

Check for updates



Author for correspondence: Christoph Bachofen Email: christoph.bachofen@epfl.ch

Received: 29 November 2023 Accepted: 13 March 2024 Tansley review

Tree water uptake patterns across the globe

Christoph Bachofen^{1,2} (D), Shersingh Joseph Tumber-Dávila^{3,4} (D), D. Scott Mackay⁵ (D), Nate G. McDowell^{6,7} (D), Andrea Carminati⁸ (D), Tamir Klein⁹ (D), Benjamin D. Stocker^{10,11} (D), Maurizio Mencuccini^{12,13} (D) and Charlotte Grossiord^{1,2} (D)

¹Plant Ecology Research Laboratory PERL, School of Architecture, Civil and Environmental Engineering, EPFL, 1015, Lausanne, Switzerland; ²Functional Plant Ecology, Community Ecology Unit, Swiss Federal Institute for Forest, Snow and Landscape WSL, 1015, Lausanne, Switzerland; ³Department of Environmental Studies, Dartmouth College, Hanover, NH 03755, USA; ⁴Harvard Forest, Harvard University, Petersham, MA 01316, USA; ⁵Department of Geography, University at Buffalo, Buffalo, NY 14261, USA; ⁶Atmospheric Sciences and Global Change Division, Pacific Northwest National Laboratory, Richland, WA 99354, USA; ⁷School of Biological Sciences, Washington State University, Pullman, WA 99163, USA; ⁸Physics of Soils and Terrestrial Ecosystems, Department of Environmental Systems Science, ETH Zürich, 8092, Zürich, Switzerland; ⁹Plant & Environmental Sciences Department, Weizmann Institute of Science, Rehovot, 76100, Israel; ¹⁰Institute of Geography, University of Bern, Bern, 3013, Switzerland; ¹¹Oeschger Centre for Climate Change Research, University of Bern, 3013, Bern, Switzerland; ¹²CREAF, Cerdanyola del Vallès, Barcelona, 08193, Spain; ¹³ICREA at CREAF, Cerdanyola del Vallès, Barcelona, 08193, Spain

Contents

	Summary	1
١.	Introduction	2
Π.	Mechanisms of root water uptake	2
III.	Global variation of water uptake depth with biomes and plant functional groups	4
IV.	Relationship between rooting depth and water uptake depth	6
V.	Water uptake depth under seasonal soil moisture variation	6

VI. The role of water uptake depth for tree water and carbon exchange, and mortality	8
VII. Model representation of WUD across scales	8
VIII. Conclusions and perspectives	10
Acknowledgements	11
References	11

Summary

New Phytologist (2024) **doi**: 10.1111/nph.19762

Key words: drought survival, plant functional type, precipitation seasonality, rooting depth, tree water source, seasonal plasticity, vegetation process models.

Plant water uptake from the soil is a crucial element of the global hydrological cycle and essential for vegetation drought resilience. Yet, knowledge of how the distribution of water uptake depth (WUD) varies across species, climates, and seasons is scarce relative to our knowledge of aboveground plant functions. With a global literature review, we found that average WUD varied more among biomes than plant functional types (i.e. deciduous/evergreen broadleaves and conifers), illustrating the importance of the hydroclimate, especially precipitation seasonality, on WUD. By combining records of rooting depth with WUD, we observed a

© 2024 The Authors

New Phytologist © 2024 New Phytologist Foundation

This is an open access article under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-NoDerivs License, which permits use and distribution in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited, the use is non-commercial and no modifications or adaptations are made.

source: https://doi.org/10.48350/196190 | downloaded: 30.6.2024

consistently deeper maximum rooting depth than WUD with the largest differences in arid regions – indicating that deep taproots act as lifelines while not contributing to the majority of water uptake. The most ubiquitous observation across the literature was that woody plants switch water sources to soil layers with the highest water availability within short timescales. Hence, seasonal shifts to deep soil layers occur across the globe when shallow soils are drying out, allowing continued transpiration and hydraulic safety. While there are still significant gaps in our understanding of WUD, the consistency across global ecosystems allows integration of existing knowledge into the next generation of vegetation process models.

I. Introduction

Plant transpiration (*E*) represents on average 60% of terrestrial evapotranspiration (Wei *et al.*, 2017) and, therefore, significantly contributes to the global water cycle (Sellers *et al.*, 1997). Hence, understanding how plants acquire water has implications for predicting local and global hydrology, as well as mitigating climate change impacts on freshwater reserves. The amount of water plants transpire is limited by root water uptake (Kramer & Boyer, 1995). However, we have a better understanding of the aboveground processes involved in plant water use than those belowground due to the difficulty of accessing roots. This important knowledge gap has led to large predictive uncertainty in land surface and earth system models (Sulis *et al.*, 2019).

Tree roots have received increasing attention in recent years due to their importance for plant drought tolerance, avoidance, and mortality (Choat et al., 2018; Nardini et al., 2021). Multiple reviews and meta-studies have investigated root distribution and depth changes under drought (e.g. Weemstra et al., 2016; Laliberté, 2017; Tumber-Dávila et al., 2022). However, root distribution alone cannot be directly translated into the depth of water sources (Yang et al., 2015; Bello et al., 2019) as plants can rapidly shift the uptake depth as environmental conditions change. Plant water uptake is determined by the combined distribution of root surface area and soil water availability. Therefore, water uptake depth (WUD) is always a distribution of water fluxes over depth. The average WUD can be conceived as a flux-weighted mean depth. Since empirical studies of WUD effectively measure a flux-weighed mean (Box 1), we adopt this definition for this review. We still have little knowledge of how WUD varies among biomes, functional groups, and seasons, and of the link between WUD dynamics and aboveground drought responses. To better understand tree responses to drought, including E, growth and mortality risk, the dynamics of WUD have to be considered (Choat et al., 2018; Carminati & Javaux, 2020).

Here, we provide the first global overview of woody plant WUD. Our review includes 120 studies worldwide that assessed WUD of trees and shrubs with isotopic tracers, soil water balance models, root and stem sap flow measurements, or a combination thereof (Box 1; Fig. 1; Supporting Information Table S1; Appendix A1). We focused on six questions: (1) What is our current understanding of the mechanisms of root water uptake? (2) How does WUD vary among biomes and plant functional groups, and (3) in relation to rooting depth across species? (4) What is the effect of seasonal soil water availability on WUD? (5) How does WUD affect *E*, productivity, and mortality? (6) What is the state and potential in simulating global WUD patterns in vegetation process models?

II. Mechanisms of root water uptake

Root water uptake is governed by how water and roots are distributed across the soil profile and, therefore, is spatially and temporally variable (Javaux *et al.*, 2008). It occurs mainly via the absorbent fine roots, which are thin, nonwoody tissues that are the most permeable portion of the root system and have the greatest capacity to absorb water (Kramer & Boyer, 1995; McCully, 1999; Comas *et al.*, 2013). By contrast, higher-order roots, coarse roots, and transport fine roots, serve other functions, such as anchorage, water transport, and storage (McCormack *et al.*, 2015). Additionally, root hairs and mycorrhizas associated with fine roots can increase absorptive surface area and, hence, enhance water uptake (Fig. 2; Weemstra *et al.*, 2016; Carminati *et al.*, 2017; Cai & Ahmed, 2022).

Mechanistically, water flow from the soil into the root is driven by the gradient in water potential between the soil (Ψ_{soil}) and the xylem of fine roots (Ψ_{root}) (MPa), and depends on the hydraulic conductance of the root-rhizosphere continuum (K_{s-r} (MPa); Landsberg & Fowkes, 1978). Water uptake at a given depth and time q(z, t) (m³ s⁻¹) can be expressed as:

$$q(z, t) = K_{\text{s-r}}(z, t, \Psi_{\text{soil}}) \times (\Psi_{\text{soil}}(z, t) - \Psi_{\text{root}}(z, t))$$
 Eqn 1

Based on this equation, we can see that root water uptake is directly dependent on K_{s-r} , which is regulated by the hydraulic conductance of the roots (K_r) and soil (K_s) , and is primarily limited by the lower of the two (Fig. 2; Carminati & Javaux, 2020). K_r and $K_{\rm s}$ both decrease when the respective water potential becomes more negative, due to cavitation in the xylem or displacement of water-filled soil pores with air, respectively (Sperry et al., 1998). In wet soils, K_s is much larger than K_r and, therefore, not limiting to K_{s-r} (e.g. in the deep soil layer in Fig. 2). As the soil dries, K_s drops by several orders of magnitude and becomes a limiting element for K_{s-r} (e.g. in the shallow soil layer in Fig. 2), and hence for plant water uptake. The water potential at which the soil becomes limiting for K_{s-r} depends on soil texture, with less negative water potential in coarse-textured soils (Cai et al., 2022). Water absorption by roots also depends on the root radial K_r , which plants can modify by suberization and Casparian bands (Steudle & Peterson, 1998), changing aquaporin activity (Maurel et al., 2008), or altering the conductivity of the rhizosphere by growing new root hairs (Carminati et al., 2017) and exuding mucilage (Carminati et al., 2010). Roots with a high radial conductance (e.g. roots with low suberisation) function as porous pipes with low axial conductance, and thus a high water potential is needed along the

Box 1 Methods for estimating plant water sources.

The most effective and nondestructive measurement of water uptake depth (WUD) is provided via the use of natural abundance and tracer applications of the stable isotopes of oxygen (δ^{18} O) and hydrogen (δ^2 H) in plant and soil water (Ehleringer & Dawson, 1992; Dawson & Ehleringer, 1998). Natural abundance applications make use of the evaporative enrichment of δ^{18} O and δ^{2} H near the soil surface, which leads to distinct isotopic compositions of water across the soil depth profile (von Freyberg et al., 2020). Comparison of xylem water δ^{18} O and δ^{2} H with that of distinct water sources, such as rainwater, soil water from different depths, and groundwater is used to estimate changes in their contribution to E and thereby their seasonal dynamics (White et al., 1985; Ehleringer & Dawson, 1992). Exact calculations of the relative contribution of all the soil layers at a specific moment in time rely on mixing models (e.g. IsoSource: Phillips & Gregg, 2003 and MixSIAR: Parnell et al., 2010). These models were developed more recently, resulting in fewer studies providing absolute calculations of WUD compared to the large body of literature describing seasonal dynamics and species differences in the use of distinct water sources (Supporting Information Table S1).

However, the natural abundance technique cannot identify WUD when the isotopic profile of soil water is homogenous due to low atmospheric evaporative demand, high rainfall, or snowmelt. In these cases, labelling studies with isotope tracers of hydrogen, oxygen, and lithium allow measurement of WUD, as their signatures have a distinct vertical gradient in the soil (reviewed in von Freyberg et al., 2020). However, both the natural abundance and tracer approaches are challenging due to spatial and temporal sampling limitations. Both approaches require labour-intensive sampling across soil depths that inherently limits the number of samples that can be collected, which is further exacerbated by the effort and cost of processing and measuring the samples in the laboratory. Additionally, both approaches are difficult or impossible to employ in soils with a high rock content that precludes digging holes. The limited temporal resolution can be addressed via continuous in situ monitoring of tree and soil water isotopic composition using laser spectroscopy (Volkmann et al., 2016; Seeger & Weiler, 2021; Gessler et al., 2022). However, this approach requires regular monitoring and intensive installations in the field and, thus, is still limited in spatial replication.

In addition to the stable isotope approaches, water balance models can estimate WUD based on measurements of soil water potential from different depths, often in combination with tree sap flux data (Table S1, for example Markewitz *et al.*, 2010; Pinto *et al.*, 2014). While this approach has been successfully used to assess ecosystem-level water source dynamics, it does not allow identification of individual tree WUD, as water use cannot be attributed to specific individuals. The latter is possible with sap flow measurements on roots at different soil depths to quantify their contribution to *E* (e.g. Nadezhdina *et al.*, 2008; Bleby *et al.*, 2010), or with combined measurements of stem sap flow, predawn foliar water potentials, and vertical profiles of soil water potentials (Binks *et al.*, 2022).

root to drive axial water flow (Zwieniecki *et al.*, 2003; Comas *et al.*, 2013). Conversely, roots with high axial conductance (i.e. high suberization), have a low radial conductance, highlighting a trade-off in the effective utilisation of root length for water absorption and the root axial water transport. This trade-off can constrain water uptake from deep soils by long roots, but this trade-off in water uptake capacity and root length has rarely been assessed (but see, for example Zwieniecki *et al.*, 2003; Clément *et al.*, 2022 for corn, wheatgrass and alfalfa).

Root water uptake will further depend on Ψ_{root} that declines with greater E due to the higher water flux through the vascular system, thus creating a greater water potential gradient from the soil to the root and higher water uptake. Typically, Ψ_{root} is more negative than Ψ_{soil} when *E* occurs, which provides the driving force for water uptake. However, when *E* is minimal, Ψ_{root} can be less negative than Ψ_{soil} , driving water flux from the root to the soil (i.e. hydraulic redistribution; Fig. 2; Burgess et al., 1998; Jackson et al., 2000). In ecosystems with woody plants with a dimorphic root system, hydraulic redistribution seems to occur frequently, resulting in soil rewetting during dry periods (Bleby et al., 2010; Neumann & Cardon, 2012). During periods when the topsoil dries out, access to soil nutrients can be limited, as soil nutrients are usually concentrated in the topsoil, and soil moisture is the main means for nutrient uptake (Ryel et al., 2008). Therefore, hydraulic redistribution of humidity to the topsoil can increase nutrient uptake, water availability for neighbouring shallow-rooted plants, and can mitigate drought effects on mycorrhizal fungi (Querejeta et al., 2003; Ryel et al., 2008).

 $\Psi_{\rm root}$ also varies significantly along the root system (Zarebanadkouki et al., 2013), with the least negative values near the root tips. Under drought stress, a highly negative Ψ_{root} can reduce K_{s-r} via embolism (Fig. 2) and mechanical failure of the cortex that causes lacunae formation and root shrinkage (Cuneo et al., 2016). Fine roots are more susceptible to cavitation than coarse roots (Sperry & Ikeda, 1997); therefore, root embolism during droughts is usually greatest at the tip of the rooting system (Cuneo et al., 2016). Root embolism may be partly reversible following a rain event, but can be irreversible after severe droughts (Domec et al., 2004), and thus lead to root mortality (Cuneo et al., 2016). Considering Ψ_{root} to be only a function of depth and time implicitly assumes that all roots at a given depth have the same water potential, which is more an exception rather than the general case (Pierret et al., 2007). Treating this complexity requires solving water flow in the 3D root architecture (Javaux et al., 2008).

Finally, Ψ_{soil} is composed of the matric potential, which is the negative work to extract a unit of volume of water from the porous soil matrix, the gravitational potential, that is proportional to soil depth, and the osmotic potential (Hillel, 2003). The matric potential has a prominent effect on root water uptake during soil drying. While the hydrostatic pressure necessary to lift water by 1 m is c. -0.01 MPa, the matric potential can drop below -1 MPa as the soil dries, thus having a major impact on the pressure necessary to extract water from the soil (Eqn 1). As the soil dries, the matric potential decreases nonlinearly, which is described by a soil texture specific water retention curve (Karup et al., 2017). Typical values of matric potential range from c. -0.01 MPa at field capacity to values below -1.5 MPa at permanent wilting point (values are approximations and soil texture specific; de Melo et al., 2023). However, a permanent wilting point of -1.5 MPa has been defined for crops, while natural vegetation in arid regions can tolerate more negative soil matric potentials.

Although the theory above and Eqn 1 are well established, their use is challenged by the lack of information on K_{s-r} and the difficulty in measuring Ψ_{root} . As a first approximation, K_{s-r} can be assumed to scale with the fraction of roots absorbing water, thus



Fig. 1 This review includes 120 studies worldwide that assessed water uptake depth (WUD) of trees and shrubs with isotopic tracers, soil water balance models, root and stem sap flow measurements, or a combination there of (Box 1). (a) Global distribution of the studies on WUD included in this work (Supporting Information Table S1; Appendix A1). Numbers indicate multiple studies in locations that could not be individually represented on the map. (b) Mean annual temperature and precipitation of the 120 studies. Colours indicate the biome type.

scaling with the root length density. The root surface area, which decreases exponentially with soil depth (Schenk, 2008; Fig. 2), is thus an important factor controlling K_{s-r} and WUD. However, simplifying K_{s-r} with root length density without considering the distribution of water potential along the root system oversimplifies the important hydraulic aspects discussed above, with important consequences for modelling of WUD (see Section VIII).

