The unknown third – Hydrogen isotopes in tree-ring cellulose across Europe
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HIGHLIGHTS

• The climate information in hydrogen isotope ratios of tree rings (δ²H) is uncertain.
• We present the first European-wide century-long study of δ²H.
• δ²H is a weaker climate proxy compared to δ¹³C and δ¹⁸O.
• The climate δ²H signal is stronger for Pinus than for Quercus.
• δ²H records a mixture of hydrological, climatic, and physiological signals.

ABSTRACT

This is the first Europe-wide comprehensive assessment of the climatological and physiological information recorded by hydrogen isotope ratios in tree-ring cellulose (δ²H) based on a unique collection of annually resolved 100-year tree-ring records of two genera (Pinus and Quercus) from 17 sites (36°N to 68°N). We observed that the high-frequency climate signals in the δ²H chronologies were weaker than those recorded in carbon (δ¹³C) and oxygen isotope signals (δ¹⁸O), but similar to the tree-ring width ones (TRW). The δ²H climate signal strength varied across the continent and was stronger and more consistent for Pinus than for Quercus. For both genera, years with extremely dry summer conditions caused a significant δ²H-enrichment in tree-ring cellulose.
The $\delta^2$H inter-annual variability was strongly site-specific, as a result of the imprinting of climate and hydrology, but also physiological mechanisms and tree growth. To differentiate between environmental and physiological signals in $\delta^2$H, we investigated its relationships with $\delta^{18}$O and TRW. We found significant negative relationships between $\delta^2$H and TRW (7 sites), and positive ones between $\delta^2$H and $\delta^{18}$O (10 sites). The strength of these relationships was nonlinearly related to temperature and precipitation. Mechanistic $\delta^2$H models performed well for both genera at continental scale simulating average values, but they failed on capturing year-to-year $\delta^2$H variations. Our results suggest that the information recorded by $\delta^2$H is significantly different from that of $\delta^{18}$O, and has a stronger physiological component independent from climate, possibly related to the use of carbohydrate reserves for growth. Advancements in the understanding of $^2$H-fractionations and their relationships with climate, physiology, and species-specific traits are needed to improve the modelling and interpretation accuracy of $\delta^2$H. Such advancements could lead to new insights into trees' carbon allocation mechanisms, and responses to abiotic and biotic stress conditions.

1. Introduction

Tree-ring cellulosechronologies of stable carbon ($^{13}$C) and oxygen ($^{18}$O) isotopes, together with tree-ring width (TRW), have been used extensively to investigate the effects of past climatic conditions on tree growth (e.g. Andreu-Hayles et al., 2017; Barbour et al., 2002; Loader et al., 2007; Loader et al., 2020; Saurer et al., 1995; Saurer et al., 1997a; Shustakova and Martínez-Sancho, 2021) and physiological performance (e.g. Andreu-Hayles et al., 2011; Frank et al., 2015; Guerrieri et al., 2019; Klesse et al., 2018; Levesque et al., 2019; Martínez-Sancho et al., 2018). In particular, $\delta^2$Hc and $\delta^{18}$Oc have been recognized as strong continental-scale climate proxies for long-term trends in the ISONET network (Loader et al., 2013; Saurer et al., 2014; Shustakova et al., 2019; Treydte et al., 2007), as well as in the high-frequency in other European-scale studies (Vitali et al., 2021). In contrast, the environmental, climatic, and physiological information recorded by the third component of tree-ring cellulose ($C_6H_{10}O_5$), the non-exchangeable carbon-bound hydrogen ($\delta^2$H), has been investigated far less. Some studies on $\delta^2$Hc and its relationship with climate have been conducted for single sites (Etien et al., 2009; Hafner et al., 2011; Haupt et al., 2011; Hilasvuori and Berninger, 2010; Lipp et al., 1991; Loader et al., 2008; Szczepanek et al., 2006), while continental-scale assessments of $\delta^2$H are few (Nakatsuka et al., 2020a; Voelker et al., 2014) and still lacking in Europe. The usability and interpretation of $\delta^2$Hc chronologies has improved recently as a result of methodological developments that have increased sample processing power (Pilot et al., 2006; Saurer et al., 2009), thus advancing knowledge on how $^2$H-fractionation processes relate to physiology and biochemical pathways (Cormier et al., 2018; Sanchez-Bragado et al., 2019) and expanding $\delta^2$H analysis to various plant compounds (e.g. lipids and lignin: (Anhäuser et al., 2020; Gori et al., 2013; Bragado et al., 2019) and expanding physiology and biochemical pathways (Cormier et al., 2018; Sanchez-Bragado et al., 2019). A recent study suggested that $\delta^2$Hc record the use of old carbohydrate reserves versus fresh photosynthates for wood formation (Lehmann et al., 2021b). These findings indicate to the potential of $\delta^2$Hc chronologies as physiological proxies for carbon allocation processes that are not captured by other tree-ring parameters.

To make full use of the information captured in $\delta^2$Hc records, a better understanding of the $^2$H-fractionation pathways, from the uptake of $H_2O$ from the soil and $CO_2$ from the atmosphere to cellulose formation, and the influence of environmental conditions and tree internal processes is needed. The hydrogen isotopic composition of environmental water (e.g. precipitation, soil water, and atmospheric water vapour) is closely linked to and reflects the primary factors affecting $\delta^2$H variation (Craig, 1961; Joussauyme and Jouzel, 1993). Soil can contain water that reflects isotope ratios from several previous precipitation events, resulting in soil water isotope ratios potentially deviating considerably from annual precipitation (Allen et al., 2019). At the soil–tree interface, it is often claimed that no isotope fractionation occurs during root water uptake and transport (White et al., 1985), although an unexpected $^2$H-fractionation effect was recently observed at the root level (Barbetta et al., 2020). At the leaf level, strong isotopic fractionation is induced by leaf and twig evapotranspiration (Cernusak et al., 2016; Treydte et al., 2014), and by the mixing with atmospheric water vapour (Lehmann et al., 2018), which leads to an enrichment of leaf water compared with source water (Cernusak et al., 2016; Dongmann et al., 1974). Given that oxygen isotopes share the same hydrological pathways as $\delta^2$Hc, a strong connection between the two isotopes would be expected (Brooks et al., 2010; Dansgaard, 1964; Edwards and Fritz, 1986), as shown in wet regions where the two isotopes show strong positive correlations (An et al., 2014). However, biochemical processes appear to shape oxygen and hydrogen isotope ratios differently under distinct climatic conditions, and $^2$H-fractionations before and during cellulose synthesis are more variable than $^{18}$O-fractionations (Luo and Stemberg, 1992; Yakir and DeNiro, 1990). Photosynthetic isotope fractionation induces a relatively constant $^{18}$O-enrichment of sugars compared with leaf water (Lehmann et al., 2021b). On the contrary, there is a not yet fully quantified $^2$H-depletion in plant sugars compared with leaf water (Dunbar and Schmidt, 1984), which varies with environmental conditions and species (Lehmann et al., 2021a). In particular, for mature trees, post-photosynthetic isotope fractionations during the transport of sugars to sink tissues have been partly quantified for $^{18}$O (Gessler et al., 2014; Treydte et al., 2014), but are still not fully resolved for $\delta^2$Hc. Recent literature suggests that the use of carbon reserves causes an additional $^2$H-enrichment in leaf and tree-ring cellulose (Cormier et al., 2018; Kimak et al., 2015; Lehmann et al., 2021b). It remains uncertain to what extent $\delta^2$Hc acts as a hydrological and climatic indicator, as observed for $\delta^{18}$Oc (Treydte et al., 2007), and whether $\delta^2$Hc stores information on plant metabolism, physiology, and carbon allocation (Cormier et al., 2018; Lehmann et al., 2021b; Sanchez-Bragado et al., 2019).

