- 1 Lifileucel, an Autologous Tumor-infiltrating Lymphocyte Monotherapy, in Patients with Advanced
- 2 Non-small Cell Lung Cancer Resistant to Immune Checkpoint Inhibitors
- 3 Adam J. Schoenfeld,<sup>a,\*</sup> Sylvia M. Lee,<sup>b</sup> Bernard Doger de Spéville,<sup>c</sup> Scott N. Gettinger,<sup>d</sup> Simon Häfliger,<sup>e</sup>
- 4 Ammar Sukari,<sup>f</sup> Sophie Papa,<sup>g,h</sup> Juan Francisco Rodríguez-Moreno,<sup>i</sup> Friedrich Graf Finckenstein,<sup>j</sup> Rana
- 5 Fiaz,<sup>j</sup> Melissa Catlett,<sup>j</sup> Guang Chen,<sup>j</sup> Rongsu Qi,<sup>j</sup> Emma L. Masteller,<sup>j</sup> Viktoria Gontcharova,<sup>j</sup> Kai He<sup>k\*</sup>
- <sup>6</sup> <sup>a</sup>Department of Medicine, Division of Solid Tumor Oncology, Thoracic Oncology Service, Memorial Sloan
- 7 Kettering Cancer Center, NY, NY, USA
- 8 <sup>b</sup>Clinical Research Division, Department of Medicine, Fred Hutchinson Cancer Center, Seattle, WA, USA
- 9 <sup>c</sup>TART Madrid-FJD, Hospital Universitario Fundacion Jimenez Diaz, Madrid, Spain
- <sup>d</sup>Department of Medicine, Division of Medical Oncology, Yale Cancer Center, North Haven, CT, USA
- <sup>11</sup> <sup>e</sup>Department of Medical Oncology, Inselspital, Bern University Hospital, University of Bern, Bern,
- 12 Switzerland
- 13 <sup>f</sup>Department of Oncology, Barbara Ann Karmanos Cancer Hospital, Detroit, MI, USA
- 14 <sup>g</sup>School of Cancer and Pharmaceutical Sciences, King's College London, London, UK
- <sup>15</sup> <sup>h</sup>Department of Medical Oncology, Guy's and St Thomas' NHS Foundation Trust, London, UK
- 16 <sup>i</sup>Department of Medical Oncology, Hospital Universitario HM Sanchinarro, Centro Integral Oncologico
- 17 Clara Campal, Madrid, Spain
- 18 <sup>j</sup>lovance Biotherapeutics, Inc., San Carlos, CA, USA
- <sup>19</sup> <sup>k</sup>Division of Medical Oncology, Department of Internal Medicine, Thoracic Oncology Program, Ohio State
- 20 University, Columbus, OH, USA
- 21

## 22 \*Contributed equally

## 23

24 Running Title: Lifileucel in Advanced NSCLC

# 2526 Correspondence to:

- 27 Kai He, MD, PhD
- 28 Associate Professor with Tenure
- 29 Pelotonia Institute for Immuno-Oncology
- 30 James Thoracic Oncology Center and Cell Therapy Program
- 31 The Ohio State University Comprehensive Cancer Center
- 32 494 Biomedical Research Tower
- 33 Columbus, OH 43210
- 34 Phone: 614-366-4139
- 35 Email: <u>kai.he@osumc.edu</u>
- 36
- 37
- 38 Journal: Cancer Discovery
- 39 Article type: Original article
  - Word Limit: 6,000 words (current: 5,054)
    - Statement of Significance: 49 words

Downloaded from http://aacrjournals.org/cancerdiscovery/article-pdf/doi/10.1158/2159-8290. CD-23-1334/3435751/cd-23-1334. pdf by University of Bern user on 04 April 2024

Abstract Word Limit: 150 words (current 149) Reference Limit: 50 (current: 33) Figure/Table Count: 7 figures/tables (current: 4 figures and 3 tables) Supplementary Figure/Table Count: 3 tables and 5 figures

40

## 41 **Conflict of Interest**

AJS reports consulting/advisory role and participation in a Data Safety Monitoring Board or Advisory 42 43 Board for Johnson & Johnson, KSQ therapeutics, Bristol Myers Squibb, Merck, Enara Bio, Perceptive 44 Advisors, Oppenheimer and Co, Umoja Biopharma, Legend Biotech, Iovance Biotherapeutics, Lyell 45 Immunopharma, Prelude Therapeutics, Immunocore, Amgen, and Heat Biologics and receiving 46 institutional research funding from GSK, PACT pharma, lovance Biotherapeutics, Achilles Therapeutics, 47 Merck, BMS, Harpoon Therapeutics, and Amgen. SML reports receiving institutional research funding 48 from lovance Biotherapeutics, Lyell Immunopharma, Seagen, Bristol-Myers Squibb, Tmunity 49 Therapeutics, PACT Pharma, and Kite Pharma. SG reports consulting/advisory role for ARIAD, Bristol-50 Myers Squibb, and Iovance Biotherapeutics and receiving institutional research funding from 51 Takeda/ARIAD, Genentech/Roche, NextCure, and Iovance Biotherapeutics. SH reports receiving advisory 52 fees from AstraZeneca. SP is an employee and option holder of Enara Bio. AS reports serving on the 53 advisory board for Merck and Eisai and receiving research funding from Eisai. JR-M reports consulting/advisory board role for BMS, Amgen, Novartis, Rainier, Janssen, Pierre- Fabre, receiving 54 55 speaker honoraria from Roche, BMS, Novartis, MSD, Janssen, Pfizer, AstraZeneca, and receiving 56 institutional research funding from AstraZeneca, BMS, Amgen, Roche, Novartis, MSD, Janssen, Pfizer, 57 Astellas, GSK, PharmaMar, Ipsen, Tesaro, Abbvie, Aprea Therapeutics, Eisai, Bayer, Merck, Iovance 58 Biotherapeutics, and Nektar. FGF, RF, MC, GC, and RQ are employees of lovance Biotherapeutics and 59 hold stock and/or stock options. Further, FGF is in a leadership position at lovance Biotherapeutics; owns stocks from Adverum Biotechnologies, Roche, Bristol-Myers Squibb, and Johnson & Johnson; and 60 holds patents, royalties, or other intellectual property rights with Bristol-Myers Squibb. EM and VG are 61 62 former employees of lovance Biotherapeutics and held stock and/or stock options while at lovance. VG 63 further reports consulting/advisory role for lovance Biotherapeutics and Stanford Health and holding stock and/or stock options for Gilead. KH reports consulting/advisory role for Perthera, Mirati 64 65 Therapeutics, Bristol Myers Squibb, Iovance Biotherapeutics, Geneplus, Lyell Immunopharma, and 66 AstraZeneca and receiving institutional research finding from Bristol Myers Squibb, Mirati Therapeutics, 67 Adaptimmune, Genentech/Roche, GlaxoSmithKline, Amgen, Iovance Biotherapeutics, AbbVie, and

68 Oncoc4. **BD** has no conflicts of interest to declare.

Lifileucel TIL Cell Monotherapy in Advanced NSCLC

Schoenfeld et al. *Cancer Discovery* 

## 69 Abstract

| 70 | In this phase 2 multicenter study, we evaluated the efficacy and safety of lifileucel (LN-145), an       |
|----|--|
| 71 | autologous tumor-infiltrating lymphocyte cell therapy, in patients with metastatic non-small cell lung   |
| 72 | cancer (mNSCLC) who had received prior immunotherapy and progressed on their most recent therapy.        |
| 73 | The median number of prior systemic therapies was 2 (range, 1–6). Lifileucel was successfully            |
| 74 | manufactured using tumor tissue from different anatomic sites, predominantly lung. The objective         |
| 75 | response rate was 21.4% (6/28). Responses occurred in tumors with profiles typically resistant to        |
| 76 | immunotherapy, such as PD-L1–negative, low tumor mutational burden, and STK11 mutation. Two              |
| 77 | responses were ongoing at the time of data cutoff, including one complete metabolic response in a PD-    |
| 78 | L1-negative tumor. Adverse events were generally as expected and manageable. Two patients died of        |
| 79 | treatment-emergent adverse events: cardiac failure and multiple organ failure. Lifileucel is a potential |
| 80 | treatment option for patients with mNSCLC refractory to prior therapy.                                   |
|    |  |

81 Keywords: Lifileucel, tumor-infiltrating lymphocytes, adoptive cell therapy, advanced NSCLC

- 82 Statement of Significance: Autologous tumor-infiltrating lymphocyte therapy lifileucel was administered
- to 28 patients with heavily pretreated metastatic non-small cell lung cancer (mNSCLC). Responses were
- 84 observed in patients with driver mutations, and various tumor mutational burdens and PD-L1
- 85 expression, potentially addressing an unmet medical need in patients with mNSCLC refractory to prior
- 86 therapy.

