1 2

Strategies to improve AV synchrony in patients with a Micra AV leadless pacemaker

Christophe Garweg, MD, PhD¹; Alexander Breitenstein, MD²; Nicolas Clémenty, MD, PhD³; Carlo De
 Asmundis, MD, PhD⁴; Saverio Iacopino, MD, PhD⁵; Jens Brock Johansen, MD, PhD⁶; David Sharman, MD⁷;

- 6 Cathrin Theis, MD⁸; Xavier Viñolas Prat, MD⁹; Stefan Winter, MD¹⁰; Tobias Reichlin, MD¹¹
- 7
 8 ¹University Hospitals Leuven, Leuven, Belgium; ²UniversitätsSpital Zürich, Zurich, Switzerland; ³Clinic du
- 9 Millenaire, Montpellier, France; ⁴University Hospital Brussels, Brussels, Belgium; ⁵Maria Cecilia Hospital,
- 10 Cotignola, Italy; ⁶Odense University Hospital, Odense Denmark; ⁷Northampton General Hospital NHS
- 11 Trust, Cliftonville, United Kingdom; ⁸Robert-Bosch-Krankenhaus Stuttgart, Stuttgart, Germany; ⁹Sant Pau
- 12 Hospital, Barcelona, Spain; ¹⁰Saint Vinzenz Hospital, Cologne, Germany; ¹¹Inselspital University of Bern,
- 13 Bern, Switzerland
- 14
- 15 Address for Correspondence:
- 16 Prof. Christophe Garweg, Department of Cardiovascular Sciences, University Hospitals Leuven,
- 17 Herestraat 49, 3000 Leuven, Belgium. E-mail address: <u>christophe.garweg@uzleuven.be</u>

18

source: https://doi.org/10.48350/193993 | downloaded: 10.5.2024

Conflicts of interest: CG receives consultant and/or speaker fees and research grants from Abbot, 19 20 Biotronik and Medtronic. AB has received consultant and/or speaker fees from Abbott, Bayer 21 Healthcare, Biosense Webster, Biotronik, Boston Scientific, Bristol-Myers Squibb, Cook Medical, Daiichi 22 Sankyo, Medtronic, Pfizer, and Spectranetics/Philips. NC receives consulting fees from Medtronic. CdA. received research grants from Biotronik, Medtronic, Abbott, LivaNova, Boston Scientific, AtriCure, 23 Philips, and Acutus; and received compensation for teaching purposes and proctoring from Medtronic, 24 25 Abbott, Biotronik, Livanova, Boston Scientific, Atricure, Acutus Medical, and Daiichi Sankyo. JBJ receives 26 consulting fees from Medtronic. TR receives research grants from the Swiss National Science 27 Foundation, the Swiss Heart Foundation, and the Sitem Insel support fund. Speaker/consulting 28 honoraria or travel support from Abbott/SJM, Bayer, Biosense Webster, Biotronik, Boston Scientific, 29 Daiichi Sankyo, Farapulse, Medtronic, and Pfizer-BMS. Support for the institution's fellowship 30 programme from Abbott/SJM, Biosense Webster, Biotronik, Boston Scientific and Medtronic. All 31 remaining authors have declared no conflicts of interest. 32

33 Abstract

34 The second generation of transcatheter pacing systems, called Micra AV, can provide atrio-ventricular

35 (AV) synchronous pacing via a new pacing algorithm relying on sensing mechanical atrial contraction.

© The Author(s) 2024. Published by Oxford University Press on behalf of the European Society of Cardiology. This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/), which permits unrestricted reuse, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Several novel programming parameters were introduced to enable AV synchronous pacing, including an
A3 and A4 window as well as a conduction and an activity mode switch. In addition to several
automated features, manual programming optimization of some of the novel parameters is key to
improving AV synchrony. A solid knowledge of the features and their programming is essential for
electrophysiologists implanting or following patients with Micra AV devices. Differences in programming
optimization might partially explain the high variability of AV synchrony published in real-world data
reports.