III. Global variation of water uptake depth with biomes and plant functional groups

WUD of woody plants has been studied in a wide variety of ecosystems from tropical rainforests with high annual precipitation to arid deserts with very little rainfall (Fig. 1). Most studies of WUD focus on seasonally water-limited systems, such as subtropical forests with a pronounced monsoonal climate (i.e. dry and wet seasons, for example Yang *et al.*, 2015; Antunes *et al.*, 2019), semiarid, Mediterranean, and savanna-type woodlands with extended droughts (e.g. Kulmatiski & Beard, 2013; Case *et al.*, 2020) or trees growing on limestone karst with a very low water retention capacity (e.g. Swaffer *et al.*, 2014; Wenping *et al.*, 2021). By contrast, temperate forests are somewhat underrepresented (but see Bello *et al.*, 2019; Brinkmann *et al.*, 2019 for recent exceptions) and boreal forests comprise only two studies, one on larch and pine WUD in permafrost soils (Sugimoto *et al.*, 2002), and a drought experiment on boreal Scots

pine (Plamboeck *et al.*, 1999). A better understanding of WUD dynamics in boreal ecosystems is, therefore, critically needed, because large-scale vegetation changes in boreal forests are driven by their ability to acquire water from different soil depths (Sugimoto *et al.*, 2002).

We searched the literature for WUD records of trees and shrubs that were quantified with isotopic tracers, soil water balance models, or sap flow measurements (Box 1). From the 120 studies on water uptake depth that we found, 49 studies (147 records of 125 species at 46 sites) calculated WUD numerically either with Bayesian mixing models or direct inference (Tables S1, S2; Appendix A1). WUD was most frequently reported as relative contributions of the soil depths from which water was extracted and analysed at a given date (exceptions: see Notes S1). To summarise WUD across studies, we first harmonised the vertical distributions by linearly interpolating relative contributions of WUD to a 1 cm interval. We then calculated for each species at each site the average WUD as the weighted mean of the soil depths during either the dry or the wet season, as reported by the studies. As weights, we used the relative contribution of each soil depth. The result corresponds to the average depth of water uptake (average WUD). From the average WUD of each species at each site, we calculated means for each biome and plant functional type.

We found that across seasons, temperate forests and humid tropical forests show the shallowest WUD (mean of 42 and 46 cm, respectively; Fig. 3b). Woodland and shrublands (comprising



Fig. 2 Illustration of the key mechanisms of root water uptake, including association with mycorrhizal fungi, hydraulic redistribution, and root embolism. On the right side, root water uptake as a function of depth (q (z)) is shown for a soil profile with a dry upper layer (leading to more negative soil water potential (Ψ_{soil}) and low soil hydraulic conductivity (K_s)) and a deeper soil layer with higher water content (leading to less negative Ψ_{soil} and higher K_s). At the whole-plant scale, root conductivity (K_r) is correlated with the root surface area, which decreases exponentially with depth. As a result, q(z) typically has its maximum at superficial to intermediate soil depth, where there is sufficient soil water and root surface. All variables increase from left to right.

many Mediterranean sites) exhibit a wide range of WUD but are generally deeper than temperate and humid tropical forests (mean of 55 cm). Trees from seasonal tropical forests and savannas show similar WUD as temperate seasonal forests (55 cm), whereas subtropical deserts and arid grasslands show the deepest water uptake (mean of 144 and 166 cm, respectively). The deepest WUD was found in the hyper-arid Gurbantunggut desert (Xinjiang, China), where two *Haloxylon* species take up to 96% of their water from groundwater sources at c. 4 m during the dry season (Dai *et al.*, 2015), and in the hyper-arid subtropical Namib desert, where Schachtschneider & February (2010) found up to 82% of tree water uptake from groundwater table at 8 m depth during the dry season. Hence, global WUD variation seems to be driven by differences in regional climate.

Relatively few studies have been relating WUD to plant functional type (but see, for example Hasselquist et al., 2010; Nie et al., 2011; Knighton et al., 2021). In our literature analysis, all major plant functional groups and growth forms of woody plants were represented, but broadleaved species were better represented with 111 species (58 deciduous or semi-deciduous, 53 evergreen) than conifers with 14 species, of which one was deciduous (Larix sibirica, Li et al., 2007). We observed a wide range of WUD within groups but there were some notable trends across plant functional types. Shrubs of both evergreen and deciduous broadleaves had slightly shallower WUD (mean of 40 and 36 cm, respectively) compared to trees of evergreen and deciduous broadleaves (62 and 57 cm, respectively). Furthermore, conifers had on average a less deep WUD (47 cm) compared to broadleaved trees. The trees and shrubs with the deepest WUD are deciduous and evergreen broadleaved species from hyper-arid deserts (Schachtschneider & February, 2010; Dai et al., 2015) and encroaching conifers from semiarid grasslands (Eggemeyer et al., 2009). However, WUD of conifers might be underestimated, because most conifers were represented in Mediterranean woodlands, for which many studies reported shallow soils (e.g. Barbeta et al., 2015; Voltas et al., 2015). Sampling these rocky soils can be very challenging and deep soil contribution to WUD could, therefore, be underestimated (Carrière et al., 2020; Nardini et al., 2021). At the other side of the WUD spectrum are deciduous broadleaved trees from temperate forests that show consistently shallow water uptake depth (e.g. Bello et al., 2019; Brinkmann et al., 2019; Gessler et al., 2022). Overall, our study confirms that WUD varies across plant functional types, but the variation within functional types and between biomes are much larger, emphasising the role of the local environment for WUD (Figs 3, S1).



Fig. 3 Averaged water uptake depth (WUD) of each species at all the sites retrieved from all the 49 studies that numerically calculated WUD (Table S2). (a) ordered by plant functional types and (b) and ordered by biome. Colours indicate the plant functional type and the biome type. Circles show averages (mean \pm SE) per plant functional type and biome.

IV. Relationship between rooting depth and water uptake depth

Rooting depth is the primary metric used to estimate the vertical space occupancy of a root system, and has, therefore, been used to infer the depth of soil and weathered bedrock from which a plant can uptake water (Schenk & Jackson, 2005). In many ecosystems, tree rooting depth is closely related to the depth of the water table (Fan et al., 2017; but see: Pierret & Lacombe, 2018). Deeper roots generally allow for greater plasticity in the depths through which water can be acquired (Markewitz et al., 2010; Choat et al., 2018; Nardini et al., 2021). WUD is a function of the vertical gradient of Ψ_{root} , Ψ_{soil} , and root surface area (Fig. 2). The vertical distribution of the root surface area, while relatively sparsely documented (Schenk, 2008), can be highly plastic, driven by the availability of soil resources (Zanetti et al., 2015; Weemstra et al., 2017). As root systems deepen, their capacity to take up and transport significant amounts of water at the whole-tree scale is limited by a decline in root biomass density (e.g. Fig. 2; Schenk, 2008; Nippert & Holdo, 2015), increased root suberization, and higher fraction of woody roots (Kramer & Boyer, 1995). A larger root xylem diameter and higher hydraulic conductivity of deeper roots may partly compensate this effect (Pate et al., 1995; McElrone et al., 2004). However, the presence of deep roots does not always equate to deeper water uptake depths, such as when surface water is abundant (Ehleringer & Dawson, 1992; Yang et al., 2015; Bello et al., 2019), but may be reflective of the longer term hydroclimate and water deficit (Stocker et al., 2023).

Maximum rooting depth varies widely among woody plants, from a few centimetres to more than 68 m, (Canadell et al., 1996). Observations of maximum rooting depths can be subject to large observer-expectancy bias, as root excavations are often being limited to an arbitrary depth, and as a result deepest roots undersampled (Fan et al., 2017). Despite these limitations, large-scale patterns can be observed, such as large variation within species (Canadell et al., 1996; Jackson et al., 1996; Tumber-Dávila et al., 2022), and with topography, climate, and edaphic conditions (Fan et al., 2017). Multiple factors limit the maximum rooting depth of plants, including the penetrability of the soil (Case et al., 2020), inability of roots to survive hypoxic conditions (Fan et al., 2017), and the carbon cost to maintain and build larger root systems (Da Silva et al., 2011). The deepest roots are found in large woody plants in seasonally dry climates with deep penetrable soils (Canadell et al., 1996).

To understand the functional relationship between rooting depth and WUD across species and biomes, we extracted maximum rooting depth observations from the Root Systems of Individual Plants (RSIP; Tumber-Dávila *et al.*, 2022) database (157 observations, Appendix A2) along with WUD data for the same species (60 observations) from publications. In total, 44 species were present in both datasets and could be directly compared (Table S3; Notes S1). As the maximum WUD is determined by the depth of soil water analyses (Box 1), it might be underestimated by sampling limitations, similarly as maximum rooting depths. To avoid this bias, we calculated both the average WUD (see Section III) and with the same approach the 90%

quantile of WUD, that is the depth at which 90% of the water is taken up. As could be expected, we found higher WUD in woody plants with deeper roots, but the maximum rooting depth was consistently deeper than the average WUD and, with very few exceptions, the 90% quantile of WUD (Fig. 4). The difference between the maximum rooting depth and WUD was most pronounced in arid regions (Figs 4, S2). For instance, woody species in semiarid woodlands can have maximum rooting depths up to 13 m (Tierney & Foxx, 1987; Fan et al., 2017), whereas most of their water uptake happens within the top 40 cm (West et al., 2007; Grossiord et al., 2017). Similarly, in hyper-arid deserts, extremely deep roots down to 47 m were observed, whereas most WUD took place within 3-4 m (Schachtschneider & February, 2010). Hence, the majority of water taken up by trees comes from much shallower soil layers than reached by the roots (Miguez-Macho & Fan, 2021). Calculations of WUD are often weighted means of stable isotope measurements (Box 1, Section III, Notes S1) and, therefore, do not represent the maximum WUD but rather the average depths from which most of the water was acquired. The maximum WUD, where usually very little water uptake occurs, corresponds in most studies to the maximum depth of the soil from which stable isotopes were analysed, which is dependent on the feasibility of extracting deep soil cores. The difference between WUD and rooting depth can, therefore, be explained by the advantage of having a deep rooting system that, while not contributing to the majority of water uptake, allows trees in dry regions to maintain *E* during extended droughts and avoid extreme desiccation (Schenk & Jackson, 2005; Davidson et al., 2011; Stahl et al., 2013; Mackay et al., 2020; Laughlin et al., 2023). In contrast to arid ecosystems, maximum rooting depth was closer to both the average and the 90% quantile of WUD in more humid climates (Figs 4, S2; Schmid & Kazda, 2005; Brinkmann et al., 2019). Trees growing at wetter locations showed less reliance on deep roots as expected by the greater availability of soil water at shallower depths. Hence, our analysis is consistent with findings that rooting depth alone should not be used as a proxy for plant WUD (Nippert & Holdo, 2015); however, plants with deeper roots do generally have deeper water uptake depths and occur at more arid locations (Fig. 4).

V. Water uptake depth under seasonal soil moisture variation

WUD is highly dynamic within local areas, changing within a few days in response to drying or wetting soils (e.g. Gessler *et al.*, 2022). Seasonal changes in WUD in response to naturally changing soil water availability are the most ubiquitous observation in the literature with 74 out of the 120 reviewed studies reporting seasonal WUD shifts (Figs 5, S3; Table S1). Experiments testing drought effects on WUD through precipitation exclusion set-ups are less common (e.g. Barbeta *et al.*, 2015; Grossiord *et al.*, 2017; Chen *et al.*, 2021). Nevertheless, both observational and experimental studies agree that WUD tracks the depth of the soil with the least negative water potential by taking up water from the shallow layers during moist conditions and switching to deeper layers when the shallow layers become dry (e.g. Brinkmann *et al.*, 2019; Grellier





Fig. 5 Differences in water uptake depth between wet and dry season across biomes for all the 49 studies that numerically calculated it (Table S2). (a) Seasonal changes in averaged water uptake depth (WUD) from wet (squares) to dry (circles) season in relation to the precipitation in the dry vs wet seasons for all the 49 studies that numerically calculated WUD (Supporting Information Table S2). Small open symbols show species- and site-specific values and large filled symbols show averages of all the sites per biome. Solid lines indicate studies that found deeper WUD during the dry than the wet season, whereas dashed lines indicate studies that found less deep WUD during the dry than the wet season. The dotted line shows the significant relationship between WUD and precipitation across species and sites (P < 0.001, $R^2 = 0.142$). (b) Proportional WUD interpolated at 10 cm interval. Values were aggregated by biome for wet and dry seasons. Several studies indicated groundwater source use without explicit depth of the groundwater table for which the proportion of groundwater use was averaged.

et al., 2021; Wenping *et al.*, 2021). In some cases, woody plants switched their WUD from deep to more shallow sources from the wet to the dry season (Fig. 5). Such seemingly contradictory WUD dynamics were explained by the depletion of groundwater sources during extreme droughts (Barbeta *et al.*, 2015) and the replenishment of superficial soils during the dry season by recent rainfall events (e.g. Grellier *et al.*, 2021; Liu *et al.*, 2021). Thus, even deeply rooted plants preferentially uptake water from shallow soil layers when soil moisture becomes higher (Schenk, 2008; Feldman *et al.*, 2023).

In regions where there is little variability in soil moisture, water sources tend to stay constant during the year. For instance, trees growing on constantly dry soils will persistently take up water from deep WUD (Kray *et al.*, 2012; Kukowski *et al.*, 2013), whereas trees shallow water uptake (Goldsmith *et al.*, 2012). Moreover, in some instances, trees growing in arid regions may not reach the deep groundwater level with their roots and, therefore, rely on recent precipitation as their main water source and consistently take up water from shallow soil layers (February *et al.*, 2007; West *et al.*, 2007; Fan, 2015). Furthermore, savannas trees often rely consistently on relatively deep water sources, despite considerable seasonal changes in soil moisture, which can be attributed to the avoidance of competition with herbaceous species at shallow layers (Kulmatiski & Beard, 2013; Case *et al.*, 2020) and thus a niche complementarity strategy (Le Roux *et al.*, 1995; Grellier *et al.*, 2021). Thus, despite an overall positive effect of aridity on WUD (Fig. 5), there is large variation driven by edaphic

growing on permanently water-saturated soils will show consistent

conditions, such as the depth of the groundwater table, water holding capacities of soil horizons, and competition for soil water.

By comparing dry and wet season WUD from 147 records of 125 species at 46 sites (see Section III, Notes S1), we observed marked differences in the extent of the seasonal shifts in WUD across biomes. Subtropical deserts and arid grasslands show the largest seasonal changes (92 vs 197 cm and 134 vs 198 cm, respectively, Fig. 5). Tropical rain forests and woodland and shrublands (comprising many Mediterranean sites) also consistently shift to deeper soil layers in the dry season, resulting in large shifts in WUD (31 vs 61 cm in tropical forests and 43 vs 66 cm in woodland and shrublands). In temperate forests, changes in WUD were less pronounced (35 vs 49 cm), and trees from seasonal tropical forests and savannas had the smallest changes between wet and dry season (53 vs 57 cm). Across the entire range of study sites, WUD was significantly related to the seasonal precipitation (P < 0.001, Fig. 5). A common hypothesis is that precipitation variability (i.e. the frequency and intensity of rainfall events) may be an important driver of long-term patterns of tree WUD. This is because small regular rainfall events that infiltrate shallow soil layers favour plants with shallow water uptake, whereas when more irregular and intense rainfall events replenish deep soil layers, it favours plants with access to deep water sources (Sala & Lauenroth, 1982; Schwinning et al., 2003). By relating the 147 records of WUD from the published literature to the precipitation variability (intra-annual variability of precipitation as a percentage of the average annual precipitation) at the respective sites, we found some evidence supporting this hypothesis (P < 0.001, $R^2 = 0.21$, Fig. S4). Hence, WUD changes in response to seasonal and short-term soil water availability, but is also influenced by long-term climatic conditions, such as annual precipitation sum and variability across the year.