Mechanistic models are an important tool for interpreting of tree-ring isotope data. They have been developed and applied for the simulation of $\delta^{18}$Oc records in relation to hydrological (Sargeant et al., 2019), climatological signals (Saurer et al., 2016), as well as for $\delta^{13}$C simulations that provided physiological information (Guerrieri et al., 2019; Lavergne et al., 2020), while it...
has been seldomly applied for the modelling of $\delta^{2}H$ in tree rings (Nabeshima et al., 2018; Nakatsuka et al., 2020a, 2020b; Voelker et al., 2014). Specifically, the model of Roden and Ehleringer (2000); hereafter RE-model, is currently the applied model used to estimate $\delta^{2}H$ in tree rings by taking into account the isotopic variation from hydrological sources (i.e. source water, water vapour, leaf water), as well as photosynthetic and post-photosynthetic isotope fractionations (autotrophic and heterotrophic), before and during stem cellulose biosynthesis (Roden and Ehleringer, 2000). Some of the RE-model parameters, which were previously considered as constants, have been found to have temporal variability, as for example in the isotope exchange rates between xylem and leaf water during cellulose formation ($f$), which exhibited variations among species and tissues (Cermusak et al., 2005; Song et al., 2014), and in the dependence on environmental conditions (Cheesman and Cermusak, 2017; Sternberg and Ellsworth, 2011). However, these studies focused on the variation of $\delta^{18}O$, and included only on few species mostly in controlled experiments, and therefore, the conclusions are not fully utilisable for the modelling of $\delta^{2}H$ in natural conditions. Furthermore, recent findings suggest that important processes causing hydrogen isotope fractionations in plants are not yet integrated into the RE-model, which may lead to mis-estimations as, for example the isotope fractionations of enzymatic reactions connected to (i) the use of carbohydrate reserves (Kimak and Leuenberger, 2015; Nakatsuka et al., 2020a), (ii) environmental inputs (i.e. light and $CO_{2}$), (iii) species-specific mechanisms (Arosio et al., 2020a; Cormier et al., 2018; Sanchez-Bragado et al., 2019). However, so far only few attempts have been made to address $\delta^{2}H$ variability at a continental scale, showing considerable within-site variability (Nakatsuka et al., 2020a; Voelker et al., 2014). The extent to which large-scale or inter-annual variations can be captured by the RE-model remains largely unknown, and the potential mis-estimations have yet to be quantified. Therefore, the interpretations of the RE-model results should be considered carefully in light of its limitations.

The European isotope network ISONET offers the opportunity to evaluate tree-ring cellulose isotope chronologies (i.e. $\delta^{13}Cc$, $\delta^{18}Oc$, $\delta^{2}Hc$) and tree-ring-width chronologies (TRW) for two genera (Pinus, Quercus) over the past 100 years for 17 sites from Finland to Spain, and Poland. High common variance of $\delta^{13}C$, and $\delta^{18}O$, was shown by Treydte et al. (2007), and several site-specific analyses have been carried out during the past decade (see publication list Table S.1). The present study focuses on assessing spatiotemporal $\delta^{2}H$ patterns across Europe for the first time, leveraging the unique and extensive ISONET $\delta^{2}H$ network. Here, we systematically assessed the performance of $\delta^{2}H$, as a potential climatic and physiological indicator for the different functional genera. Specifically, we investigated (i) the low- and high-frequency variability of the $\delta^{2}H$ time series; (ii) the long- and short-term climate signals captured by $\delta^{2}H$; (iii) how $\delta^{2}H$ relates to $\delta^{18}O$, and TRW; and finally (iv) the ability of mechanistic models to predict $\delta^{2}H$, values at a continental scale. Accordingly, we hypothesized that:

1. The climatic information recorded in $\delta^{2}H$, high-frequency chronologies:
   a. shows lower strength and lower large-scale agreement compared with the $\delta^{13}Cc$ and $\delta^{18}Oc$ chronologies.
   b. is specific to each genus, due to different physiological mechanisms.
   c. shows genus-specific responses for extreme climatic conditions.
2. The $\delta^{2}H$, relationships with TRW are stronger than those with $\delta^{18}Oc$, indicating a larger share of physiological information than hydrological signals.
3. The RE-model successfully estimates the continental-scale climatic effect on $\delta^{2}Hc$, but not the year-to-year variation.

2. Methods

2.1. Tree-ring chronologies and isotope analyses

2.1.1. European tree-ring network and climate data

ISONET is a European tree-ring isotope network that includes Mediterranean, humid-temperate, continental, and subarctic climatic regions. The sites are distributed along a latitudinal (37°81' to 68°93' N), longitudinal (−5°25' to 30°39' E), and elevational gradient (5 to 2'100 m a.s.l.), with two high-elevation sites concentrated at lower latitudes. The selected sites are old-growth forests (mean ± SD of age = 454 ± 196 years) with two main genera (Quercus and Pinus, Table 1). Spatiotemporal patterns of the TRW, $\delta^{18}Oc$, and $\delta^{13}Cc$ chronologies have been explored across the entire network (Balting et al., 2021; Shestakova et al., 2019; Treydte et al., 2007) and with 17 site-level studies (see citation list in Table S.1). However, although the $\delta^{2}Hc$ chronologies were measured and analysed with an annual resolution (1905–2002) at 17 sites of the ISONET network (Table 1), results from only 5 sites have been published individually so far (Etien et al., 2009; Haupt et al., 2011; Hilsavouri and Berninger, 2010; Loader et al., 2008; Szczepanek et al., 2006).

For each study site, monthly climate data were extracted from the Climatic Research Unit, CRU TS4.03 for the period 1905–2002 (Harris et al., 2020), including mean temperature, precipitation and atmospheric water vapour. Monthly vapour pressure deficit (VPD) was calculated as the difference between measured water vapour and the temperature-dependent saturated humidity value ($e_{sat}$):

$$e_{sat} = 6.1078 \left(\frac{\text{T}}{237.3}\right)^{a}$$

where $T$ is the average monthly temperature and where $a = 21.87$ and $b = 7.66$ at $T > 0$ and $a = 17.27$ and $b = 35.86$ at $T > 0$, as described by Murray (1967). Across all sites for the June–July–August period, the mean temperature ($MT_{JJA}$) ranged from 11 °C to 23 °C, total precipitation ($MP_{JJA}$) from 35 mm to 590 mm, and VPD (VPD$_{JJA}$) from 2.4 to 14.2 (hPa).

2.1.2. Study species

The network contains data from two functionally different genera: Pinus (Pinus sylvestris L., Pinus uncinata Ram. and Pinus nigra Arn.) and Quercus (Quercus petraea (Matt.) Liebl. and Quercus robur L.) (Table 1). Pinus sites are mostly located in boreal and high-elevation Mediterranean zones, while Quercus sites dominate western and central European lower elevations.