8 This article reviews the key programming parameters of Micra AV. Subsequently, optimal 9 programming recommendations for defined patient profiles are presented. Those were established by 10 consensus within an Experts Panel comprised of 11 European electrophysiologists from high-volume 11 Micra AV centers. The patient profiles were 1) high degree AV block and slow sinus rhythm; 2) high degree AV block and fast sinus rhythm; and 3) intermittent AV block. The panel recommended to 12 13 evaluate the mechanical atrial activity on transthoracic echocardiography prior to implant. It was also 14 agreed that Auto A3 Threshold and Tracking Check should be turned off in all patients, AV Conduction Mode Switch should be turned off in all patients with high degree AV block, and the lower rate should 15 be programmed to 50 bpm with exceptions based on individual clinical assessment. Future studies will 16 be useful to evaluate the strength of those recommendations to improve the AV synchrony. 17 18 Keywords: leadless pacemaker, AV synchrony, VDD pacemaker, patient selection 19 Introduction 20 21 Since their clinical introduction in 2013, leadless pacemakers have had a growing place in the treatment 22 of bradyarrhythmia requiring the implantation of a pacemaker. The first generation of leadless

- transcatheter pacing systems (Micra[™] VR, Medtronic Inc., MN, US) has demonstrated strong safety and
- 24 efficacy performance reducing the risk of major complications by up to 63% at one year follow-up in
- 25 comparison with a historical group of patients and with a 31% reduction of complications at 2-years

1	follow-up in the Micra Coverage with Evidence Development (CED) Medicare claims study ^{1,2} . However,
2	Micra VR delivers only ventricular pacing and sensing (VVIR mode), limiting its use to patients with
3	permanent atrial fibrillation and bradycardia or to patients having a precluding condition for the use of
4	transvenous pacing systems.
5	To benefit from the recognized advantages of AV synchrony, a second generation of leadless
6	pacemakers, the Micra AV (MC1AVR1, Medtronic Inc., MN, US), was developed to enable an atrial
7	mechanical sensing VDD pacing mode while still using a single device implanted in the right ventricle.
8	The MARVEL 2 study (Micra Atrial tRacking using a Ventricular accELerometer) reported an 89.2% mean
9	rate of AV synchrony at rest in patients with high degree AV block and normal sinus rhythm ³ .
10	Subsequent publications reflective of the real-world experience with Micra AV reported ambulatory AV
11	synchrony rates ranging from 33% to 91%, with variation noted based upon heart rate, pacing
12	indication, and percentage of ventricular pacing ⁴⁻⁸ . Notably, a sub-analysis of the AccelAV study,
13	AccelAV-Optimize demonstrated the efficacy of prescribed programming changes on ambulatory AV
14	synchrony, with an average AV synchrony gain of 10% from 71.9% to 82.6% ⁶ . Routinely performed
15	programming optimization of several novel parameters (particularly concerning the A3 and A4 windows)
16	appears to be an important key to improving AV synchrony.
17	Recent international guidelines and EHRA position papers have clarified the current clinical

- indications of leadless pacing systems, but at present there is no general consensus on the optimal
 programming strategy of Micra AV devices^{9, 10}. The aim of this project is to propose an expert consensus
 statement, based on available literature, experts' opinions and experiences on how to adequately
 program the Micra AV leadless pacemaker.

1 Methods

2 Panel composition and panel meetings

- 3 The 11 members of the panel represented implanters of leadless pacing systems from high-volume
- 4 centers (37±17 Micra AV procedures/year) in Europe contributing to the prospective Micra AV Post-
- 5 Approval Registry (PSR). The selection criteria were: affinity and knowledge of the Micra AV
- 6 transcatheter pacing system, experience with Micra AV (at least 20 previous implant procedures), the
- 7 willingness to invest time to participate in the project (approximately 2-4 hours of preparation), and 4
- 8 online face-to-face panel meetings.
- 9 The experts shared their opinion about the optimal programming strategy to improve AV synchrony in
- 10 three different typical patient profiles: (1) patients with slow sinus rhythm and high degree AV block, (2)
- 11 patients with fast sinus rhythm and high degree AV block, and (3) patients with intermittent AV block.
- 12 Programming strategies discussed were applicable to the Micra AV device (Model MC1AVR1).
- 13 To reach a consensus, the following steps were followed:
- An on-line survey was completed by the Panel to evaluate their current programming strategy,
 based on PSR data.
- After a face-to-face meeting to discuss the survey results, a second survey round was completed
 to reach consensus on the optimal programming strategy. Agreement was considered reached
- 18 when >75% of responders agreed.
- A final survey round after one year was performed to collect the physicians' feedback on the
 feasibility of the optimized programming strategy and additional recommendations after one
 year of experience.
- 22 Although the project was funded by Medtronic, the company did not influence the proposed
- 23 programming strategy by the panel experts.