VI. The role of water uptake depth for tree water and carbon exchange, and mortality

WUD has significant implications for whole-tree responses to climate, including water and carbon exchange and vulnerability to drought-induced mortality. Soil drought typically drives more negative plant water potentials (Ψ_p) and induces WUD shifts to deeper sources (Eggemeyer et al., 2009; Yang et al., 2011), resulting in lower Eand CO₂ assimilation (Grossiord et al., 2017), indicating that shifting to deeper plant water sources is insufficient to compensate for reduced water availability (Mas et al., 2024). Nevertheless, in cross-species comparisons within a given location, trees with deeper WUD can maintain less negative Ψ_p during dry seasons than co-occurring species that rely on shallow soil water (e.g. Stahl et al., 2013; Brum et al., 2019; Liu et al., 2021, but see Jiang et al., 2020). Species that maximise water acquisition by switching WUD to deeper, wetter sources during dry periods can maintain higher E and CO_2 assimilation compared to species that cannot reach deep water sources (e.g. Moreno-Gutiérrez et al., 2012; Schwendenmann et al., 2015). As a consequence, water use efficiency (WUE, that is the ratio between carbon assimilation and water loss) is lower in species and individuals that have deeper WUD compared to those with shallower WUD

(Hasselquist et al., 2010; Moreno-Gutiérrez et al., 2012, but see Jiang et al., 2020). While WUD was correlated with $\Psi_{\rm p}$ across several woody plant species (Ding *et al.*, 2021), differences in $\Psi_{\rm p}$ may not always be reliably used to infer differences in WUD between co-occurring species (Kukowski et al., 2013). The relationship between WUD and $\Psi_{\rm p}$ varies depending on the plant's hydraulic sensitivity to decreasing Ψ_p , that is whether they rapidly close their stomata (relatively isohydric species) or maintain higher stomatal conductance at decreasing Ψ_{p} (relatively anisohydric species). The limited studies that have investigated this relationship come to inconsistent conclusions and find either a deeper (West et al., 2007; Moreno-Gutiérrez et al., 2012; Ding et al., 2021) or shallower (Grossiord et al., 2017) WUD of more isohydric compared to more anisohydric species. Nevertheless, relatively anisohydric species with deep roots have shown a higher capacity to switch from shallow to deep water sources compared to relatively isohydric ones (West et al., 2007; Grossiord et al., 2017; Tang et al., 2018). While this shows a link between aboveground water use strategy and WUD, we are still lacking empirical evidence for the relationship between the plant's hydraulic sensitivity to Ψ_{p} and WUD and its impact on *E* and photosynthesis.

Access to reliable water sources through deep water uptake should also enable to survive long dry spells (Nepstad et al., 1994; Stahl et al., 2013). During droughts, reduced water uptake leads to a decline in hydraulic conductance and stomatal closure (Cuneo et al., 2016). Due to the continued water loss by evaporation from plant surfaces (i.e. the minimum stomatal conductance), the risk of xylem embolism increases (Cochard, 2021). Under continued soil drought, xylem cavitation spreads throughout the xylem network, increasing the risk of mortality via hydraulic failure (Choat et al., 2018; Mantova et al., 2021). Having roots at great depths where soil water is available during drought can mitigate the risk of hydraulic failure during periods of severe water limitations (Stahl et al., 2013; McDowell et al., 2019; Chitra-Tarak et al., 2021; Laughlin et al., 2023). Indeed, rooting depth has been positively related to drought survival at the local scale (Padilla & Pugnaire, 2007; Nardini et al., 2016). However, in regions with seasonal recharge of deep soil layer, greater WUD does not guarantee long-term survival, and can even increase mortality due to delayed recharge of deep soil layers (Chitra-Tarak et al., 2018). By contrast, in locations where the soil layer is thin and rooting depth is restricted, access to fissures within the rock that concentrate water can prevent tree mortality (McDowell et al., 2019; Preisler et al., 2019; Nardini et al., 2021, 2024). Thus, WUD may play a fundamental role in tree mortality, but more empirical work is needed to understand the mechanisms, especially as very few studies have directly linked tree mortality and WUD in situ (but see crown defoliation effects in, for example West et al., 2012; Barbeta et al., 2015; Ding et al., 2021), highlighting an important research gap.

VII. Model representation of WUD across scales

Vegetation process models (VMs; Trugman, 2022) spanning tree-to-Earth scales play a key role on bridging between observations of WUD and making predictions about woody plant

mortality, understanding ecosystem resilience, and quantifying primary production. Designed around soil–vegetation– atmosphere transfer theory, models quantify how atmospheric demand for water and *E*, translates into water uptake from the soil. They typically simulate WUD as a function of soil water content in different layers and can account for structural and functional root traits (McCormack *et al.*, 2015; Warren *et al.*, 2015). Most VM's partition the soil into two or more layers and simulate water uptake from each layer in proportion to the root surface area and hydraulic pressure gradients that depend on relative soil water content in each soil layer. They thus have the necessary functionality for simulating known WUD mechanisms (Eqn 1, Section II) in accordance with empirical evidence of WUD (Sections III–VI). Most models describe water uptake with a flux equation that includes a sink term, as:

$$\frac{\partial s(z,t)}{\partial t} = \frac{\partial q(s,z,t)}{\partial z} - F(s,z)$$
 Eqn 2

where *s* is the soil water content, *z* is the depth below the land surface, *t* is time, *q* is the water flux via infiltration, vertical or lateral flux. F(s, z) is a water flux term, that is often used to represent plant water uptake (after Feddes *et al.*, 2001) following:

$$F(s, z) = f_{r,z} \frac{\beta_{r,z}}{\beta_r} \frac{E(\beta_r)}{\Delta z}$$
 Eqn 3

where $f_{r,z}$ is the fraction of root area at depth *z*, $\beta_{r,z}$ and β_r are, respectively, the relative soil water content for depth *z* and for the whole root, and *E* is transpiration. $\beta_{r,z}$ can be a function of the soil matric potential (Jarvis, 2011) rather than soil water content, to account for the nonlinear response of water flow to potential gradients. Some models distribute roots with depth using an exponential function (de Rosnay & Polcher, 1998) parameterized using information from global databases (e.g. Schenk & Jackson, 2005).

Commonly used WUD modelling frameworks allow for the adjustments to the proportion of water uptake by depth (commonly called WUD compensation by modellers; Fig. 6). In most models, WUD compensation is a passive process, driven only by hydraulic pressure gradients without changing the depth distribution of roots. However, a small number of models include an active process that require either root growth, changes to root permeability (e.g. Casparian band or aquaporin changes), or both (Knighton et al., 2021). Model simulations support empirical evidence that suggests WUD compensation is an important trait for tree survival during drought (Markewitz et al., 2010; Christina et al., 2017). For models that do not have WUD compensation (e.g. Ivanov et al., 2012), water uptake is calculated as a function of the proportion of the root surface at a given depth ($f_{r,z}$, see Eqn 3) and the response of *E* to β_r for each root zone or layer independently. Without compensation, the simulation of WUD is increasingly inaccurate as soils dry (Li et al., 2012). Consequently, these models overestimate the mean WUD when soil water content is uniformly high because they acquire water via deep roots when they need only water from shallow ones. Conversely, as soil water content drops



Fig. 6 Model representations of the effect of compensation on WUD dynamics, with $f_{r,z}$ representing relative root fraction with depth z and F(s, z) representing the relative water uptake by roots by depth and soil water content (drought vs well-watered conditions).

the mean WUD is static and thus underestimated, and total water uptake is also underestimated (Kuhlmann *et al.*, 2012). Moreover, WUD compensation can explain tree survival in both natural (Johnson *et al.*, 2018) and experimental extreme droughts (Mackay *et al.*, 2020). Similarly, simulating WUD compensation via the Sperry *et al.* (2017) model has proven valuable for understanding tree responses to drought in aspen (Love *et al.*, 2019) and tropical forests (Pivovaroff *et al.*, 2021). An important finding in these studies is that *E* is sustained through extreme droughts by water uptake from < 5% of their total root area (i.e. small values of $f_{r,z}$) at depths exceeding 0.6 m.

Two approaches with a different representation of soil and root hydraulics are commonly used to calculate root water uptake and its support for E: macroscopic and hydraulic models (Fig. 7). In both, the belowground soil-plant system is represented using soil-root layers with each layer accounting for its respective bulk water uptake. However, hydraulic VMs simulate water flow through the soil and xylem separately (hydraulic approach, Fig. 7), whereas macroscopic VMs use the physics of water flow through the soil as a proxy for plant water uptake (macroscopic approach; Clark et al., 2015). In the macroscopic approach, water uptake is calculated as a function of local soil water content (Fig. 7) following Feddes et al. (2001). Data accuracy trade-offs have led to the use of simplified soil-xylem hydraulics in larger scale models (Vanderborght et al., 2021), and yet Earth Systems models are moving towards implementing xylem hydraulics (e.g. Kennedy et al., 2019; Li et al., 2021). The hydraulic approach (Clark et al., 2015; Vogel et al., 2016) implements a full description of soil-xylem hydraulics that considers the effects of both lateral and axial pressure gradients on E by using soil matric potential to account for the radial soilroot pressure gradient. This approach is used by some VMs to account for the role of plant hydraulic architecture on WUD (e.g. Mackay et al., 2015; Huang et al., 2017; Silva et al., 2022). The hydraulic approach builds on the electric circuit analogy of resistances and capacitances. A representative way to solve for water

New Phytologist (2024) www.newphytologist.com

Tansley review



flow is the Kirchhoff integral transform of the Richards equation used to calculate water flux (Sperry *et al.*, 1998):

$$F(\varphi_i, \varphi_{i+1}, \varphi_{i-1}) = (\varphi_i - \varphi_{i-1}) - (\varphi_{i+1} - \varphi_i) - \left(\frac{\Delta W_i}{\Delta t}\right)$$

Eqn 4

where $\Phi_{\rm I}$ is the matric flux potential for soil or xylem element, *i*, which is the integral hydraulic conductance over water potential for soil hydraulic conductivity (e.g. VanGenuchten, 1980) or vulnerability curves for xylem (e.g. Neufeld *et al.*, 1992), and W_i is the water content. Depth-specific soil-xylem hydraulics can compute both water uptake and export depths associated with hydraulic redistribution (see Fig. 2, for example Gou & Miller, 2014; Huang *et al.*, 2017). Such models have successfully demonstrated hydraulic redistribution, which calls for it to be included in large-scale models (Warren *et al.*, 2015).

Overall, while many mechanisms and empirically observed processes can be successfully simulated, the biggest frontier for WUD modelling is the representation of active water uptake. To account for active water uptake, the role played by fine roots needs to be better quantified (McCormack et al., 2015), the modification of hydraulic conductivity via changes in aquaporin expression better understood (Ding et al., 2023), and plant hydraulic status must be integrated with a carbon-limited growth strategy in which roots explore the soil with both benefits (i.e. water uptake) and costs (i.e. carbon allocated to root growth; Mackay et al., 2020). Although there are models that include root growth for stand-to-ecosystem scales (see table 1 in Potkay et al., 2021) and regional-to-global scales (see table 1 in Wang et al., 2021), they generally rely on stochastic precipitation inputs or use empirical functions for root distribution. To understand tree and shrub responses to transient environmental conditions, models should be evaluated whether root water uptake depth is sufficiently flexible New Phytologist 4698137, 0, Downloaded from https://nph.onlinelbitary.wiley.com/doi/10.1111/nph.19762 by Universitat Bern. Wiley Online Library on [24/04/2024]. See the Terms and Conditions (https://olinelbitary.wiley.com/terms-and-conditions) on Wiley Online Library for rules of use; OA articles are governed by the applicable Creative Commons License

Fig. 7 Conceptual frameworks for macroscopic and hydraulic models for computing water uptake to support transpiration (*E*). Macroscopic models ignore the soil–root water potential gradient (*c*. Eqn 1) while considering soil water content (WC) or soil water potential (Ψ). Hydraulic models consider pressure (Ψ) and hydraulic conductance (*K*) in both soils and xylem using vulnerability curves (VCs). Some models allow for hydraulic redistribution (red two-headed arrows).

when shallow layers are dry (e.g. Fan et al., 2017), grow roots based on carbon allocation and xylem-phloem hydraulics (e.g. Mackay et al., 2020; Potkay et al., 2021), and account for cessation of root growth during extreme drought (Mackay et al., 2020). Based on Eqn 1, soil water potential represents half the resistance to plant water uptake, and so vegetation model developers should strive to improve their soil water hydrology routines to account for deep soils, rock-to-root water transfer (Korboulewsky et al., 2020; Nardini et al., 2021), and accurate representations of storage limitation capacity of the subsurface (Hahm et al., 2019; Tai et al., 2021). Including active water uptake by root growth and the limit thereof by root access to water during extreme drought might be critically needed to predict WUD (and by extension E) under climate change scenarios across biomes and seasons. Furthermore, models with such capability will no doubt aid in understanding why WUD is related to plant functional type, but also in revealing the underlying physiological explanations for the large interspecific variations in WUD (see Section V).

VIII. Conclusions and perspectives

Water uptake by woody plants is a dynamic process driven by the plant's transpirational demand and changes in soil water availability and root hydraulic conductivity (Fig. 2). WUD varies across biomes, with woody plants in arid grasslands and deserts using water sources four times as deep as trees from humid temperate forests across the year (Fig. 3). By contrast, differences between plant functional types are less pronounced, whereas they show a large within-group variability. Globally, biome differences in WUD are thus much more pronounced than differences in plant functional types. Although deep roots are a prerequisite for water uptake from deep soils, average WUD can deviate considerably from maximum rooting depth, particularly in arid locations (Fig. 4). In those locations, deep taproots, while contributing little to the bulk of transpired water, play an important role in ensuring survival during dry seasons by providing sufficient water to sustain *E*. During dry seasons, woody plants across all biomes and plant functional types shift water uptake to soil layers with higher moisture, which usually results in deeper WUD (Fig. 5). We can thus observe dynamic responses in WUD around the globe and WUD changes seem mainly limited by soil and rooting depth, and the avoidance of interspecific competition. This consistent pattern opens the opportunity to modelling woody plant WUD as a passive process driven by water potentials at a large scale (e.g. Stocker *et al.*, 2023), but it relies on knowledge of the vertical profiles of soil moisture and root surface area. A framework linking WUD to the vertical profile in soil water potential and the vertical distribution of roots (Figs 6, 7) is thus a promising approach for vegetation modelling.

To better understand WUD at the global scale, we need measurements from underrepresented locations, notably boreal forests, temperate seasonal forests, and rainforests (Fig. 1). In these ecosystems, measurements of WUD are technically challenging, often due to the absence of a gradient in water isotopic which can be partly overcome composition, using isotopic labelling. Moreover, to know how rooting depth limits WUD we need to understand whether roots can grow opportunistically upon soil drought, as suggested in Li et al. (2019), or whether they have to be grown in advance (Mackay et al., 2020). Furthermore, we need more knowledge of how WUD dynamics influence aboveground responses, such as E and xylem embolism to understand the impact of WUD on tree mortality. WUD dynamics could be related to species-specific sensitivity of hydraulic conductance to drought and VPD, but the low number of studies directly relating above- and belowground dynamics restricts generalisations. Such improvements could be used in next-generation vegetation process models that should include carbon- and water-limited soil exploration by roots and its effect on regional and global scale models.

Acknowledgements

Open access funding provided by ETH-Bereich Forschungsanstalten.

Competing interests

None declared.

Author contributions

CB and CG conceived and planned the research, CB collected and analysed the data, CB, SJT-D, DSM, NGMD, AC, TK, BDS, MM and CG all contributed equally to manuscript writing.

