis either with or without inflammation, and only 10–20% progress to liver cirrhosis (Becker et al., 1996) (Figure 1). It has been speculated that genetic aspects may play a predominant role, explaining why not every alcoholic develops advanced liver disease. Although polymorphisms of genes involved in ALD have been intensively studied, the results so far are disappointing (Stickel and Österreicher, 2006). HCC almost always occurs in alcoholic cirrhosis. Recently it has been shown that some histological phenotypes of hepatocytes, observed in experimental alcoholic hepatitis long before cancer develops, may act as an early predictive marker for HCC development. Thus, in a mouse model the Mallory body-forming cell phenotype induced by diethyldithiocarbamate seemed to be preneoplastic in nature (French et al., 2005).

The mechanism in the pathogenesis of ASH includes the uptake of intestinal endotoxins from the gut to the liver via the portal vein. These endotoxins (lipopolysaccharides) bind to the CD14/toll receptor complex of Kupffer cells in the liver, leading to intracellular signal transduction, with the release of proinflammatory cytokines, particularly TNF-α, eicosanoids, ROS and nitric oxide (NO) (Jarvelainen et al., 1999; Hines and Wheeler, 2004). It has been shown in animal experiments that both the administration of antibiotics to reduce endotoxemia and the inactivation of Kupffer cells with gadolinium chloride prevent liver injury (Thurman, 1998). CD 14 or CD 14-coupled toll like receptor 4 knockout mice with less TNF-α production are also resistant to alcohol toxicity (Usagih et al., 2001; Yin et al., 2001). Furthermore, inhibition of TNF-α by TNF-α antibodies or by the use of a TNF-α receptor knockout mouse model also protects against alcohol-induced liver injury (Hines and Wheeler, 2004). TNF-α results – after binding to its receptor (TNF-αR1) – in cell apoptosis. However, hepatocytes are resistant to the proapoptotic effects of binding to its receptor in cell proliferation, necrosis, or apoptosis, depending on the TNF-α, owing to the concomitant induction of antiapoptotic signals such as NF-κB (Wajant et al., 2003). TNF-α also induces OS, which in turn enhances TNF-α toxicity. The mechanism is not yet fully understood.

Figure 2 shows a simplified scheme of the pathogenesis of ASH. Besides other factors, interleukin 6 (IL-6) is significantly elevated in alcoholic patients with advanced liver disease (urbaschek et al., 2001). It has to be pointed out that IL-6 inhibits hOGG1, an important repair enzyme for 8-oxo-guanosine adducts (Bartsch and Nair, 2004). IL-6 is also antiapoptotic through upregulation of the antiapoptotic gene mcl-1 (Lin et al., 2001). Both factors favor carcinogenesis (Figure 3).

Liver cirrhosis may develop from ASH. However, it is not clear whether ASH with its enormous inflammation-driven oxidative stress and hyperproliferation is an important prerequisite for cancer development some decades later in the state of cirrhosis. Therefore, it would be important to know whether cirrhotic patients who went through ASH have an increased risk for HCC compared to those without ASH.

Ethanol metabolism and hepatocarcinogenesis

Figure 4 illustrates ethanol metabolism and its interference with metabolic pathways important in hepatocarcinogenesis.