Pinus and Quercus species are widely distributed over Europe and are both ecologically and economically important. They have contrasting eco-physiological characteristics (Bréda et al., 2006; Bréda and Badeau, 2008; Merlin et al., 2015; Michelot et al., 2012a) and use opposite strategies to cope with periods of severe drought (Hoehberg et al., 2018; Tyree and Cochard, 1996; Zweifel et al., 2009). Pinus is an evergreen coniferous genus with isohydric characteristics, which implies high stomatal control through a tight and continuous water potential homeostasis (Irvine et al., 1998; Leo et al., 2014; Salmon et al., 2015) and generally a shallow root system (Grossiord et al., 2014; Laitakari, 1927). In contrast, the deciduous broadleaf Quercus genus, exhibits an anisohydric behaviours keeping stomata open and high photosynthetic rates for long time periods (Aranda et al., 2000; Bréda et al., 1993; Klein, 2014), and typically has a deep root system with a large taproot and strong lateral roots (Zapater et al., 2011).

2.1.3. Tree-ring width and stable isotope measurements

Increment cores were extracted at breast height from dominant old-growth trees at all sites (on average 46 trees per site). Following standard dendrochronological procedures (Cook and Kairiukstis, 1990), tree rings were visually crossdated and tree-ring widths (TRW) were measured at 0.01 mm precision. Cross-dating validation was carried out following standard procedures (Holmes, 1985). From at least four trees per site, dated tree rings were cut and annually pooled, and cellulose was extracted using standard extraction and purification methods (Boettger et al., 2007). Whole tree rings were pooled for the isotope analysis of Pinus. For Quercus only the late-wood was used, as the $\delta^{2}H$-values of early- and latewood in Quercus species have been shown to have a clear offset, although strongly correlated ($r^{2} = 0.63$), (Kimak, 2015), and in order to avoid undesired signals from previous-year carbohydrate reserves (Helle and Schleser, 2004). For the Swiss Cavergno site (CAV) no separation between early- and latewood was possible because the rings were too narrow.
The extracted cellulose was then split in three parts for the analysis of the three isotope ratios. The details of $\delta^{18}O_c$ and $\delta^{13}C_c$ analyses are described in Treydte et al. (2007) and in the site-specific publications listed in Table S.1. For the $\delta^{18}H$ analysis, the cellulose was subjected to two different procedures: nitration and equilibration. In the first case, a complete removal of all exchangeable OH-groups was achieved by nitration (Boetger et al., 2007; Green, 1963), while in the second case, the exchangeable H was set at a known isotope value through the equilibration with water vapour, which enabled the $\delta^{2}H$-values of the non-exchangeable H to be calculated by mathematical correction (Schimmelmann, 1991; Wassenaar and Hobson, 2003). Both procedures produce comparable results as shown by Filot et al. (2006). The proceedings conducted at each lab and the details are given in Table S.2. For both methods, samples were subsequently converted to $H_2$ by high-temperature pyrolysis and analysed by IRMS with a precision of ca. $\pm 2\%o$. The $\delta^{2}H$ values of all sites are referenced to the Vienna Standard Mean Ocean Water (VSMOW).

The low-frequency domain of the $17\delta^{2}H_c$ chronologies was explored for the common period 1905–2002 by applying a 100-year smoothing spline (Cook and Kairiukstis, 1990), whereas the high-frequency domain (year-to-year variation) was obtained by calculating $\delta^{2}H_c$ from $\delta^{13}C_c, \delta^{18}O_c, \delta^{2}H_c$; $\delta^{2}H_{sw}$ = modelled hydrogen source water.

$$\delta^{2}H_{sw} = \delta^{2}H_{sw} + \epsilon_1 + \epsilon_2 + (\delta^{2}H_c - \delta^{2}H_{sw} - \epsilon_1) \times \epsilon_3/\epsilon_4$$

Table 1

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Site code</th>
<th>Site name</th>
<th>Country</th>
<th>Species</th>
<th>Lat</th>
<th>Lon</th>
<th>Elev. (m a.s.l.)</th>
<th>M11 (°C)</th>
<th>M11 (mm)</th>
<th>M11 (hPa)</th>
<th>TRW (mm)</th>
<th>$\delta^{18}O_c$ (%)</th>
<th>$\delta^{13}C_c$ (%)</th>
<th>$\delta^{2}H_c$ (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>INA</td>
<td>Kessi/Inari</td>
<td>Finland</td>
<td>P. sylvestris</td>
<td>68.93</td>
<td>28.24</td>
<td>150</td>
<td>11.7</td>
<td>169</td>
<td>3.9</td>
<td>0.52</td>
<td>-24.4</td>
<td>26.4</td>
<td>-95.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ILO</td>
<td>Ilomantsi</td>
<td>Finland</td>
<td>P. sylvestris</td>
<td>62.98</td>
<td>30.98</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>14.8</td>
<td>192</td>
<td>4.6</td>
<td>0.29</td>
<td>-23.7</td>
<td>27.1</td>
<td>-106.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GUT</td>
<td>Guttula</td>
<td>Norway</td>
<td>P. sylvestris</td>
<td>62.00</td>
<td>12.18</td>
<td>800</td>
<td>11.4</td>
<td>234</td>
<td>3.5</td>
<td>0.49</td>
<td>-23.3</td>
<td>27.6</td>
<td>-94.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BRG</td>
<td>Brønnøy</td>
<td>Norway</td>
<td>Q. robur</td>
<td>60.00</td>
<td>23.08</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>15.3</td>
<td>189</td>
<td>4.1</td>
<td>1.85</td>
<td>-24.8</td>
<td>25.6</td>
<td>-93.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LCH</td>
<td>Lochwood</td>
<td>Scotland</td>
<td>Q. robur</td>
<td>55.27</td>
<td>-3.43</td>
<td>175</td>
<td>12.9</td>
<td>325</td>
<td>2.4</td>
<td>1.16</td>
<td>-25.2</td>
<td>27.9</td>
<td>-46.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PAN</td>
<td>Panemunės</td>
<td>Lithuania</td>
<td>P. sylvestris</td>
<td>54.88</td>
<td>23.97</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>16.7</td>
<td>226</td>
<td>4.9</td>
<td>0.79</td>
<td>-22.9</td>
<td>28.7</td>
<td>-67.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sislas</td>
<td>Sowalki</td>
<td>Poland</td>
<td>P. sylvestris</td>
<td>54.10</td>
<td>22.93</td>
<td>160</td>
<td>16.7</td>
<td>235</td>
<td>4.6</td>
<td>1.02</td>
<td>-23.1</td>
<td>28.5</td>
<td>-76.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SUW</td>
<td>Sowalki</td>
<td>Poland</td>
<td>Q. robur</td>
<td>51.98</td>
<td>-0.59</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>15.7</td>
<td>169</td>
<td>3.9</td>
<td>1.37</td>
<td>-23.3</td>
<td>29.1</td>
<td>-54.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WOB</td>
<td>Woburn</td>
<td>UK</td>
<td>Q. petraea</td>
<td>51.5</td>
<td>9.78</td>
<td>320</td>
<td>15.8</td>
<td>227</td>
<td>7.5</td>
<td>1.41</td>
<td>-23.5</td>
<td>28.7</td>
<td>-19.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WIN</td>
<td>Windsor</td>
<td>UK</td>
<td>P. sylvestris</td>
<td>51.4</td>
<td>-0.59</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>15.7</td>
<td>155</td>
<td>3.8</td>
<td>0.47</td>
<td>-22.9</td>
<td>30.4</td>
<td>-31.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GIB</td>
<td>Giopolitico</td>
<td>Poland</td>
<td>P. sylvestris</td>
<td>50.12</td>
<td>20.38</td>
<td>190</td>
<td>17.2</td>
<td>277</td>
<td>4.8</td>
<td>1.87</td>
<td>-25.7</td>
<td>27.8</td>
<td>-76.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Griebel</td>
<td>Griebel</td>
<td>Switzerland</td>
<td>P. nigra</td>
<td>47.95</td>
<td>16.06</td>
<td>500</td>
<td>17.5</td>
<td>289</td>
<td>5.3</td>
<td>0.62</td>
<td>-24.2</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>-59.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>POE</td>
<td>Poellau</td>
<td>Austria</td>
<td>P. nigra</td>
<td>46.5</td>
<td>8.77</td>
<td>1400</td>
<td>12.2</td>
<td>589</td>
<td>3.2</td>
<td>0.47</td>
<td>-23.1</td>
<td>30.8</td>
<td>-45.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CAV</td>
<td>Cavigno</td>
<td>Switzerland</td>
<td>Q. petraea</td>
<td>46.35</td>
<td>8.60</td>
<td>900</td>
<td>12.2</td>
<td>589</td>
<td>3.2</td>
<td>1.11</td>
<td>-23.3</td>
<td>29.2</td>
<td>-51.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LIL</td>
<td>Pinar de</td>
<td>Spain</td>
<td>P. sylvestris</td>
<td>43.07</td>
<td>-5.25</td>
<td>1600</td>
<td>16.3</td>
<td>143</td>
<td>5.6</td>
<td>0.47</td>
<td>-22.2</td>
<td>30.9</td>
<td>-46.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lillo</td>
<td>Lillo</td>
<td>Spain</td>
<td>P. uncinata</td>
<td>42.24</td>
<td>1.70</td>
<td>2100</td>
<td>16.1</td>
<td>214</td>
<td>6.1</td>
<td>0.49</td>
<td>-21.9</td>
<td>30.8</td>
<td>-32.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PED</td>
<td>Pedraforca</td>
<td>Spain</td>
<td>P. nigra</td>
<td>37.81</td>
<td>-2.96</td>
<td>1816</td>
<td>23.2</td>
<td>363</td>
<td>14.2</td>
<td>0.471</td>
<td>-21.1</td>
<td>33.6</td>
<td>-26.4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