ORCID

Christoph Bachofen (b) https://orcid.org/0000-0002-5269-0299 Andrea Carminati (b) https://orcid.org/0000-0001-7415-0480 Charlotte Grossiord (b) https://orcid.org/0000-0002-9113-3671 Tamir Klein (b) https://orcid.org/0000-0002-3882-8845 Tansley review

D. Scott Mackay D https://orcid.org/0000-0003-0477-9755 Nate G. McDowell D https://orcid.org/0000-0002-2178-2254 Maurizio Mencuccini D https://orcid.org/0000-0003-0840-1477

Benjamin D. Stocker D https://orcid.org/0000-0003-2697-9096 Shersingh Joseph Tumber-Dávila D https://orcid.org/0000-0001-7336-3943

References

- Antunes C, Silva C, Máguas C, Joly CA, Vieira S. 2019. Seasonal changes in water sources used by woody species in a tropical coastal dune forest. *Plant and Soil* 437: 41–54.
- Barbeta A, Mejía-Chang M, Ogaya R, Voltas J, Dawson TE, Peñuelas J. 2015. The combined effects of a long-term experimental drought and an extreme drought on the use of plant-water sources in a Mediterranean forest. *Global Change Biology* 21: 1213–1225.
- Bello J, Hasselquist NJ, Vallet P, Kahmen A, Perot T, Korboulewsky N. 2019. Complementary water uptake depth of *Quercus petraea* and *Pinus sylvestris* in mixed stands during an extreme drought. *Plant and Soil* 437: 93–115.
- Binks O, Cernusak LA, Liddell M, Bradford M, Coughlin I, Carle H, Bryant C, Dunn E, Oliveira R, Mencuccini M et al. 2022. Forest system hydraulic conductance: partitioning tree and soil components. *New Phytologist* 233: 1667–1681.
- Bleby TM, Mcelrone AJ, Jackson RB. 2010. Water uptake and hydraulic redistribution across large woody root systems to 20 m depth. *Plant, Cell & Environment* 33: 2132–2148.
- Brinkmann N, Eugster W, Buchmann N, Kahmen A. 2019. Species-specific differences in water uptake depth of mature temperate trees vary with water availability in the soil. *Plant Biology* 21: 71–81.
- Brum M, Vadeboncoeur MA, Ivanov V, Asbjornsen H, Saleska S, Alves LF, Penha D, Dias JD, Aragão LEOC, Barros F *et al.* 2019. Hydrological niche segregation defines forest structure and drought tolerance strategies in a seasonal Amazon forest. *Journal of Ecology* 107: 318–333.
- Burgess SSO, Adams MA, Turner NC, Ong CK. 1998. The redistribution of soil water by tree root systems. *Oecologia* 115: 306–311.
- Cai G, Ahmed MA. 2022. The role of root hairs in water uptake: recent advances and future perspectives. *Journal of Experimental Botany* 73: 3330–3338.
- Cai G, Ahmed MA, Abdalla M, Carminati A. 2022. Root hydraulic phenotypes impacting water uptake in drying soils. *Plant, Cell & Environment* 45: 650–663.
- Canadell J, Jackson RB, Ehleringer JR, Mooney HA, Sala OE, Schulze ED. 1996. Maximum rooting depth of vegetation types at the global scale. *Oecologia* 108: 583–595.
- Carminati A, Javaux M. 2020. Soil rather than xylem vulnerability controls stomatal response to drought. *Trends in Plant Science* 25: 868–880.
- Carminati A, Moradi AB, Vetterlein D, Vontobel P, Lehmann E, Weller U, Vogel HJ, Oswald SE. 2010. Dynamics of soil water content in the rhizosphere. *Plant and Soil* 332: 163–176.
- Carminati A, Passioura JB, Zarebanadkouki M, Ahmed MA, Ryan PR, Watt M, Delhaize E. 2017. Root hairs enable high transpiration rates in drying soils. *New Phytologist* 216: 771–781.
- Carrière SD, Ruffault J, Cakpo CB, Olioso A, Doussan C, Simioni G, Chalikakis K, Patris N, Davi H, MartinSt-Paul NK. 2020. Intra-specific variability in deep water extraction between trees growing on a Mediterranean karst. *Journal of Hydrology* **590**: 125428.
- Case MF, Nippert JB, Holdo RM, Staver AC. 2020. Root-niche separation between savanna trees and grasses is greater on sandier soils. *Journal of Ecology* 108: 2298–2308.
- Chen J, Gao Y, Wang X, Wang G. 2021. Seasonal variation in water uptake depth of jujube estimated with stable isotopes: comparative study of drip and basin irrigation. *Journal of Soil Science and Plant Nutrition* 22: 443–454.
- Chitra-Tarak R, Ruiz L, Dattaraja HS, Mohan Kumar MS, Riotte J, Suresh HS, McMahon SM, Sukumar R. 2018. The roots of the drought: hydrology and water

uptake strategies mediate forest-wide demographic response to precipitation. *Journal of Ecology* **106**: 1495–1507.

- Chitra-Tarak R, Xu C, Aguilar S, Anderson-Teixeira KJ, Chambers J, Detto M, Faybishenko B, Fisher RA, Knox RG, Koven CD *et al.* 2021. Hydraulically-vulnerable trees survive on deep-water access during droughts in a tropical forest. *New Phytologist* 231: 1798–1813.
- Choat B, Brodribb T, Brodersen C, Duursma R, López R, Medlyn B. 2018. Triggers of tree mortality under drought. *Nature* 558: 531–539.
- Christina M, Nouvellon Y, Laclau JP, Stape JL, Bouillet JP, Lambais GR, le Maire G. 2017. Importance of deep water uptake in tropical eucalypt forest. *Functional Ecology* 31: 509–519.
- Clark MP, Fan Y, Lawrence DM, Adam JC, Bolster D, Gochis DJ, Hooper RP, Kumar M, Leung LR, Mackay DS et al. 2015. Improving the representation of hydrologic processes in Earth System Models. Water Resources Research 51: 5929–5956.
- Clément C, Schneider HM, Dresbøll DB, Lynch JP, Thorup-Kristensen K. 2022. Root and xylem anatomy varies with root length, root order, soil depth and environment in intermediate wheatgrass (Kernza[®]) and alfalfa. *Annals of Botany* 130: 367–382.
- Cochard H. 2021. A new mechanism for tree mortality due to drought and heatwaves. *Peer Community Journal* 1.
- Comas LH, Becker SR, Cruz VMV, Byrne PF, Dierig DA. 2013. Root traits contributing to plant productivity under drought. *Frontiers in Plant Science* 4: 1–16.
- Cuneo IF, Knipfer T, Brodersen CR, McElrone AJ. 2016. Mechanical failure of fine root cortical cells initiates plant hydraulic decline during drought. *Plant Physiology* 172: 1669–1678.
- Da Silva EV, Bouillet JP, De Moraes Gonçalves JL, Junior CHA, Trivelin PCO, Hinsinger P, Jourdan C, Nouvellon Y, Stape JL, Laclau JP. 2011. Functional specialization of Eucalyptus fine roots: contrasting potential uptake rates for nitrogen, potassium and calcium tracers at varying soil depths. *Functional Ecology* 25: 996–1006.
- Dai Y, Zheng XJ, Tang LS, Li Y. 2015. Stable oxygen isotopes reveal distinct water use patterns of two *Haloxylon* species in the Gurbantonggut Desert. *Plant and Soil* 389: 73–87.
- Davidson E, Lefebvre PA, Brando PM, Ray DM, Trumbore SE, Solorzano LA, Ferreira JN, Bustamante MM, Nepstad DC. 2011. Carbon inputs and water uptake in deep soils of an eastern amazon forest. *Forest Science* 57: 51–58.
- Dawson TE, Ehleringer JR. 1998. Plants, isotopes and water use: a catchment-scale perspective. In: *Isotope tracers in catchment hydrology*. Amsterdam, the Netherlands: Elsevier, 165–202.
- Ding J, McDowell N, Fang Y, Ward N, Kirwan ML, Regier P, Megonigal P, Zhang P, Zhang H, Wang W et al. 2023. Modeling the mechanisms of conifer mortality under seawater exposure. New Phytologist 239: 1679–1691.
- Ding Y, Nie Y, Chen H, Wang K, Querejeta JI. 2021. Water uptake depth is coordinated with leaf water potential, water-use efficiency and drought vulnerability in karst vegetation. *New Phytologist* 229: 1339–1353.
- Domec JC, Warren JM, Meinzer FC, Brooks JR, Coulombe R. 2004. Native root xylem embolism and stomatal closure in stands of Douglas-fir and ponderosa pine: mitigation by hydraulic redistribution. *Oecologia* 141: 7–16.
- Eggemeyer KD, Awada T, Harvey FE, Wedin DA, Zhou X, Zanner CW. 2009. Seasonal changes in depth of water uptake for encroaching trees *Juniperus virginiana* and *Pinus ponderosa* and two dominant C4 grasses in a semiarid grassland. *Tree Physiology* 29: 157–169.
- Ehleringer JR, Dawson TE. 1992. Water uptake by plants: perspectives from stable isotope composition. *Plant, Cell & Environment* 15: 1073–1082.
- Fan Y. 2015. Groundwater in the Earth's critical zone: relevance to large-scale patterns and processes. *Water Resources Research* 51: 3052–3069.
- Fan Y, Miguez-Macho G, Jobbágy EG, Jackson RB, Otero-Casal C. 2017. Hydrologic regulation of plant rooting depth. *Proceedings of the National Academy* of Sciences, USA 114: 10572–10577.
- February EC, West AG, Newton RJ. 2007. The relationship between rainfall, water source and growth for an endangered tree. *Austral Ecology* 32: 397–402.
- Feddes RA, Hoff H, Bruen M, Dawson T, De Rosnay P, Dirmeyer P, Jackson RB, Kabat P, Kleidon A, Lilly A et al. 2001. Modeling root water uptake in hydrological and climate models. *Bulletin of the American Meteorological Society*

- Feldman AF, Short Gianotti DJ, Dong J, Akbar R, Crow WT, McColl KA, Konings AG, Nippert JB, Tumber-Dávila SJ, Holbrook NM *et al.* 2023. Remotely sensed soil moisture can capture dynamics relevant to plant water uptake. *Water Resources Research* 59: 1–17.
- von Freyberg J, Allen ST, Grossiord C, Dawson TE. 2020. Plant and root-zone water isotopes are difficult to measure, explain, and predict: some practical recommendations for determining plant water sources. *Methods in Ecology and Evolution* 11: 1352–1367.
- Gessler A, Bächli L, Rouholahnejad Freund E, Treydte K, Schaub M, Haeni M, Weiler M, Seeger S, Marshall J, Hug C *et al.* 2022. Drought reduces water uptake in beech from the drying topsoil, but no compensatory uptake occurs from deeper soil layers. *New Phytologist* 233: 194–206.
- Goldsmith GR, Muñoz-Villers LE, Holwerda F, Mcdonnell JJ, Asbjornsen H, Dawson TE. 2012. Stable isotopes reveal linkages among ecohydrological processes in a seasonally dry tropical montane cloud forest. *Ecohydrology* 5: 779–790.
- Gou S, Miller G. 2014. A groundwater-soil-plant-atmosphere continuum approach for modelling water stress, uptake, and hydraulic redistribution in phreatophytic vegetation. *Ecohydrology* 7: 1029–1041.
- Grellier S, Janeau JL, Richard P, Florsch N, Ward D, Bariac T, Lorentz S. 2021. Water uptake plasticity of savanna trees in encroached grassland: small trees match the mature trees. *African Journal of Range and Forage Science* 38: 231–243.
- Grossiord C, Sevanto S, Dawson TE, Adams HD, Collins AD, Dickman LT, Newman BD, Stockton EA, McDowell NG. 2017. Warming combined with more extreme precipitation regimes modifies the water sources used by trees. *New Phytologist* 213: 584–596.
- Hahm WJ, Dralle DN, Rempe DM, Bryk AB, Thompson SE, Dawson TE, Dietrich WE. 2019. Low subsurface water storage capacity relative to annual rainfall decouples Mediterranean plant productivity and water use from rainfall variability. *Geophysical Research Letters* 46: 6544–6553.
- Hasselquist NJ, Allen MF, Santiago LS. 2010. Water relations of evergreen and drought-deciduous trees along a seasonally dry tropical forest chronosequence. *Oecologia* 164: 881–890.
- Hillel D. 2003. Introduction to environmental soil physics. San Diego, CA, USA: Elsevier.
- Huang CW, Domec JC, Ward EJ, Duman T, Manoli G, Parolari AJ, Katul GG. 2017. The effect of plant water storage on water fluxes within the coupled soil–plant system. *New Phytologist* 213: 1093–1106.
- Ivanov VY, Hutyra LR, Wofsy SC, Munger JW, Saleska SR, De Oliveira RC, De Camargo PB. 2012. Root niche separation can explain avoidance of seasonal drought stress and vulnerability of overstory trees to extended drought in a mature Amazonian forest. *Water Resources Research* 48: 1–21.
- Jackson RB, Canadell J, Ehleringer JR, Mooney HA, Sala OE, Schulze ED. 1996. A global analysis of root distributions for terrestrial biomes. *Oecologia* 108: 389–411.
- Jackson RB, Sperry JS, Dawson TE. 2000. Root water uptake and transport: using physiological processes in global predictions. *Trends in Plant Science* 5: 482–488.
- Jarvis NJ. 2011. Simple physics-based models of compensatory plant water uptake: concepts and eco-hydrological consequences. *Hydrology and Earth System Sciences* 15: 3431–3446.
- Javaux M, Schröder T, Vanderborght J, Vereecken H. 2008. Use of a three-dimensional detailed modeling approach for predicting root water uptake. *Vadose Zone Journal* 7: 1079–1088.
- Jiang P, Wang H, Meinzer FC, Kou L, Dai X, Fu X. 2020. Linking reliance on deep soil water to resource economy strategies and abundance among coexisting understorey shrub species in subtropical pine plantations. *New Phytologist* 225: 222–233.
- Johnson DM, Domec JC, Carter Berry Z, Schwantes AM, McCulloh KA, Woodruff DR, Wayne Polley H, Wortemann R, Swenson JJ, Scott Mackay D *et al.* 2018. Co-occurring woody species have diverse hydraulic strategies and mortality rates during an extreme drought. *Plant, Cell & Environment* 41: 576–588.
- Karup D, Moldrup P, Tuller M, Arthur E, de Jonge LW. 2017. Prediction of the soil water retention curve for structured soil from saturation to oven-dryness. *European Journal of Soil Science* 68: 57–65.

82: 2797-2809.

led from https://nph.onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/10.1111/nph.19762 by Universitat Bern, Wiley Online Library on [24/04/2024]. See the Terms and Conditions (https://

//onlinelibrary.wiley.com/terms-and-conditions) on Wiley Online Library for rules of use; OA articles are governed by the applicable Creative Commons License

- Kennedy D, Swenson S, Oleson KW, Lawrence DM, Fisher R, Lola da Costa AC, Gentine P. 2019. Implementing plant hydraulics in the community land model, v.5. *Journal of Advances in Modeling Earth Systems* 11: 485–513.
- Knighton J, Fricke E, Evaristo J, de Boer HJ, Wassen MJ. 2021. Phylogenetic underpinning of groundwater use by trees. *Geophysical Research Letters* 48: e2021GL093858.
- Korboulewsky N, Tétégan M, Samouelian A, Cousin I. 2020. Plants use water in the pores of rock fragments during drought. *Plant and Soil* 454: 35–47.
- Kramer PJ, Boyer JS. 1995. In: Kramer PJ, Boye JS, eds. Water relations of plants and soils. San Diego, CA, USA: Academic Press.
- Kray JA, Cooper DJ, Sanderson JS. 2012. Groundwater use by native plants in response to changes in precipitation in an intermountain basin. *Journal of Arid Environments* 83: 25–34.
- Kuhlmann A, Neuweiler I, Van Der Zee SEATM, Helmig R. 2012. Influence of soil structure and root water uptake strategy on unsaturated flow in heterogeneous media. Water Resources Research 48: 1–16.
- Kukowski KR, Schwinning S, Schwartz BF. 2013. Hydraulic responses to extreme drought conditions in three co-dominant tree species in shallow soil over bedrock. *Oecologia* 171: 819–830.
- Kulmatiski A, Beard KH. 2013. Root niche partitioning among grasses, saplings, and trees measured using a tracer technique. *Oecologia* 171: 25–37.

Laliberté E. 2017. Below-ground frontiers in trait-based plant ecology. *New Phytologist* 213: 1597–1603.