#### 87 Introduction

Adoptive cellular therapy using autologous tumor-infiltrating lymphocytes (TIL) – polyclonal immune 88 89 cells that can recognize and target diverse individualized tumor-specific antigens - has been explored 90 extensively in metastatic melanoma. The first adoptive transfer of TIL in patients with metastatic 91 melanoma was described by Rosenberg et al. at the National Cancer Institute (NCI) in 1988 and involved 92 the infusion of autologous CD4<sup>+</sup> and CD8<sup>+</sup> T lymphocytes that were expanded ex vivo in the presence of 93 interleukin-2 (IL-2) (1). Since then, multiple clinical trials have demonstrated the potential of TIL cell 94 therapy to generate robust and durable clinical responses in patients with metastatic melanoma (2-7). 95 These encouraging data in melanoma were the impetus for exploring TIL cell therapy in metastatic nonsmall cell lung cancer (mNSCLC). TIL cell therapy begins with tumor tissue procurement to provide 96 97 starting material for ex vivo T-cell isolation and expansion to generate the final TIL infusion product. The 98 TIL cell therapy regimen consists of a preparative nonmyeloablative lymphodepletion (NMA-LD) 99 regimen, followed by a one-time TIL infusion and short course of high-dose IL-2. The complexity and cost 100 of manufacturing and delivery processes has previously made multicenter clinical trials difficult to 101 implement, and access to a broader patient population challenging. 102 The first autologous TIL cell therapy, lifileucel, was recently approved by the U.S. Food and Drug 103 Administration for the treatment of adult patients with unresectable or metastatic melanoma previously 104 treated with a PD-1 blocking antibody, and if BRAF V600 mutation positive, a BRAF inhibitor with or 105 without a MEK inhibitor (7). The approval of lifileucel was based on its efficacy in patients with 106 metastatic melanoma treated in a global multicenter study (8). The approval of lifileucel for melanoma 107 paves the way for exploration of autologous TIL cell therapy in broader patient populations. Beyond

108 melanoma, it is not well established whether metastatic lesions treated with immune checkpoint

109 inhibitor (ICI) with or without cytotoxic chemotherapy can reliably serve as manufacturing sources for

TIL cell therapy for clinical use or whether patients with other tumor types could tolerate and respond tothe TIL cell therapy regimen.

| 112 | These questions have been particularly crucial in patients with mNSCLC. While the combination of              |
|-----|---|
| 113 | chemotherapy plus ICI has revolutionized treatment outcomes, there remains notable unmet medical              |
| 114 | need in patients with PD-L1-negative tumors and those with primary or acquired resistance to ICI. In          |
| 115 | preclinical studies, TIL have been isolated and amplified ex vivo from primary NSCLC lesions and              |
| 116 | demonstrated antitumor activity (9). Additionally, preliminary activity was observed in a phase 1 study       |
| 117 | of TIL cell therapy in combination with anti-programmed death 1 (anti–PD-1) pathway inhibition in             |
| 118 | patients with mNSCLC (10). In this context, we report results from a phase 2 multicenter study                |
| 119 | investigating lifileucel in patients with mNSCLC who were previously treated with ICI therapy.                |
| 120 |   |
| 121 | Results   |
| 122 | Patient Disposition and Disease Characteristics   |
| 123 | Between January 17, 2019, and January 11, 2021, 39 patients with mNSCLC were enrolled and                     |
| 124 | underwent tumor tissue resection (Supplementary Figure 1). Of these 39 patients with tumor tissue             |
| 125 | resected (Tumor Harvest Set), 28 received a single infusion of lifileucel that met manufacturer's             |
| 126 | specification and comprised the Full Analysis Set (FAS). Five patients did not receive lifileucel for patient |
| 127 | related reasons. Six patients did not have lifileucel manufactured for reasons of low starting tumor          |
| 128 | tissue material, insufficient amount of TIL to proceed with manufacturing, contamination of TIL, or           |
| 129 | presence of necrotic tumor tissue (Supplementary Figure 1).   |
| 130 | In the FAS (N = 28), the median age was 61 years (range, 40–74), and all patients had an Eastern              |

131 Cooperative Oncology performance status (ECOG-PS) of 0 or 1; 86% of patients had a smoking history.

132 The median number of prior systemic therapies was 2 (range, 1–6). All patients received prior treatment

133 with anti–PD-1/PD-L1 antibodies, and 27 (96.4%) patients had received ≥1 line of cytotoxic

- 134 chemotherapy **(Table 1)**. One-quarter (25% [7/28]) of patients in the FAS had disease that was primary
- 135 refractory to last anti–PD-1/PD-L1 therapy (best response of progressive disease to last anti–PD-1/PD-L1
- therapy). Patients had multiple tumors (median number of target/non-target lesions, 4.5 [range, 2–11])
- and median target lesion sum of diameters (SOD) of 79 mm [range, 22–179]). Ten patients (35.7%) had
- prior brain metastases, and six (21.4%) had liver metastases at baseline (13 [46.4%] had prior brain
- and/or liver metastases). PD-L1 expression was low (PD-L1 tumor proportion score [TPS] 1%–49%) or
- 140 negative (PD-L1 TPS <1) in more than half of the tumors (60.7%) (Table 1).
- 141

#### 142 Feasibility and Safety of Tumor Tissue Procurement Surgery

- 143 Tumor tissue procurement surgery was generally well tolerated (Supplementary Table 1), with a median
- 144 duration of hospitalization after resection of 2 days (range, 1–6). No patient had TIL cell therapy
- 145 cancelled due to a surgery-related adverse event (AE). In the Tumor Harvest Set (N = 39), 7 grade 3/4
- resection-related AEs occurred in five (12.8%) patients (ie, constipation, hypertension, hypotension,
- 147 hypoxia, non-cardiac chest pain, pneumothorax, subcutaneous emphysema). The most common site of
- 148 tumor tissue resection for TIL manufacturing was lung (60.7%); multiple other metastatic sites were
- successfully used for manufacturing of lifileucel, including lymph node, liver, pleura, adrenal gland, and
- 150 spleen (Table 1).
- 151

#### 152 Treatment Administration and Safety

- 153 The median time from tumor tissue resection to lifileucel infusion was 35.5 days (range, 28–112). The
- median number of cyclophosphamide and fludarabine doses was 2 (range, 2–2) and 5 (range, 1-5),
- respectively. The median number of TIL cells infused was  $20.9 \times 10^9$  (range,  $1.4 \times 10^9$ – $53.2 \times 10^9$ ). The
- 156 median number of IL-2 doses administered was 5.5 (range, 0–6).

The safety profile was consistent with the advanced disease and known profiles of NMA-LD and IL-2
(Table 2, Supplementary Figure 2) (6,8). All patients experienced grade 3/4 hematologic laboratory
abnormalities with first onset date during the period from the start of NMA-LD to 30 days after lifileucel
infusion; these events resolved to baseline in 93% of patients with low neutrophils and low leukocytes,
82% of patients with low lymphocytes, 85% of patients with low platelets, and 79% of patients with low
hemoglobin.

163 Two patients died of treatment-emergent adverse events. A 60-year-old woman died of cardiac failure 6 days after last NMA-LD dose, 3 days after lifileucel infusion, and 3 days after last IL-2 dose. The 164 165 investigator reported this event as not related to any study therapy. Her history of cigarette smoking, 166 apnea syndrome, and recent pulmonary embolism contributed to respiratory insufficiency due to 167 underlying advanced study disease. Fluid overload may have precipitated her heart failure and possibly 168 contributed to respiratory insufficiency. A 61-year-old woman died of multiple organ failure 2 days after 169 last NMA-LD dose, 1 day after lifileucel infusion, and no IL-2 was administered. The investigator reported 170 this event as possibly related to lifileucel, with sepsis reported as an alternative causality. The patient experienced hypotensive episodes and acute respiratory failure and pneumonia (consistent with history 171 172 of chronic obstructive pulmonary disease) requiring intubation and ventilation before lifileucel infusion. 173 Subsequently, multi-organ failure and hypotension were reported in the setting of pneumonia due to 174 aspiration and possible sepsis.

175

176 Efficacy

At the data-cutoff date of February 22, 2022, the median duration of study follow-up was 16 months
(range, 0.1+ to 27.6). The objective response rate (ORR) was 21.4% (6 responses) in the FAS (*N* = 28).
Investigator-assessed best overall response (BOR) included one complete metabolic response based on
a negative fluorodeoxyglucose (FDG)-positron emission tomography (PET) scan initially observed at Day

181 196 (~6.4 months) and confirmed by multiple repeat FDG-PET scans, and 5 partial responses (PR; **Table** 182 **3)** confirmed by subsequent computed tomography (CT) scans per Response Evaluation Criteria in Solid 183 Tumors (RECIST) v1.1 criteria. Tumor tissue resection sites in the responders included lung (n = 4), 184 spleen (n = 1), and lymph node (n = 1). Reduction in tumor burden (as measured by SOD of target

185 lesions) was reported for 19 (79.2%) patients. (Figure 1A).