2.2. Climate sensitivity analyses

For comparisons with the FDiff chronologies, the same detrending was applied to the climate data: monthly temperature (T), precipitation (P), and vapour pressure deficit (VPD) series. Bootstrapped Pearson’s correlations were performed between FDiff chronologies and FDiff climate series for the common period 1905–2002 using the R package treeclim (Zang and Biondi, 2015). All correlations were calculated for single months and for 3-month windows of the current year (April–May–June, June–July–August, August–September–October). Because, the June–July–August period yielded the highest correlations for all variables (Fig. S.1), it was the selected period for further climate analyses. Pearson’s correlation coefficients between the high-frequency variation (FDiff) of TRW and iso- tope chronologies with climate variables are indicated as $X_{\text{signal}}$ (e.g. $\delta^{2}H_{c\text{-signal}}$).

The sensitivity of FDiff $\delta^{2}H_c$ chronologies to extreme climatic conditions was also assessed. Years with positive (wet) and negative (dry) extreme values in summer P-PET (precipitation minus potential evapotranspiration) were selected at the site level by setting a threshold of $+1.5$ (wet) or $-1.5$ (dry) standard deviation from the average value. Summer potential evapotranspiration (PET) was calculated with the Thornthwaite method (Thornthwaite, 1948) using the R package SPEI (Vicente-Serrano et al., 2010). Significant differences between genera, extreme events, and their interactions were evaluated through analyses of variance (two-way ANOVA), Tukey’s post hoc tests, and Bonferroni corrections. For an assessment of the potential physiological and hydrological information in $\delta^{2}H_c$, the relationships between the $\delta^{2}H_c$ and TRW chronologies, and between the $\delta^{2}H_c$ and $\delta^{18}O_c$ chronologies (both measured and FDiff) were investigated at site level using linear models. Further, the slopes from these relationships were assessed against mean precipitation (MP11a) and mean temperature (MT11a) in June–July–August (Table 1) and fitted with a polynomial function and a quadratic fit.

2.3. Mechanistic modelling of tree-ring $\delta^{2}H_c$

Physiological mechanisms linked to the geographical patterns and temporal variability of our isotope chronologies were investigated by mechanistically modelling $\delta^{2}H_c$ values for leaf water and tree-ring cellulose. Leaf water $\delta^{2}H$ values ($\delta^{2}H_{sw}$) were estimated using the Craig Gordon Model (CG-Model) at the evaporative site (Craig and Gordon, 1965; Dongmann et al., 1974):

$$\delta^{2}H_{sw} = \delta^{2}H_{sw} + \epsilon_1 + \epsilon_2 + (\delta^{2}H_c - \delta^{2}H_{sw} - \epsilon_1) \times \epsilon_3/\epsilon_4$$

where $\epsilon_3/\epsilon_4$ reflects the water vapour partial pressures outside and inside the leaf, $\epsilon_1$ and $\epsilon_2$ are temperature-dependent equilibrium and kinetic fractionation factors (Table 2), respectively, $\delta^{2}H_{sw}$ is the hydrogen isotope ratio
of the source water, and $\delta^2$H$_{sw}$ is the atmospheric water vapour. Assuming equilibrium between $\delta^2$H$_{sw}$ and $\delta^2$H$_{lw}$, and that $\epsilon_a$/$\epsilon_h$ is the mean annual relative humidity (RH) (Cernusak et al., 2016), Eq. (1) was transformed to:

$$\delta^2H_{lw} = \delta^2H_{sw} + (\epsilon_a + \epsilon_h + (-\epsilon_k - \epsilon_h)) \times RH$$  

Equation (2)

$\delta^2$H$_{lw}$ was calculated annually for each site using mean summer temperatures and constant $\delta^2$H$_{sw}$ estimates for each site (Table 1), extrapolated from the gridded data as defined by Bowen (2008). A generalized model was used and no further corrections for unenriched leaf water pools (Péclet effect) were applied (Rodent et al., 2015) due to the lack of species-specific correction factors (Arosio et al., 2020b; Voelker et al., 2014). These dilution effects have been shown to be difficult to estimate accurately and to have large differences between subspecies and over time (Song et al., 2014). They require extensive physiological measurements that are not available for these species and timescales and have therefore not been included in the model to avoid unquantifiable errors.

The CG-Model can be extended to organic material, such as tree rings, using the RE-model (Rodent and Ehleringer, 2000).

$$\delta^2H_{RE} (\%o) = f \times (\delta^2H_{sw} + \epsilon_h) + (1 - f) \times (\delta^2H_{lw} + \epsilon_a)$$  

Equation (3)

where $\epsilon_a$ and $\epsilon_h$ are the specific autotrophic and heterotrophic $^2$H-biosynthetic fractionation factors, respectively, and $f$ is the specific fraction before tree-ring cellulose synthesis (Table 2).

3. Results

3.1. High- and low-frequency variability in the $\delta^2$H$_s$ site chronologies

The $\delta^2$H$_s$ chronologies showed a 75‰ difference in absolute values between the northern-most sites (INA, ILO, and GUT) with the lowest values and the Spanish site (CAZ) with the highest values (Fig. 1a, b). Over the course of the 20th century there was no clear common trend among the site $\delta^2$H$_s$ mean values, except for the last 10 years when a positive trend occurred at most Quercus sites (Fig. 1b) and for a few Pinus sites especially at higher latitudes (Fig. 1a). The long-term trends showed strong site-to-site variability and sparse significant correlations ($P < 0.05$) between sites (Fig. S.2a), with clusters consisting of the three Spanish sites, the Polish and British sites, and the two Swiss sites.