1	Micra AV: Accelerometer	signals and	l specific	'pacing'	windows
---	-------------------------	-------------	------------	----------	---------

2	The Micra AV device accelerometer measures mechanical activity during the cardiac cycle and uses this
3	information to deliver AV synchronous ventricular pacing. A single cycle's activity can be characterized
4	into four signals (A1-A4), the latter two of which are measured by the device to enable AV synchronous
5	ventricular pacing (Figure 1A).
6	- A1 signal: occurs at the beginning of ventricular systole and represents the closing of the mitral
7	and tricuspid valves.
8	- A2 signal: occurs at the completion of ventricular systole and represents the closing of the aortic
9	and pulmonary valves.
10	- A3 signal: occurs during ventricular diastole, corresponds in timing to the E-wave of the mitral
11	inflow on a Doppler echocardiogram, and represents the passive filling of blood from the atrium
12	into the ventricle.
13	- A4 signal: occurs when the atrium contracts and pushes blood into the ventricle and
14	corresponds in timing to the A-wave of the mitral inflow on a Doppler echocardiogram.
15	Overview of the novel Micra AV markers and programming parameters
16	The novel Micra AV markers and programmable parameters are summarized in Figure 1B. Specifically,
17	in addition to the VP (ventricular pace) or VS (ventricular sense) marker on the device's
18	electrocardiogram, the device provides a VE and AM marker. The VE marker stands for "Ventricular
19	End", and it indicates the end of the ventricular events (end of A1-A3 signals) and is determined by the
20	end of the A3 window. The AM marker indicates the device detected an atrial mechanical contraction
21	(A4) on the accelerometer. AM detection sensitivity is determined by the post-ventricular atrial blanking
22	(PVAB), post-ventricular atrial refractory period (PVARP), A3 threshold, A3 detection window, and A4
23	threshold which are all programmable, as described in Table 1.

1

Expert panel recommendations for the management of patients with Micra AV devices

2

Ι.

General recommendations before and at the implant procedure (Figure 2).

3 As the Micra AV algorithm relies on the mechanical atrial signal detection, a systematic approach starting at the implant procedure is imperative. Regarding patient selection, although the primary 4 5 decision to implant a Micra AV device is based on a number of trade-offs with respect to patient risks 6 and preference, it is recommended to perform a pre-implant echocardiogram with a particular focus on diastolic function and atrial function to identify patients who are most likely to achieve high levels of AV 7 synchrony from a Micra AV implantation (Figure 2)¹¹. In the presence of impaired atrial function (E/A 8 >1.5), the expected AV synchrony will be lower and it is recommended to evaluate the need for higher 9 degrees of AV synchrony vs the benefits provided by a single-device leadless pacemaker in each case. 10 The implant procedure itself is the same as for the Micra VR and has been previously 11 described^{12, 13}. At the end of the implant procedure, the automatic Micra AV auto-setup ("Atrial Sensing 12 13 Setup") should always be performed. Finally, before discharge, basic adjustments based on results of the Manual Atrial Mechanical (MAM) test, patients' activity and profile (see below) should also be 14 performed. 15

16 II. General recommendations for patient follow-up (Figure 2 and 3A, B).

A systematic approach for each follow-up is recommended. A first follow-up visit is recommended 15 to
50 days post implant procedure to adjust the pacing parameters. Further patient follow-up should be
performed as recommended by the ESC guidelines⁹. Following the clinical assessment, different steps
should be performed:

