Depth-dependent mechanical properties of the human cornea by uniaxial extension

Malavika H. Nambiar, Theo G. Seiler, Sebastian Senti, Layko Liechti, Fabian Müller, Harald Studer, Abhijit S. Roy, Philippe Büchler

PII: S0014-4835(23)00339-1

DOI: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.exer.2023.109718

Reference: YEXER 109718

To appear in: Experimental Eye Research

Received Date: 14 July 2023

Revised Date: 13 October 2023

Accepted Date: 6 November 2023

Please cite this article as: Nambiar, M.H., Seiler, T.G., Senti, S., Liechti, L., Müller, F., Studer, H., Roy, A.S., Büchler, P., Depth-dependent mechanical properties of the human cornea by uniaxial extension, *Experimental Eye Research* (2023), doi: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.exer.2023.109718.

This is a PDF file of an article that has undergone enhancements after acceptance, such as the addition of a cover page and metadata, and formatting for readability, but it is not yet the definitive version of record. This version will undergo additional copyediting, typesetting and review before it is published in its final form, but we are providing this version to give early visibility of the article. Please note that, during the production process, errors may be discovered which could affect the content, and all legal disclaimers that apply to the journal pertain.

© 2023 Published by Elsevier Ltd.



Depth-dependent mechanical properties of the human cornea by uniaxial extension

Malavika H. Nambiar¹, Theo G. Seiler^{2,3,4}, Sebastian Senti¹, Layko Liechti⁵, Fabian Müller⁵
 Harald Studer, Abhijit S. Roy⁶, Philippe Büchler¹

- ARTORG Center for Biomedical Engineering Research, University of Bern, Freiburgstrasse 3,
 3010 Bern, Switzerland
 IROC AG, Institut für Refraktive und Ophthalmo-Chirurgie, Stockerstrasse 37, 8002 Zürich,
 Switzerland
- 9 3. Universitätsklinik für Augenheilkunde, Inselspital Bern, Freiburgstrasse 15, 3010 Bern,
 10 Switzerland
- Klinik für Augenheilkunde, Universitätsklinikum Düsseldorf, Moorenstr. 5, 40225 Düsseldorf,
 Deutschland
- 13 5. Ziemer Ophthalmic Systems AG, Allmendstrasse 11, 2562 Port, Switzerland
- 14 6. Narayana Nethralaya Eye Clinic, Bengaluru, Karnataka 560010, India

15

- 16 Malavika H. Nambiar malavika.nambiar@unibe.ch
- 17 Theo G. Seiler theo@seiler.tv
- 18 Sebastian Senti Sebastian.senti@unibe.ch
- 19 Layko Liechti Layko.Liechti@ziemergroup.com
- 20 Fabian Müller fabian.mueller@ziemergroup.com
- 21 Harald Studer harald.studer@gmail.com
- 22 Abhijit S. Roy asroy27@yahoo.com
- 23 Philippe Büchler philippe.buechler@unibe.ch

25 ABSTRACT

26 The purpose of this study was to investigate the depth-dependent biomechanical properties of the 27 human corneal stroma under uniaxial tensile loading. Human stroma samples were obtained after the 28 removal of Descemet's membrane in the course of Descemet's membrane endothelial keratoplasty 29 (DMEK) transplantation. Uniaxial tensile tests were performed at three different depths: anterior, 30 central, and posterior on 2 x 6 x 0.15 mm strips taken from the central DMEK graft. The measured 31 force-displacement data were used to calculate stress-strain curves and to derive the tangent 32 modulus. The study showed that mechanical strength decreased significantly with depth. The anterior cornea appeared to be the stiffest, with a stiffness approximately 18% higher than that of the central 33 34 cornea and approximately 38% higher than that of the posterior layer. Larger variations in mechanical 35 response were observed in the posterior group, probably due to the higher degree of alignment of the 36 collagen fibers in the posterior sections of the cornea. This study contributes to a better understanding 37 of the biomechanical tensile properties of the cornea, which has important implications for the 38 development of new treatment strategies for corneal diseases. Accurate quantification of tensile 39 strength as a function of depth is critical information that is lacking in human corneal biomechanics to 40 develop numerical models and new treatment methods.