186 The median time from lifileucel infusion to BOR was 2.2 months (range, 1.4–6.5). Four of the six (66.7%) 187 confirmed responders had attained a response by their first efficacy assessment at 6 weeks (1.5 months) after lifileucel infusion (Figures 1B and 1C). Figure 2 shows representative CT scans taken before TIL 188 189 treatment and 6 weeks after TIL treatment in a patient who achieved a PR. The duration of response 190 ranged from 1.1+ to 26.2+ months. Responses deepened over time, with continued SOD reduction after 191 initial assessment in all but one responder; in addition, one patient who achieved a PR at their first 192 assessment (SOD reduction of 44% at week 6) subsequently achieved complete metabolic response 193 (based on a negative FDG-PET scan). Another patient who initially had stable disease (SD) achieved PR at 194 6 months. At the time of the data cutoff, responses were ongoing in both patients (complete metabolic response, 26.2+ months; PR, 8.7+ months) without subsequent local or systemic therapies. Notably, all 195 196 responders had received at least 2 prior lines of therapy. Of the 4 patients for whom response was not 197 ongoing at the time of the data cut, 3 patients experienced radiographic disease progression per RECIST 198 1.1 and 1 patient died due to bowel perforation. The cause of radiographic progression in 1 patient was 199 unequivocal progression of non-target disease, 1 patient had both target and non-target lesion 200 progression, and 1 was due to development of a new lesion.

## 201 PD-L1 Expression, Clinical, and Molecular Features

202 The baseline median neutrophil-to-lymphocyte ratio was 2.74 (range 1.16, 9.43) in the responder group

- and 4.31 (1.05, 16.65) in the non-responder group. Baseline LDH was elevated in 17% of patients (1 of 6)
- in the responder group as compared with 50% of those (11 of 22) in the non-responder group.

Lifileucel TIL Cell Monotherapy in Advanced NSCLC

Schoenfeld et al. *Cancer Discovery* 

Two responders previously had PR as best response to prior anti–PD-1/PD-L1 blockade, and four
 responders had progressive disease or SD as best response to prior anti–PD-1/PD-L1 blockade. Upon
 treatment with lifileucel, one patient with a PD-L1–negative tumor attained a complete metabolic
 response as assessed by PET/CT scan. Another patient achieving a PR also had a PD-L1–negative tumor.
 The remaining four patients with PR had PD-L1–positive tumors with TPS between 5% and 90% (Figure 1C).

211 Baseline tumor samples from 20 patients were available for genomic analysis. Overall, key oncogenic 212 driver mutations were seen in 13 patients (responders and non-responders) – KRAS mutations (including one KRAS<sup>G12C</sup>) were seen in the tumors from 11 patients, and EGFR alterations (including EGFR gene 213 214 amplification, as well as exon 19 deletion and T790M mutation) were identified in the tumors from 3 215 patients; one patient's tumor (3B-16) harbored both KRAS and EGFR mutations (Figure 3A). Among the responders, one patient's tumor (3B-17, PR) harbored the KRAS GI2C point mutation and MET 216 amplification, and one patient's tumor (3B-26, PR) harbored the KRAS G12D mutation. Tumors of patients 217 218 3B-02 and 3B-25 were not assessed for mutations, and tumors of patients 3B-22 and 3B-28 showed no 219 detectable actionable driver mutations (Figures 1C and 3A). The tumor from patient 3B-22 did have 220 mutations in STK11 and KEAP1, which are typically associated with poor outcomes to anti-PD-1/PD-L1 221 therapy (11,12).

The median tumor mutational burden (TMB) exome equivalent was 7.71 (1.4–69.48) mutations/Mb;
 conversion to exome equivalent was calibrated as reported in Vega et al (13) (Figure 3B). There was no

significant difference in TMB between responders and non-responders to lifileucel (*P* = 0.79, Figure 3C).

Circulating tumor DNA (ctDNA) was assessed using pre- (Day -7) and post-TIL infusion (Day 42) blood
 from three of the six responders. Patient 3B-17, one of the long-term responders, had low but
 detectable levels of *KRAS*<sup>G12C</sup> (0.41 VAF, 1.4 mutant molecules/mL plasma) in pre-infusion blood, with

clearance of this mutation in the ctDNA post-infusion. Patient 3B-22, who had ongoing response at the
time of ctDNA sample collection on Day 42 and progressive disease later at Day 126, had high levels of
ctDNA in pre-infusion blood (2,771 mutant molecules/mL plasma) and reduced levels post-infusion (672
mutant molecules/mL plasma, Day 42) (Supplementary Figure 3A-3E). No mutations were detected in
plasma from patient 3B-26 at either timepoint.

233

#### 234 Phenotype of TIL Infusion Product and T-Cell Clonal Dynamics

235 TIL infusion product was available for phenotypic analysis from 27 of 28 patients. The memory T-cell

subset composition (i.e., central memory T cells [CCR7<sup>+</sup>CD45RA<sup>-</sup>, TCM] and effector memory T cells

237 [CCR7<sup>-</sup>CD45<sup>-</sup>, TEM] **Supplementary Figure 4A**) did not correlate with response to lifileucel

238 (Supplementary Table 2). Additionally, proportions of CD4 or CD8 T cells and expression of

239 differentiation, activation/exhaustion, and immune-checkpoint markers by CD4<sup>+</sup> and CD8<sup>+</sup> T cells

240 (Supplementary Figures 4B and 4C) were not associated with response to lifileucel (all P > 0.05 between

responders [n = 6] and non-responders [n = 21] using Kruskal-Wallis test; **Supplementary Table 2**).

242 T-cell receptor (TCR) repertoire dynamics and persistence were assessed using unique TCR  $\beta$  chain 243 complementarity-determining region 3 (uCDR3) sequences (i.e., clonotypes) from baseline tumor, TIL 244 infusion product, and pre- (Day -7) and post-TIL infusion (Day 42) blood samples. Day 42 was chosen for 245 TCR repertoire analysis because it corresponds to the first response assessment and has the largest 246 number of samples. The TCR repertoire of all sample types was highly polyclonal, and clonality of the 247 post-infusion blood more closely resembled that of the TIL infusion product than the tumor or pre-248 infusion blood (Figure 4A). A mean of 3090 unique CDR3 clones was present in baseline tumor samples, 249 and a mean of 4076 in TIL infusion products, with a mean of 417 shared clones between tumors and TIL 250 infusion products (~5.5% of total clonotypes; Figure 4B). These shared clones persisted in post-infusion

blood through Month 6 in both responders and non-responders (Figure 4C); small sample sizes preclude
 statistical analyses of association with response. Common CDR3s in the beta chain shared by up to 9

253 patients have been found in TIL drug products.

Evidence of peripheral TCR repertoire remodeling was observed, with a higher proportion of the TCR repertoire derived from TIL infusion product clones in post-infusion than in pre-infusion blood in nearly all patients, regardless of response (**Figure 4D**).

257

258 Discussion

For the first time in a multicenter phase 2 clinical trial, we demonstrate the feasibility and efficacy of one-time centrally manufactured autologous TIL cell therapy in patients with mNSCLC who had received prior anti-PD-1/PD-L1 therapy and whose disease progressed on their most recent therapy. Lifileucel was successfully generated in a centralized TIL manufacturing process, and administered to 28 patients.

263 The initial protocols for TIL cell therapy were primarily developed for patients with melanoma and renal 264 cell carcinoma (2,14-18). In the current study, TIL infusion product was successfully manufactured from 265 a heavily pretreated population of patients with mNSCLC, and AEs related to surgery were as expected 266 and manageable. Overall, the lifileucel regimen demonstrated a safety profile generally consistent with 267 the underlying advanced disease and known safety profiles of NMA-LD and IL-2, comparable with that 268 observed in previous TIL cell therapy studies (6,19,20). The primary toxicities were cytopenias occurring 269 after preparative NMA-LD, which typically resolved within 2 weeks of treatment. Patients received a 270 similar median number of IL-2 doses (5.5) as patients treated with lifileucel in previous studies in 271 metastatic melanoma (6,8). Thus, this study, amongst other proof of concepts, establishes that patients 272 with mNSCLC, including patients with poor baseline characteristics, can tolerate tumor tissue

procurement surgery, including that of lung lesions, and can have lifileucel successfully manufacturedand administered, with TEAEs that were as expected and manageable.

275 However, disease-specific factors in mNSCLC deserve further discussion. A significant number of patients 276 had a history of liver and/or brain metastases (46.4%), which likely reflects a more aggressive disease 277 phenotype in these patients. Additionally, NSCLC is known to directly impact pulmonary function and 278 patients with smoking-related NSCLC have a high prevalence of cardiac and pulmonary comorbidities 279 which may pose challenges before or after administration of the TIL regimen. Of the patients who underwent tumor tissue resection for lifileucel manufacturing, four experienced complications related 280 281 to the underlying disease that made them ineligible to receive lifileucel. Additionally, six patients did not 282 have lifileucel manufactured. Although centralization of TIL manufacturing is a substantial advancement, 283 manufacturing and administration of lifileucel at earlier timepoints in a patient's disease course when 284 the disease is less aggressive could enable more patients with lung cancer to successfully complete the 285 TIL cell therapy regimen. The ongoing clinical trial IOV-LUN-202 (NCT04614103) is enrolling a population 286 of NSCLC patients with fewer prior lines of therapy and includes an exploratory option for tumor tissue procurement and lifileucel manufacturing prior to disease progression to minimize the time between 287 288 disease progression and initiation of TIL cell therapy. Furthermore, a separate cohort of the current 289 clinical trial IOV-COM-202 (NCT03645928) enrolled patients with mNSCLC who were naïve to ICIs (21).