21

1. Continued acquisition of noiseless electrocardiogram lead for identification of the P wave.

1	2.	Review of the device data on the percentage of the following sequences: AM-VP, (AM-VS, VS
2		only, VP only, AV conduction mode switch (period with intrinsic ventricular rhythm >40 bpm),
3		and activity mode switch (time in VDIR mode) since the last interrogation session.
4	3.	Analysis of the rate histogram and the %AM-VP and other counter values in AV histogram
5		should be assessed across different heart rate bins. A physiological histogram generally
6		indicates appropriate device function. A peak in the AV histogram with high AM-VP% (typically
7		at the programmed A3 end interval) is usually indicative of atrial (A3) oversensing ⁷ .
8	4.	Acquisition of a MAM test. This test permits evaluation of AV synchrony, sensing issues in
9		office, and gives the opportunity to measure manually the A3 and A4 amplitude. Performing
10		the MAM test in VDI mode will aid in resolving oversensing issues while performing the test in
11		VDD mode will help diagnose A4 undersensing. The A4 signal sometimes can be biphasic
12		(initial low amplitude followed by high amplitude) requiring extension of the AM-VP delay
13		from 20 ms up to 50 ms.
14	5.	Exercise testing during routine follow-up is not necessary but is recommended when a patient
15		presents with symptoms during exercise.
16	<i>III.</i>	Specific recommendations on programming parameters related to A3 and A4 signal: Auto
17		Accelerometer Vector, A3 Threshold, Auto A3 Window End, and Auto A4 Threshold (Table
18		1, Figure 1, 3A, 4 and 5)
19	Micr	a AV employs multiple accelerometer vectors and different accelerometer vector combinations
20	can t	be chosen to increase the A4 amplitude. Micra AV employs a dual thresholding scheme. The A4
21	three	shold is programmed to detect the A4 signal in isolation when the heart rate is slow and
22	acce	lerometer signals due to passive filling (A3) and active filling (A4) are separated in time. The A3
23	thre	shold should be programmed higher than the isolated A3 signal, but low enough to detect the
24	acce	lerometer signal at faster rates when the A3 and A4 signals fuse into a combined A7 signal.

1	1.	Accelerometer Vector. The autosetup algorithm generally chooses the 2 accelerometer vector
2		combination with the highest A4 amplitude. If accelerometer signals are small in a patient, the
3		quickest and simplest solution is to utilize all 3 vectors (1+2+3). This utilizes all vectors to
4		maximize the A4 amplitude with only about a 2-3 month decrease in device longevity.
5	2.	Auto A3 Threshold (Figure 4). If Auto A3 Threshold is enabled, the device automatically
6		adjusts the height of the A3 threshold on the basis of the automatic A3 and A4 measurements
7		(Supplementary Figure 1). However, during prolonged periods of high sinus rates >85 bpm,
8		the A3 threshold increments higher than the A3 signal, causing undersensing of the A7 signal.
9		If Auto A3 Threshold is disabled, the A3 Threshold operates at the fixed programmable value.
10		To maintain AV synchrony at higher sinus rates, the recommendation of the Expert Panel is
11		therefore to disable A3 threshold in most patients and adjust the A3 threshold based on
12		measured A3/A4 signal amplitudes. The experts recommend setting the A3 threshold to a
13		fixed value 1.0-1.5 m/s ² higher than the measured A3 amplitude.

3. Auto A3 Window End (Figure 5). The A3 Window End defines the boundary between the A3 14 and A4 threshold. The A3 Window End is programmable over a wide range (650 to 1000 ms). 15 The A3 Window End should be programmed at the end of the accelerometer A3 signal. The A3 16 17 Window End can be manually programmed by viewing an isolated A3 signal and programming the A3 Window End slightly longer than the A3 signal. The 'auto A3 Window End' includes an 18 19 automatic adjustment feature that will increase the A3 Window End when the sinus rate 20 slows or A3 signal occurs later and will decrease the A3 Window End when the sinus rate 21 increases or the A3 signal occurs earlier. If Auto A3 Window End is programmed to Off, the A3 22 Window End operates at the fixed programmable value. According to the Expert Panel, this 23 feature should be enabled and a range of 700-800 ms is a good starting point for most 24 patients. The range of the window (A3 window End Min/Max) can be generally set to -50/+50