41

42 **Keywords**: Human cornea, tensile testing, depth-dependent, uniaxial testing.

43 **1. INTRODUCTION**

44 Over 185,000 corneal transplant surgeries are performed annually worldwide, yet, 53% of the world's population does not have access to donor corneas, which also limits their availability for research 45 46 (Bunya et al., 2023). Understanding the biomechanical properties of the cornea can help us develop 47 more effective surgical interventions and treatment strategies that can restore corneal integrity and 48 prevent the progression of corneal diseases. It is critical to understand the biomechanics of this 49 complex tissue, which is highly anisotropic, heterogeneous, and multi-layered. Knowledge of the 50 tensile strength of the cornea can help improve treatment strategies for conditions that affect the 51 structural integrity of the cornea, such as keratoconus, corneal ectasia, and corneal ulcers. For 52 example, measuring corneal resistance to deformation or stress can help us determine the optimal 53 parameters for corneal crosslinking to strengthen corneal tissue in keratoconus, or design 54 keratotomies to appropriately reduce corneal astigmatism. In addition, studying the tensile strength of corneal tissue can also contribute to the development of new biomaterials, implants and surgical 55 techniques for corneal reconstruction and transplantation (Roberts, 2016). 56

While full-thickness tensile testing has been performed on human corneal strips (Elsheikh et al., 2008; Hoeltzel et al., 1992; Mahdian et al., 2021), there is limited information in the literature on the depthdependent biomechanics of the human cornea, particularly on tensile properties. Existing studies on depth dependence have been performed using shear (Petsche et al., 2012; Sloan et al., 2014), compression (Ramirez-Garcia et al., 2018), cohesive tension (Randleman et al., 2008), and indentation (Labate et al., 2015) methods. Physiologically, however, the cornea is subjected to tension due to the intraocular pressure, which is most important for understanding its biomechanics.

Therefore, this study aims to characterize the mechanical properties of the human corneal stroma at different depths, corresponding to the anterior, central, and posterior corneal stroma by uniaxial extension. To our knowledge, this is the first depth-dependent uniaxial tensile study performed on

- 67 human corneas. To control sample preparation, strips were cut with a femtosecond laser to ensure
- 68 uniform geometry and thickness and to precisely control the depth of sample extraction.

69

ournal Prevenció

70 MATERIALS AND METHODS

71 2.1 Experimental setup

72 Nine human donor corneas (age 55-79 years) were examined in this study. Since good quality corneal 73 tissue is scarce, this study used corneas after the removal of Descemet's membrane (DM) for DMEK 74 surgery. The corneas including a 3 mm scleral ring, were provided by the Department of 75 Ophthalmology of the Inselspital Bern immediately after peeling the DM, which was performed 76 without damaging the posterior corneal stroma. Before DM peeling, the corneas were examined for 77 corneal scars and de-swollen in Carry-C (Alchimia, Italy) for 24 hours. After DM peeling, the corneas were placed in a hydration preserving culture medium containing 5 % Dextran solution. Since this 78 79 study used tissue from decreased donors that would otherwise be discarded, the IRB (Cantonal Ethics 80 Committee of the Canton of Bern) granted exempt status. The orientation of the corneas were 81 unknown.