Acetaldehyde The first and major metabolite of ethanol oxidation is acetaldehyde. There is increasing evidence that acetaldehyde rather than alcohol itself is responsible for the cocarcinogenic effect of alcohol (Pöschl and Seitz, 2004). Acetaldehyde is highly toxic, mutagenic and carcinogenic. It interferes at many sites with DNA synthesis and repair, and may, consequently, result in tumor development (IARC, 1999). Numerous in vitro and in vivo experiments in prokaryotic and eukaryotic cell cultures, as well as in animal models, have shown that acetaldehyde has direct mutagenic and carcinogenic effects. It causes point mutations in the hypoxanthine-guanine-phosphorybosyl transferase locus in human lymphocytes, and induces sister chromatide exchanges and gross chromosomal aberration (Obe et al., 1986; Dellarcio, 1988; Helander and Lindahl-Kiessling, 1991). It induces inflammation and metaplasia of tracheal epithelium, delays cell cycle progression and enhances cell injury associated with hyperregeneration (Simonowiski et al., 1994; Seitz et al., 2001). Acetaldehyde binds to
Alcohol and free fatty acids induce cytochrome P-4502E1 and lead to the generation of reactive oxygen species (ROS) and reactive nitrogen species (RNS), which can be neutralized by the antioxidative defense system. Since this system is overloaded by an increased burden of ROS/RNS and due to inhibition by free radicals, DNA damage may occur. Adequate DNA repair does not take place, since acetaldehyde, as well as nitric oxide (NO) produced by inducible nitric-oxide synthase (iNOS), inhibits DNA repair and apoptosis. O²- and H₂O₂ in hepatocytes during ethanol oxidation (Albano, 2002). Alcohol-mediated free radical formation may be due to enhanced electron leakage from the mitochondrial respiratory chain, along with stimulation of NADH shuttling into mitochondria (Bailey and Cunningham, 2002), to an interaction between N-acetylsphingosine (from TNF-α) and mitochondria (Garcia-Ruiz et al., 2000), to activated phagocytes in the liver

Recent and striking evidence of the causal role of acetaldehyde in ethanol-associated carcinogenesis was identified in genetic linkage studies in alcoholics. Individuals who accumulate acetaldehyde due to polymorphism and/or mutations in the gene coding for enzymes responsible for acetaldehyde generation and detoxification have been shown to have an increased cancer risk (Yokoyama et al., 1998). In this context it is interesting that in Caucasians polymorphism of alcohol dehydrogenase 1C (ADH1C) exists and that the allele ADH1C*1 encodes for an enzyme with a high capacity to generate acetaldehyde. We recently identified the genotype ADH1C*1/1 as an independent risk factor for the development of alcohol-associated HCC among heavy drinkers, indicating a genetic predisposition of individuals carrying this genotype (Homann et al., 2006).
Figure 4  Ethanol metabolism and its role in alcoholic liver disease and carcinogenesis. Ethanol is metabolized by alcohol dehydrogenases (ADHs), which reveal polymorphisms, and by cytochrome P-4502E1, which is inducible by chronic alcohol ingestion. The product acetaldehyde can be further metabolized by acetaldehyde dehydrogenase (ALDH). Acetaldehyde binds to proteins, forming neoantigens, and to DNA, forming DNA adducts. It damages mitochondria, with loss of function. As a result, fatty liver develops. Mitochondrial damage also initiates apoptosis and activation of the nuclear survival factor NF-κB. Alcohol-induced alterations in methyl-group transfer lead to DNA hypomethylation and membrane alterations. CYP2E1 also produces reactive oxygen species (ROS), which lead to lipid peroxidation, protein oxidation and DNA adducts. It also metabolizes drugs, activates various procarcinogens, and decreases retinol and retinoic acid.

COX2, cyclo-oxygenase 2; MnSOD, Mn-superoxide dismutase; NAD, nicotine adenine dinucleotide.

(Bautista, 2002), to hepatic iron overload (see below), and to nitric oxide (NO) (Chamulitrat and Spitzer, 1996). The reaction of NO with O$_2^-$ results in the formation of peroxynitrite (ONOO$^-$), which is highly reactive and impairs cell function (Beckman and Koppenol, 1996). Inducible nitric oxide synthase (iNOS) is stimulated by ethanol and iNOS knockout mice are protected against alcohol-induced liver injury (Arteel, 2003). Although all these factors may contribute to the generation of ROS following alcohol ingestion, animal experiments have convincingly demonstrated the important role of CYP2E1 in the production of ROS and ALD.