Downloaded from https://academic.oup.com/europace/advance-article/doi/10.1093/europace/euae060/7623632 by Universitaetsbibliothek Bern user on 11 March 2024

1 ms of A3 signal end. If the patient has a relatively fast heart rate (>85 beats/min), -25/75 ms 2 should be considered. For patients with a heart rate slower than 60 beats/min, values of -3 75/+25 ms should be considered. In general, a short A3 End (< 650 ms) should be avoided since oversensing can occur when heart rates slow. There is still some debate in the literature 4 5 about optimal programming of this feature in patients with relatively small A3 signals. In the 6 AccelAV study, Minimum and Maximum A3 Window End mean values were 700 and 800 ms, respectively, independent of the A3/A4 signals measurements⁶. In patients with small A3 7 signals, a recent study by Briongos-Figuero et al. has shown good AV synchrony with shorter 8 A3 Window End values, closer to 650 ms⁵. This strategy can work well in the presence of high 9 10 A4 signals where the auto A4 threshold increases the A4 threshold well above the A3 signal. However, the Experts estimate that there is a potential for oversensing the A3 signal when a 11 short A3 Window End is used with a fixed and low A4 threshold. 12 13 4. Auto A4 Threshold. This feature automatically adjusts the height of the A4 threshold to detect 14 the A4 signal with an adequate safety margin. If Auto A4 Threshold is enabled, the device will

change the A4 threshold based on the signal in the A4 window and the number of A4
detections in recent history. If Auto A4 Threshold is disabled, the A4 Threshold operates at
the fixed programmable value. If the A4 Threshold value is set too high, the device may
undersense the atrial contraction, thus resulting in a loss of AV synchrony. The Expert Panel
recommends enabling the auto A4 threshold to all allow the A4 threshold to change as the A4
amplitude changes. However, a fixed A4 threshold could be programmed for patients with
low or variable A4 amplitude (less than 1.0 m/s2).

22

IV. Specific recommendations on pacing parameters and modes switch (Table 1)

AV Conduction Mode Switch. Micra AV mode switches to VVI 40 (called VVI + mode) during
 periods of intact AV conduction to promote intrinsic rhythm in patients with episodic AV

block. The Expert Panel suggests leaving this feature OFF for patients with permanent AV
 Block and to turn it ON in patients with intermittent block. It is also important to keep in
 mind that while the VVI+ mode is activated, the device remains in VVI mode in the presence
 of an intrinsic ventricular rhythm >40 bpm regardless of the AV synchrony.

- Activity Mode Switch. This feature, aiming to provide rate support during activity, switches
 to VVIR pacing if the sensor rate is at the Activities of Daily Living Rate and is 20 bpm higher
 than the VDD rate. The Expert Panel suggests enabling it since it can provide rate support
 during patient activities that are not tracked by the device. It should be disabled if the patient
 has intrinsic conduction and normal sinus function most of the time.
- 3. Tracking Check (Figure 6). The Tracking Check feature was designed to guard against 10 oversensing in the A3 window and to confirm the Micra AV is appropriately tracking the sinus 11 rhythm when the device is tracking at or above the Tracking Check Rate (nominally 100 bpm) 12 but manually programmable at lower rate as 85 bpm). The feature operates by extending 13 14 PVARP for 1 cycle, causing the next cycle to fall within the refractory period. The device 15 estimates the location of the next AM detection and tracking is confirmed if the subsequent AM sense occurs at the expected time. If Tracking Check does not confirm appropriate 16 tracking, PVARP will remain extended, limiting the high tracking rate. The tracking check 17 feature is nominally ON in the Micra AV device, but during the AccelAV study the specificity 18 19 of the tracking check was not 100% due to variability in A4 detection timing⁶. Therefore, the 20 tracking check function intermittently failed even when the device was tracking 21 appropriately. Conversely, oversensing in the A3 window was not observed during the study, 22 calling into question the need for the feature and leading to the recommendation by the 23 Expert Panel to turn the feature OFF.