- Landsberg JJ, Fowkes ND. 1978. Water movement through plant roots. Annals of Botany 42: 493–508.
- Laughlin DC, Siefert A, Fleri JR, Tumber-Dávila SJ, Hammond WM, Sabatini FM, Damasceno G, Aubin I, Field R, Hatim MZ *et al.* 2023. Rooting depth and xylem vulnerability are independent woody plant traits jointly selected by aridity, seasonality, and water table depth. *New Phytologist* 240: 1774–1787.
- Le Roux X, Bariac T, Mariotti A. 1995. Spatial partitioning of the soil water resource between grass and shrub components in a West African humid savanna. *Oecologia* 104: 147–155.
- Li H, Si B, Wu P, McDonnell JJ. 2019. Water mining from the deep critical zone by apple trees growing on loess. *Hydrological Processes* 33: 320–327.
- Li L, Wang YP, Yu Q, Pak B, Eamus D, Yan J, Van Gorsel E, Baker IT. 2012. Improving the responses of the Australian community land surface model (CABLE) to seasonal drought. *Journal of Geophysical Research: Biogeosciences* 117: 1–16.
- Li L, Yang ZL, Matheny AM, Zheng H, Swenson SC, Lawrence DM, Barlage M, Yan B, McDowell NG, Leung LR. 2021. Representation of plant hydraulics in the Noah-MP land surface model: model development and multiscale evaluation. *Journal of Advances in Modeling Earth Systems* 13: 1–27.
- Li SG, Romero-Saltos H, Tsujimura M, Sugimoto A, Sasaki L, Davaa G, Oyunbaatar D. 2007. Plant water sources in the cold semiarid ecosystem of the upper Kherlen River catchment in Mongolia: a stable isotope approach. *Journal of Hydrology* 333: 109–117.
- Liu W, Chen H, Zou Q, Nie Y. 2021. Divergent root water uptake depth and coordinated hydraulic traits among typical karst plantations of subtropical China: implication for plant water adaptation under precipitation changes. *Agricultural Water Management* 249: 106798.
- Love DM, Venturas MD, Sperry JS, Brooks PD, Pettit JL, Wang Y, Anderegg WRL, Tai X, Mackay DS. 2019. Dependence of aspen stands on a subsurface water subsidy: implications for climate change impacts. *Water Resources Research* 55: 1833–1848.
- Mackay DS, Roberts DE, Ewers BE, Sperry JS, McDowell NG, Pockman WT. 2015. Interdependence of chronic hydraulic dysfunction and canopy processes can improve integrated models of tree response to drought. *Water Resources Research* 51: 6156–6176.
- Mackay SD, Savoy PR, Grossiord C, Tai X, Pleban JR, Wang DR, McDowell NG, Adams HD, Sperry JS. 2020. Conifers depend on established roots during drought: results from a coupled model of carbon allocation and hydraulics. *New Phytologist* 225: 679–692.
- Mantova M, Herbette S, Cochard H, Torres-Ruiz JM. 2021. Hydraulic failure and tree mortality: from correlation to causation. *Trends in Plant Science* 1: 1–11.
- Markewitz D, Devine S, Davidson EA, Brando P, Nepstad DC. 2010. Soil moisture depletion under simulated drought in the Amazon: impacts on deep root uptake. *New Phytologist* 187: 592–607.

- Mas E, Vilagrosa A, Morcillo L, Saurer M, Valladares F, Grossiord C. 2024. Drought effects in Mediterranean forests are not alleviated by diversity-driven water source partitioning. *Journal of Ecology*.
- Maurel C, Verdoucq L, Luu DT, Santoni V. 2008. Plant aquaporins: membrane channels with multiple integrated functions. *Annual Review of Plant Biology* 59: 595–624.
- McCormack ML, Dickie IA, Eissenstat DM, Fahey TJ, Fernandez CW, Guo D, Helmisaari HS, Hobbie EA, Iversen CM, Jackson RB *et al.* 2015. Redefining fine roots improves understanding of below-ground contributions to terrestrial biosphere processes. *New Phytologist* 207: 505–518.
- McCully ME. 1999. Roots in soil: unearthing the complexities of roots and their rhizospheres. *Annual Review of Plant Biology* 50: 695–718.
- McDowell NG, Grossiord C, Adams HD, Pinzón-Navarro S, Mackay DS, Breshears DD, Allen CD, Borrego I, Dickman LT, Collins A *et al.* 2019. Mechanisms of a coniferous woodland persistence under drought and heat. *Environmental Research Letters* 14: 045014.
- McElrone AJ, Pockman WT, Martínez-Vilalta J, Jackson RB. 2004. Variation in xylem structure and function in stems and roots of trees to 20 m depth. *New Phytologist* 163: 507–517.
- de Melo MLA, Inforsato L, Pinheiro EAR, de Jong van Lier Q. 2023. Plant available water predicted by a flux-based approach. *Geoderma* 429: 116253.
- Miguez-Macho G, Fan Y. 2021. Spatiotemporal origin of soil water taken up by vegetation. *Nature* **598**: 624–628.
- Moreno-Gutiérrez C, Dawson TE, Nicolás E, Querejeta JI. 2012. Isotopes reveal contrasting water use strategies among coexisting plant species in a Mediterranean ecosystem. *New Phytologist* **196**: 489–496.
- Nadezhdina N, Ferreira MI, Silva R, Pacheco CA. 2008. Seasonal variation of water uptake of a *Quercus suber* tree in Central Portugal. *Plant and Soil* 305: 105–119.
- Nardini A, Casolo V, Dal Borgo A, Savi T, Stenni B, Bertoncin P, Zini L, Mcdowell NG. 2016. Rooting depth, water relations and non-structural carbohydrate dynamics in three woody angiosperms differentially affected by an extreme summer drought. *Plant, Cell & Environment* **39**: 618–627.
- Nardini A, Petruzzellis F, Marusig D, Tomasella M, Natale S, Altobelli A, Calligaris C, Floriddia G, Cucchi F, Forte E *et al.* 2021. Water 'on the rocks': a summer drink for thirsty trees? *New Phytologist* 229: 199–212.
- Nardini A, Tomasella M, Di Bert S. 2024. Bedrock: the hidden water reservoir for trees challenged by drought. *Trees Structure and Function* 38: 1–11.
- Nepstad DC, De CCR, Davidson EA, Jipp PH, Lefebvre PA, Negreiros GH, Silva ED, Stone TA, Trumbore SE, Simone V. 1994. The role of deep roots in the hydrological and carbon cycles of Amazonian forests and pastures. *Nature* 372: 666–669.
- Neufeld HS, Grantz DA, Meinzer FC, Goldstein G, Crisosto GM, Crisosto C. 1992. Genotypic variability in vulnerability of leaf xylem to cavitation in water-stressed and well-irrigated sugarcane. *Plant Physiology* 100: 1020–1028.
- Neumann RB, Cardon ZG. 2012. The magnitude of hydraulic redistribution by plant roots: a review and synthesis of empirical and modeling studies. *New Phytologist* 194: 337–352.
- Nie YP, Chen HS, Wang KL, Tan W, Deng PY, Yang J. 2011. Seasonal water use patterns of woody species growing on the continuous Dolostone outcrops and nearby thin soils in subtropical China. *Plant and Soil* 341: 399–412.
- Nippert JB, Holdo RM. 2015. Challenging the maximum rooting depth paradigm in grasslands and savannas. *Functional Ecology* 29: 739–745.
- Padilla FM, Pugnaire FI. 2007. Rooting depth and soil moisture control Mediterranean woody seedling survival during drought. *Functional Ecology* 21: 489–495.
- Parnell AC, Inger R, Bearhop S, Jackson AL. 2010. Source partitioning using stable isotopes: coping with too much variation. *PLoS ONE* 5: 1–5.
- Pate JS, Jeschke WD, Aylward MJ. 1995. Hydraulic architecture and xylem structure of the dimorphic root systems of south-west Australian species of Proteaceae. *Journal of Experimental Botany* 46: 907–915.
- Phillips DL, Gregg JW. 2003. Source partitioning using stable isotopes: coping with too many sources. *Oecologia* 136: 261–269.
- Pierret A, Doussan C, Capowiez Y, Bastardie F, Pagès L. 2007. Root functional architecture: a framework for modeling the interplay between roots and soil. *Vadose Zone Journal* 6: 269–281.

- Pierret A, Lacombe G. 2018. Hydrologic regulation of plant rooting depth: breakthrough or observational conundrum? *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences, USA* 115: E2669–E2670.
- Pinto CA, Nadezhdina N, David JS, Kurz-Besson C, Caldeira MC, Henriques MO, Monteiro FG, Pereira JS, David TS. 2014. Transpiration in *Quercus suber* trees under shallow water table conditions: the role of soil and groundwater. *Hydrological Processes* 28: 6067–6079.
- Pivovaroff AL, McDowell NG, Rodrigues TB, Brodribb T, Cernusak LA, Choat B, Grossiord C, Ishida Y, Jardine KJ, Laurance S *et al.* 2021. Stability of tropical forest tree carbon-water relations in a rainfall exclusion treatment through shifts in effective water uptake depth. *Global Change Biology* 27: 6454–6466.
- Plamboeck AH, Grip H, Nygren U. 1999. A hydrological tracer study of water uptake depth in a Scots pine forest under two different water regimes. *Oecologia* 119: 452–460.
- Potkay A, Trugman AT, Wang Y, Venturas MD, Anderegg WRL, Mattos CRC, Fan Y. 2021. Coupled whole-tree optimality and xylem hydraulics explain dynamic biomass partitioning. *New Phytologist* 230: 2226–2245.
- Preisler Y, Tatarinov F, Grünzweig JM, Bert D, Ogée J, Wingate L, Rotenberg E, Rohatyn S, Her N, Moshe I *et al.* 2019. Mortality versus survival in drought-affected Aleppo pine forest depends on the extent of rock cover and soil stoniness. *Functional Ecology* 33: 901–912.
- Querejeta JI, Egerton-Warburton LM, Allen MF. 2003. Direct nocturnal water transfer from oaks to their mycorrhizal symbionts during severe soil drying. *Oecologia* 134: 55–64.
- de Rosnay P, Polcher J. 1998. Modelling root water uptake in a complex land surface scheme coupled to a GCM. *Hydrology and Earth System Sciences* 2: 239–255.
- Ryel RJ, Ivans CY, Peek MS, Leffler AJ. 2008. Functional differences in soil water pools: a new perspective on plant water use in water-limited ecosystems. *Progress in Botany* 69: 397–422.
- Sala OE, Lauenroth WK. 1982. Small rainfall events: an ecological role in semiarid regions. *Oecologia* 53: 301–304.
- Schachtschneider K, February EC. 2010. The relationship between fog, floods, groundwater and tree growth along the lower Kuiseb River in the hyperarid Namib. *Journal of Arid Environments* 74: 1632–1637.
- Schenk HJ. 2008. The shallowest possible water extraction profile: a null model for global root distributions. *Vadose Zone Journal* 7: 1119–1124.
- Schenk HJ, Jackson RB. 2005. Mapping the global distribution of deep roots in relation to climate and soil characteristics. *Geoderma* 126: 129–140.
- Schmid I, Kazda M. 2005. Clustered root distribution in mature stands of *Fagus* sylvatica and *Picea abies. Oecologia* 144: 25–31.
- Schwendenmann L, Pendall E, Sanchez-Bragado R, Kunert N, Hölscher D. 2015. Tree water uptake in a tropical plantation varying in tree diversity: interspecific differences, seasonal shifts and complementarity. *Ecohydrology* 8: 1–12.
- Schwinning S, Starr BI, Ehleringer JR. 2003. Dominant cold desert plants do not partition warm season precipitation by event size. *Oecologia* 136: 252–260.
- Seeger S, Weiler M. 2021. Temporal dynamics of tree xylem water isotopes: *in situ* monitoring and modeling. *Biogeosciences* 18: 4603–4627.
- Sellers PJ, Dickinson RE, Randall DA, Betts AK, Hall FG, Berry JA, Collatz GJ, Denning AS, Mooney HA, Nobre CA *et al.* 1997. Modeling the exchanges of energy, water, and carbon between continents and the atmosphere. *Science* 275: 502–509.
- Silva M, Matheny AM, Pauwels VRN, Triadis D, Missik JE, Bohrer G, Daly E. 2022. Tree hydrodynamic modelling of the soil-plant-atmosphere continuum using FETCH3. *Geoscientific Model Development* 15: 2619–2634.
- Sperry JS, Adler FR, Campbell GS, Comstock JP. 1998. Limitation of plant water use by rhizosphere and xylem conductance: results from a model. *Plant, Cell & Environment* 21: 347–359.
- Sperry JS, Ikeda T. 1997. Xylem cavitation in roots and stems of Douglas-fir and white fir. *Tree Physiology* 17: 275–280.
- Sperry JS, Venturas MD, Anderegg WRL, Mencuccini M, Mackay DS, Wang Y, Love DM. 2017. Predicting stomatal responses to the environment from the optimization of photosynthetic gain and hydraulic cost. *Plant, Cell & Environment* 40: 816–830.
- Stahl C, Hérault B, Rossi V, Burban B, Bréchet C, Bonal D. 2013. Depth of soil water uptake by tropical rainforest trees during dry periods: does tree dimension matter? *Oecologia* 173: 1191–1201.

- Steudle E, Peterson CA. 1998. How does water get through roots? *Journal of Experimental Botany* 49: 775–788.
- Stocker BD, Tumber-Dávila SJ, Konings AG, Anderson MC, Hain C, Jackson RB. 2023. Global patterns of water storage in the rooting zones of vegetation. *Nature Geoscience* 16: 250–256.
- Sugimoto A, Yanagisawa N, Naito D, Fujita N, Maximov TC. 2002. Importance of permafrost as a source of water for plants in east Siberian taiga. *Ecological Research* 17: 493–503.
- Sulis M, Couvreur V, Keune J, Cai G, Trebs I, Junk J, Shrestha P, Simmer C, Kollet SJ, Vereecken H et al. 2019. Incorporating a root water uptake model based on the hydraulic architecture approach in terrestrial systems simulations. Agricultural and Forest Meteorology 269–270: 28–45.
- Swaffer BA, Holland KL, Doody TM, Li C, Hutson J. 2014. Water use strategies of two co-occurring tree species in a semi-arid karst environment. *Hydrological Processes* 28: 2003–2017.
- Tai X, Venturas MD, Mackay DS, Brooks PD, Flanagan LB. 2021. Lateral subsurface flow modulates forest mortality risk to future climate and elevated CO₂. *Environmental Research Letters* 16: 084015.
- Tang Y, Wu X, Chen Y, Wen J, Xie Y, Lu S. 2018. Water use strategies for two dominant tree species in pure and mixed plantations of the semiarid Chinese Loess Plateau. *Ecohydrology* 11: 1–13.
- Tierney GD, Foxx TS. 1987. Root lengths of plants on Los Alamos National Laboratory lands (No. LA-10865-MS). Los Alamos, NM, USA: Los Alamos National Lab.
- Trugman AT. 2022. Integrating plant physiology and community ecology across scales through trait-based models to predict drought mortality. *New Phytologist* 234: 21–27.
- Tumber-Dávila SJ, Schenk HJ, Du E, Jackson RB. 2022. Plant sizes and shapes above and belowground and their interactions with climate. *New Phytologist* 235: 1032–1056.
- Vanderborght J, Couvreur V, Meunier F, Schnepf A, Vereecken H, Bouda M, Javaux M. 2021. From hydraulic root architecture models to macroscopic representations of root hydraulics in soil water flow and land surface models. *Hydrology and Earth System Sciences* 25: 4835–4860.
- VanGenuchten MT. 1980. A closed-form equation for predicting the hydraulic conductivity of unsaturated soils. *Soil Science Society of America Journal* 44: 892–898.
- Vogel T, Votrubova J, Dusek J, Dohnal M. 2016. Mesoscopic aspects of root water uptake modeling – hydraulic resistances and root geometry interpretations in plant transpiration analysis. *Advances in Water Resources* 88: 86–96.
- Volkmann THM, Haberer K, Gessler A, Weiler M. 2016. High-resolution isotope measurements resolve rapid ecohydrological dynamics at the soil-plant interface. *New Phytologist* 210: 839–849.
- Voltas J, Lucabaugh D, Chambel MR, Ferrio JP. 2015. Intraspecific variation in the use of water sources by the circum-Mediterranean conifer *Pinus halepensis*. *New Phytologist* 208: 1031–1041.
- Wang Y, Zeng Y, Yu L, Yang P, Van Der Tol C, Yu Q, Lü X, Cai H, Su Z. 2021. Integrated modeling of canopy photosynthesis, fluorescence, and the transfer of energy, mass, and momentum in the soil-plant-atmosphere continuum (STEMMUS-SCOPE v1.0.0). *Geoscientific Model Development* 14: 1379–1407.
- Warren JM, Hanson PJ, Iversen CM, Kumar J, Walker AP, Wullschleger SD. 2015. Root structural and functional dynamics in terrestrial biosphere models – evaluation and recommendations. *New Phytologist* 205: 59–78.
- Weemstra M, Mommer L, Visser EJW, van Ruijven J, Kuyper TW, Mohren GMJ, Sterck FJ. 2016. Towards a multidimensional root trait framework: a tree root review. *New Phytologist* 211: 1159–1169.
- Weemstra M, Sterck FJ, Visser EJW, Kuyper TW, Goudzwaard L, Mommer L. 2017. Fine-root trait plasticity of beech (*Fagus sylvatica*) and spruce (*Picea abies*) forests on two contrasting soils. *Plant and Soil* 415: 175–188.
- Wei Z, Yoshimura K, Wang L, Miralles DG, Jasechko S, Lee X. 2017. Revisiting the contribution of transpiration to global terrestrial evapotranspiration. *Geophysical Research Letters* 44: 2792–2801.
- Wenping D, Guodong J, Yuanqiu L, Qi C, Jiahui H, Linsheng W, Zhangling XL, Jianbo J, Songli P. 2021. Long-term study on the seasonal water uptake of *Platycladus orientalis* in the Beijing mountain area, northern China. Agricultural and Forest Meteorology 307: 108531.