82 Within 72 hours after DM peeling, the cornea was placed in an artificial anterior chamber 83 pressurization device with the corneal epithelium side facing upward to prevent movement during 84 strip cutting with the Femto LDV Z8 Neo femtosecond laser (Ziemer Ophthalmic Systems AG, 85 Switzerland) (Figure 1). The laser handpiece was centered on the cornea using the OCT integrated into 86 the device and moved only after all strips were cut. The OCT was also used to measure the total corneal 87 thickness. The average central corneal thickness in this study was 770 µm. The high thickness variation 88 can be attributed to swelling, despite the deswelling media due to lack of the endothelium layer. For 89 each eye, three strips 6 mm long, 2 mm wide, and 0.15 mm thick were cut in the central-corneal region 90 from the anterior (D1), central (D2), and posterior (D3) thirds of the cornea. No epithelium was present 91 on the prepared samples. In all but two samples, the first 100 µm of cornea were discarded to ensure 92 that the Bowmann's membrane was not included in the test. In the two excluded samples, it was not 93 possible to omit this layer because of low corneal thickness, so the anterior sample (D1) was taken 94 just below the epithelium. However, no differences were observed in the tests. The samples were

95 individually preserved and tested in a hydration-preserving culture medium containing 5 % Dextran to
96 maintain the sample dimensions after cutting (Hamon et al., 2021; Wolf et al., 2009).

97 The strips were uniaxially tested in a bath containing the same culture medium using the Ustretch 98 device (CellScale, Waterloo, Canada) at room temperature (Figure 2). The tissue was pre-stretched 99 with a low force of 10 mN to ensure uniform tension across the samples. The samples were then 100 loaded with 6 cycles at a strain rate of 0.16 % /s. The fifth cycle of force-displacement data was 101 recorded for analysis as the mechanical response of the tissue stabilized after the fifth preconditioning 102 cycle. The specimens were tested up to a strain of 10 %, which is consistent with previous experiments (Boschetti et al., 2012; Elsheikh et al., 2011, 2008; Wollensak et al., 2003). The actual length of the 103 104 specimen was used to calculate the strain, taking into account the distance between the grips under 105 the pre-stretch 10 mN, which differs from the original distance of 5 mm due to the initial tensioning 106 of the testing device and the unfolding of the specimen. For this reason, the reference length of the 107 sample was calculated as the actual distance of the grip at the start of the 5th cycle. The strain was 108 then calculated as the ratio of extension to this reference length of the sample. The stress was 109 determined by dividing the force by the original cross-sectional area, specifically the product of the 110 thickness of the sample (0.15 mm) and the width at the attachment of the rakes (1.56 mm).

111 The relationship between stress and strain was further characterized using an exponential function 112 based on a previously proposed method for studying corneal inflation (Eliasy et al., 2019; Elsheikh et 113 al., 2010):

114

$$\sigma = A(e^{B(\varepsilon - \varepsilon_0)} - 1) \tag{1}$$

Here, A and B are material parameters, and ε_0 is determined such that the stress corresponds to our experimental measurement at zero strain. This additional strain is necessary to account for the initial experimental pre-stress introduced to remove the initial compliance of the measurement setup. Finally, the stiffness of the specimen was calculated as the derivative of the fitted exponential function and used to quantify the stiffness ratio between the different depths.

120 2.2 Statistical Methods

121 Statistical analysis was performed using Python 3.7 (Scipy.stats). The uniaxial force and extension data were used to generate stress-strain curves for each depth and sample. Tangent moduli were 122 123 calculated for the low (2 %), intermediate (5 %), and high-strain (10 %) regions of the curves, 124 corresponding to the stiffness of the tissue at different depths. A one-way ANOVA was performed on 125 the tangent moduli data for each depth group to analyze the difference between depths. Due to 126 sample exclusion during experimental measurements, our sample size was insufficient to perform paired statistical tests. A Tukey post hoc test was used to determine which groups were significantly 127 different. A p-value of < 0.05 was considered significant. 128

ournalprei

129 **2. RESULTS**

Twenty-seven strips were cut from the 9 donor corneas, but 4 strips were excluded from analysis,
bringing the total number of samples for all groups to 23. The main reason for exclusion was damage
to the sample – usually in the area of attachment – or loosening at the attachment points. 7 samples
were available in the D1 group, and 8 in each of the D2 and D3 groups.