1	4.	Post-Ventricular Atrial Blanking (PVAB). This feature aims to blank the A2 signal. The Expert
2		Panel suggests leaving the nominal value of 550 ms which works for most patients. Some
3		patients have later A2 signals at low sinus rates. If AV synchronous tracking is desired above
4		105 bpm, the PVAB will need to be programmed less than 550 ms. However, this can be
5		challenging in the event of large and late A2 signals. Therefore, the amplitude and timing
6		should be assessed with a MAM test to ensure that the A2 is not falling in PVAB. If A2 will not
7		be detected in the A3 window, a shorter value could be programmed in patients to achieve a
8		higher upper tracking rate (Supplementary Figure 2).
9	5.	Auto PVARP (Post-Ventricular Atrial Refractory Period). This feature adjusts PVARP lower
10		than 600 ms as rate decreases and works in conjunction with PVAB to guard against
11		oversensing of the A2 signal. Accelerometer signals that are detected in the A3 window, but
12		occur within PVARP will generate an AR marker, but not be tracked by the device. The
13		nominal max PVARP of 600 ms is acceptable for most patients and guards against A2
14		oversensing if the A2 signal occurs later at slow rates. Like the PVAB parameter, the max
15		PVARP can be shortened if A2 signals are early or small.
16	6.	Sensed AV (AM-VP) Interval: The nominal AM-VP is 20 ms and should remain at the nominal
17		setting for most patients. The A4 signal measurement occurs during the A4 window. In some
18		patients, the full peak of the A4 signal is not measured in the 20 ms interval between the A4
19		detect (AM) and the VP. This will lead to the algorithm measuring a lower A4 measurement
20		and possible result in the auto A4 threshold not increasing appropriately. This can often be
21		solved by slightly increasing the AM-VP from 20 to 40 ms, to include the full A4 signal in the
22		A4 (AM-VP) window. This 20 ms lengthening of the AM-VP will only slightly reduce the upper
23		tracking rate. Also, the AM-VP interval can be programmed to reduce ventricular pacing in
~ 4		

1	of these patients. Programming an AM-VP of greater than 100 ms further reduces the
2	effective upper tracking rate and could allow for long AV intervals to occur, so we believe this
3	trade-off should be made with caution.
4	7. <i>Rate Smoothing.</i> This feature aims to improve AV synchrony during intermittent A4
5	undersensing. The Expert Panel suggests programming to 50 ms (nominal value is 100 ms) in
6	patients with elevated sinus rates. Rate smoothing can be programmed longer in patients
7	with higher sinus variability.
8	The programming strategies provided by the expert panel are specific to Micra AV model MC1AVR1. An
9	updated version of the device Micra AV2 (MC2AVR1) ¹⁴ received FDA approval in April 2023 and CE Mark
10	in January 2024; however, Micra AV2 was not discussed by the European Experts Panel. In the Micra
11	AV2 device, an Auto PVAB features allows for a dynamic PVAB that makes it possible to have a higher
12	programmable Upper Tracking Rate of 135 bpm. Additionally, Micra AV2 has a new Auto+ A3 Threshold
13	feature that more accurately adjusts the A3 Threshold, so the device setup no longer includes setting a
14	fixed A3 Threshold after Auto Setup completes.
15	Conclusions
16	Specific programming recommendations for patients with slow sinus rhythm and high degree AV block,
17	fast sinus rhythm and high degree AV block, and intermittent AV block have been developed by a
18	European Expert Panel and are presented. Future studies are recommended to evaluate its strength to

- 19 improve AV synchrony.
- 20
- 21 Funding
- 22 This project was funded by Medtronic, Inc.
- 23
- 24

1 Acknowledgements

- 2 The authors thank Cece Anders, Mikayle Holm, Michelle Galarneau, Keelia Escalante, Kurt Stromberg,
- 3 and Todd Sheldon (all of Medtronic) for technical support. The authors additionally thank Nicoletta
- 4 Grovale, Luca Bartolini, and Dedra Fagan (all of Medtronic) for editorial support.
- 5 Data Availability Statement
- 6 There were no data collected for the purposes of analysis in the course of this project.
- 7