The year-to-year variability retained in the site FDiff $\delta^2$H$_s$ chronologies were of a similar range within and between sites (Fig. 1c, d), with smaller variance for Quercus than Pinus. The FDiff $\delta^2$H$_s$ chronologies of some geographically close sites were significantly correlated ($r = 0.4$, CAV–VIG, ILO–GUT; $r = 0.3$, PAN–SUW, LIL–PED–CAZ), while this agreement was reduced among sites that were farther apart (Fig. S.2b).

3.2. Climate correlations

3.2.1. Spatial patterns and species-specific differences

In general, $\delta^2$H$_{signal}$ was negative regarding summer precipitation and positive regarding summer temperature and VPD across the whole network (Fig. 2a). No clear latitudinal pattern was observed for the $\delta^2$H$_{signal}$ and any climatic parameter. However, at the fringes of the network, the strength in the $\delta^2$H$_{signal}$ of the northernmost sites was lower than at the southernmost ones, especially for Pinus. The TRW$_{signal}$ was highly site-specific (Figs. 3, S.3), with no clear latitudinal gradient. At sites with a high TRW$_{signal}$ we observed a low $\delta^2$H$_{signal}$ ($r \sim 0$, INA), and vice versa ($r \sim 0.5$, CAV), although this negative relationship between TRW$_{signal}$ and $\delta^2$H$_{signal}$ was not consistent across the network.

The $\delta^{13}$C$_{signal}$ and $\delta^{18}$O$_{signal}$ were generally high (up to $r = 0.7$) and were negative regarding summer precipitation and positive regarding temperature and VPD (Figs. 3, S.3). Contrary to the $\delta^2$H$_{signal}$ temperature and VPD-$\delta^{13}$C$_{signal}$ showed a distinct latitudinal gradient, with strong correlations at higher latitudes and the British sites. In comparison, no distinct latitudinal trend was observed for the $\delta^{18}$O$_{signal}$ although the northern sites generally showed lower correlations with summer temperature and VPD than the southern sites.

We detected significant differences in climate sensitivity between genera, as the summer temperature $\delta^2$H$_{signal}$ in Pinus was significantly higher than in Quercus, resulting in significant differences between their climate signals (Table S.3). Specifically, for Pinus, the absolute $\delta^2$H$_{signal}$ was consistent for all climatic parameters ($r \sim 0.3$), but it was significantly lower than the absolute $^{13}$C$_{signal}$ for all climate parameters, and significantly lower than $^{18}$O$_{signal}$ for precipitation and VPD (Fig. 3). For Quercus, the absolute $\delta^2$H$_{signal}$ was significantly lower than the absolute $^{18}$O$_{signal}$ and $^{13}$C$_{signal}$ for all climate parameters (Fig. 3). Interestingly, no significant differences were found between $\delta^2$H$_{signal}$ and TRW$_{signal}$ of the two genera for any climatic variable (Fig. 3). Regarding changes along the gradients of precipitation and temperature covered by the sites, no significant effect was found in the climate signal strength recorded by $\delta^2$H$_{signal}$ (Fig. 4). Conversely, for Quercus the strength of the temperature-$\delta^2$H$_{signal}$ increased significantly along the precipitation gradient and decreased along the temperature gradient (Fig. 4c, d), while the strength of the precipitation-$\delta^2$H$_{signal}$ did not vary significantly along the gradients, indicating a stronger impact of temperature on the $\delta^2$H-variations particularly at cool and wet sites.

3.2.2. Comparison of the climate signals recorded in $\delta^2$H and $\delta^{18}$O

When examining the relationship between $\delta^2$H$_{signal}$ and $\delta^{18}$O$_{signal}$ across the whole network, we observed a divergence between the two genera with decreasing latitude (Fig. 5). Pinus showed a negative H-O$_{signal}$ for all three climate variables (significant for precipitation and VPD, but not for temperature). On the contrary, Quercus showed a positive

Table 2

Summary of fractionation factors for the modelling of $\delta^2$H$_s$ and corresponding literature sources.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Kinetic fractionation factor</th>
<th>$\epsilon_k$</th>
<th>$H$</th>
<th>25‰o</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Equilibrium fractionation factor</td>
<td>$\epsilon_h$</td>
<td>$H$</td>
<td>$\epsilon_h = \exp\left(\frac{28.468}{(2273 - 39.478a)} + 1000\right) - \left(\left(\frac{76.248}{(1719 + 39.478a)} + 0.052612\right) - 1\right) + 1000$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Temperature dependent (Cernusak et al., 2016; Majoube, 1971)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>RE-model</th>
<th>Fraction of exchange before cellulose synthesis</th>
<th>$f$</th>
<th>$H$</th>
<th>0.36</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Biosynthetic fractionation factors</td>
<td>$\epsilon_a$</td>
<td>$H$</td>
<td>$-1719$o</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\epsilon_h$</td>
<td>$H$</td>
<td>$1589$o</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Autotrophic fractionation (Yakir and DeNiro, 1990) Heterotrophic fractionation (Yakir and DeNiro, 1990)

(Cernusak et al., 2016; Merlivat, 1978)

(Roden and Ehleringer, 1999)
Fig. 1. The $\delta^{2}H$ site chronologies for Pinus (a) and Quercus (b) for the common period (1905–2002), and their low-frequency variability illustrated by a 100-year smoothing spline (dashed lines). The corresponding high-frequency site chronologies calculated as first differences (FDiff) are given for Pinus (c) and Quercus (d). Site colours are ordered by latitude (North to South in the legend).

Fig. 2. Mapping of Pearson’s correlation coefficients of the FDiff $\delta^{2}H$ (δ$^{2}H_{\text{signal}}$) with summer (June–July–August) climate variables (precipitation, temperature, and vapour pressure deficit (VPD), also as FDiff). Significant correlations ($P < 0.05$) are indicated by asterisks.
H-O-signal for temperature and VPD, and a negative one for precipitation (although none of these trends were significant).

At northern sites the two genera showed similar values for $\delta^{18}O_c$-signal and $\delta^2H_c$-signal regarding temperature and VPD ($\delta^{18}O_c$-signal $r = 0.5$; $\delta^2H_c$-signal $r = 0.2$). On the contrary, at southern sites where $\delta^{18}O_c$-signal was around 0 for both genera, $\delta^2H_c$-signal increased for Pinus ($r = 0.5$) and decreased for Quercus ($r = 0.1$). For example, in Finland (Pinus) the VPD $\delta^{18}O_c$-signal was 0.6 and the VPD $\delta^2H_c$-signal was 0.01. On the contrary, in Spain (Pinus) the VPD $\delta^{18}O_c$-signal was −0.2 and the VPD $\delta^2H_c$-signal was 0.5. Similarly, in the UK (Quercus), where $\delta^{18}O_c$-signal showed strong correlations with VPD, $\delta^2H_c$-signal showed only weak correlations.

### 3.2.3. Responses of $\delta^2H_c$ to summer climate extremes

We investigated the influence of particularly dry and wet summers on $\delta^2H_c$ by correlations to P-PET. Climatic conditions had a significant
effect on the FDiff δ²Hc for both genera, while the δ²Hc differences between the two genera were not significant (Table S.4). On average, dry summers (−1.5 SD from the mean P-PET for the June–July–August period) had significantly higher values of δ²Hc by 3‰ for both genera, compared with years with normal summer conditions (Fig. 6). The δ²Hc values in years with wet summers (+1 SD) were not significantly different from the values in normal years for either genus. Pinus showed continuously increasing δ²Hc from wet to dry years (Fig. 6a), whereas the δ²Hc values of Quercus were not significantly different in wet years than in dry and normal years (Fig. 6b).