The ORR with lifileucel per RECIST v1.1 was 21.4% (6/28), and responders included patients with PD-L1– negative, TMB-low, and *STK11*-mutant tumors, who are often considered resistant to immunotherapy in mNSCLC. Durable clinical benefit with ongoing responses at time of data cutoff were observed in two of the six responding patients, including a patient with a PD-L1–negative tumor. Notably, five of the six responders showed deepening of responses over time, with continued SOD reduction after initial assessment, indicating the potential of one-time lifileucel TIL cell therapy to generate durable and
 deepening responses in a subset of patients with ICI-treated mNSCLC, supporting further investigation.

297 Given that the proposed mechanism of action of TIL cell therapy is distinct from that of ICI, predictive biomarkers for immunotherapy with ICI may not be applicable in this context. In the current study, the 298 299 most durable response occurred in a patient with a PD-L1–negative tumor (TPS <1%), suggesting that 300 lifileucel activity is not limited by PD-L1 expression. Additionally, mutations in STK11 and KEAP1, which 301 play a role in ICI resistance in lung cancer (11,12), were identified in the tumors from responders. In our study, TMB did not seem to correlate with response, as has been seen for ICI (22). Thus, lifileucel may 302 303 have a uniquely different mechanism of action relative to ICI in NSCLC. A phase 1 study of TIL plus 304 nivolumab in NSCLC similarly observed two complete responses ongoing for >1.5 years in PD-L1-low or -305 negative lung tumors, including a never-smoker whose tumor was TMB-low and harbored an EGFR 306 mutation (9). Therefore, lifileucel could be particularly useful in NSCLC patients who may not experience 307 benefit from PD-1/PD-L1 blockade.

308 Consistent with prior studies of lifileucel (6), we found no association between composition of the TIL 309 product (i.e., memory, differentiation, activation/exhaustion, and immune-checkpoint markers by CD4<sup>+</sup> 310 and CD8<sup>+</sup> T-cells) and response to lifileucel. However, infusion of lifileucel clearly led to peripheral TCR 311 repertoire changes, with notable expansion and persistence of clonotypes present in the TIL infusion product. How this remodeling affects lifileucel clonal dynamics in the peripheral blood and its 312 313 relationship to antitumor T-cell responses is currently under investigation. In the future, comprehensive 314 and longitudinal peripheral and intratumoral monitoring, including on-treatment and post-progression 315 biopsies, may also be critical to improve our understanding of the intrinsic and extrinsic factors 316 associated with response and emergence of resistance to TIL cell therapy in lung cancer.

317 Recent analyses in metastatic melanoma also demonstrated that prior exposure and longer duration of 318 exposure to ICI was associated with worse outcomes with TIL cell therapy (23,24). Prior ICI experience 319 was associated with decreased detection of T cells reactive against neoantigens despite similar 320 predicted neoantigen loads, suggesting that ICI exposure prior to tumor resection could be inversely 321 correlated with expansion of tumor-reactive T cells (24). To that end, recent data demonstrated the 322 safety of combining pembrolizumab with lifileucel in ICI-naïve patients with advanced (unresectable or 323 metastatic) melanoma; advanced, recurrent, or metastatic head and neck squamous cell carcinoma; and 324 persistent, recurrent, or metastatic cervical cancer (25). Additionally, preliminary activity was recently 325 observed in a phase 1 study of TIL cell therapy plus anti–PD-1 therapy in patients with mNSCLC who 326 underwent tumor resection prior to exposure to anti-PD-1 (9). Given the different mechanisms of action 327 of TIL cell therapy and ICI, the promising signals in earlier settings, and the favorable risk-benefit profile 328 demonstrated in the current study, evaluation of lifileucel with or without the addition of PD-1 pathway 329 blockade earlier in the NSCLC disease course is currently underway (NCT03645928 and NCT04614103). Recently, in the IOV-COM-202 study with lifileucel plus pembrolizumab, patients with ICI-naïve mNSCLC 330 331 demonstrated an encouraging ORR of 42.1% for the entire cohort and ORR of 58.3% for patients with 332 EGFR-wild type disease. Durable and deepening responses up to 15.4 months and beyond were 333 observed (21), thus supporting the use of this combination earlier in the disease course. 334 As another approach, genetic modification while maintaining polyclonality of TIL is feasible and may

As another approach, genetic modification while maintaining polycionality of TL is reasible and may
 confer a functional advantage to TIL as a potential therapeutic option in patients with advanced solid
 tumors (26-30). As an example, IOV-4001, a TALEN®-mediated PD-1–inactivated TIL cell therapy product,
 is under investigation in patients with metastatic melanoma and advanced NSCLC, including those
 resistant to prior anti–PD-1/PD-L1 (NCT05361174).

339 In summary, TIL cell therapy represents a feasible, individualized, and polyclonal potential treatment 340 option for patients with mNSCLC. This is the first study to demonstrate the efficacy and safety of 341 centrally manufactured autologous TIL cell therapy as a single modality in patients with mNSCLC after 342 treatment with anti–PD-1/PD-L1 therapy. These results are encouraging and warrant further 343 investigation of lifileucel in patients with mNSCLC. 344 Methods 345 **Study Design** 346 347 IOV-COM-202 (NCT03645928) is a prospective, open-label, multicohort, non-randomized, multicenter 348 phase 2 study evaluating the efficacy and safety of lifileucel in combination with ICI and as a 349 monotherapy in multiple solid tumors. The study consists of 7 cohorts spanning advanced (unresectable 350 or metastatic) melanoma (Cohorts 1A, 1B, 1C); advanced, recurrent, or metastatic head and neck squamous cell carcinomas (HNSCC; Cohort 2A), and mNSCLC (Cohorts 3A, 3B, 3C). Data from cohort 3B, 351 which evaluated lifileucel monotherapy in previously treated (1–3 prior systemic therapies) patients 352 353 with mNSCLC, are reported here. The treatment schema is shown in **Supplementary Figure 5**). 354 Supplementary Table 3 provides information about the representativeness of the study population in 355 relation to the population at large. 356 357 Written informed consent was obtained from all patients. The study was conducted in full compliance 358 with the principles of the Declaration of Helsinki (as amended in Tokyo, Venice, Hong Kong, and South 359 Africa), ICH guidelines, and with the laws and regulations of the country in which the research was 360 conducted. Institutional review boards provided initial approval and continuing review of the study. 361

362 Inclusion Criteria

Patients had a diagnosis of stage III or IV mNSCLC, with confirmed radiographic progression on or after 363 364 most recent treatment. Progression on  $\geq 1$  prior systemic therapy with ICI, including PD-1 or PD-L1 365 blocking antibody was required, except for patients with actionable oncogenic mutations as part of 1-3 366 lines of prior systemic therapy. Patients with tumors harboring known oncogene drivers (e.g., EGFR, ALK, 367 *ROS*) that are sensitive to targeted therapies must have progressed after  $\geq 1$  line of recommended 368 targeted therapy. Patients must have had ≥1 resectable lesion (or aggregate lesions) of a minimum 1.5 369 cm in diameter post-resection for TIL production and  $\geq 1$  remaining lesion for response assessment. 370 Eligible patients were  $\geq$ 18 years of age, with an ECOG-PS of 0 or 1 and adequate organ function and 371 required a sufficient washout period from previous anticancer regimen(s). 372 **Exclusion Criteria** 373 374 Key exclusion criteria included untreated or symptomatic brain metastases, receipt of an organ allograft

or prior cell transfer therapy consisting of a lymphodepleting regimen, current steroid therapy, active

376 illness, primary immunodeficiency, and pregnancy or breastfeeding.

377

#### 378 Lifileucel manufacturing and infusion

379 Eligible patients underwent resection of a tumor(s) measuring a minimum of 1.5 cm in diameter

380 postresection in aggregate diameter, which was prosected (ie, trimmed and fragmented) and shipped to

381 a centralized good manufacturing practice (GMP) facility. The manufacture of lifileucel by a 22-day GMP

- 382 process involves the ex vivo expansion of the TIL cells in the presence of IL-2, OKT3, and irradiated
- 383 allogeneic PBMC feeder cells, followed by harvesting, formulation, cryopreservation, and shipment to

the clinical site for infusion.