led from https://nph.onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/10.1111/nph.19762 by Universitat Bern, Wiley Online Library on [24/04/2024]. See the Terms and Conditions (https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/terms-and-conditions) on Wiley Online Library for rules of use; OA articles are governed by the applicable Creative Commons License

- West AG, Dawson TE, February EC, Midgley GF, Bond WJ, Aston TL. 2012. Diverse functional responses to drought in a Mediterranean-type shrubland in South Africa. *New Phytologist* **195**: 396–407.
- West AG, Hultine KR, Burtch KG, Ehleringer JR. 2007. Seasonal variations in moisture use in a piñon-juniper woodland. *Oecologia* 153: 787–798.
- White JWC, Cook ER, Lawrence JR, Wallace SB. 1985. The D H ratios of sap in trees: implications for water sources and tree ring D H ratios. *Geochimica et Cosmochimica Acta* 49: 237–246.
- Yang B, Wen X, Sun X. 2015. Seasonal variations in depth of water uptake for a subtropical coniferous plantation subjected to drought in an East Asian monsoon region. *Agricultural and Forest Meteorology* 201: 218–228.
- Yang H, Auerswald K, Bai Y, Han X. 2011. Complementarity in water sources among dominant species in typical steppe ecosystems of Inner Mongolia, China. *Plant and Soil* 340: 303–313.
- Zanetti C, Vennetier M, Mériaux P, Provansal M. 2015. Plasticity of tree root system structure in contrasting soil materials and environmental conditions. *Plant and Soil* 387: 21–35.
- Zarebanadkouki M, Kim YX, Carminati A. 2013. Where do roots take up water? Neutron radiography of water flow into the roots of transpiring plants growing in soil. *New Phytologist* **199**: 1034–1044.
- Zwieniecki MA, Thompson MV, Holbrook NM. 2003. Understanding the hydraulics of porous pipes: tradeoffs between water uptake and root length utilization. *Journal of Plant Growth Regulation* 21: 315–323.

Appendix A1

WUD meta-analysis references

- Alstad KP, Welker JM, Williams SA, Trlica MJ. 1999. Carbon and water relations of Salix monticola in response to winter browsing and changes in surface water hydrology: an isotopic study using δ^{13} C and δ^{18} O. *Oecologia* 120: 375–385.
- Andrade JL, Meinzer FC, Goldstein G, Schnitzer SA. 2005. Water uptake and transport in lianas and co-occurring trees of a seasonally dry tropical forest. *Trees–Structure and Function* 19: 282–289.
- Antunes C, Silva C, Máguas C, Joly CA, Vieira S. 2019. Seasonal changes in water sources used by woody species in a tropical coastal dune forest. *Plant and Soil* 437: 41–54.
- Asbjornsen H, Mora G, Helmers MJ. 2007. Variation in water uptake dynamics among contrasting agricultural and native plant communities in the Midwestern U.S. Agriculture, Ecosystems and Environment 121: 343–356.
- Asbjornsen H, Shepherd G, Helmers M, Mora G. 2008. Seasonal patterns in depth of water uptake under contrasting annual and perennial systems in the Corn Belt Region of the Midwestern U.S. *Plant and Soil* 308: 69–92.
- Barbeta A, Mejía-Chang M, Ogaya R, Voltas J, Dawson TE, Peñuelas J. 2015. The combined effects of a long-term experimental drought and an extreme drought on the use of plant-water sources in a Mediterranean forest. *Global Change Biology* 21: 1213–1225.
- Bello J, Hasselquist NJ, Vallet P, Kahmen A, Perot T, Korboulewsky N. 2019. Complementary water uptake depth of *Quercus petraea* and *Pinus sylvestris* in mixed stands during an extreme drought. *Plant and Soil* 437: 93–115.
- Bertrand G, Masini J, Goldscheider N, Meeks J, Lavastre V, Celle-Jeanton H, Gobat JM, Hunkeler D. 2014. Determination of spatiotemporal variability of tree water uptake using stable isotopes ($\delta^{18}O, \delta^2H$) in an alluvial system supplied by a high-altitude watershed, Pfyn forest, Switzerland. *Ecohydrology* 7: 319–333.
- Bleby TM, Mcelrone AJ, Jackson RB. 2010. Water uptake and hydraulic redistribution across large woody root systems to 20 m depth. *Plant, Cell & Environment* 33: 2132–2148.
- Bonal D, Atger C, Barigah TS, Ferhi A, Guehl JM, Ferry B. 2000. Water acquisition patterns of two wet tropical canopy tree species of French Guiana as inferred from H218O extraction profiles. *Annals of Forest Science* 57: 717–724.
- Boutton TW, Archer SR, Midwood AJ. 1999. Stable isotopes in ecosystem science: structure, function and dynamics of a subtropical savanna. *Rapid Communications* in Mass Spectrometry 13: 1263–1277.

- Brinkmann N, Eugster W, Buchmann N, Kahmen A. 2019. Species-specific differences in water uptake depth of mature temperate trees vary with water availability in the soil. *Plant Biology* 21: 71–81.
- Burgess SSO, Pate JS, Adams MA, Dawson TE. 2000. Seasonal water acquisition and redistribution in the Australian woody phreatophyte, Banksia prionotes. *Annals of Botany* 85: 215–224.
- Case MF, Nippert JB, Holdo RM, Staver AC. 2020. Root-niche separation between savanna trees and grasses is greater on sandier soils. *Journal of Ecology* 108: 2298–2308.
- Chen J, Gao Y, Wang X, Wang G. 2022. Seasonal variation in water uptake depth of jujube estimated with stable isotopes: comparative study of drip and basin irrigation. *Journal of Soil Science and Plant Nutrition* 22: 443–454.
- Chen YJ, Cao KF, Schnitzer SA, Fan ZX, Zhang JL, Bongers F. 2015. Water-use advantage for lianas over trees in tropical seasonal forests. *New Phytologist* 205: 128–136.
- Cheng X, An S, Li B, Chen J, Lin G, Liu Y, Luo Y, Liu S. 2006. Summer rain pulse size and rainwater uptake by three dominant desert plants in a desertified grassland ecosystem in northwestern China. *Plant Ecology* 184: 1–12.
- Chimner R, Cooper D. 2004. Using stable oxygen isotopes to quantify the water source used for transpiration by native shrubs in the San Luis Valley, Colorado U.S.A. *Plant and Soil* 260: 225–236.
- Comas C, del Castillo J, Voltas J, Ferrio JP. 2015. Point processes statistics of stable isotopes: analysing water uptake patterns in a mixed stand of Aleppo pine and Holm oak. *Forest Systems* 24: 9.
- Cook PG, O'Grady AP. 2006. Determining soil and ground water use of vegetation from heat pulse, water potential and stable isotope data. *Oecologia* 148: 97–107.
- Dai Y, Zheng XJ, Tang LS, Li Y. 2015. Stable oxygen isotopes reveal distinct water use patterns of two Haloxylon species in the Gurbantonggut Desert. *Plant and Soil* 389: 73–87.
- Darrouzet-Nardi A, D'Antonio CM, Dawson TE. 2006. Depth of water acquisition by invading shrubs and resident herbs in a Sierra Nevada meadow. *Plant and Soil* 285: 31–43.
- David TS, Henriques MO, Kurz-Besson C, Nunes J, Valente F, Vaz M, Pereira JS, Siegwolf R, Chaves MM, Gazarini LC *et al.* 2007. Water-use strategies in two co-occurring Mediterranean evergreen oaks: surviving the summer drought. *Tree Physiology* 27: 793–803.
- Davis SD, Mooney HA. 1986. Water use patterns of four co-occurring chaparral shrubs. *Oecologia* 70: 172–177.
- Dawson TE, Ehleringer JR. 1991. Streamside trees that do not use stream-water: evidence from hydrogen isotopes ratios. *Nature* 350: 335–337.
- Dawson TE, Pate JS. 1996. Seasonal water uptake and movement in root systems of Australian phraeatophytic plants of dimorphic root morphology: a stable isotope investigation. *Oecologia* 107: 13–20.
- Ding Y, Nie Y, Chen H, Wang K, Querejeta JI. 2021. Water uptake depth is coordinated with leaf water potential, water-use efficiency and drought vulnerability in karst vegetation. *New Phytologist* 229: 1339–1353.
- Dodd MB, Lauenroth WK, Welker JM. 1998. Differential water resource use by herbaceous and woody plant life-forms in a shortgrass steppe community. *Oecologia* 117: 504–512.
- **Drake PL, Franks PJ. 2003.** Water resource partitioning, stem xylem hydraulic properties, and plant water use strategies in a seasonally dry riparian tropical rainforest. *Oecologia* **137**: 321–329.
- Eggemeyer KD, Awada T, Harvey FE, Wedin DA, Zhou X, Zanner CW. 2009. Seasonal changes in depth of water uptake for encroaching trees *Juniperus virginiana* and *Pinus ponderosa* and two dominant C4 grasses in a semiarid grassland. *Tree Physiology* 29: 157–169.
- Ewe SML, Da Silveira Lobo Sternberg L, Busch DE. 1999. Water-use patterns of woody species in pineland and hammock communities of South Florida. *Forest Ecology and Management* 118: 139–148.
- Farrington P, Turner JV, Gailitis V. 1996. Tracing water uptake by jarrah (*Eucalyptus marginata*) trees using natural abundances of deuterium. *Trees Structure and Function* 11: 9–15.
- February EC, West AG, Newton RJ. 2007. The relationship between rainfall, water source and growth for an endangered tree. *Austral Ecology* 32: 397–402.
- Feild TS, Dawson TE. 1998. Water sources used by *Didymopanax pittieri* at different life stages in a tropical cloud forest. *Ecology* 79: 1448–1452.

16 Review

- Fick SE, Hijmans RJ. 2017. WORLDCLIM 2: new 1-km spatial resolution climate surfaces for global land areas. *International Journal of Climatology* 37: 4302–4315.
- Filella I, Peñuelas J. 2003. Partitioning of water and nitrogen in co-occurring Mediterranean woody shrub species of different evolutionary history. *Oecologia* 137: 51–61.
- Gessler A, Bächli L, Rouholahnejad Freund E, Treydte K, Schaub M, Haeni M, Weiler M, Seeger S, Marshall J, Hug C *et al.* 2022. Drought reduces water uptake in beech from the drying topsoil, but no compensatory uptake occurs from deeper soil layers. *New Phytologist* 233: 194–206.
- Goisser M, Geppert U, Rötzer T, Paya A, Huber A, Kerner R, Bauerle T, Pretzsch H, Pritsch K, Häberle KH *et al.* 2016. Does belowground interaction with Fagus sylvatica increase drought susceptibility of photosynthesis and stem growth in Picea abies? *Forest Ecology and Management* 375: 268–278.
- Goldsmith GR, Muñoz-Villers LE, Holwerda F, Mcdonnell JJ, Asbjornsen H, Dawson TE. 2012. Stable isotopes reveal linkages among ecohydrological processes in a seasonally dry tropical montane cloud forest. *Ecohydrology* 5: 779–790.
- Grellier S, Janeau JL, Richard P, Florsch N, Ward D, Bariac T, Lorentz S. 2021. Water uptake plasticity of savanna trees in encroached grassland: small trees match the mature trees. *African Journal of Range and Forage Science* **38**: 231–243.
- Grossiord C, Gessler A, Granier A, Berger S, Bréchet C, Hentschel R, Hommel R, Scherer-Lorenzen M, Bonal D. 2014. Impact of interspecific interactions on the soil water uptake depth in a young temperate mixed species plantation. *Journal of Hydrology* **519**: 3511–3519.
- Grossiord C, Sevanto S, Dawson TE, Adams HD, Collins AD, Dickman LT, Newman BD, Stockton EA, McDowell NG. 2017. Warming combined with more extreme precipitation regimes modifies the water sources used by trees. *New Phytologist* 213: 584–596.
- Hartsough P, Poulson SR, Biondi F, Estrada IG. 2008. Stable isotope characterization of the ecohydrological cycle at a tropical treeline site. *Arctic, Antarctic, and Alpine Research* 40: 343–354.
- Hasselquist NJ, Allen MF, Santiago LS. 2010. Water relations of evergreen and drought-deciduous trees along a seasonally dry tropical forest chronosequence. *Oecologia* 164: 881–890.
- Holland KL, Tyerman SD, Mensforth LJ, Walker GR. 2006. Tree water sources over shallow, saline groundwater in the lower River Murray, south-eastern Australia: implications for groundwater recharge mechanisms. *Australian Journal* of *Botany* 54: 193–205.
- Jackson PC, Meinzer FC, Bustamante M, Goldstein G, Franco A, Rundel PW, Caldas L, Igler E, Causin F. 1999. Partitioning of soil water among tree species in a Brazilian Cerrado ecosystem. *Tree Physiology* 19: 717–724.
- Jiang P, Wang H, Meinzer FC, Kou L, Dai X, Fu X. 2020. Linking reliance on deep soil water to resource economy strategies and abundance among coexisting understorey shrub species in subtropical pine plantations. *New Phytologist* 225: 222–233.
- Klein T, Rotenberg E, Cohen-Hilaleh E, Raz-Yaseef N, Tatarinov F, Preisler Y, Ogée J, Cohen S, Yakir D. 2014. Quantifying transpirable soil water and its relations to tree water use dynamics in a water-limited pine forest. *Ecohydrology* 7: 409–419.
- Kray JA, Cooper DJ, Sanderson JS. 2012. Groundwater use by native plants in response to changes in precipitation in an intermountain basin. *Journal of Arid Environments* 83: 25–34.
- Kukowski KR, Schwinning S, Schwartz BF. 2013. Hydraulic responses to extreme drought conditions in three co-dominant tree species in shallow soil over bedrock. *Oecologia* 171: 819–830.
- Kulmatiski A, Beard KH. 2013. Root niche partitioning among grasses, saplings, and trees measured using a tracer technique. *Oecologia* 171: 25–37.
- Kulmatiski A, Beard KH, Verweij RJT, February EC. 2010. A depth-controlled tracer technique measures vertical, horizontal and temporal patterns of water use by trees and grasses in a subtropical savanna. *New Phytologist* 188: 199–209.
- Kurz-Besson C, Otieno D, Lobo Do Vale R, Siegwolf R, Schmidt M, Herd A, Nogueira C, David TS, David JS, Tenhunen J *et al.* 2006. Hydraulic lift in cork oak trees in a savannah-type Mediterranean ecosystem and its contribution to the local water balance. *Plant and Soil* 282: 361–378.
- Li SG, Romero-Saltos H, Tsujimura M, Sugimoto A, Sasaki L, Davaa G, Oyunbaatar D. 2007. Plant water sources in the cold semiarid ecosystem of the

upper Kherlen River catchment in Mongolia: a stable isotope approach. *Journal of Hydrology* **333**: 109–117.

- Li SG, Tsujimura M, Sugimoto A, Sasaki L, Yamanaka T, Davaa G, Oyunbaatar D, Sugita M. 2006. Seasonal variation in oxygen isotope composition of waters for a montane larch forest in Mongolia. *Trees Structure and Function* 20: 122–130.
- Liu W, Chen H, Zou Q, Nie Y. 2021. Divergent root water uptake depth and coordinated hydraulic traits among typical karst plantations of subtropical China: implication for plant water adaptation under precipitation changes. *Agricultural Water Management* 249: 106798.

Liu W, Li J, Lu H, Wang P, Luo Q, Liu W, Li H. 2014. Vertical patterns of soil water acquisition by non-native rubber trees (*Hevea brasiliensis*) in Xishuangbanna, southwest China. *Ecohydrology* 7: 1234–1244.