3.3. Relationships between δ²Hc and δ¹⁸Oc and between δ²Hc and TRW

We found a significant positive relationship between the δ²Hc and δ¹⁸Oc for 10 of the 17 sites (Fig. 7a), while only 2 sites showed a significant negative relationship (Table S.5). The explained variance (r²) ranged from 0.003 to 0.2, and the slopes from −4.2 to 3.6 (Table S.5). Regarding the relationship between the FDiff δ²Hc and FDiff δ¹⁸Oc, 11 of the 17 sites showed a significant positive correlation, whereas only one site showed a significant negative correlation, with the slopes ranging from −1.8 to 4.1, and the r² from 0.01 to 0.28 (Fig. S.5, Table S.5). When analysing the effect of mean climate conditions on the FDiff δ²Hc and FDiff δ¹⁸Oc relationships, we observed a non-linear relationship along the MTJJA gradient, with the highest slopes at 5 °C (r² = 0.4). On the contrary, a linear relationship between δ²Hc-δ¹⁸Oc slopes and MPJJA was found (r² = 0.5) (Fig. S.8).

The linear relationships between the δ²Hc and TRW records were highly variable and site-specific, with both positive (4 of 7 sites significant) and negative slopes (7 of 10 sites significant) (Fig. 6b), r² ranged from 0.002 to 0.33, and slopes ranged from −22 to 32 (Table S.5). For the FDiff standardized data the linear site-level models showed lower r² values (0.001 to 0.32), and only 9 of the 17 sites showed a significant relationship (3 positive and 4 negatives; Fig. S.5, Table S.5). The slopes of the FDiff δ²Hc and TRW relationships had lower r² values (0.2) than those of the δ²Hc and δ¹⁸O relationships, and in both cases the slopes decreased with increasing temperature and precipitation (Fig. S.5, Table S.5).

3.4. Mechanistic modelling of the tree-ring isotope ratios

The RE-model captured the continental-scale mean δ²Hc levels but did not capture the year-to-year site variability. The alignment of the fitted linear models between observed and predicted δ²Hc values across several sites in Europe (Fig. 8 black dotted line) showed r² values of 0.46 and 0.33 and slopes of 0.76 and 0.91 for Pinus and Quercus, respectively. However, we observed a consistent overestimation of the modelled compared with the
measured δ²H values by an average of 43‰ for both species (Fig. 8, Table S.6). For Quercus, the fitted linear model had a slope of 0.91, indicating an almost constant model performance across all sites. For Pinus, the fitted linear model had a slope of 0.7, indicating better model performance for high-latitude sites. The Swiss sites VIG (Pinus) and CAV (Quercus) showed the largest offset between measured and modelled values, i.e. 99.5‰ and 70.7‰, respectively. These two study sites have the same climate data, being in the same interpolation cell, although they differ in elevation by 500 m. For this reason, a second linear model excluding these two sites was calculated, resulting in an improvement of the general model fit for both genera (r² = 0.83 and 0.69, slope = 1.2 and 1.7 for Pinus and Quercus, respectively), resulting in an improved fit for higher latitudes sites (Fig. 8). Independently of the dataset used, the year-to-year variability within the sites was not captured by the model (the average values of the FDiff model are r² = 0.04 and 0.02, slope = 0.6 and 0.4 for Pinus and Quercus, respectively; Fig. S.7).

4. Discussion

4.1. Low- and high-frequency variations in the δ²Hc chronologies

This is the first European-scale study exploring 100-year δ²Hc chronologies in both low- and high-frequency domains (Fig. 1). The δ²H-depletion with increasing latitude follows the naturally occurring isotopic variations in precipitation (i.e. Global Meteoric Water Line, Fig. S.9; (Allen et al., 2019; Craig, 1961; Craig and Gordon, 1965)), independent of the two genera. The comparison of the long-term trends among sites resulted in relatively low, sporadic significant correlations (Fig. S.3a). In the last decade, a relative δ²H-enrichment was apparent at most of the sites, particularly those with Quercus (Fig. S.1), although the strength of this increase was site-specific. The between-site agreement decreased when year-to-year variability was assessed. In this case, only relatively geographically close sites remained correlated (Fig. S.3b). The fact that these low- and high-frequency δ²Hc patterns are very site-specific, pose issues for further extrapolations of the δ²Hc-signal (e.g. for climate reconstructions: Christiansen and Ljungqvist, 2017). Therefore, both frequency domains should be considered in future studies exploring the potential of δ²Hc for dendroclimatological purposes.

4.2. The climate sensitivity of δ²Hc across Europe compared with TRW, δ¹³Cc, and δ¹⁸Oc

In temperate forests, the strength of δ¹³Cc-signal and δ¹⁸O-signal across different climates has already been clearly shown in European networks (Shestakova and Martínez-Sancho, 2021; Treydte et al., 2007; Vitali et al., 2021), although biases connected to long-term trends have been reported (Esper et al., 2010) and a complete understanding of the related fractionation processes is still missing (Gessler et al., 2014). Nonetheless, these signals were clearly more consistent than the δ²Hc-signal. Our results confirm our first hypothesis (Hp1a), showing that the δ²Hc-signal was on average weaker than the δ¹³Cc-signal and δ¹⁸O-signal, but similar to the TRW-signal (Figs. 1, 2, S.2), with large variation at the continental scale. At the centre of our network, we observed the lowest temperature-δ²Hc-signal. However, significant correlations with
We observed a significant genus dependency of the climate sensitivity of δ²Hc (Figs. 3, 4), supporting our hypothesis (Hp1b). When comparing the functionally distant genera *Pinus* and *Quercus*, differences in the δ²Hc-signal were evident, similar to δ¹³C-signal and δ¹⁸O-signal (Martínez-Sancho et al., 2018). *Pinus* δ²Hc climate sensitivity was stronger than that of *Quercus* for all climatic variables across the whole network (Fig. 3). A decoupling of H-O-signal between the two genera was observed at sites with a low temperature- and VPD-δ¹⁸O-signal, where *Pinus* showed a strong δ²Hc-signal, while *Quercus* showed a weak δ²Hc-signal (Fig. 5). These contrasting signals are likely the result of different physiological mechanisms affecting isotope fractionation and water uptake dynamics, as explored below.

### 4.3.1. Use of fresh and stored photosynthates for cellulose formation

Hydrogen isotope patterns of leaf (Kimak et al., 2015) and tree-ring cellulose (Epstein and Yapp, 1976; Mayr et al., 2003) suggest that stored photosynthates in heterotrophic tissues (branches, stem, roots) are likely more δ²H-enriched than fresh photosynthates and that considerable use of carbohydrate reserves for growth could, lead to the observed bias in the δ²Hc-signal (Lehmann et al., 2021b).

Deciduous ring-porous species like *Quercus* partly rely on stored photosynthates for earlywood growth (Pilcher and Frenzel, 1995), resulting in mixed climatic information from previous years and the current growing season (Reynolds-Henne et al., 2009). In our study, we reduced the potential effect of storage remobilization by sampling only the latewood of *Quercus* (Waterhouse et al., 2002). This strategy was successful for the δ¹⁸O-signal, which showed high correlation values (Fig. 3), especially at the British sites (Rinne et al., 2013), but it did not seem effective for the δ²Hc-signal, which exhibited low ones. It should be considered that storage use could still play a role under stressful conditions even for late-wood growth (Sarris et al., 2013) However, other yet to be characterized isotope fractionations may likely interfere autonomously with the current-year δ²Hc-signal in *Quercus* (e.g. growth release: Lehmann et al., 2021b).