Chronic alcohol consumption leads to a 10–20-fold induction of CYP2E1, which metabolizes ethanol to acetaldehyde. This cytochrome is also involved in the activation of various cocarcinogens to their ultimate carcinogens, including nitrosamines, aflatoxins, and polycyclic hydrocarbons. The interaction between ethanol and procarcinogen metabolism is complex and may depend on, among other factors, the degree of CYP2E1 induction, the chemical structure of the procarcinogen, and the presence or absence of ethanol in the body during procarcinogen metabolism. The events in this setting are reviewed elsewhere (Seitz and Osswald, 1992).

It has been shown that the concentration of CYP2E1 in the liver is correlated with the generation of hydroxyethyl radicals (HERs) and thus with lipid peroxidation (Dupont et al., 1998). HERs bind to proteins and form neoantigens (Albano et al., 1993). Antibodies against HER-derived epitopes are detectable in the sera of patients with ALD (Clot et al., 1995, 1996). Induction of CYP2E1 resulted in ALD in animals, and inhibition of CYP2E1 was associated with an improvement in the damage (Gouillon et al., 2000). It has been concluded that this is mainly due to the stimulation and inhibition, respectively, of free radical formation. The role of CYP2E1 induction and cell injury has been studied in detail in the liver. For example, oxidized DNA products have been found to be lower in CYP2E1 knockout mice compared to wild-type mice (Bardag-Gorce et al., 2000; Bradford et al., 2005), whereas more pronounced hepatic damage was observed in transgenic mice overexpressing CYP2E1 (Morgan et al., 2002).

Although NADPH oxidase knockout mice do not produce a radical detectable by spin trapping, oxidative DNA damage does occur in these animals. Moreover, since CYP2E1 knockout mice reveal less DNA damage, at least two sources of oxidants may have additive effects on each other.

In humans, the extent of CYP2E1 induction is individually determined, but may be significant following the ingestion of 40 g alcohol per day, corresponding to 400 ml of 12.5 vol.% wine, for 1 week (Oneta et al., 2002). ROS produced by CYP2E1 lead to lipid peroxidation, with the generation of malondialdehyde (MDA) and 4-HNE (Aleynik et al., 1998). 4-HNE not only leads to a mutation at codon 249, which makes cells more resistant to apoptosis and shows some growth advantages (Hu et al., 2002), but also causes the production of exocyclic DNA etheno adducts (εdA), which can be measured in urine and determined immunohistologically in the liver (Frank et al., 2004). It has been shown that...
these adducts are present in alcoholic fatty liver but are more pronounced in advanced ALDs such as cirrhosis. Adduct formation is somewhat comparable with those found in iron storage disorders such as hemochromatosis and Wilson’s disease. Some evidence suggests that the degree of CYP2E1 induction in cell cultures can be correlated with the extent of δDA.

With respect to the effect of ethanol on the generation of mitochondrial ROS, readers are referred to recent review articles (Hoek et al., 2002; Albano, 2005).

The steady-state level of DNA adducts is influenced by various factors and is elevated under the following conditions: (1) reduction of the antioxidative defense system; (2) diminished glutathione S-transferase due to genetic polymorphism; (3) an impaired DNA repair system; and (4) reduced apoptosis. Chronic ethanol may favor factors 1, 2, and 4 either directly or via chronic inflammation.

**Alteration of the antioxidative defense system and inhibition of DNA repair**

The increased oxidative stress observed during ethanol metabolism leads to an increase in the requirement for glutathione and α-tocopherol. Chronic alcoholism also increases the requirement for methyl groups, and dietary methyl deficiency may enhance hepatic carcinogenesis (Stickel and Seitz, 2004). In ALD, the hepatic glutathione content is significantly reduced. It has been shown that supplementation with N-acetylcysteine prevents liver injury in experimental alcohol-induced hepatic injury (Limuro et al., 2000; Ronis et al., 2005). Since mitochondrial glutathione content is reduced by 50–85% (Fernandez-Checa et al., 1991), it is not surprising that this favors mitochondrial dysfunction and lipid peroxidation, and impairs hepatocyte tolerance to TNF-α (Fernandez-Checa and Kaplowitz, 2005). In addition, α-tocopherol is also reduced due to enhanced oxidation (Kawase et al., 1989). More recently, a decrease in enzyme activity and the immunoreactive protein concentration of hepatic (Cu-Zn)-superoxide dismutase, catalase and glutathione peroxidase in experimental ALD has been reported (Rouach et al., 1997), which inversely correlated with the extent of lipid peroxidation and hepatic injury (Polavarapu et al., 1998). Subsequently, selenium deficiency has been reported in alcoholics (Seitz and Suter, 2002).