| 386 | Treatment Regimen  |
|-----|--|
| 387 | Patients received an NMA-LD regimen consisting of cyclophosphamide (60 mg/kg) daily for two days                 |
| 388 | followed by fludarabine (25 mg/m <sup>2</sup> ) daily for five days. The cryopreserved lifileucel autologous TIL |
| 389 | product was thawed and administered as a single infusion approximately 24 hours after the last dose of           |
| 390 | fludarabine. Lifileucel infusion was followed by up to 6 doses of intravenous IL-2 (600,000 IU/kg)               |
| 391 | approximately every 8 to 12 hours, with the first dose administered between 3 and 24 hours after                 |
| 392 | completion of the TIL infusion.  |
| 393 |  |
| 394 | Study Endpoints  |
| 395 | The primary endpoints of the study were ORR as assessed by investigator per RECIST v1.1                          |
| 396 | ( <b>Supplementary Figure 1</b> ) and incidence of grade ≥3 TEAEs (defined as AEs that occurred from the time    |
| 397 | of TIL infusion, up to 30 days after TIL infusion or start of a new anticancer therapy). The secondary           |
| 398 | endpoints were complete response (CR) rate, duration of response (DOR), progression-free survival                |
| 399 | (PFS), and overall survival (OS). ORR was defined as the proportion of patients who achieved either a            |
| 400 | confirmed PR or CR as BOR, as assessed by the investigator per RECIST v1.1. Additionally, according to           |
| 401 | RECIST v1.1, FDG-PET was used to upgrade a response to a CR in cases where it was difficult to                   |
| 402 | distinguish residual disease from normal tissue (31). DOR was measured from the first time the response          |
| 403 | criteria (PR/CR) were met until the date of progressive disease documentation or death. Patients not             |
| 404 | experiencing progressive disease or who did not die prior to data cut or the final database lock had their       |
| 405 | event times censored on the last date that an adequate tumor assessment was made before the start of             |
| 406 | a new anticancer therapy. Exploratory endpoints included assessment of in vivo persistence of T cells            |
| 407 | comprising the TIL product and predictive and pharmacodynamic biomarkers of clinical response to TIL             |
| 408 | therapy.   |
| 409 |  |

#### 410 Assessment Schedule

- 411 Tumor response assessments by investigator using CT with contrast of the chest and abdomen were
- 412 performed at week six (Day 42), then every six weeks until month six (Week 24), and every three months
- thereafter until disease progression or start of a new anticancer therapy, or participation in the study for
- 414 five years (Month 60) from day 0, whichever occurred first. Consistent with RECIST v1.1, all reported
- 415 responses (CR or PR) were confirmed by a subsequent CT scan.
- 416 TEAEs and SAEs of any attribution were assessed from the time of enrollment until 30 days after the last
- 417 dose of study treatment (lifileucel infusion); during long-term follow-up, only SAEs related to lifileucel
- 418 were collected. TEAEs were assessed per the Common Terminology Criteria for Adverse Events (CTCAE)
- 419 v4.03. AE summaries were based on patient incidence counts and their related percentages, with
- 420 separate listings for severity and investigator-assessed relationship with study treatment.

421

#### 422 Tumor Tissue Collection for Gene Mutations and Protein Expression Levels

- 423 If adequate tissue was available during tumor tissue resection for TIL manufacturing, tissue material for
- 424 studying gene mutations (e.g., EGFR, ALK, ROS), and protein levels (e.g., PD-L1 testing) was obtained at
- 425 the same time and from the same anatomic location(s) as the material harvested for TIL generation.
- 426 Tumor samples were processed to obtain formalin-fixed paraffin-embedded (FFPE) samples.
- 427

#### 428 Assessment of Tumor PD-L1 status

- 429 When available, results of PD-L1 TPS assessment were provided to the sponsor in the screening
- 430 enrollment packet. In addition to the historical TPS score, if sufficient tumor was available at the time of
- 431 resection for TIL manufacturing, FFPE tumor blocks were prepared and analyzed for PD-L1 levels (PD-L1
- 432 22C3 pharmDx Pan Tumor assay, Neogenomics, Fort Myers, FL).

| 433 |  |
|-----|--|
|-----|--|

| 434 | Next Generation Sequencing (NGS) of Tumor Tissues and ctDNA for Detection of Mutations and TMB                |
|-----|---|
| 435 | Sample processing from FFPE tissue, library preparation, hybrid capture, and NGS were performed at            |
| 436 | Personal Genome Diagnostics, Inc. (PGDx; Baltimore, MD). NGS to assess for mutations and TMB was              |
| 437 | performed on DNA isolated from FFPE tumor samples using the PGDx elio <sup>™</sup> tissue complete RUO assay. |
| 438 | NGS of ctDNA was performed using the PGDx elio <sup>™</sup> plasma complete assay. Whole blood was collected  |
| 439 | into ctDNA BCT (Streck, Inc., La Vista, NE), a blood collection tube that stabilizes cell-free DNA. Plasma    |
| 440 | was isolated according to manufacturer's recommendations and stored at -80°C until DNA extraction.            |
| 441 | DNA extraction, library preparation, and sequencing were performed at the PGDx laboratory.                    |
| 442 |   |
| 443 | TCR Repertoire Analysis   |
| 444 | In vivo persistence of T cells comprising lifileucel was assessed by monitoring the presence of TIL           |
| 445 | product-specific TCR $\beta$ chain CDR3 sequences in the patient's blood over time, as previously described   |
| 446 | (32,33). TIL product CDR3 sequences were also assessed in patients' tumors. Briefly, the TCR repertoire       |
| 447 | of the lifileucel lots and corresponding tumor (FFPE), and pre- and post-infusion peripheral blood            |
| 448 | mononuclear cell (PBMC) samples from patients who underwent tumor resection for the purpose of                |
| 449 | lifileucel manufacturing were established by RNA-seq: Total RNA was extracted, using Qiagen's RNeasy          |
| 450 | Mini Kit protocol. TCR $\beta$ CDR3 was amplified and sequenced by NGS, using iRepertoire technology          |
| 451 | (Huntsville, AL). Unique CDR3 sequences were identified and quantified, using iRepertoire's proprietary       |
| 452 | algorithms. Further analyses, including normalization and filtering clonotypes for limit of detection,        |
| 453 | followed by the assessment of clonality, diversity and samples' TCR repertoire overlaps were performed        |
| 454 | using custom scripts, developed in Python (Python Software Foundation, Fredericksburg, VA).                   |
| 455 |   |
| 456 | TIL Infusion Product Characterization by Flow Cytometry   |

457 To characterize the final TIL infusion products, cells were stained for markers, including CD3 BV711, CD8 458 BV786, CD27 BV605, CD28 BB515 (all from BD Biosciences, San Jose, CA); CD4 PE-Cy7, CD45RA AF700, 459 CCR7 PE (all from BioLegend, San Diego, CA) in one panel, and markers including CD3 BUV395, CD8 460 BB515 (all from BD Biosciences, San Jose, CA), CD4 VioGreen (Miltenyi, Bergisch Gladbach, Germany), 461 PD-1 BV421, TIM-3 BV650 (BioLegend, San Diego, CA), and LAG3 APC-eFluor 780, TIGIT PerCP-eFluor 710 462 (eBiosciences, San Diego, CA), in a second panel. Dead cells were excluded using LIVE/DEAD Fixable Blue Dead Cell Stain Kit from ThermoFisher Scientific (Waltham, MA). Cells were acquired on a ZE5 analyzer 463 (BioRad, Hercules, CA) and analyzed using the FlowJo<sup>™</sup> software (Tree Star, Ashland, OR). 464 465 466 **Statistical Analysis** 467 The ORR and CR rate were summarized using point estimates and two-sided 90% confidence intervals 468 (Cis) based on the Clopper-Pearson exact method. Kaplan-Meier methods were used to summarize time-469 to-event efficacy endpoints, such as DOR. Safety analyses were descriptive and based on the 470 summarization of TEAEs. Efficacy and safety analyses were based on the FAS, defined as those patients 471 who received lifileucel infusion in Cohort 3B. A sample size of 28 patients would allow an estimation of 472 ORR with a half-width 90% CI < 0.17 by the Clopper Pearson exact method. 473 **Data Availability Statement** 474

475 The data relevant to the study are included within the article and its supplementary data files.

#### 476 Acknowledgments

- 477 The authors would like to thank the participating patients and their families. The authors also thank Dr.
- 478 Madan Jagasia and Dr. Hari Parameswaran for their contributions to manuscript preparation. Medical
- 479 writing support was provided by Swati Ghatpande and Jayasri Srinivasan of Second City Science, a
- 480 Vaniam Group Company, and funded by Iovance Biotherapeutics. Editorial assistance was provided by
- 481 David McNeel, an employee of Iovance Biotherapeutics.
- 482

## 483 Author Contributions

- 484 Conceptualization Adam J. Schoenfeld, Kai He
- 485 Resources not applicable
- 486 Data curation Guang Chen, Rongsu Qi, Emma Masteller, Viktoria Gontcharova, Rana Fiaz, Melissa
   487 Catlett
- 488 Software not applicable
- Formal analysis Guang Chen, Rongsu Qi, Emma Masteller, Viktoria Gontcharova, Rana Fiaz, Melissa
   Catlett
- 491 Supervision Friedrich Graf Finckenstein, Rana Fiaz
- 492 Funding acquisition not applicable
- 493 Validation all authors
- 494 Investigation all authors
- 495 Visualization not applicable
- 496 Methodology Guang Chen, Rongsu Qi, Emma Masteller, Viktoria Gontcharova, Rana Fiaz, Melissa
   497 Catlett
- 498 Writing original draft Adam J. Schoenfeld, Kai He
- 499 Project administration not applicable
- 500 Writing- review and editing all authors

