J. Dairy Sci. TBC
https://doi.org/10.3168/jds.2023-24245

District-Wide Herd Sanitation and Eradication of Intramammary *Staphylococcus aureus* Genotype B Infection in Dairy Herds in Ticino, Switzerland

L. Sesso,[1,2] T. Vanzetti,[3] J. Weber,[1] M. Vaccani,[1,3] P. Riva Scettrini,[4] C. Sartori,[5] I. Ivanovic,[5] A. Romanò,[5] M. Bodmer,[1] L. N. Bacciarini,[3] R. Struchen,[6] A. Steiner,[1] and H. U. Graber[5]*

[1]Clinic for Ruminants, Vetsuisse-Faculty, University of Bern, 3012 Bern, Switzerland
[2]Institute of Microbiology, Department of Environment, Constructions and Design, University of Applied Sciences of Southern Switzerland (SUPSI), 6850 Mendrisio, Switzerland
[3]Repubblica e Cantone Ticino, Ufficio del veterinario cantonale, 6500 Bellinzona, Switzerland
[4]Repubblica e Cantone Ticino, Ufficio della consulenza agricola, 6501 Bellinzona, Switzerland
[5]Agroscope, Food Microbial Systems, 3003 Bern, Switzerland
[6]Federal Food Safety and Veterinary Office, 3003 Bern, Switzerland

ABSTRACT

The present study demonstrates successful herd sanitation and eradication of contagious mastitis caused by *Staphylococcus aureus* genotype B (***S. aureus* GTB**) in an entire Swiss district (Ticino) including 3,364 dairy cows from 168 farms. Herd sanitation included testing of all cows using a highly GTB specific and sensitive qPCR assay, implementation of related on-farm measures, appropriate antibiotic therapy of GTB-positive cows and culling of therapy-resistant animals, respectively. A treatment index was used as an objective criterion to select GTB-positive cows eligible for culling and replacement payment. 62 herds (37%) were initially GTB-positive with a cow prevalence between 10% and 100% and were submitted to sanitation. Twenty mo after the start of the campaign, all these herds were free from *S. aureus* GTB, whereby 73% of them were sanitized during the first 7 mo. At the cow level, a total of 343 animals were infected. 50 of them were immediately culled and financially compensated based on their treatment index value. The remaining 293 cows were intramammarily treated with antibiotics either during lactation using the combination of cephalexin-kanamycin or penicillin-gentamicin or at dry-off using cloxacillin. Out of these cows, 275 (93.9%) were treated successfully meaning that their milk was twice GTB-negative by qPCR after therapy. For lactational treatment, control samples were taken ≥10 and ≥20 d after treatment, for dry off treatment ≥14 and ≥24 d after parturition. Neither lactation number nor SCC before treatment of the cow nor the type of therapy were associated with therapeutic cure.

Using data of 30 GTB-positive and 71 GTB-negative herds (1855 observations), the impact of GTB sanitation on bulk tank milk SCC (**BTSCC**) was evaluated applying a linear mixed statistical model. In the year before sanitation, BTSCC was always higher in GTB positive than in GTB negative herds. After the start of the campaign, BTSCC declined rapidly in the herds under GTB sanitation and achieved values that no longer differed statistically from those of GTB-free herds after only 2 mo, remaining very similar for the rest of the campaign. The farmers were very satisfied with the outcome of the campaign as all GTB positive herds could be sanitized rapidly, sanitation was sustainable, and milk quality increased.

Key words: *Staphylococcus aureus*, cattle, mastitis, herd sanitation, cure

INTRODUCTION

Mastitis caused by *Staphylococcus aureus* (*S. aureus*) is one of the most important infectious diseases in dairy cows worldwide, responsible for substantial economic losses and detrimental effects on ruminant welfare (Halasa et al., 2009; Heiniger et al., 2014; Ruegg, 2017). Intramammary infections (IMI) with this pathogen are usually chronic or may be cured clinically, but mostly not bacteriologically, and thus they become subclinical, resulting in reduced milk yield and fertility as well as increased use of antimicrobial agents and higher culling rates (Barkema et al., 2006; Halasa et al., 2009). Different bovine genotypes (**GT**) of *S. aureus* were identified during the past years varying in their virulence, patho-

Received September 26, 2023.
Accepted April 5, 2024.
*Corresponding author: Dr. med. vet. Hans Ulrich Graber, Agroscope, Schwarzenburgstrasse 161, 3003 Bern, Switzerland, E-mail: hans.graber-p@outlook.com

The list of standard abbreviations for JDS is available at adsa.org/jds-abbreviations-24. Nonstandard abbreviations are available in the Notes.

genicity, and epidemiology, respectively (Fournier et al., 2008; Graber et al., 2009; Cremonesi et al., 2015).