134 The force-displacement behavior during uniaxial measurement was determined for the three depths. 135 The corresponding stress-strain data was calculated from the measurements (Figure 3). Besides the 136 typical viscous and nonlinear relationship, our results showed that the mechanical properties of the 137 stroma decreased with depth, with the anterior D1 group having the highest force, then the central 138 D2 layer, and the lowest in the posterior D3 stromal layer. The highest variability in stress was 139 observed in the D3 group (Figure 3). Statistically, D1 and D2 showed a significant difference in force (p < 0.001), as did D2 and D3 (p < 0.001) and D1 and D3 (p < 0.001). An average maximum force of 140 141 0.22 N was observed in the D1 group, 0.18 N in the D2 group and 0.14 N in the D3 group at 10 % strain. This corresponds to a decrease of 18 % mechanical strength in the central layer (D2) and a 36 % in the 142 143 posterior layer (D3), as compared to the anterior layer (D1).

The stress- strain data were used to calculate the tangent modulus at 2 %, 5 % and 10 % strain. The average stress at 10 % strain was used to calculate the difference in mechanical strength between the three layers. A linear decrease in mechanical properties was observed across depth. The tangent moduli were evaluated at different strain levels (Figure 4). At 5 % strain, groups D2 and D3 showed no significant difference (p = 0.051), but at 10 % this changed to a significant difference (p < 0.001). At all strains, the tangent modulus was significantly higher at D1 than D2 and D3 (p < 0.001). We found no correlation of tangent modulus with age or thickness.

Exponential fitting of stress-strain data showed good agreement with experimental data for all the depths (Figure 3). Interestingly, the value of exponent B remains nearly constant across different depths, implying that the mechanical properties between depths are primarily determined by the

linear parameter A over a strain range from 0% to 10% (Table 1). The relative change in corneal stiffness between depths was quantified by calculating the normalized stiffness with respect to the anterior depth. Since the exponential parameter B remains constant at all depths, this relationship is nearly constant at each strain level. Furthermore, stiffness decreases linearly from the anterior to the posterior. The central cornea has a stiffness of about 80% of the anterior stiffness, while the posterior layer is 60% (Figure 5).

160

Journal Prevention

161 **3. DISCUSSION**

162 The objective of this study is to characterize the mechanical properties of the human corneal stroma at different depths, corresponding to the anterior, central, and posterior cornea by uniaxial extension. 163 164 The study aims to understand the depth-dependent biomechanical behavior of the cornea under 165 tensile loading, which is one of the most relevant loading situation for physiological conditions (Kling 166 et al., 2020). While the physiological intraocular pressure is better represented by a biaxial setting, 167 uniaxial testing is a widely used method for estimating tensile mechanics. This study is the first to 168 characterize the depth-dependent biomechanics of the human cornea under tensile loading. It should 169 contribute to a better understanding of the biomechanical properties of the cornea and allow the 170 development of new treatment strategies for corneal diseases.

171 In this study, a linear decrease in tensile mechanical strength was observed across the depth of the 172 cornea. The central layer was found to be 18 % weaker than the anterior cornea and the posterior 173 cornea was found to be 38 % weaker than the anterior cornea.

174 Other depth-dependent mechanical characterizations found in the literature include shear (Petsche 175 et al., 2012; Sloan et al., 2014), compression (Ramirez-Garcia et al., 2018), cohesive tensile (Randleman 176 et al., 2008), and indentation (Labate et al., 2015). Direct comparison of measured properties is not 177 possible because the metric used to characterize the mechanical response is different for each test 178 method. Qualitatively, all these studies found significant stiffer properties for anterior cornea than the 179 posterior cornea. However, these studies report that the central stroma is mechanically similar to the 180 posterior cornea, which is different from our results, where significant differences in the mechanical 181 behavior were observed at all measurement depth - anterior, central, and posterior - with the 182 stiffness linearly decreasing across depth. This difference in analysis may be attributed to the type of 183 loading applied to samples in the different tests. The tensile strength of the collagen bundles is the primary contributor to the uniaxial forces, which is orders of magnitude higher in tension than in 184 185 compression. As such, compression tests predominantly characterize the response of the stromal

186 matrix rather than the properties of the collagen fiber, which can explain these differences (Sun,187 2021).