- Liu W, Liu W, Li P, Duan W, Li H. 2010. Dry season water uptake by two dominant canopy tree species in a tropical seasonal rainforest of Xishuangbanna, SW China. Agricultural and Forest Meteorology 150: 380–388.
- Liu Y, Xu Z, Duffy R, Chen W, An S, Liu S, Liu F. 2011. Analyzing relationships among water uptake patterns, rootlet biomass distribution and soil water content profile in a subalpine shrubland using water isotopes. *European Journal of Soil Biology* 47: 380–386.
- Ludwig F, Dawson TE, Prins HHT, Berendse F, De Kroon H. 2004. Below-ground competition between trees and grasses may overwhelm the facilitative effects of hydraulic lift. *Ecology Letters* 7: 623–631.
- Markewitz D, Devine S, Davidson EA, Brando P, Nepstad DC. 2010. Soil moisture depletion under simulated drought in the Amazon: impacts on deep root uptake. *New Phytologist* 187: 592–607.
- Mas E, Vilagrosa A, Morcillo L, Saurer M, Valladares F, Grossiord C. 2024. Drought effects in Mediterranean forests are not alleviated by diversity-driven water source partitioning. *Journal of Ecology*.
- Matheny AM, Fiorella RP, Bohrer G, Poulsen CJ, Morin TH, Wunderlich A, Vogel CS, Curtis PS. 2017. Contrasting strategies of hydraulic control in two codominant temperate tree species. *Ecohydrology* **10**: 1–16.
- McCole AA, Stern LA. 2007. Seasonal water use patterns of *Juniperus ashei* on the Edwards Plateau, Texas, based on stable isotopes in water. *Journal of Hydrology* 342: 238–248.
- Meinzer FC, Andrade JL, Goldstein G, Holbrook NM, Cavelier J, Wright SJ. 1999. Partitioning of soil water among canopy trees in a seasonally dry tropical forest. *Oecologia* 121: 293–301.
- Meissner M, Köhler M, Schwendenmann L, Hölscher D. 2012. Partitioning of soil water among canopy trees during a soil desiccation period in a temperate mixed forest. *Biogeosciences* 9: 3465–3474.
- Midwood AJ, Boutton TW, Archer SR, Watts SE. 1998. Water use by woody plants on contrasting soils in a savanna parkland: assessment with δ^2 H and δ^{18} O. *Plant and Soil* 205: 13–24.
- Miller GR, Chen X, Rubin Y, Ma S, Baldocchi DD. 2010. Groundwater uptake by woody vegetation in a semiarid oak savanna. *Water Resources Research* 46: 1–14.
- Moreira MZ, da Sternberg SL, Nepstad DC. 2000. Vertical patterns of soil water uptake by plants in a primary forest and an abandoned pasture in the eastern Amazon: an isotopic approach. *Plant and Soil* 222: 95–107.
- Moreno-Gutiérrez C, Dawson TE, Nicolás E, Querejeta JI. 2012. Isotopes reveal contrasting water use strategies among coexisting plant species in a mediterranean ecosystem. *New Phytologist* **196**: 489–496.
- Nie YP, Chen HS, Wang KL, Tan W, Deng PY, Yang J. 2011. Seasonal water use patterns of woody species growing on the continuous dolostone outcrops and nearby thin soils in subtropical China. *Plant and Soil* 341: 399–412.
- Nie YP, Chen HS, Wang KL, Yang J. 2012. Water source utilization by woody plants growing on dolomite outcrops and nearby soils during dry seasons in karst region of Southwest China. *Journal of Hydrology* 420–421: 264–274.
- Nippert JB, Knapp AK. 2007. Linking water uptake with rooting patterns in grassland species. *Oecologia* 153: 261–272.
- Ogle K, Wolpert RL, Reynolds JF. 2004. Reconstructing plant root area and water uptake profiles. *Ecology* 85: 1967–1978.
- Ohte N, Koba K, Yoshikawa K, Sugimoto A, Matsuo N, Kabeya N, Wang L. 2003. Water utilization of natural and planted trees in the semiarid desert of Inner Mongolia, China. *Ecological Applications* **13**: 337–351.

led from https://nph.onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/10.1111/nph.19762 by Universitat Bern, Wiley Online Library on [24/04/2024]. See the Terms and Conditions

(https

//onlinelibrary.wiley.com/terms-and-conditions) on Wiley Online Library for rules of use; OA articles are governed by the applicable Creative Commons License

- Piayda A, Dubbert M, Siegwolf R, Cuntz M, Werner C. 2017. Quantification of dynamic soil-vegetation feedbacks following an isotopically labelled precipitation pulse. *Biogeosciences* 14: 2293–2306.
- Pinto CA, Nadezhdina N, David JS, Kurz-Besson C, Caldeira MC, Henriques MO, Monteiro FG, Pereira JS, David TS. 2014. Transpiration in *Quercus* suber trees under shallow water table conditions: the role of soil and groundwater. *Hydrological Processes* 28: 6067–6079.
- Pivovaroff AL, McDowell NG, Rodrigues TB, Brodribb T, Cernusak LA, Choat B, Grossiord C, Ishida Y, Jardine KJ, Laurance S *et al.* 2021. Stability of tropical forest tree carbon-water relations in a rainfall exclusion treatment through shifts in effective water uptake depth. *Global Change Biology* 27: 6454–6466.
- Plamboeck AH, Grip H, Nygren U. 1999. A hydrological tracer study of water uptake depth in a Scots pine forest under two different water regimes. *Oecologia* 119: 452–460.
- Querejeta JI, Estrada-Medina H, Allen MF, Jiménez-Osornio JJ. 2007. Water source partitioning among trees growing on shallow karst soils in a seasonally dry tropical climate. *Oecologia* 152: 26–36.
- Querejeta JI, Estrada-Medina H, Allen MF, Jiménez-Osornio JJ, Ruenes R. 2006. Utilization of bedrock water by Brosimum alicastrum trees growing on shallow soil atop limestone in a dry tropical climate. *Plant and Soil* 287: 187–197.
- Quesada CA, Hodnett MG, Breyer LM, Santos AJB, Andrade S, Miranda HS, Miranda AC, Lloyd J. 2008. Seasonal variations in soil water in two woodland savannas of central Brazil with different fire history. *Tree Physiology* 28: 405–415.
- Retzlaff WA, Blaisdell GK, Topa MA. 2001. Seasonal changes in water source of four families of loblolly pine (Pinus taeda L.). *Trees – Structure and Function* 15: 154–162.
- Romero-Saltos H, Sternberg LDSL, Moreira MZ, Nepstad DC. 2005. Rainfall exclusion in an eastern Amazonian forest alters soil water movement and depth of water uptake. *American Journal of Botany* **92**: 443–455.
- Rose KL, Graham RC, Parker DR. 2003. Water source utilization by *Pinus jeffreyi* and *Arctostaphylos patula* on thin soils over bedrock. *Oecologia* 134: 46–54.
- Rossatto DR, de Carvalho Ramos Silva L, Villalobos-Vega R, da Sternberg LSL, Franco AC. 2012. Depth of water uptake in woody plants relates to groundwater level and vegetation structure along a topographic gradient in a neotropical savanna. *Environmental and Experimental Botany* 77: 259–266.
- Sánchez-Martín R, Querejeta JI, Voltas J, Ferrio JP, Prieto I, Verdú M, Montesinos-Navarro A. 2021. Plant's gypsum affinity shapes responses to specific edaphic constraints without limiting responses to other general constraints. *Plant and Soil* 462: 297–309.
- Schachtschneider K, February EC. 2010. The relationship between fog, floods, groundwater and tree growth along the lower Kuiseb River in the hyperarid Namib. *Journal of Arid Environments* 74: 1632–1637.
- Schwendenmann L, Pendall E, Sanchez-Bragado R, Kunert N, Hölscher D. 2015. Tree water uptake in a tropical plantation varying in tree diversity: interspecific differences, seasonal shifts and complementarity. *Ecohydrology* 8: 1–12.
- Schwendenmann L, Veldkamp E, Moser G, Hölscher D, Köhler M, Clough Y, Anas I, Djajakirana G, Erasmi S, Hertel D et al. 2010. Effects of an experimental drought on the functioning of a cacao agroforestry system, Sulawesi, Indonesia. *Global Change Biology* 16: 1515–1530.
- Schwinning S. 2008. The water relations of two evergreen tree species in a karst savanna. *Oecologia* 158: 373–383.
- Schwinning S, Davis K, Richardson L, Ehleringer JR. 2002. Deuterium enriched irrigation indicates different forms of rain use in shrub/grass species of the Colorado Plateau. *Oecologia* 130: 345–355.
- Sekiya N, Yano K. 2002. Water acquisition from rainfall and groundwater by legume crops developing deep rooting systems determined with stable hydrogen isotope compositions of xylem waters. *Field Crops Research* 78: 133–139.
- Sher AA, Wiegand K, Ward D. 2010. Do Acacia and Tamarix trees compete for water in the Negev desert? *Journal of Arid Environments* 74: 338–343.
- da Silveira L, Sternberg L, Koenraad P. 1987. Utilization of freshwater and ocean water by coastal plants of Southern Florida. *Ecology* 68: 1898–1905.
- Smith DM, Jarvis PG, Odongo JCW. 1997. Sources of water used by trees and millet in Sahelian windbreak systems. *Journal of Hydrology* 198: 140–153.
- Snyder KA, Williams DG. 2000. Water sources used by riparian trees varies among stream types on the San Pedro River, Arizona. *Agricultural and Forest Meteorology* 105: 227–240.

- Snyder KA, Williams DG. 2003. Defoliation alters water uptake by deep and shallow roots of Prosopis velutina (Velvet Mesquite). *Functional Ecology* 17: 363–374.
- Song L, Zhu J, Li M, Yu Z. 2014. Water utilization of pinus sylvestris var. mongolica in a sparse wood grassland in the semiarid sandy region of Northeast China. *Trees Structure and Function* 28: 971–982.
- Song L, Zhu J, Li M, Zhang J. 2016. Water use patterns of Pinus sylvestris var. mongolica trees of different ages in a semiarid sandy lands of Northeast China. *Environmental and Experimental Botany* 129: 94–107.
- Stahl C, Hérault B, Rossi V, Burban B, Bréchet C, Bonal D. 2013. Depth of soil water uptake by tropical rainforest trees during dry periods: does tree dimension matter? *Oecologia* 173: 1191–1201.
- Stratton LC, Goldstein G, Meinzer FC. 2000. Temporal and spatial partitioning of water resources among eight woody species in a Hawaiian dry forest. *Oecologia* 124: 309–317.
- Sugimoto A, Yanagisawa N, Naito D, Fujita N, Maximov TC. 2002. Importance of permafrost as a source of water for plants in east Siberian taiga. *Ecological Research* 17: 493–503.
- Swaffer BA, Holland KL, Doody TM, Li C, Hutson J. 2014. Water use strategies of two co-occurring tree species in a semi-arid karst environment. *Hydrological Processes* 28: 2003–2017.
- Tang Y, Wu X, Chen Y, Wen J, Xie Y, Lu S. 2018. Water use strategies for two dominant tree species in pure and mixed plantations of the semiarid Chinese Loess Plateau. *Ecohydrology* 11: 1–13.
- Volkmann THM, Haberer K, Gessler A, Weiler M. 2016. High-resolution isotope measurements resolve rapid ecohydrological dynamics at the soil-plant interface. *New Phytologist* 210: 839–849.
- Voltas J, Lucabaugh D, Chambel MR, Ferrio JP. 2015. Intraspecific variation in the use of water sources by the circum-Mediterranean conifer *Pinus halepensis*. *New Phytologist* 208: 1031–1041.
- Wang H, Tian L, Zhang H, Yu Y, Wu H. 2022. Water uptake by *Artemisia ordosica* roots at different topographic positions in an alpine desert dune on the Northeastern Qinghai–Tibet Plateau. *Frontiers in Earth Science* 10: 1–12.
- Weltzin JF, McPherson GR. 1997. Spatial and temporal soil moisture resource partitioning by trees and grasses in a temperate savanna, Arizona, USA. *Oecologia* 112: 156–164.
- Wen L, Peng Y, Deng W, Liu Y, Bai T, Zou Q, Liu X, Zhang L, Jia G. 2022. Variation in water uptake dynamics of dominant wood plants of pinus taiwanensis hayata communities based on stable isotopes. *Forests* 13: 1–15.
- Wenping D, Guodong J, Yuanqiu L, Qi C, Jiahui H, Linsheng W, Zhangling XL, Jianbo J, Songli P. 2021. Long-term study on the seasonal water uptake of *Platycladus orientalis* in the Beijing mountain area, northern China. *Agricultural* and Forest Meteorology 307: 108531.
- West AG, Dawson TE, February EC, Midgley GF, Bond WJ, Aston TL. 2012. Diverse functional responses to drought in a Mediterranean-type shrubland in South Africa. *New Phytologist* **195**: 396–407.
- West AG, Hultine KR, Burtch KG, Ehleringer JR. 2007. Seasonal variations in moisture use in a piñon-juniper woodland. *Oecologia* 153: 787–798.
- Williams DG, Ehleringer JR. 2000. Intra- and interspecific variation for summer precipitation use in pinyon-juniper woodlands. *Ecological Monographs* 70: 517–537.
- Wu H, Li XY, Jiang Z, Chen H, Zhang C, Xiao X. 2016. Contrasting water use pattern of introduced and native plants in an alpine desert ecosystem, Northeast Qinghai-Tibet Plateau, China. *Science of the Total Environment* 542: 182–191.
- Xu Q, Li H, Chen J, Cheng X, Liu S, An S. 2011. Water use patterns of three species in subalpine forest, Southwest China: the deuterium isotope approach. *Ecohydrology* 130: 236–244.
- Yang B, Wen X, Sun X. 2015. Seasonal variations in depth of water uptake for a subtropical coniferous plantation subjected to drought in an East Asian monsoon region. *Agricultural and Forest Meteorology* 201: 218–228.
- Yang H, Auerswald K, Bai Y, Han X. 2011. Complementarity in water sources among dominant species in typical steppe ecosystems of Inner Mongolia, China. *Plant and Soil* 340: 303–313.
- Zencich SJ, Froend RH, Turner JV, Gailitis V. 2002. Influence of groundwater depth on the seasonal sources of water accessed by Banksia tree species on a shallow, sandy coastal aquifer. *Oecologia* 131: 8–19.