In Switzerland, there is predominant circulation of *S. aureus* genotype B (**GTB**) and genotype C (**GTC**) (Fournier et al., 2008). However, GTB has become a major problem for Swiss dairy farms due to its virulent and contagious nature with high SCC values and cow prevalences of up to 87% (median = 47%) (Fournier et al., 2008; Graber et al., 2009). Furthermore, it poses a considerable risk for human health arising from staphylococcal food poisoning caused by its enterotoxins SEA, SED, SEJ, and SER (Fournier et al., 2008; Hummerjohann et al., 2014; Cosandey et al., 2016). Indeed, cases of food poisoning caused by *S. aureus* GTB and consumption of cheese were observed (Hummerjohann et al., 2014). In a laboratory cheese model, *S. aureus* GTB produced enterotoxins (at least SEA and SED) at scalding temperatures up to 56°C (Schwendimann et al., 2020).

Staphylococcus aureus GTB is the cattle adapted form of *S. aureus* clonal complex (**CC**) 8 (Boss et al., 2016) which is frequently observed in infections and in the nose of humans (Sakwinska et al., 2009; Albrecht et al., 2015; Carrel et al., 2015; Bowers et al., 2018). *S. aureus* GTB is strongly associated with dairy cattle mammary gland (Leuenberger et al., 2019). Cow movements (between herds) and sharing milking equipment among cows (within herds) play, therefore, a key role for its transmission (Berchtold et al., 2014; Voelk et al., 2014; van den Borne et al., 2017; Leuenberger et al., 2019). Indeed, contaminated liners are the key source for GTB transmission among cows whereas bedding, the cow's environment, the milkers' hands and clothes as well as flies are of no relevance (Leuenberger et al., 2019). Keeping the liners GTB free by following a milking order and regular thorough cleaning of the liners and the other parts of the milking equipment after milking is, therefore, essential to interrupt the spreading of the pathogen. Cow movements and sharing milking equipment among cows are particularly relevant for alpine regions as here cows from various farms are regularly sent to common alpine locations (alps) for pasturing together during the summer season. On these alps, the cows are mixed for milking so that an initially GTB negative cow could be easily infected by the liners of a milking cluster that were previously contaminated by a GTB positive cow.

Antibiotic therapy and vaccination against *S. aureus* in bovine mastitis are often not of satisfactory success (Gruet, et al., 2001; van den Borne et al., 2010; Schukken et al., 2014; Freick et al., 2016). Reasons for the normally low treatment success using antibiotics (**AB**) include the ability of *S. aureus* to form biofilms (Fox et al., 2005; Bardiau et al., 2016; Thiran et al., 2018) and its ability to live inside mammary epithelium cells and macrophages (Almeida et al., 1996; Hebert et al., 2000); these mechanisms both protect *S. aureus* from being attacked by AB. Another reason is the resistance of *S. aureus* to antimicrobials (**AMR**) although it is of minor relevance, at least in Switzerland and other European countries (Nemati et al., 2023).

Because of these drawbacks and as the costs caused by this pathogen are very high (Heiniger et al., 2014), a new sanitation program for controlling *S. aureus* GTB was implemented (Sartori et al., 2018a). It is based on the GTB-specific qPCR assay (Sartori et al., 2017) and a co-developed on-farm sanitation procedure (Sartori et al., 2018a). The qPCR test explicitly detects the *adlb* gene (coding for the adhesion-like bovine protein) found by comparing various *S. aureus* genomes using whole genome sequencing (**WGS**) and bioinformatic methods (Sartori et al., 2017). The assay is highly sensitive and specific for this genotype enabling each GTB-positive and GTB-negative cow to be identified very reliably (Sartori et al., 2017). Furthermore, it can also be used for bulk tank milk (**BTM**) analyses detecting at least 1 GTB-positive cow among 138 negative cows (Boss et al., 2011; Sartori et al., 2017).

A previous study by Sartori et al. (2018a) included 10 dairy herds analyzed by the novel qPCR assay and 9 herds examined by classical bacteriology (Kirchhofer et al., 2011). The on-farm sanitation procedure, identical for both treatment groups, included the maintenance of a strict milking order according to the infection status of the cows, the thorough and regular cleaning of the milking equipment, the veterinary support of the farmers and, the appropriate therapy of *S. aureus* GTB-positive cows during both lactation and dry period (Sartori et al., 2018a). Furthermore, culling of treatment-resistant animals was recommended (Sartori et al., 2018a). For the qPCR-based sanitation procedure, each lactating cow was additionally tested by the qPCR assay every month and reallocated to the appropriate milking group according to the test result. Selection of the antibiotics (kanamycin for lactational treatment; cloxacillin for dry cow therapy) was primarily based on WGS of GTB-positive strains followed by bioinformatic evaluation for antibiotic resistance genes (Sartori et al., 2018a).