188 In this study, the posterior cornea was found to be 38% less stiff than the anterior cornea, which is in 189 a similar range to the depth dependent tensile mechanics of the porcine cornea (Nambiar et al., 2022). 190 The central layer was found to be 18% less stiff than the anterior cornea, which was different from 191 the trends in the porcine cornea that showed a similar mechanical strength of the central and anterior 192 layers (Nambiar et al., 2022). Comparing the results of this study with uniaxial measurements on full 193 thickness human corneal strips show that stresses of full thickness measurements are in the range of 194 D2-D3 groups of this study (Elsheikh, A. et al., 2008; Hoeltzel et al., 1992; Mahdian et al., 2021). The 195 deviations can be attributed to the depth dependence of the material and to differences in the test 196 setup, such as clamping and preconditioning. The parameters obtained by fitting the exponential 197 function indicate a more linear response of the tissue compared with the values published for inflation 198 experiments (Eliasy et al., 2019; Elsheikh et al., 2010). This difference could be due to the different 199 loading methods used in the two studies, especially since the inflation experiments reflect a 200 predominantly biaxial loading scenario. This comparison indicates that uniaxial tests should be 201 combined with biaxial loading to obtain a comprehensive description of the corneal mechanical 202 response.

Accurate quantification of the tensile strength in a depth-controlled manner is critical information that is lacking in current numerical models developed to study various refractive interventions (Ariza-Gracia et al., 2017; Pandolfi and Manganiello, 2006; Sinha Roy et al., 2014; Studer et al., 2010). Even if the mechanical properties identified in this study corresponds to aged corneas, the trends of reduction in strength quantified in this study can be reproduced for applications in the young cornea, which is supported by imaging data and the other mechanical tests in literature (Labate et al., 2015; Petsche et al., 2012; Ramirez-Garcia et al., 2018; Randleman et al., 2008; Sloan et al., 2014).

210 Looking at the ultrastructure of the human cornea, we see that collagen fibers of the anterior stroma 211 are randomly dispersed in the plane of the cornea and aligned along the curvature (Quantock et al., 212 2007). This in-plane dispersion becomes preferentially oriented in the nasal-temporal and superior-213 inferior directions with increasing depth, while preserving some level of isotropic scatter (Abass et al., 214 2015; Quantock et al., 2007). However, the posterior cornea exhibits lower mechanical properties, 215 which seems contradictory because the fibers carry most of the load. This behavior could indicate 216 lower fiber density in the posterior cornea. The lower stiffness could also be due to a greater out-of-217 plane dispersion of the fibers in the posterior cornea, resulting in a lower contribution of the fibers to the tensile stress. It is plausible that a combination of these elements are at play. 218

This also makes the lack of orientation markers on the donor tissue a limitation of this study for the 219 220 posterior group (D3), and a possible explanation for the larger variability in the properties measured in this group. Another reason for the larger variability observed in the mechanical measurements in 221 222 the posterior group could be related to fact the posterior stroma is more susceptible to swelling (Meek 223 et al., 2003; Wang et al., 2004), especially after removal of the DM. Although care was taken to 224 maintain the level of hydration of the specimens during testing, the corneas remained slightly swollen 225 when the specimens were cut from the cornea with the laser. This problem is common in ex vivo 226 mechanical testing. Although this may result in a slight underestimation of the measured stresses, the 227 trends and magnitude of the decrease in mechanical strength with depth remain valid. Another 228 simplification in this study is the assumption that the strips are flat, although the cornea has a 229 curvature. However, we suspect that the effect on the measured properties is small because of the 230 small dimensions of the specimens-particularly their thickness-and the fact that they flatten under 231 their own weight when attached to the experimental setup. Since the corneas were obtained from 232 transplant tissue, they were from elderly donors, which limits the measured properties to the elderly 233 population and prevents conclusions about age-dependent mechanical behavior. We found no 234 correlation of tangent modulus with age, which may be attributed to the limited age variation within 235 the measured samples.