#### 501 References

- 502 1. Rosenberg SA, Packard BS, Aebersold PM, Solomon D, Topalian SL, Toy ST, et al. Use of tumor-
- infiltrating lymphocytes and interleukin-2 in the immunotherapy of patients with metastatic melanoma.
  A preliminary report. *N Engl J Med* **1988**;319:1676-80.
- 2. Rosenberg SA, Yang JC, Sherry RM, Kammula US, Hughes MS, Phan GQ, et al. Durable complete
- responses in heavily pretreated patients with metastatic melanoma using T-cell transfer
- 507 immunotherapy. *Clin Cancer Res* **2011**;17:4550-7.
- 508 3. Goff SL, Dudley ME, Citrin DE, Somerville RP, Wunderlich JR, Danforth DN, et al. Randomized,
- 509 prospective evaluation comparing intensity of lymphodepletion before adoptive transfer of tumor-510 infiltrating lymphocytes for patients with metastatic melanoma. *J Clin Oncol* **2016**;34:2389-97.
- 4. Besser MJ, Shapira-Frommer R, Treves AJ, Zippel D, Itzhaki O, Hershkovitz L, et al. Clinical responses in
- a phase II study using adoptive transfer of short-term cultured tumor infiltration lymphocytes in
- 513 metastatic melanoma patients. *Clin Cancer Res* **2010**;16:2646-55.
- 5. Radvanyi LG, Bernatchez C, Zhang M, Miller P, Glass M, Papadopoulos N, et al. Adoptive T-cell therapy for metastatic melanoma: The MD Anderson experience. *J Immunother* **2010**;33:863.
- 516 6. Sarnaik AA, Hamid O, Khushalani NI, Lewis KD, Medina T, Kluger HM, et al. Lifileucel, a tumor-
- 517 infiltrating lymphocyte therapy, in metastatic melanoma. *J Clin Oncol* 2021:JCO2100612 doi
  518 10.1200/JCO.21.00612.
- 519 7. AMTAGVI (lifileucel) prescribing information. Iovance Biotherapeutics, 2024. [cited 2024 Mar 25].
  520 Available from: https://www.iovance.com/AMTAGVI\_USPI.
- 521 8. Chesney J, Lewis KD, Kluger H, Hamid O, Whitman E, Thomas S, et al. Efficacy and safety of lifileucel, a
- 522 one-time autologous tumor-infiltrating lymphocyte (TIL) cell therapy in patients with advanced
- 523 melanoma after progression on immune checkpoint inhibitors and targeted therapies: Pooled analysis of
- 524 consecutive cohorts of the C-144-01 study. *J Immunother Cancer* **2022**;10(12):e005755.
- 525 9. Ben-Avi R, Farhi R, Ben-Nun A, Gorodner M, Greenberg E, Markel G, et al. Establishment of adoptive
- 526 cell therapy with tumor infiltrating lymphocytes for non-small cell lung cancer patients. Cancer527 Immunology, Immunotherapy **2018**;67:1221-30.
- 10. Creelan BC, Wang C, Teer JK, Toloza EM, Yao J, Kim S, et al. Tumor-infiltrating lymphocyte treatment
  for anti-PD-1-resistant metastatic lung cancer: a phase 1 trial. *Nat Med* 2021;27:1410-8.
- 530 11. Papillon-Cavanagh S, Doshi P, Dobrin R, Szustakowski J, Walsh AM. STK11 and KEAP1 mutations as
- 531 prognostic biomarkers in an observational real-world lung adenocarcinoma cohort. *ESMO Open*
- 532 **2020**;5(2) doi 10.1136/esmoopen-2020-000706.
- 533 12. Di Federico A, De Giglio A, Parisi C, Gelsomino F. STK11/LKB1 and KEAP1 mutations in non-small cell
- lung cancer: Prognostic rather than predictive? *Eur J Cancer* **2021**;157:108-13.
- 535

- 13. Vega DM, Yee LM, McShane LM, Williams PM, Chen L, Vilimas T, et al. Aligning tumor mutational
- 537 burden (TMB) quantification across diagnostic platforms: phase II of the Friends of Cancer Research TMB
- 538 Harmonization Project. *Ann Oncol* **2021**;32:1626-36.
- 539 14. Rosenberg SA, Yannelli JR, Yang JC, Topalian SL, Schwartzentruber DJ, Weber JS, et al. Treatment of
- 540 patients with metastatic melanoma with autologous tumor-infiltrating lymphocytes and interleukin 2. J
- 541 Natl Cancer Inst **1994**;86:1159-66.
- 542 15. Baldan V, Griffiths R, Hawkins RE, Gilham DE. Efficient and reproducible generation of tumour543 infiltrating lymphocytes for renal cell carcinoma. *Br J Cancer* **2015**;112:1510-8.
- 544 16. Figlin RA, Pierce WC, Kaboo R, Tso CL, Moldawer N, Gitlitz B, et al. Treatment of metastatic renal cell
  545 carcinoma with nephrectomy, interleukin-2 and cytokine-primed or CD8(+) selected tumor infiltrating
  546 lymphocytes from primary tumor. *J Urol* 1997;158(3 Pt 1):740-5.
- 17. Markel G, Cohen-Sinai T, Besser MJ, Oved K, Itzhaki O, Seidman R, et al. Preclinical evaluation of
  adoptive cell therapy for patients with metastatic renal cell carcinoma. *Anticancer Res* 2009;29:145-54.
- 549 18. Rosenberg SA, Packard BS, Aebersold PM, Solomon D, Topalian SL, Toy ST, et al. Use of tumor-
- infiltrating lymphocytes and interleukin-2 in the immunotherapy of patients with metastatic melanoma.
   *N Engl J Med* **1988**;319:1676-80.
- 19. Dudley ME, Wunderlich JR, Yang JC, Sherry RM, Topalian SL, Restifo NP, et al. Adoptive cell transfer
  therapy following non-myeloablative but lymphodepleting chemotherapy for the treatment of patients
  with refractory metastatic melanoma. *J Clin Oncol* 2005;23:2346-57.
- 20. Dudley ME, Yang JC, Sherry R, Hughes MS, Royal R, Kammula U, et al. Adoptive cell therapy for
  patients with metastatic melanoma: evaluation of intensive myeloablative chemoradiation preparative
  regimens. *J Clin Oncol* 2008;26:5233-9.
- Schoenfeld A, He K, Chesney J, Garon E, Nieva J, Sacher A, et al. Multicenter phase II trial of LN-145
  TIL cell therapy plus pembrolizumab in patients with ICI-naïve metastatic NSCLC. [cited 2024 Mar 25].
  Available from: https://cattendee.abstractsonline.com/meeting/10925/Session/114.
- 22. Cristescu R, Aurora-Garg D, Albright A, Xu L, Liu XQ, Loboda A, et al. Tumor mutational burden
  predicts the efficacy of pembrolizumab monotherapy: a pan-tumor retrospective analysis of participants
  with advanced solid tumors. *J Immunother Cancer* 2022;10(1) doi 10.1136/jitc-2021-003091.
- Seitter SJ, Sherry RM, Yang JC, Robbins PF, Shindorf ML, Copeland AR, et al. Impact of prior
  treatment on the efficacy of adoptive transfer of tumor-infiltrating lymphocytes in patients with
  metastatic melanoma. *Clin Cancer Res* 2021;27:5289-98.
- 24. Levi ST, Copeland AR, Nah S, Crystal JS, Ivey GD, Lalani A, et al. Neoantigen identification and
  response to adoptive cell transfer in anti-PD-1 naive and experienced patients with metastatic
  melanoma. *Clin Cancer Res* 2022;28:3042-52.
- 570 25. O'Malley D, Lee S, Psyrri A, Sukari A, Thomas S, Wenham R, et al. Phase 2 efficacy and safety of 571 autologous tumor-infiltrating lymphocyte (TIL) cell therapy in combination with pembrolizumab in

- 572 immune checkpoint inhibitor-naïve patients with advanced cancers. *J Immunother Cancer* 2021;9(Suppl
  573 2):A523-A4.
- 574 26. Menger L, Sledzinska A, Bergerhoff K, Vargas FA, Smith J, Poirot L, et al. TALEN-mediated inactivation
- 575 of PD-1 in tumor-reactive lymphocytes promotes intratumoral T-cell persistence and rejection of 576 established tumors. *Cancer Res* **2016**;76:2087-93.
- 577 27. Ritthipichai K MM, Juillerat A, Poirot L, Fardis M, Chartier C. 1052P Genetic modification of Iovance's 578 TIL through TALEN-mediated knockout of PD-1 as a strategy to empower TIL therapy for cancer. *Ann*
- 579 Oncol **2020**;31:S720.
- 580 28. Natarajan A, Veerapathran A, Wells A, Herman C, Gontcharova V, Onimus K, et al. Preclinical activity
- and manufacturing feasibility of genetically modified PDCD-1 knockout (KO) tumor-infiltrating
   lymphocyte (TIL) cell therapy. *Cancer Res* 2022;82(12\_Supplement):2746.
- 583 29. Klobuch S, Seijkens TTP, Schumacher TN, Haanen JBAG. Tumour-infiltrating lymphocyte therapy for 584 patients with advanced-stage melanoma. *Nat Rev Clin Oncol* **2024**;21:173-184.
- 585 30. Betof Warner A, Corrie PG, Hamid O. Tumor-infiltrating lymphocyte therapy in melanoma: facts to 586 the future. *Clin Cancer Res* **2023**;29:1835-54.
- 587 31. Eisenhauer EA, Therasse P, Bogaerts J, Schwartz LH, Sargent D, Ford R, et al. New response
  588 evaluation criteria in solid tumours: revised RECIST guideline (version 1.1). *Eur J Cancer* 2009;45:228-47.
- 589 32. Gontcharova V, Suzuki S, Simpson-Abelson MR, Blaskovich M, Chartier C. Abstract LB-069:
- 590 Persistence of cryopreserved tumor-infiltrating lymphocyte product lifileucel (LN-144) in C-144-01 study
- of advanced metastatic melanoma. *Cancer Research* **2019**;79(13 Suppl):LB-069.
- 592 33. Jazaeri A, Gontcharova V, Blaskovich M, Kunkalla K, Masteller E, Fardis M, et al. In vivo persistence of
- 593 Iovance tumour-infiltrating lymphocytes LN-145 in cervical cancer patients. *Ann Oncol* **2020**;31:S642.