On the contrary, *Pinus* relies largely on fresh (current-year) photosynthates as the main source for tree-ring production (Dickmann and Kozlowski, 1970) in temperate sites and under non-drought conditions. A large photosynthetic demand and high transpiration rate ensure a fast turnover of leaf-water in *Pinus* and, therefore, a reliable recording of the seasonal climate signals (Dickmann and Kozlowski, 1970; Glerum, 1980), as confirmed by our results (Fig. 3).

### 4.3.2. Root system and source water interactions

Water from different soil layers systematically varies in its isotopic composition. The topsoil is directly dependent on precipitation events and subject to evaporative isotopic enrichment, thus recording current-year climatic signals. On the contrary, the isotopic composition of deeper soil layers is also dependent on winter precipitation and snow melt, and therefore previous years’ climate (Allen et al., 2019). Since no isotopic fractionation typically occurs during root-water uptake (Dawson and Siegwolf, 2007; Geris et al., 2015; Tang et al., 2000), the xylem water (also known as source water) integrated during tree-ring cellulose formation (Augusti et al., 2006; Roden and Ehleringer, 2000) can reflect the uptake depth of soil water. The typical genus-specific rooting depth therefore influences the δ²Hc-signal. Shallow-rooted *Pinus* generally carries a stronger current-year climate signal, because its source water mostly integrates precipitation events (Fig. 3), as previously shown for other shallow-rooted species (Tang and Feng, 2001). On the contrary, *Quercus* xylem water has been shown to carry a deep-soil signal or a mixture between deep and shallow soil (Barbeta et al., 2020), hence diluting its precipitation-induced δ²Hc-signal. However, *Quercus* was reported to use current-year precipitation in clay-rich soil, (e.g. WOB site: Rinne et al., 2013), stressing once again the site-specificity of δ²Hc-signal.

While the different rooting depths can explain the stronger δ²Hc-signal for *Pinus* than for *Quercus*, the decoupling of H-O-signal at the drier and warmer sites remains unexplained (Fig. 5). A growing number of studies have suggested that isotope fractionations can occur during root water uptake (Evaristo et al., 2017; Oerter et al., 2019), as δ²H in stem water has been observed to be progressively enriched with increasing transpiration while δ¹⁸O still reflected the soil water isotopic signal (Barbeta et al., 2020; Ellsworth and Williams, 2007). This mismatch between δ²H and δ¹⁸O in xylem water could further depend on multiple interacting factors, such as (i) the heterogeneity within the soil matrix (Oerter et al., 2014); (ii) isotope separation between bound and mobile soil water (Tang and Feng, 2001);
(iii) root interactions with water pools, and isotope compartmentalization (Zhao et al., 2016); and (iv) methodological artefacts (Chen et al., 2020), which could explain the decoupling of H-O-signal between the two genera.

Based on our results, we conclude that the $\delta^{2}H_c$ of *Pinus* is a more sensitive indicator of environmental changes than the $\delta^{2}H_c$ of *Quercus*, although further research is needed to investigate the driving factors of these species' differences.

Fig. 7. Linear relationships between (a) $\delta^{2}H_c$ and $\delta^{18}O_c$, and (b) $\delta^{2}H_c$ and TRW for each site. See Fig. S.7 for the same relationships but with FDiff data. The fitted linear model equations, explained variance ($r^2$), and significance (p-values) are given in Table S.5. Pearson's correlation coefficients (r) calculated for $\delta^{2}H_c$ and $\delta^{18}O_c$ and for $\delta^{2}H_c$ and TRW are given in Fig. S.6.

Fig. 8. Relationship between measured ($\delta^{2}H_c$) and modelled ($\delta^{2}H_c$ RE) values of $\delta^{2}H_c$ chronologies for *Pinus* (a) and *Quercus* (b). Linear regressions were fitted for all sites (black dotted lines), and for all sites without the outlier sites VIG and CAV (red solid lines). Significance is indicated by asterisks * (P < 0.05). The 1:1 line is indicated by a black solid line. Results of genera-specific regressions are given in Table S.6. See Fig. S.7 for the same relationships but with FDiff data.
4.3.3. Influence of extreme wet and dry summer conditions

We hypothesized that years with extreme wet or dry summer conditions, ergo with contrasting VPD and precipitation conditions, lead to distinct ecophysiological responses that shape \( \delta^{2}H \)-signal (Hp1c). Climatic conditions induce changes in the isotopic composition typically leading to higher \( \delta^{2}H \) values of leaf and source water in dry years compared with normal years, and lower values in wet years. These patterns should subsequently be imprinted on \( \delta^{2}H \) (Cernusak et al., 2016; Roden and Ehleringer, 2000). We indeed observed a significant \( \delta^{2}H \)-enrichment in tree rings of both genera in dry years compared with normal years, but not a clear \( \delta^{2}H \)-depletion in wet years (Fig. 6). This was unforeseen because the climatic signal transfer from the water isotopes to the tree rings was expected to be more consistent under wet conditions than dry conditions because of an increased translocation of recent photosynthates towards the stem cambium (Michelot et al., 2012b; Pflug et al., 2015; Simard et al., 2013). The general \( \delta^{2}H \)-enrichment in tree-ring cellulose under dry conditions thus does not necessarily reflect a climatic signal derived from changes in the isotopic composition of water. It might rather be derived from drought-driven changes in metabolic pathways (Cormier et al., 2018) or from greater use of (potentially \( \delta^{2}H \)-enriched) carbohydrate reserves for wood formation under stress conditions (Lehmann et al., 2021a).

Therefore, in contrast to our hypothesis (Hp1c) that expected differences between the two genera based on their contrasting ecophysiological traits which affect leaf and source water isotope modification in response to drought (Klein, 2014; Martín-Gómez et al., 2017), both Quercus and Pinus showed \( \delta^{2}H \)-enrichment in dry years compared with normal years. Our results provide novel evidence that \( \delta^{2}H \) values might function as an indicator for extreme drought conditions, potentially due to specific \( \delta^{2}H \)-fractionations that are only triggered under reduced water availability. However, additional \( \delta^{2}H \) studies focusing on drought effects are needed to further understand the potential of \( \delta^{2}H \) as a drought proxy.

4.4. Disentangling the hydrological and physiological information in \( \delta^{2}H \_c \)

To fully understand the nature of the \( \delta^{2}H \) information recorded in tree rings, it is necessary to quantify the individual impacts of climatic, hydrological, and physiological factors. Therefore, we evaluated the relationship of \( \delta^{2}H \) chronologies with those of \( \delta^{18}O \) and TRW, and the performance of the mechanistic model in estimating \( \delta^{2}H \_c \) in tree rings.