Chronic alcohol consumption also inhibits hepatic DNA repair induced by nitrosamines. This is due to acetaldehyde-related inhibition of O^6^-guanine-methyl transferase (Espina et al., 1988) (Figure 3).

**Disturbed methyl group transfer**

Changes in the degree of methylation of cytosine are frequently encountered in human cancers, but their relevance as an epigenetic factor in carcinogenesis is only partially understood (Counts and Goodman, 1995). However, DNA methylation is an important determinant in controlling gene expression, whereby hypermethylation has a silencing effect on genes and hypomethylation may lead to increased gene expression. In hepatocarcinogenesis, general hypomethylation may be coupled with areas of regional hypermethylation. Thus, hypermethylation of tumor suppressor genes can result in decreased gene transcription of p53 and HIC-1 (Kanai et al., 1999), and hypomethylation of certain oncogenes such as c-myc and c-N-ras may lead to dedifferentiation and proliferation (Wainfan et al., 1989; Wainfan and Poirier, 1992; Shen et al., 1998).

Recently, it has been suggested that aberrant DNA hypermethylation may be associated with genetic instability, as determined by the loss of heterozygosity and microsatellite instability in human HCC due to chronic viral hepatitis (Kanai et al., 2000; Kondo et al., 2000). Iwata et al. (2000) detected hypermethylation of the 14-3-3 σ gene, which has been implicated as a key inducer of cell cycle arrest associated with p53 in 89% of human HCC investigated. However, genetic alterations in animal models and human hepatocarcinogenesis differ substantially. Thus, it was shown that activation of N-myc and c-myc oncogenes is frequent in woodchuck hepatitis virus-associated HCC, while no p53 mutations were found. This mutational pattern is reversed in humans, where p53 are frequent and oncogene activation seems to play only a minor role (Hui and Makuuchi, 1999).

Importantly, modifications of the degree of hepatic DNA methylation have also been observed in experimental models of chronic alcoholism (Garro et al., 1991; Choi et al., 1999). Hypomethylation is a plausible consequence of metabolic alterations in the setting of ethanol consumption. In fact, alcohol has a marked impact on hepatic methylation capacity, as reflected by decreased levels of S-adenosylmethionine (SAM), an important methyl group donor, and increased levels of S-adenosylhomocysteine (SAH), resulting in an up to 2.5-fold decrease in the SAM/SAH ratio (Lieber et al., 1990; Trimble et al., 1993; Stickel et al., 2000). Several mechanisms have been suggested by which ethanol could interact with one-carbon metabolism and DNA methylation and thereby enhance carcinogenesis (Lu and Mato, 2005). (1) Chronic alcohol interacts with intake, absorption and subsequent metabolism of B vitamins involved in hepatic transmethylation reactions, namely folate and pyridoxal-5′-phosphate (vitamin B6), resulting in impaired methyl group synthesis and transfer (Lumeng and Li, 1974; Labadarios et al., 1977; Savage and Lindenbaum, 1986; Gloria et al., 1997; Stickel et al., 2000). (2) Ethanol reduces the activity of methionine synthetase, which remethylates homocysteine to methionine with tetrahydrofolate as the methyl donor (Barak et al., 1993; Stickel et al., 2000). (3) Chronic alcohol consumption decreases glutathione levels, a reductive tripeptide that is synthesized from homocysteine via trans-sulfuration in the liver, and thereby enhances the susceptibility of the liver to alcohol-related peroxidative damage (Speisky et al., 1985; Lieber, 1994). (4) Alcohol can inhibit the activity of DNA methyltransferase, which transfers methyl groups to DNA in rats (Lieber et al., 1990), a finding that could not, however, be confirmed in humans (Miyakawa et al., 1996).