8 References:

- 9 [1] El-Chami MF, Al-Samadi F, Clementy N, Garweg C, Martinez-Sande JL, Piccini JP, et al. Updated
- 10 performance of the Micra transcatheter pacemaker in the real-world setting: A comparison to the
- 11 investigational study and a transvenous historical control. *Heart Rhythm* 2018.
- 12 [2] El-Chami MF, Bockstedt L, Longacre C, Higuera L, Stromberg K, Crossley G, et al. Leadless vs.
- 13 transvenous single-chamber ventricular pacing in the Micra CED study: 2-year follow-up. Eur Heart J
- 14 2022; **43**: 1207-1215.
- Steinwender C, Khelae SK, Garweg C, Sun Chan JY, Ritter P, Johansen JB, et al. Atrioventricular
 synchronous pacing using a leadless ventricular pacemaker: Results from the MARVEL 2 study. *JACC Clin Electrophysiol* 2019.
- 18 [4] Arps K, Piccini JP, Yapejian R, Leguire R, Smith B, Al-Khatib SM, et al. Optimizing mechanically
- sensed atrial tracking in patients with atrioventricular-synchronous leadless pacemakers: A single-center
 experience. *Heart Rhythm O2* 2021; **2**: 455-462.
- 21 [5] Briongos-Figuero S, Estevez-Paniagua A, Sanchez Hernandez A, Jimenez S, Gomez-Mariscal E,
- 22 Ábad Motos A, et al. Optimizing atrial sensing parameters in leadless pacemakers: Atrioventricular
- 23 synchrony achievement in the real world. *Heart Rhythm* 2022; **19**: 2011-2018.

1	[6]	Chinitz LA, El-Chami MF, Sagi V, Garcia H, Hackett FK, Leal M, et al. Ambulatory atrioventricular
2	synch	ronous pacing over time using a leadless ventricular pacemaker: Primary results from the AccelAV
3	study.	. Heart Rhythm 2023; 20 : 46-54.
4	[7]	Garweg C, Piccini JP, Epstein LM, Frazier-Mills C, Chinitz LA, Steinwender C, et al. Correlation
5	betwe	een AV synchrony and device collected AM-VP sequence counter in atrioventricular synchronous
6	leadle	ss pacemakers: A real-world assessment. <i>J Cardiovasc Electrophysiol</i> 2023; 34 : 197-206.
7	[8]	Neugebauer F, Noti F, van Gool S, Roten L, Baldinger SH, Seiler J, et al. Leadless atrioventricular
8	synch	ronous pacing in an outpatient setting: Early lessons learned on factors affecting atrioventricular
9	synch	rony. <i>Heart Rhythm</i> 2022; 19 : 748-756.
10	[9]	Glikson M, Nielsen JC, Kronborg MB, Michowitz Y, Auricchio A, Barbash IM, et al. 2021 ESC
11	Guide	lines on cardiac pacing and cardiac resynchronization therapy. <i>Eur Heart J</i> 2021; 42 : 3427-3520.
12	[10]	Boersma LV, El-Chami M, Steinwender C, Lambiase P, Murgatroyd F, Mela T, et al. Practical
13	consid	lerations, indications, and future perspectives for leadless and extravascular cardiac implantable
14	electr	onic devices: a position paper by EHRA/HRS/LAHRS/APHRS. <i>Europace</i> 2022; 24 : 1691-1708.
15	[11]	Garweg C, Khelae SK, Steinwender C, Chan JYS, Ritter P, Johansen JB, et al. Predictors of atrial
16	mecha	anical sensing and atrioventricular synchrony with a leadless ventricular pacemaker: Results from
17	the M	ARVEL 2 Study. <i>Heart Rhythm</i> 2020; 17 : 2037-2045.
18	[12]	Ritter P, Duray GZ, Steinwender C, Soejima K, Omar R, Mont L, et al. Early performance of a
19	miniat	curized leadless cardiac pacemaker: the Micra Transcatheter Pacing Study. <i>Eur Heart J</i> 2015; 36 :
20	2510-2	2519.
21	[13]	Reynolds D, Duray GZ, Omar R, Soejima K, Neuzil P, Zhang S, et al. A Leadless Intracardiac
22	Transo	catheter Pacing System. N Engl J Med 2016; 374 : 533-541.