4.4.1. Relationship between \( \delta^{2}H \_c \) and \( \delta^{18}O \_c \) and between \( \delta^{2}H \_c \) and TRW

The hydrological and temperature signals are recorded by \( \delta^{2}H \_c \) and \( \delta^{18}O \_c \) at a continental scale (Gray and Song, 1984; Saurer et al., 1997b), as shown by their distribution along the global meteoric water line (Fig. S.9). Our results indicate that \( \delta^{2}H \) transfers the source water signal to the tree rings better than \( \delta^{2}H \), resulting in a stronger climate signal (Fig. 3). However, the well-known relationship between the two water isotopes in hydrological cycles barely hold for the year-to-year variation in tree-ring cellulose, as shown by the weak relationships between the \( \delta^{2}H \_c \) and \( \delta^{18}O \_c \) chronologies for most of our studied sites, regardless of species and geographical location (Fig. 7a). This result is likely caused by temporal variability in fractionations and additional factors that influence \( \delta^{2}H \)-fractionations more than \( \delta^{18}O \)-fractionations. However, the climatic and hydrological information that dominates \( \delta^{18}O \_c \) is likely also present, albeit weakly, in \( \delta^{2}H \_c \). The magnitude of \( \delta^{2}H \) may depend on site-specific precipitation and temperature conditions, as indicated by the positive influence of \( MT_{30} \) and negative influence of \( MT_{240} \) on the \( \delta^{2}H \_c \)-\( \delta^{18}O \_c \) relationships (Fig. S.8) (Sprenger et al., 2016).

Trees' physiological strategies in response to the local environment are recorded partly by TRW (Hartl-Meier et al., 2015), and thus the \( \delta^{2}H \_c \)-TRW relationships should reflect shared physiological information. Indeed, our \( \delta^{2}H \_c \)-TRW relationships were stronger than \( \delta^{2}H \_c \)-\( \delta^{18}O \_c \) relationships at many sites (Fig. 7b). This supports that at many sites the \( \delta^{2}H \_c \) recorded a stronger physiological signal rather than a climatic or hydrological one as proposed in our second hypothesis (Hp2). Whereas in most cases a significant negative TRW-\( \delta^{2}H \_c \) relationship was found in our study, a few significant positive relationships also appeared (Fig. S.6). Although neither positive nor negative relationships clustered in a particular geographical area, or in distinct climatic regions, we observed a negative influence of MT_{30} on the slope of the \( \delta^{2}H \_c \)-TRW relationship (Fig. S.8). Lehmann et al. (2021b) reported that negative \( \delta^{2}H \_c \)-TRW relationships indicate greater use of carbohydrate storage under stress conditions at four sites in Europe and Asia, where growth was limited by precipitation or light. On the contrary, at a site where temperature was the growth-limiting factor, the \( \delta^{2}H \_c \)-TRW relationship became positive. Nonetheless, this uneven share of negative (7) and positive (4) relationships between \( \delta^{2}H \_c \) and TRW suggests that stronger site-specific variables, like stand density or soil depth, are needed to advance our understanding of \( \delta^{2}H \_c \) variations.

4.4.2. Performance of the mechanistic RE-model

The mechanistic RE-model was previously found to successfully explain the \( \delta^{2}H \_c \) of young broadleaf trees (Alnus incana, Betula occidentalis and Populus fremontii) under controlled experimental conditions (Roden et al., 2000). However, its applicability across a variety of species and ecological conditions was not originally tested. Our \( \delta^{2}H \_c \) simulations produced using the RE-model support our last hypothesis (Hp3). We observed a reasonable \( \delta^{2}H \_c \) simulation values for both genera on a continental scale, albeit with an overall consistent overestimation (Fig. 8). This suggests that the RE-model successfully takes into account the large-scale isotopic variations in precipitation, while the constant overestimation may be attributed to a lack of dilution effects (e.g. Péclet effect or two-pool estimations) in the CG-model to estimate \( \delta^{2}H_{g} \) (Roden et al., 2015). Therefore, species-specific and temporarily variable dilution effects should be considered in future studies (Voelker et al., 2014).

As hypothesized (Hp3), the modelled \( \delta^{2}H \_c \) data did not capture the site-specific inter-annual variability, as shown by both \( \delta^{2}H \_c \) and FDiff \( \delta^{2}H \_c \) data (Figs. 8, S.7). Several sources of uncertainty should be considered to evaluate the performance of the RE-model. First, the low spatial resolution of the gridded climate data available for the last century. For example, the two Swiss sites located at different elevations (CAV and VIG) share the same climatic information, leading to a larger overestimation of the \( \delta^{2}H \_c \) values at the higher-elevation Pinus site (VIG). Second, the lack of annual site-level \( \delta^{2}H \_c \) precipitation data (used as source water) and unknown mixing effects of the precipitation water with ground water also play a role (Waterhouse et al., 2002). Third, the model does not account for the stochasticity of environmental parameters, such as temperature, that may influence biochemical isotope fractionation (Zhou et al., 2011). Finally, the species differences in \( \delta^{2}H \)-fractionation (Arosio et al., 2020b) are not considered in mechanistic models.

We observed that modelled \( \delta^{2}H \_c \) has a better fit with measured Pinus \( \delta^{2}H \_c \) than measured Quercus \( \delta^{2}H \_c \) at continental scale (Fig. 8). These results corroborate previous findings that the isotopic signature of precipitation is better captured in the \( \delta^{2}H \) of shallow-rooted (Pinus) than deep-rooted (Quercus) trees, due to their ability to access deeper groundwater (Voelker et al., 2014; Waterhouse et al., 2002). Our results clearly demonstrate the necessity to take into account species-specific or functional-trait-dependent (Arosio et al., 2020b; Cormier et al., 2018; Sanchez-Bragado et al., 2019), as well as age-dependent weighting factors (Arosio et al., 2020a). These additions would account for the non-controlled conditions of natural forests and should be integrated into future mechanistic models.

4.5. Potential and limitations of \( \delta^{2}H \_c \) chronologies

In this study we demonstrate that \( \delta^{2}H \_c \) chronologies record a mix of hydrological and climatic signals, while the physiological information is still not readily accessible, due to remaining gaps in the understanding of \( \delta^{2}H \)-fractionations and their interactions with C partitioning mechanisms, and with climatological, hydrological processes (Waterhouse et al., 2002). New high-throughput methods will make \( \delta^{2}H \_c \) measurements increasingly accessible and economically viable (Filoto et al., 2006; Saurer et al., 2009; Wassenaar et al., 2015), which will likely
boost the knowledge on $^2$H-fractionations in plants in the next years. Thus, further targeted research to disentangle the interference of climatic and metabolic signals is needed, carried out in well-monitored forest sites or in growth chambers with controlled conditions. This untapped physiological signal in $^8$H$_2$ could lead to further insight into carbohydrate storage use, drought stress, and carbon allocation processes, information that is useful not only from a retrospective view, but also for improving growth models and thus predicting future tree performance.

5. Conclusions

The results presented here set a baseline in the understanding of the information stored in $^8$H$_2$. We show that the climate information recorded by $^8$H$_2$ is weaker than for $^{13}$C and $^{18}$O, and that it is site and genera dependent, with Pinus showing a stronger climatic signal than Quercus. Thus, information recorded by $^8$H$_2$ is different from that of $^{13}$C and $^{18}$O, with a stronger physiological component independent from climate, although these effects cannot yet be disentangled. As shown by our results, these physiological effects are significant, but not constant across years, sites, or genera. Nonetheless, years with dry summer conditions showed a consistent $^2$H-enrichment for both genera. Therefore, combination with other tree-ring-derived parameters is highly advisable to provide complementary information on tree performance. Finally, we showed that the current mechanistic $^{18}$O$_2$ models performed well at a continental but not at a temporal scale. Clearly, improved climate and source water data, as well as further work on mechanistic isotope models is need to improve the spatio-temporal estimation of $^8$H$_2$. This will eventually result in tree rings $^8$H$_2$ to be a highly valuable archive of how trees’ physiology and biochemistry has been in

Appendix A. Supplementary data

Supplementary data to this article can be found online at https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2021.152281.
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