To date, it is well established that dietary depletion of lipotropes, including methionine, choline, betaine, SAM and folate, leads to DNA hypomethylation, particularly hypomethylation of oncogenes (that is c-Ha-ras, c-Ki-ras and c-fos) and to DNA strand breaks, all of which are associated with an increased incidence of HCC in rats.
Interaction with retinoids

Another important issue in hepatocarcinogenesis relates to the metabolism and functions of retinoids (Seitz, 2000; Wang, 2005). Chronic alcohol consumption interferes strikingly with the metabolism of retinol and retinoic acid (RA) factor (see below). Reduced serum and hepatic vitamin A concentrations have been shown in chronic alcoholics (Leo and Lieber, 1982). This is of particular importance, as RA is synthesized from retinol via various enzymatic steps involving microsomal and cytosolic ADH and ALDH. RA has profound effects on cellular growth and differentiation via two families of RA nuclear receptors (RAR-α, -β and -γ, and RXR-α, -β and -γ), which mediate RA-induced gene transcription (Chambon, 1996). In a series of experiments, the effects of alcohol on retinol and RA metabolism, on transcellular RA signaling, and on early events of carcinogenesis have been investigated. Chronic alcohol consumption affects several aspects of degradation in the liver and increased mobilization of retinol from the liver to other organs (Leo and Lieber, 1999; Seitz, 2000). These ethanol-induced changes may result in decreased hepatic concentrations of both retinol and retinyl esters, which are the metabolically active precursors of RA. Furthermore, it has been demonstrated that ethanol acts as a competitive inhibitor of retinol oxidation in the liver, thereby counteracting the biosynthesis of RA (Wang et al., 1998). Accordingly, RA levels in the liver of ethanol-fed rats were significantly decreased compared with control pairs fed an isocaloric control diet containing equal amounts of vitamin A (Liu et al., 2001). It has recently been shown that ethanol causes an additional local deficiency of RA in the liver, resulting from enhanced RA catabolism due to induction of CYP2E1 (Chung et al., 2001). In the same study, treatment of ethanol-fed rats with chloromethiazole, a specific CYP2E1 inhibitor, restored both hepatic and plasma RA concentrations to normal levels. Enhancement of RA catabolism by ethanol in vitro was inhibited by CY2E1 antibodies and chloromethiazole, while catabolism of RA into polar metabolites was abolished completely by nonspecific cytochrome P450 inhibitors. Lastly, chronic alcohol consumption resulted in a functional downregulation of RA receptors and up to eight-fold higher expression of the AP-1 (c-jun and c-fos) transcriptional complex (Wang et al., 1998). This explains parenchymal hyperproliferation, as AP-1 is a central complex downstream of various growth factors, oncogenes and tumor promoters (Chiu et al., 1988). Most interestingly, supplementation of animals with all-trans-RA to normal RA levels not only led to a decrease in AP-1 (c-jun and c-fos) gene expression, but also to normalization of hepatic proliferation, as expressed by proliferating cell nuclear antigen expression (Chung et al., 2001). In summary, these data suggest that low hepatic RA levels due to chronic alcohol misuse may favor proliferation and malignant transformation of hepatocytes via upregulation of AP-1 (c-jun and c-fos) gene expression. (Figures 6 and 7).

Accordingly, retinoids inhibit chemically induced liver cancer in animals (Moreno et al., 2002). In addition, an inverse relationship between the risk of HHC and RA levels has been observed (Yu et al., 1995, 1999) and the administration of a synthetic retinoid prevented the occurrence of a secondary cancer in patients with HCC (Muto et al., 1999).