- 23 [14] Medtronic, Inc. Micra AV2 MC2AVR1 Implant Manual. 2023: M019277C010001 REV. E.
- 24

1 Table 1: Programming Recommendations by Patient Profile

	Patient Profile			
Programmable Parameter	Slow Sinus Rhythm and High Degree AV Block	Fast Sinus Rhythm and High Degree AV Block	Intermittent AV Block	
Auto A3 Window End	ON A3 Window End range of 700-800 ms as a good starting point. Set A3 Window End Min/Max to -50/+50 ms of A3 signal end. If rate = fast, consider -25/75 ms; if rate is slow, consider -75,+25 ms			
Auto A3 Threshold	OFF Adjusts A3 Threshold based on A3/A4 signal amplitudes, adjusts too high in presence of prolonged periods of high sinus rates in the A3 window. Program the A3 Threshold to a fixed value 1.0-1.5 m/s2 greater than an isolated A3 signal.			
Auto A4 Threshold	ON Adjusts A4 Threshold as A4 signal changes. Only program fixed and low (less than 1.0 m/s2), when sure A4 amplitude is low (less than 1.2 m/s2) or variable.			
PVAB/Upper Tracking	Initial of store with some field of the initial of			
Auto PVARP	The nominal max PVARP of 600 ms works for most patients. This parameter guards against A2 oversensing if A2 signal occurs later at slow rate			
Rate Smoothing	Nominal = 100 ms It can be programmed Consider programming to 50 ms in patients with			
AV Conduction Mode Switch	Patient has AVB, no need to	Program ON unless patient has idioventricular rhythm or 2:1 AVB with ventricular rates > 40 bpm.		
Activity Mode Switch	O It can provide rate support of are not tracked by the devic	Consider programming OFF, if patient has intrinsic conduction and normal sinus function most of the time		
Tracking Check	OFF It may disrupt tracking at high sinus rates.			
	50 bpm works for most patients. Measure sinus rate at rest.			
	If sinus rate <60 bpm, consider programming	Sinus rate >60 and <100 bpm	If sinus rate > 60 bpm	
Lower Rate Programming	lower rate to 45 or 40 bpm	AND if sinus rate is not anticipated to drop below 6 bpm at night a lower rate of 60 bpm can be programmed.		

Downloaded from https://academic.oup.com/europace/advance-article/doi/10.1093/europace/euae060/7623632 by Universitaetsbibliothek Bern user on 11 March 2024

- 1 Figure 1. A. The 4 different accelerometer signals. B. Specific Micra AV parameters and
- 2 adapted programming parameters.
- 3 Figure 2. General recommendation for Micra AV patients' management.
- 4 Figure 3A. Micra AV general programming recommendations
- 5 Figure 3B. Micra AV specific programming recommendation for different patients' profiles
- 6 **Figure 4. Auto A3 Threshold.** The auto A3 threshold adjusts its maximal value on the maximal A3
- 7 amplitude sensed across the latest 24 hours. (A) At lower rates, separate A3 and A4 signals can be well-
- 8 identified. (B) At higher rates (typically >85/min), the A3 and A4 signals summate creating an A7 signal.
- 9 (C) Example of the undersensing that can occur when the Auto A3 Threshold adjusts the A3 threshold
- 10 too high.
- 11 Figure 5. Auto A3 window End. A Manual Atrial Mechanical test shows here an A3 window end that
- 12 is set too short. This can result in oversensing the A3 signal in the A4 window.
- 13 Figure 6. Illustration of the activated 'tracking check' feature at fast sinus rhythm. To guard
- 14 against oversensing in the A3 window, tracking check extends PVARP for 1 cycle, causing the next cycle
- 15 to fall within the refractory period (arrow).