Zhu W, Zhao D, Di N, Li D, Zhou O, Sun Y, Jia L, Ding C, Xi B. 2023. Matching root water uptake patterns to fine root and soil water distributions. Plant and Soil 495: 499-516.

ammodendron plantations in a desert-oasis ecotone. Hydrological Processes 31:

Zhou H, Zhao W, Zhang G. 2017. Varying water utilization of Haloxylon

Appendix A2

825-835

RSIP database references

- Alexandre DY, Ouédraogo SJ. 1992. Variations in root morphology of Faidherbia albida in relation to soil and agronomic effects. In: Vandenbeldt RJ, ed. Faidherbia albida in the West African semi-arid tropics: proceedings of aworkshop, 22-26 April 1991, Niamey, Niger. Patancheru, India; Nairobi, Kenya: International Crops Research Institute for the Semi-arid Tropics; International Centre for Research in Agroforestry, World Agroforestry Centre, 107-110.
- Baitulin IO, ed. 1993. Fitoékologicheskie issledovanija v juzhnoj Gobi (Phytoecological investigations in southern Gobi). Alma-ata, Russia: Gylim. (In Russian with English summary.)
- Bang-xing W. 1991. Studies on the vertical structure of seasonal rain-forest in Xishuangbanna of Yunnan. Acta Botanica Sinica 33: 232-239.
- Berndt HW, Gibbons RD. 1958. Root distribution of some native trees and understory plants growing on three sites within Ponderosa pine watersheds inColorado. US Dep. Agric. For. Serv. Sta. Pap. RM-37.
- Bhattachan A, Tatlhego M, Dintwe K, O'Donnell F, Caylor KK, Okin GS et al. 2012. Evaluating ecohydrological theories of woody root distribution in the kalahari. PLoS ONE7(3): e33996.
- Bonal D, Atger C, Barigah TS, Ferhi A, Guehl J-M, Ferry B. 2000. Water acquisition patterns of two wet tropical canopy tree species of French Guiana as inferred from H2 18O extraction profiles. Annals of Forest Science 57: 717-724.
- Breckle SW, Agachanjanz O, Rahmann M. 1994. Spezielle Ökologie der gemäßigten und arktischen Zonen Euro-Nordasiens, 2nd edn, vol. Band 3. Stuttgart, Jena: Gustav-Fischer Verlag.
- Bréda N, Granier A, Barataud F, Moyne C. 1995. Soil water dynamics in an oak stand. I. Soil moisture, water potentials and water uptake by roots. Plant and Soil 172.17-27
- Breman H, Kessler JJ. 1995. Woody plants in agro-ecosystems of semi-arid regions. Berlin, Germany: Springer-Verlag.
- Briones O, Montaña C, Ezcurra E. 1996. Competition between three Chihuahuan desert species: evidence from plant size-distance relations and root distribution. Journal of Vegetation Science 7: 453-460.
- Brisson J, Reynolds JF. 1994. The effect of neighbors on root distribution in a creosotebush (Larrea tridentata) population. Ecology 75: 1693-1702.
- Bunger MT, Thomson HJ. 1938. Root development as a factor in the success or failure of windbreak trees in the southern high Plains. Journal of Forestry 36: 790-803.
- Büttner V, Leuschner C. 1994. Spatial and temporal patterns of fine root abundance in a mixed oak-beech forest. Forest Ecology and Management 70: 11-21.
- Canadell J, Jackson RB, Ehleringer JR, Mooney HA, Sala OE, Schulze ED. 1996. Maximum rooting depth of vegetation types at the global scale. Oecologia 108: 583-595.
- Cannon HL. 1960. The development of botanical methods of prospecting for uranium on the Colorado Plateau. In: U.S. Geological Survey Bulletin. vol. 1085-A. Washington, DC, USA: United States Government Printing Office, 1-50.
- Cannon HL, Starrett WH. 1956. Botanical prospecting for uranium on La Ventana Mesa, Sandoval County New Mexico. USGS Bulletin 1009-M (USGS).
- Cannon WA. 1911. The root habits of desert plants, vol. 131. Washington. DC: Carnegie Institution of Washington.
- Claus A, George E. 2005. Effect of stand age on fine-root biomass and biomass distribution in three European forest chronosequences. Canadian Journal of Forest Research 35: 1617-1625.
- Coile TS. 1937. Distribution of forest tree roots in North Carolina piedmont soils. Journal of Frestry 35: 4-39.
- Cottle HJ. 1931. Studies in the vegetation of southwestern Texas. Ecology 12: 105-155.

- Dai Y, Zheng XJ, Tang LS, Li Y. 2015. Stable oxygen isotopes reveal distinct water use patterns of two Haloxylon species in the Gurbantonggut Desert. Plant and Soil 389: 73-87. Drexhage M, Gruber F. 1998. Architecture of the skeletal root system of 40-year-old Picea abies on strongly acidified soils in the Harz Mountains (Germany).
- Canadian Journal of Forest Research 28: 13-22. Eis S. 1974. Root system morphology of western hemlock, western red cedar, and Douglas fir. Canadian Journal of Forest Research 4: 28-38.
- Fan Y, Miguez-Macho G, Jobbágy EG, Jackson RB, Otero-Casal C. 2017. Hydrologic regulation of plant rooting depth. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences, USA 114: 10572-10577.
- Fonteyn PJ, Mahall BE. 1981. An experimental analysis of structure in a desert plant community. Journal of Ecology 69: 883-896.
- Frith AC. 1955. No man's land. Empire Forestry Review 34: 179-187.
- Gibbens RP, Lenz JM. 2001. Root systems of some Chihuahuan Desert plants. Journal of Arid Environments 49: 221-263.
- Gile LH, Gibbens RP, Lenz JM. 1998. Soil-induced variability in root systems of creosotebush (Larrea tridentata) and tarbush (Flourensia cernua). Journal of Arid Environments 39: 57-78.
- Haasis FW. 1921. Relations between soil type and root form of western yellow pine seedlings. Ecology 2: 292-303.
- Hammer RD. 1986. Soil morphology, soil water, and forest tree growth on three Cumberland Plateau landtypes. Dissertation, 2 vol., 319pp. Knoxville, TN, USA: University of Tennessee.
- Holdo RM, Timberlake J. 2008. Rooting depth and above-ground community composition in Kalahari sand woodlands in western Zimbabwe. Journal of Tropical Ecology 24: 169-176.
- Jennings CMH. 1974. The hydrology of Botswana. PhD thesis, University of Natal. Pietermaritzburg. South Africa.
- Johnson DM, Domec JC, Woodruff DR, McCulloh KA, Meinzer FC. 2013. Contrasting hydraulic strategies in two tropical lianas and their host trees. American Journal of Botany 100: 374-383.
- Kalisz PJ, Stringer JW, Volpe JA, Clark DT. 1988. Trees as monitors of tritium in soil water. Journal of Environmental Quality 17: 62-70.
- Karizumi N. 1979. Monograph Root system forms and distributions of individual trees in Japan (in Japanese). Tokyo, Japan: Seibundo Shinkosha. [WWW document] https://www.cabdirect.org/cabdirect/abstract/19870618641.
- Karpov VG, ed. 1983. Faktory reguliatsii ekosistem elovykh lesov (Regulation factors of spruce forest ecosystems). Leningrad, Russia: Nauka. (In Russian.)
- Kleinhampl FJ, Koteff C. 1960. Botanical prospecting for U rani urn in the Circle Cliffs Area Garfield County, Utah, USGS Bulletin 1085-C (USGS).
- Köstler JN, Brückner E, Bibelriether H. 1968. Die Wurzeln der Waldbäume: Untersuchungen zur Morphologie der Waldbäume in Mitteleuropa. Hamburg, Berlin, Germany: Paul Parey.
- Kreutzer K. 1968. The root system of the red alder (Alnus glutinosa Gärtn.). In: Ghilarov MS, Kovda VA, Novichkova-Ivanova LN, Rodin LE, Sveshnikova VM, eds. Methods of productivity studies in root systems and rhizosphere organisms. International Symposium USSR. August 28-September 12. 1968. Leningrad, Russia: Nauka, 114-119.
- Kuiper LC. 1992. Spatial disposition and extension of the structural root system of Douglas-fir. Forest Ecology and Management 47: 111-125.
- Kutschera L, Lichtenegger E. 2002. Wurzelatlas mitteleuropäischer Waldbäume und Sträucher. Graz, Austria und Stuttgart, Germany: Leopold Stocker Verlag.
- Kutschera L, Lichtenegger E, Sobotik M. 1997a. Bewurzelung von Pflanzen in verschiedenen Lebensräumen, vol. 49. Linz, Austria: Land Oberösterreich, OÖ. Landesmuseum.
- Kutschera L, Lichtenegger E, Sobotik M, Haas D. 1997b. Die Wurzel. das neue Organ. ihre Bedeutung für das Leben von Welwitschia mirabilis und anderer Arten der Namib. sowie von Arten angrenzender Gebiete. Klagenfurt, Austria: Pflanzensoziologisches Institut.
- Laitakari E. 1929. Mannyn juuristo (the root system of pine, Pinus sylvestris). Acta for Fettii 33: 1-31.
- Leduc G, Favreau G, Schroeter P. 2001. Long-term rise in a Sahelian water-table: the Continental Terminal in South-West Niger. Journal of Hydrology 24: 43-54.

© 2024 The Authors

ed from https://nph.onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/10.1111/nph.19762 by Universitat Bern, Wiley Online Library on [24/04/2024]. See the Terms and Conditions (https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/terms

conditions) on Wiley Online Library for rules of use; OA articles are governed by the applicable Creative Commons License

- Leistner OA. 1967. The plant ecology of the southern Kalahari. *Botanical Survey of South Africa Memoirs* 38: 172.
- Mauer O, Palátová E. 2012. Root system development in douglas fir (*Pseudotsuga menziesii* [Mirb.] Franco) on fertile sites. *Journal of Forest Science* 58: 400–409.
- Miroshnichenko YM. 1975. Kornevye sistemy drevesnych i kustarnikovych rastenij i ich zkologija v vostocnych karakumach. (Root systems of trees and bushes and their ecology in eastern Karakum. In Russian). *Botanicheskii Zhurnal* 60: 1776–1795.
- Nechaeva NT. 1985. Description of plants used for vegetative range improvement. In: Nechaeva NT, ed. *Improvement of desert ranges in Soviet Central Asia, vol. 4.* Chur, Switzerland: Harwood Academic, 55–120.
- Nechaeva NT, Prikhod'ko SY, eds. 1968. Sown winter ranges in the foothill deserts of Soviet Central Asia. Jerusalem, Israel: Israel Program for Scientific Translations.
- Nie YP, Chen HS, Wang KL, Ding YL. 2014. Rooting characteristics of two widely distributed woody plant species growing in different karst habitats of southwest China. *Plant Ecology* 215: 1099–1109.
- Obakeng OT, de Vries JJ, Lubczynski MW. 2007. Soil moisture dynamics and evapotranspiration at the fringe of the Botswana Kalahari, with emphasis on deep rooting vegetation. Dissertation, Wageningen University, Wageningen, the Netherlands.
- Oskamp J. 1932. The rooting habit of deciduous fruits on different soils. *Proceedings* of the American Society for Horticultural Science 29: 213–219.
- Querejeta JI, Estrada-Medina H, Allen MF, Jiménez-Osornio JJ. 2007. Water source partitioning among trees growing on shallow karst soils in a seasonally dry tropical climate. *Oecologia* 152: 26–36.
- Rastin N. 1991. Influence of waterlogging on root distribution, fine-root biomass and mycorrhizal number of Norway spruce. In: *Developments in agricultural and managed forest ecology*. Amsterdam, the Netherlands: Elsevier, 319–332.
- Reynolds TD, Fraley L Jr. 1989. Root profiles of some native and exotic plant species in southeastern Idaho. *Environmental and Experimental Botany* 29: 241–248.
- Roupsard O, Ferhi A, Granier A, Pallo F, Depommier D, Mallet B, Joly HI, Dreyer E. 1999. Reverse phenology and dry-season water uptake by *Faidherbia albida* (Del.) A. Chev. in an agroforestry parkland of Sudanese west Africa. *Functional Ecology* 13: 460–472.
- Rutherford MC. 1983. Growth rates. biomass and distribution of selected woody plant roots in Burkea africana–Ochna pulchra savanna. *Vegetatio* 52: 45–63.
- Saurina NI, Kamenetskaya IV. 1969. Massa kornej sosny obyknovennoj (*Pinus sylvestris* L.) v sosnjake mshisto-lishajnikovom juzhnoj tajgi. (The root mass of *Pinus sylvestris* L. in moss-lichen pine forests of the southern taiga. In Russian with English summary). Biulleten Moskovskogo obshchestva ispytatelei prirody. Otdel biologicheskii 74: 96–100.
- Schmid I, Kazda M. 2001. Vertical distribution and radial growth of coarse roots in pure and mixed stands of *Fagus sylvatica* and *Picea abies*. *Canadian Journal of Forest Research Revue Canadienne De Recherche Forestiere* 31: 539–548.
- Schmid I, Kazda M. 2005. Clustered root distribution in mature stands of *Fagus* sylvatica and *Picea abies*. Oecologia 144: 25–31.
- Shpak IS. 1971. The effects of forests on the water balance of drainage basins. Jerusalem, Israel: Israel Program for Scientific Translations.
- Sierra CA *et al.* 2007. Total carbon stocks in a tropical forest landscape of the Porce region, Colombia. *Forest Ecology and Management* 243: 299–309.
- Singh SP. 1964. Cover. biomass. and root-shoot habit of Larrea divaricata on a selected site in southern New Mexico. Thesis, New Mexico State University, Las Cruces.
- Sprackling JA, Read RA. 1979. Tree root systems in eastern Nebraska. Nebraska Conservation Bulletin 37: 73.
- Stoeckeler JH, Kluender WA. 1938. The hydraulic method of excavating the root systems of plants. *Ecology* 19: 355–369.
- Stout BB. 1956. Studies of the root systems of deciduous trees. Black Rock Forest Bull No 15. Petersham, MA, USA: Harvard Black Rock Forest.
- Stringer JW, Kalisz PJ, Volpe JA. 1989. Deep tritiated water uptake and predawn xylem water potentials as indicators of vertical rooting extent in a *Quercus-Carya* forest. *Canadian Journal of Forest Research* 19: 627–631.
- Tamási J. 1986. Root location of fruit trees and its agrotechnical consequences. Budapest, Hungary: Akadémiai Kiadó.
- Teskey RO, Hinekley TM. 1981. Influence of temperature and water potential on root growth of white oak. *Physiologia Plantarum* **52**: 363–369.

- Thomas FM. 2000. Vertical rooting patterns of mature *Quercus* trees growing on different soil types in northern Germany. *Plant Ecology* 147: 95–103.
- Tierney GD, Foxx TS. 1982. Floristic composition and plant succession on nearsurface radioactive waste disposal facilities in the Los Alamos National Laboratory, vol. LA-9212-MS. Los Alamos, NM, USA: Los Alamos National Laboratory.
- Tierney GD, Foxx TS. 1987. Rooting lengths of plants of Los Alamos National Laboratory lands, vol. LA-10865-MS. Los Alamos, NM, USA: Los Alamos National Laboratory.
- Timberlake JR, Calvert GM. 1993. Preliminary root Atlas for Zimbabwe and Zambia.
- Tumber-Dávila SJ, Schenk HJ, Du E, Jackson RB. 2022. Plant sizes and shapes above and belowground and their interactions with climate. *New Phytologists* 235: 1032–1056.
- Vandenbeldt RJ. 1991. Rooting systems of western and southern African Faidherbia albida (Del.) A. Chev. (syn. Acacia albida Del.) – a comparative analysis with biogeographic implications. Agroforestry Systems 14: 233–244.
- Vater H. 1927. Die Bewurzelung der Kiefer, Fichte und Buche. *Tharandter* Forstliches Jahrbuch 78: 21.
- **Verzunov AI. 1980.** Growth of the larch and resistance of cultivated phytocenoses with its domination on semihydromorphic soils in the forest steepe in north Kazakhstan. *Ekologiya* **2:** 38–44.
- Wallace A, Romney EM. 1972. Radioecology and ecophysiology of desert plants at the Nevada test site, vol. TID-25954. Washington, DC, USA: U.S. Atomic Energy Commission.
- Wallace AE, Romney E, Cha J. 1980. Depth distribution of roots of some perennial plants in the Nevada Test Site area of the northern Mojave Desert. *Great Basin Naturalist Memoirs* 4: 201–207.
- Xu GQ, Li Y. 2008. Rooting depth and leaf hydraulic conductance in the xeric tree *Haloxyolon ammodendron* growing at sites of contrasting soil texture. *Functional Plant Biology* 35: 1234–1242.
- Yeager AF. 1935. Root systems of certain trees and shrubs grown on prairie soil. *Journal of Agricultural Research* 51: 1085–1092.
- Yeatman CW. 1955. Tree root development on upland heaths. *Forestry Commission Bulletin* No. 21.
- Yeaton RI, Travis J, Gilinsky E. 1977. Competition and spacing in plant communities: the Arizona upland association. *Journal of Ecology* 65: 587–595.
- Zhou H, Zhao W, Zhang G. 2017. Varying water utilization of *Haloxylon ammodendron* plantations in a desert-oasis ecotone. *Hydrological Processes* 31: 825–835.

Supporting Information

Additional Supporting Information may be found online in the Supporting Information section at the end of the article.

Fig. S1 Proportional and averaged water uptake depth (WUD) aggregated by plant functional type during the wet and dry seasons.

Fig. S2 Difference between the maximum rooting depth and water uptake depth (WUD) in relation to the mean annual precipitation sum.

Fig. S3 Summary of the experimental approaches, measurement techniques and core findings of the 120 studies on water uptake depth dynamics.

Fig. S4 Averaged water uptake depth (WUD) during the wet and dry seasons in relation to precipitation seasonality, and precipitation seasonality of the sites in each biome.

Table S1 Summary of the findings from the 120 studies on wateruptake depth dynamics, their measurement approach and experimental settings.

Table S2 Reviewed studies calculating depth of water uptake using natural ¹⁸O and D isotopes or isotopic tracers in combination with mixing models or direct inference.

Table S3 Species for which both water uptake depth (WUD) and rooting depth are provided and the respective studies.

Please note: Wiley is not responsible for the content or functionality of any Supporting Information supplied by the authors. Any queries (other than missing material) should be directed to the *New Phytologist* Central Office.