Iron and oxidative stress

As pointed out above, the risk for HCC in hereditary hemochromatosis is approximately 200-fold higher than in the general population. The increased risk of HCC may be the result of generation of ROS from free iron, directly through the Fenton reaction or indirectly through acceleration of lipid peroxidation (Petersen, 2005). Iron leads to DNA strand breaks and to p53 mutation via 4-HNE, a major lipid peroxidation product (Marrogi et al., 2001; Bartsch and Nair, 2004). In addition, these products also result in DNA adducts, as well as in an activation of stellate cells, followed by enhanced fibrogenesis. Chronic alcohol ingestion increases the intestinal uptake and hepatic deposition of iron. It has been shown that the presence of iron, particularly low-molecular-weight non-
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**Figure 5** Production of etheno-DNA adducts via induction of cytochrome P-4502E1 and lipid peroxidation. FFA: free fatty acids.
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**Figure 6** Progression of alcoholic and non-alcoholic fatty liver to advanced liver disease in fatty liver. Cytocrome P-4502E1 (CYP2E1) is induced by ethanol and/or free fatty acids, which results in the generation of reactive oxygen species (ROS). In addition, tumor necrosis factor α (TNF-α) is generated from fatty tissue or released from Kupffer cells (KC) via gut-derived endotoxins. TNF-α leads to insulin resistance and to the production of ROS. Both TNF-α and ROS result in alteration of cell biology favoring the progression of liver disease and carcinogenesis.
Figure 7  Effect of ethanol on methyl group transfer.
Chronic ethanol consumption (A) results in a decrease in folic acid, and inhibition of methionine synthase (MS), methionine adenosinetransferase (MAT) and phosphoethanolamine methyltransferase (PEMT). As a result, hepatic levels of S-adenosylmethionine (SAME) are decreased and homocysteine concentrations are increased, leading to endoplasmic reticulum (ER) stress. THF, tetrahydrofolate; NADP⁺, nicotine adenine dinucleotide phosphate; NADPH, nicotine adenine dinucleotide phosphate (reduced); PPE, polyenylphosphoethanolamine; PPC, polyenylphosphocholine.

protein iron complexes, exacerbates oxidative damage by alcohol and that it stimulates hepatic macrophages to produce ROS and pro-inflammatory cytokines (Tsukamoto et al., 1999; Caro and Cederbaum, 2004). Although the mechanism of ethanol-associated hepatic iron deposition is still unclear, it has been speculated that oxidative modification of cytosolic iron regulatory protein 1 (iRPl) causes repression of ferritin synthesis and stimulation of transferring receptor synthesis, with increased iron uptake (Rouault, 2003).

Summary and conclusions
HCC is a frequently occurring cancer worldwide. A variety of risk factors exist, including HBV and HCV infection, NAFLD, heavy alcohol consumption and aflatoxin exposure. Most HCCs occur in cirrhotic livers and the common mechanism for hepatocarcinogenesis is chronic inflammation associated with severe oxidative stress. Oxidative stress in ALD is due to a variety of factors, such as the production of acetaldehyde from ethanol, the induction of CYP2E1, a decrease in the antioxidative defense system, disturbed metabolism of retinoids and methyl groups, and iron overload. Conclusions drawn from the fact that oxidative stress is a major pathophysiological factor in the development of HCC may include preventive and interventional therapeutic approaches with antioxidants. Such approaches have been initiated with retinoids, but clinical data are still inconclusive and further clinical studies are urgently needed. However, the best method for prevention of HCC is vaccination against hepatitis B, avoidance of infection with hepatitis C by optimal hygienic measures, avoidance of food contaminated with aflatoxins, reduction of alcohol intake to safe limits (20–30 g for men, 10–20 g for women) and maintenance of a normal body weight to avoid diabetes and metabolic syndrome. Finally, early diagnosis of hereditary hemochromatosis with consequent treatment by phlebotomy will prevent the occurrence of HCC.
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