This study contributes to the growing body of knowledge on the biomechanics of the human cornea by quantifying the depth-dependent tensile biomechanics. This work also highlights the importance of considering depth-dependent mechanical properties when planning and evaluating corneal treatments. The results from this study could inform the development of better numerical models for refractive interventions and improve our understanding of corneal diseases. The method by which corneal stroma was derived in this study from DMEK surgical waste can be an important source of corneal stroma for future research.

243 FUNDING

244 This study was funded by the SNSF grant (No. IZLIZ3_182975). There are no conflicts of interests.

245

ournalt

246 **REFERENCES**

- Abass, A., Hayes, S., White, N., Sorensen, T., Meek, K.M., 2015. Transverse depth-dependent changes
- in corneal collagen lamellar orientation and distribution. J. R. Soc. Interface 12.
 https://doi.org/10.1098/rsif.2014.0717
- 250 Ariza-Gracia, M., Ortillés, Cristóbal, J., Rodríguez Matas, J.F., Calvo, B., 2017. A numerical-experimental
- 251 protocol to characterize corneal tissue with an application to predict astigmatic keratotomy
- 252 surgery. J. Mech. Behav. Biomed. Mater. 74, 304–314.
 253 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jmbbm.2017.06.017
- 254 Boschetti, F., Triacca, V., Spinelli, L., Pandolfi, A., 2012. Mechanical characterization of porcine
- 255 corneas. J. Biomech. Eng. 134, 1–9. https://doi.org/10.1115/1.4006089
- Bunya, V.Y., Syed, Z.A., Puente, M.A., 2023. EyeWiki- American Academy of Ophtalmlology [WWW
 Document]. URL https://eyewiki.aao.org/Corneal_Donation
- 258 Eliasy, A., Chen, K.-J., Vinciguerra, R., Lopes, B.T., Abass, A., Vinciguerra, P., Ambrósio Jr, R., Roberts,
- 259 C.J., Elsheikh, A., 2019. Determination of corneal biomechanical behavior in-vivo for healthy eyes

260 using CorVis ST tonometry: stress-strain index. Front. Bioeng. Biotechnol. 7, 105.

- Elsheikh, A., Brown, M., Alhasso, D., Rama, P., Campanelli, M., Garway-Heath, D., 2008. Experimental
 assessment of corneal anisotropy. J. Refract. Surg. Off. Publ. Int. Soc. Refract. Surg. 24.
- 263 Elsheikh, A., Alhasso, D., Rama, P., 2008. Biomechanical properties of human and porcine corneas.
- 264 Exp. Eye Res. 86, 783–790. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.exer.2008.02.006
- 265 Elsheikh, A., Geraghty, B., Rama, P., Campanelli, M., Meek, K.M., 2010. Characterization of age-related
- variation in corneal biomechanical properties. J. R. Soc. Interface 7, 1475–1485.
- 267 Elsheikh, A., Kassem, W., Jones, S.W., 2011. Strain-rate sensitivity of porcine and ovine corneas. Acta
- 268 Bioeng. Biomech. 13.