## 595 Table 1

| Characteristic  | Full Analysis Set<br>(N = 28) |
|---|-------------------------------|
| Median age (range), years                                   | 61 (40–74)                    |
| Sex, n (%)  |                               |
| Male  | 14 (50)                       |
| Female  | 14 (50)                       |
| Smoking history   |                               |
| Smoker (current or former)                                  | 24 (85.7)                     |
| Never   | 4 (14.3)                      |
| Baseline ECOG performance status, n (%)                     | <b>I</b>                      |
| 0   | 9 (32.1)                      |
| 1   | 19 (67.9)                     |
| NSCLC histology, n (%)                                      |                               |
| Adenocarcinoma  | 22 (78.6)                     |
| Squamous cell carcinoma                                     | 5 (17.9)                      |
| Large cell carcinoma  | 1 (3.6)                       |
| PD-L1 status, <sup>a</sup> n (%)                            | <b>I</b>                      |
| TPS <1%   | 6 (21.4)                      |
| TPS 1%–49%  | 11 (39.3)                     |
| TPS ≥50%  | 9 (32.1)                      |
| Missing   | 2 (7.1)                       |
| NSCLC stage at study entry                                  |                               |
| IIIA  | 1 (3.6)                       |
| IVA   | 13 (46.4)                     |
| IVB   | 14 (50.0)                     |
| Prior brain metastases                                      | 10 (35.7)                     |
| Prior liver metastases                                      | 6 (21.4)                      |
| Liver and/or brain metastases, n (%)                        | 13 (46.4)                     |
| Median target lesion SOD (range), mm                        | 79 (22–179)                   |
| Median number of baseline target and non-target lesions     | 4.5 (2, 11)                   |
| Median number of therapies (range)                          | 2 (1, 6)                      |
| Prior adjudicated systemic therapies (by agent type), n (%) | <b>I</b>                      |
| Immunotherapy   | 28 (100)                      |
| Chemotherapy  | 27 (96.4)                     |
| Monoclonal antibody <sup>b</sup>                            | 8 (28.6)                      |
| Targeted therapy <sup>c</sup>                               | 2 (7.1)                       |
| Prior adjudicated therapy (by category), n (%)              |                               |
| Anti–CTLA-4   | 6 (21.4)                      |
| Anti–PD-L1  | 7 (25.0)                      |
| Anti–PD-1   | 23 (82.1)                     |

| Anti–PD-1 and/or anti–PD-L1                        | 28 (100)  |
|--|-----------|
| Anti-VEGF  | 6 (21.4)  |
| EGFR inhibitor                                     | 1 (3.6)   |
| Other  | 4 (14.3)  |
| Primary refractory to last anti-PD-1/PD-L1 therapy | 7 (25%)   |
| Resected tumor site, n (%)                         |           |
| Lung   | 17 (60.7) |
| Liver  | 2 (7.1)   |
| Lymph node   | 3 (10.7)  |
| Spleen   | 1 (3.6)   |
| Subcutaneous                                       | 1 (3.6)   |
| Other <sup>d</sup>                                 | 4 (14.3)  |

## 597 Table 2

|  | Full Analysis Set (N = 28)              |                    |                      |  |  |  |
|--|---|--------------------|----------------------|--|--|--|
| TEAES (≥20%)<br>Preferred term                         | Any Grade<br>n (%)                      | Grade 3/4<br>n (%) | Grade 5<br>n (%)     |  |  |  |
| Number of patients reporting ≥1 TEAE                   | 28 (100.0)                              | 27 (96.4)          | 2 (7.1) <sup>b</sup> |  |  |  |
| Chills   | 19 (67.9)                               | 1 (3.6)            | 0                    |  |  |  |
| Hypotension  | 18 (64.3)                               | 7 (25)             | 0                    |  |  |  |
| Pyrexia  | 16 (57.1)                               | 1 (3.6)            | 0                    |  |  |  |
| Нурохіа  | 15 (53.6)                               | 5 (17.9)           | 0                    |  |  |  |
| Alopecia   | 10 (35.7)                               | 0                  | 0                    |  |  |  |
| Diarrhea   | 10 (35.7)                               | 3 (10.7)           | 0                    |  |  |  |
| Peripheral edema                                       | 10 (35.7)                               | 0                  | 0                    |  |  |  |
| Decreased appetite                                     | 9 (32.1)                                | 3 (10.7)           | 0                    |  |  |  |
| Dyspnea  | 9 (32.1)                                | 3 (10.7)           | 0                    |  |  |  |
| Fatigue  | 9 (32.1)                                | 4 (14.3)           | 0                    |  |  |  |
| Febrile neutropenia                                    | 8 (28.6)                                | 8 (28.6)           | 0                    |  |  |  |
| Nausea   | 8 (28.6)                                | 1 (3.6)            | 0                    |  |  |  |
| Hypertension   | 7 (25.0)                                | 6 (21.4)           | 0                    |  |  |  |
| Hypokalemia  | 7 (25.0)                                | 0                  |                      |  |  |  |
| Sinus tachycardia                                      | 7 (25.0)                                | 0                  | 0                    |  |  |  |
| Vomiting   | 7 (25.0)                                | 0                  | 0                    |  |  |  |
| Constipation   | 6 (21.4)                                | 0                  | 0                    |  |  |  |
| Capillary leak syndrome                                | 6 (21.4)                                | 1 (3.6)            | 0                    |  |  |  |
| Headache   | 6 (21.4)                                | 0                  | 0                    |  |  |  |
| Pleural effusion                                       | 6 (21.4)                                | 1 (3.6)            | 0                    |  |  |  |
| Weight decreased                                       | 6 (21.4) 0 0                            |                    |                      |  |  |  |
| Hematologic laboratory abnormalities<br>Preferred Term | Full Analysis Set (N = 28)<br>Grade 3/4 |                    |                      |  |  |  |
| Low leukocytes   | 28 (100)                                |                    |                      |  |  |  |
| Low lymphocytes  | 28 (100)                                |                    |                      |  |  |  |
| Low neutrophils  | 28 (100)                                |                    |                      |  |  |  |
| Low platelets  | 27 (96.4)                               |                    |                      |  |  |  |
| Low hemoglobin   | 19 (67.9)                               |                    |                      |  |  |  |

## 599 Table 3

| Response (RECIST v1.1)                             | Full Analysis Set<br>(N = 28) |  |  |  |
|--|-------------------------------|--|--|--|
| ORR, n (%)   | 6/28 (21.4)                   |  |  |  |
| (95% CI)   | (8.3–41.0)                    |  |  |  |
| BOR, n (%)   |                               |  |  |  |
| CR <sup>a</sup>                                    | 1/28 (3.6)                    |  |  |  |
| PR   | 5/28 (17.9)                   |  |  |  |
| SD   | 12/28 (42.9)                  |  |  |  |
| PD   | 6/28 (21.4)                   |  |  |  |
| Non-evaluable                                      | 4/28 (14.3)                   |  |  |  |
| DOR, months (range)                                | 1.1+ to 26.2+                 |  |  |  |
| DOR for patient with CR, months                    | 26.2+                         |  |  |  |
| DOR for patients with PR, months                   | 8.7+, 4.2, 2.6, 2.4, 1.1+     |  |  |  |
| Median duration of study follow-up, months (range) | 16 (0.1+ to 27.6)             |  |  |  |