269	Hamon, L., Daas, L., Mäurer, S., Weinstein, I., Quintin, A., Schulz, K., Langenbucher, A., Seitz, B., 2021						
270	Thickness and	Thickness and Curvature Changes of Human Corneal Grafts in Dextran-Containing Organ Culture					
271	Medium	Before	Keratoplasty.	Cornea	40,	733–740.	
272	https://doi.org/10.1097/ICO.000000000002543						

Hoeltzel, D.A., Altman, P., Buzard, K., Choe, K. II, 1992. Strip extensiometry for comparison of the
mechanical response of bovine, rabbit, and human corneas. J. Biomech. Eng. 114, 202–215.
https://doi.org/10.1115/1.2891373

276 Kling, S., Khodadadi, H., Goksel, O., 2020. Optical Coherence Elastography-Based Corneal Strain

277 Imaging During Low-Amplitude Intraocular Pressure Modulation. Front. Bioeng. Biotechnol. 7.

278 https://doi.org/10.3389/fbioe.2019.00453

Labate, C., Lombardo, M., De Santo, M.P., Dias, J., Ziebarth, N.M., Lombardo, G., 2015. Multiscale
investigation of the depth-dependent mechanical anisotropy of the human corneal stroma.
Investig. Ophthalmol. Vis. Sci. 56, 4053–4060. https://doi.org/10.1167/iovs.15-16875

282 Mahdian, M., Seifzadeh, A., Mokhtarian, A., Doroodgar, F., 2021. Characterization of the transient

283 mechanical properties of human cornea tissue using the tensile test simulation. Mater. Today
284 Commun. 26, 102122. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.mtcomm.2021.102122

285 Meek, K.M., Leonard, D.W., Connon, C.J., Dennis, S., Khan, S., 2003. Transparency, swelling and 286 scarring in the corneal stroma. Eye 17, 927–936. https://doi.org/10.1038/sj.eye.6700574

Nambiar, M.H., Liechti, L., Müller, F., Bernau, W., Studer, H., Roy, A.S., Seiler, T.G., Büchler, P., 2022.
Orientation and depth dependent mechanical properties of the porcine cornea: Experiments and
parameter identification. Exp. Eye Res. 224, 109266.
https://doi.org/10.1016/j.exer.2022.109266

Pandolfi, A., Manganiello, F., 2006. A model for the human cornea: Constitutive formulation and
 numerical analysis. Biomech. Model. Mechanobiol. 5, 237–246. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10237-

293 005-0014-x

- Petsche, S.J., Chernyak, D., Martiz, J., Levenston, M.E., Pinsky, P.M., 2012. Depth-dependent
 transverse shear properties of the human corneal stroma. Investig. Ophthalmol. Vis. Sci. 53, 873–
 880. https://doi.org/10.1167/iovs.11-8611
- Quantock, A.J., Boote, C., Young, R.D., Hayes, S., 2007. Small-angle fibre diffraction studies of corneal
 matrix structure : a depth-profiled investigation of the human eye-bank cornea 335–340.
 https://doi.org/10.1107/S0021889807005523
- 300 Ramirez-Garcia, M.A., Sloan, S.R., Nidenberg, B., Khalifa, Y.M., Buckley, M.R., 2018. Depth-Dependent

301 Out-of-Plane Young's Modulus of the Human Cornea. Curr. Eye Res. 43, 595–604.
 302 https://doi.org/10.1080/02713683.2017.1411951

- Randleman, J.B., Dawson, D.G., Grossniklaus, H.E., McCarey, B.E., Edelhauser, H.F., 2008. Depth dependent cohesive tensile strength in human donor corneas: Implications for refractive
 surgery. J. Refract. Surg. 24, 20080101. https://doi.org/10.3928/1081597x-20080101-15
- 306 Roberts, C.J., 2016. Importance of accurately assessing biomechanics of the cornea. Curr. Opin.

 307
 Ophthalmol. 27, 285–291. https://doi.org/10.1097/ICU.0000000000282

- Sinha Roy, A., Dupps, W.J., Roberts, C.J., 2014. Comparison of biomechanical effects of small-incision
 lenticule extraction and laser in situ keratomileusis: Finite-element analysis. J. Cataract Refract.
 Surg. 40, 971–980. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jcrs.2013.08.065
- Sloan, S.R., Khalifa, Y.M., Buckley, M.R., 2014. The location- and depth-dependent mechanical
 response of the human cornea under shear loading. Investig. Ophthalmol. Vis. Sci. 55, 7919–
 7924. https://doi.org/10.1167/iovs.14-14997
- 314 Studer, H., Larrea, X., Riedwyl, H., Büchler, P., 2010. Biomechanical model of human cornea based on
- 315 stromal microstructure. J. Biomech. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jbiomech.2009.11.021

- Sun, B., 2021. The mechanics of fibrillar collagen extracellular matrix. Cell Reports Phys. Sci. 2.
- 317 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.xcrp.2021.100515
- 318 Wang, J., Simpson, T.L., Fonn, D., 2004. Objective measurements of corneal light-backscatter during
- 319 corneal swelling, by optical coherence tomography. Investig. Ophthalmol. Vis. Sci. 45, 3493–
- 320 3498. https://doi.org/10.1167/iovs.04-0096
- 321 Wolf, A.H., Welge-Lüen, U.C., Priglinger, S., Kook, D., Grueterich, M., Hartmann, K., Kampik, A.,
- 322 Neubauer, A.S., 2009. Optimizing the deswelling process of organ-cultured corneas. Cornea 28,
- 323 524–529. https://doi.org/10.1097/ICO.0B013E3181901DDE
- 324 Wollensak, G., Spoerl, E., Seiler, T., 2003. Stress-strain measurements of human and porcine corneas
- 325 after riboflavin-ultraviolet-A-induced cross-linking. J. Cataract Refract. Surg. 29, 1780–1785.
- 326 https://doi.org/10.1016/S0886-3350(03)00407-3
- 327

-					
_	Depth	A (MPa)	В (-)	\mathcal{E}_0	
-	D1	64.20 10 ⁻³	25.28	11.19 10-3	
	D2	47.41 10 ⁻³	25.54	14.35 10 ⁻³	
	D3	25.64 10 ⁻³	26.74	22.37 10 ⁻³	

Table 1: The estimated parameters from the exponential fitting of the experimental stress strain data 329 330 showed a linear decrease in parameter 'A' while parameter 'B' remained relatively constant.

331 Parameter ε_0 was obtained to account for the prestress in the experimental condition at 0 strain.

re , perimer



334

- **Figure 1:** The cornea with scleral rim, clamped in an artificial chamber showing the strips cut out in
- the mid corneal region.

ournal Prer



- 337
- **Figure 2:** The corneal strip (6 x 2 x 0.15 mm) was tested under traction in a bath of MEM + 5%
- dextran with BioRake attachments. Note that one of the tins has been cut on both sides to leaveonly two for sample attachment.

Journal Pre-proo



Figure 3: The Stress-Strain reaction of the strips in the anterior (D1, n=7), central (D2, n=8) and posterior (D3, n=8) groups. The solid blue line represents the mean stress, and the shaded region represents the standard deviation. The dashed red line represents the exponential fit with equation 1.

346

347

349



350

351 Figure 4: The tangent moduli in the anterior (D1, n=7), central (D2, n=8) and posterior (D3, n=8)

groups, for 2%, 5% and 10 % strain. All groups except indicated (NS, p = 0.051) show a significant
 difference (p < 0.05).





Figure 5: The stiffness calculated based on the exponential fit at each depth was normalized with respect to the stiffness of the anterior layer. This normalized stiffness ratio showed a linearly decreasing stiffness from the anterior to the posterior part of the cornea.

OURNAL

Highlights

- Human cornea shows depth-dependent mechanical properties, with a linear decrease in tensile mechanical properties from the anterior to the posterior cornea.
- The tangent moduli at different strains show significant differences across the depth of the cornea.
- While full-thickness studies have been previously performed, this to the best of our knowledge, is the first depth-dependent analysis in uniaxial extension of the human cornea

Journal Prevention