## 601 Table Legends

- 602 Table 1. Patient demographics and baseline characteristics
- <sup>a</sup>Per central laboratory from tumor tissue resection specimen, except for four patients who had TPS
- assessed locally using archival tumor sample.
- <sup>b</sup>Includes sacituzumab govitecan, bevacizumab, vopratelimab, ramucirumab, BMS-986016, BMS-986253, and daratumumab.
- 607 <sup>c</sup>Includes erlotinib and PF-06647020 (clinical trial).
- 608 <sup>d</sup>Other resection sites included 1 adrenal gland, 1 pleura, 2 soft tissues.
- 609 CTLA-4, cytotoxic T-lymphocyte associated protein 4; ECOG, Eastern Cooperative Oncology Group; EGFR,
- epidermal growth factor receptor; NSCLC, non-small cell lung cancer; PD-1, programmed cell death
- 611 protein 1; PD-L1, programmed death-ligand 1; SOD, sum of diameters; TPS, tumor proportion score;
- 612 VEGF, vascular endothelial growth factor.
- 613
- **Table 2.** Non-hematologic treatment-emergent adverse events in  $\geq$ 20% of patients<sup>a</sup> and Grade 3/4
- 615 hematologic laboratory abnormalities
- <sup>a</sup>Among AEs of interest related to ACT, there were no reported cases of ICANS; one patient with fever on
- 617 the day of TIL infusion was reported to have grade 1 cytokine release syndrome per investigator,
- although the etiology remains indistinguishable from an infusion reaction.
- <sup>b</sup>One grade 5 TEAE was reported by investigator for cardiac failure (not related to any study therapy),
- 620 with alternative causality reported as disease-related, and another one for multiple organ failure
- 621 (possibly related to lifileucel), with sepsis reported as alternative causality.
- 622 ACT, adoptive cell therapy; AE, adverse event; ICANS, immune effector cell-associated neurotoxicity
- 623 syndrome; TEAE, treatment-emergent adverse event; TIL, tumor-infiltrating lymphocyte.
- 624
- 625 **Table 3.** Efficacy outcomes by investigator assessment
- 626 <sup>a</sup>Metabolic CR.
- 627 +, censored; BOR, best overall response; CI, confidence interval; CR, complete response; DOR, duration
- of response; ORR, objective response rate; PD, progressive disease; PR, partial response; RECIST,
- 629 Response Evaluation Criteria in Solid Tumors; SD, stable disease.

#### 630 Figure Legends

631 632

633 Figure 1. Efficacy outcomes as assessed by investigator (RECIST v1.1) in the Full Analysis Set (A) Best 634 percentage change from baseline in target lesion sum of diameters. For Patient 3B-02, the best overall 635 response of CR was based on investigator assessment of a complete metabolic response via negative 636 FDG-PET scan. (B) Percentage change from baseline in target lesion sum of diameters.★ denotes 637 metabolic CR. (C) Time to initial response, time on efficacy assessment for confirmed responders. Each 638 bar is presented for each patient starting from date of lifileucel infusion to the date of new anti-cancer 639 therapy, end of assessment, death, or data cutoff date, whichever occurs earlier. \*Tumor sample from 640 patient 3B-28 was assessed only by site-reported testing for actionable driver mutations. 641 CR, complete response; FDG-PET, fluorodeoxyglucose-positron emission tomography; ICI, immune 642 checkpoint inhibitor; PD, progressive disease; PD-L1, programmed death ligand 1; PR, partial response; 643 RECIST, Response Evaluation Criteria in Solid Tumors; SD, stable disease; TPS, tumor proportion score.

644

Figure 2. CT scans from before and 6 weeks after TIL treatment in a 41-year-old man with stage IV
 mucinous lung adenocarcinoma. Tumor harbors KRAS G12D mutation, TMB of 3.3 mt/Mb and PD-L1

647 expression of 0%. Prior progression on 3 lines of therapy including carboplatin, paclitaxel, and

648 pembrolizumab after initial response. CT scan demonstrated 81% PR by RECIST v1.1 criteria at 12 weeks

after TIL infusion. TMB, tumor mutational burden. PR, partial response. TIL, tumor-infiltrating
 lymphocytes

651

**Figure 3.** Mutation landscape and TMB. (**A**) Mutation landscape showing genes of interest<sup>\*</sup>. <sup>\*</sup>Based on mutation profiling of patient tumor samples collected during the study. Percentage of patients with

alterations in each gene is shown on the Y-axis. <sup>†</sup>Actionable driver oncogenes include KRAS, EGFR, RET,

- 655 BRAF, MET, ALK, and ROS1. Mutations of genes of interest are colored by alteration type. Percentage of
- 656 patients with alterations in each gene is shown on the left. Stacked bar of number of patients with each
- alteration type in each gene is shown on the right. Response (responder, non-responder), and best
- overall response (CR, PR, SD, PD, NE) are shown on the top. Bar plot of tumor mutational burden is
- 659 shown on the top. (B) TMB distribution at baseline. TMB values (mut/Mb sequenced regions of interest)
- obtained from the PGDx targeted sequencing assay are shown as a violin plot. (C) TMB exome
- 661 equivalent by patient response. TMB values (mut/Mb sequenced regions of interest) from PGDx were
- divided by a factor of 1.647 using a calibration approach by Vega et al (13) to derive TMB exome
   equivalent values. Derived TMB values grouped by response are shown in the boxplot, with *P*-value from
- 664 Kruskal-Wallis test.
- BOR, best overall response; CR, complete response; NE, non-evaluable; PD, progressive disease; PR,
- 666 partial response; ROI, region of interest; SD, stable disease; TMB, tumor mutational burden.
- 667

668 Figure 4. Diversity, clonality, proportion of overlapping clones, or persistence of patient-specific TCR 669 clones (A) TCR repertoire profile across samples. \*Denotes statistically significant difference between 670 the samples. Violin plots of the Simpson Clonality Index are shown for the tumor, TIL products, and pre-671 and post-infusion blood. Pre-infusion blood samples were collected at Day -7 and post-infusion blood 672 samples at Day 42. Simpson Clonality Index reflects mono- or poly-clonality of a sample and is inversely 673 related to diversity (Shannon Entropy Index). Values can range from 0 (evenly distributed, polyclonal 674 sample) to 1 (monoclonal sample). Significantly differing populations were determined with the 675 correction for multiple comparisons by controlling false discovery using two-stage linear step-up 676 procedure of Benjamini, Krieger, and Yekutieli and are indicated with \*(P<0.05). (B) Individual patient

- 677 data showing exclusive and shared clones between tumor and TIL infusion product. Comparison of TCR
- 678 clones in the TIL infusion product with TCR clones in the baseline tumor. Total number of CDR3v $\beta$  clones
- are shown on the Y-axis. Unique CDR3v $\beta$  clone counts, assessed by RNA sequencing of the TCR
- 680 repertoire, are identified as shared (dark blue) or specific to individual tumor FFPE samples (light blue)
- or the respective TIL product lots (green) are plotted for all patients. (C) TCR clonal expansion and
- 682 persistence. Tumor samples collected at the time of resection were analyzed and compared with the TIL
- 683 products infused and blood samples from pre- and post-infusion timepoints. Overlapping (shared) and
- unique clonotypes between the tumor sample and the TIL infusion product were analyzed. (D) TIL
   clones in pre-infusion (Day -7) and post-infusion blood (Day 42). The TIL clonotypes were also assessed
- for their contribution to the total TCR repertoire in the pre- and post- infusion blood.
- TCR, T-cell receptor; TIL, tumor-infiltrating lymphocyte; uCDR3, unique complementarity-determining
   region 3.
- 689
- 690
- 691





С

| Pt<br>ID | Prior Therapies  | Best<br>Response<br>to Prior ICI | Smoking<br>(pack-yr) | PD-L1<br>TPS (%) | Driver<br>Mutations                                   |     |   |          |  |
|----------|--|----------------------------------|----------------------|------------------|---|-----|---|----------|--|
| 3B-02    | Abraxane + Carboplatin;<br>Nivolumab; Cisplatin +<br>Gemcitabine   | PD                               | 0                    | <1               | Not assessed  | ▼   |   |          | →  |
| 3B-17    | Radiotherapy; Ipilimumab +<br>Nivolumab; Bevacizumab +<br>Cisplatin + Pemetrexed                                     | PD                               | 16                   | 70               | <i>KRAS</i> <sup>G12C</sup> ,<br>MET<br>amplification |     | ▼ | <b>→</b> |  |
| 3B-25    | Carboplatin + Paclitaxel;<br>Cisplatin + Etoposide;<br>Durvalumab  | PD                               | 52                   | 0                | Not assessed  | ▼   | • | <br>     |  |
| 3B-26    | Cisplatin + Pembrolizumab +<br>Pemetrexed; Carboplatin +<br>Paclitaxel + Pembrolizumab;<br>Gemcitabine + Vinorelbine | PR                               | 17                   | 40               | KRAS <sup>G12D</sup>                                  | - ▼ | • | <br>     |  |
| 3B-22    | Radiosurgery; Carboplatin +<br>Pembrolizumab +<br>Pemetrexed; Docetaxel  | PR                               | 21                   | 5                | None detected   | ▼   |   | <br>     | <ul> <li>▲ CR Start</li> <li>▼ PR Start</li> </ul>                       |
| 3B-28*   | Radiotherapy; Cisplatin +<br>Vinorelbine; Atezolizumab;<br>Pemetrexed  | SD                               | 3                    | 90               | None detected   | ▼ [ | ļ |          | <ul> <li>Ongoing on Study</li> <li>Progression</li> <li>Death</li> </ul> |

<sup>0 2 4 6 8 10 12 14 16 18 20 22 24 26 28 30 32 34 36</sup> Time (Months) Since TIL Infusion

## Figure 2

## Pre-treatment

## 42 days after TIL infusion

Dowr

















D