The study by Sartori et al. (2018a) revealed that all herds tested by qPCR (n = 10) were fully sanitized within 9 mo whereas 3 out of the 9 bacteriologically tested herds remained not sanitized after this period. Additionally, the qPCR approach showed some further key advantages, such as the use of BTM for GTB detection at the herd level enabling herd control, or the collection of clean milk samples: udder and teats of each cow were cleaned with disposable material (one-way towels or fresh straw) as prepared for milking and a composite milk sample was then taken immediately before attaching the milking cluster. In contrast, aseptic milk sampling (each teat is

cleaned with one-way towels and the teat end disinfected 3 times with cotton pads soaked in Ethanol 70%; National Mastitis Council (NMC), 2017) was only feasible for herds of about 35 cows because of the high workload for sampling and for the analyses in the laboratory (Sartori et al., 2018a). Antibiotic treatment resulted in an overall healing rate at the cow level of 93% independent on cows' age, lactation number, or days in milk. Furthermore, SCC decreased considerably (Sartori et al., 2018a). Finally, it turned out that GTB-infected cows that had been treated with antibiotics lacked systematic reinfection of the mammary gland with new bacteria during the sanitation process (Sartori et al., 2018b).

The study by Sartori et al. (2018a) was the pilot study to demonstrate the chosen approach to sanitize GTB-infected dairy herds by qPCR and the co-developed on-farm procedure could be implemented in the field and resulted in sustainable herd sanitation. Indeed, this approach was very successful (Sartori et al., 2018a) and was therefore taken over to sanitize the dairy herds of an entire Swiss district as described in the following.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Principally, the herd sanitation procedure for *S. aureus* GTB was performed according to Sartori et al. (2018a) using the same qPCR assay (Sartori et al., 2017) and the same on-farm measures except stated.

The Canton Ticino, the Italian speaking district located in the South of Switzerland (area = 2,812 km^2), was selected because previous studies revealed (Boss et al., 2016; Cosandey et al., 2016; Sartori et al., 2018a) that this region has a serious problem with *S. aureus* GTB in its dairy herds. In addition, the number of about 180 dairy herds was manageable in terms of diagnostic and personal resources. The Ticino district is a region where common alpine pasturing during the summer months (May/June until the mid of September) is traditionally widespread. This means that every summer dairy cows from various farms of the district are brought together at different locations in the mountains (alps) for common grazing and production of alpine cow cheese. As the cows of the Ticino district alone, however, are not in sufficient numbers to economically manage these pastures, cows from other Swiss districts are sent there too.

After awareness of the project was raised by means of organizing information events for farmers and veterinarians and distributing information material, dairy farmers of the Ticino district were encouraged to voluntarily take part in the program from January 2018 to December 2020. They were also informed that they were financially compensated for cows to be culled according to an objective criterion. Only dairy farmers (n = 168; Table 1) who had signed a study participation contract and agreed to participate throughout the whole program were included in the project.

Milk Sampling for GTB Testing

Figure 1 provides an overview of the sampling procedure and times of data collection.

During the campaign (years 2018- 2020), sampling started in January and ended in April as the cows were then sent to the alps. Each lactating cow (Braunvieh or Holstein breed) was sampled every 3–4 weeks (clean composite milk samples), at the earliest 14 d after calving. If they were qPCR negative twice in a row, they were considered GTB free and their sampling was stopped. If one sample was positive, the cow was considered GTB positive and was immediately treated (see below). Cows with lactational treatment were re-sampled at the earliest 14 d after the last therapy. If they were then twice qPCR negative in a row, they were considered GTB free and cured. If all cows of a herd were free from *S. aureus* GTB, the herd was considered GTB free.

By contract, all cows of the 168 herds involved in the project were only allowed to be sent to GTB free alps in the Ticino district. To be sure that the cows were actually free from *S. aureus* GTB, they were sampled (clean composite milk samples) once again in May (at maximum 21 d before the cows were sent to the alps) and analyzed by qPCR for *S. aureus* GTB. As also cows from other districts without GTB sanitation were sent to the same alps, each of these cows was tested for *S. aureus* GTB once (clean composite milk sample taken at their home farms) within 21 d before common pasturing. As a control, BTM samples of each alp were collected and tested for *S. aureus* GTB within 15 d of common pasturing. If *S. aureus* GTB was detected, each cow of an affected alp underwent individual milk sampling and analysis. GTB positive cows were either immediately dried-off or sent back to their farm of origin. After cows had moved back from alpine pastures in fall, all herds included in the project were tested in December at their home farms using BTM samples and the qPCR assay for *S. aureus* GTB.

Since the end of the GTB sanitation campaign in 2020, all cows to be sent to common pasturing in the Ticino district are continued to be sampled (clean composite milk samples) and tested for *S. aureus* GTB. Furthermore, yearly alp and home BTM samples are continued to be analyzed for control reasons as common alpine pasturing is the major source for *S. aureus* GTB infection (Berchtold et al., 2014; Voelk et al., 2014).

Diagnostic procedure

Practical milk sampling. BTM samples were collected as described (National Mastitis Council, 2017). Further-

more, composite milk samples of cows were taken under clean conditions by instructed personnel using sterile 30-mL plastic tubes without preservatives (Sartori et al., 2017). Milk samples were stored at 4°C for a maximum of 5 d until analysis.

qPCR. To detect *S. aureus* GTB in composite milk samples of cows or BTM, a qPCR assay for the GTB specific *adlb* gene was used. The assay was developed by Sartori et al. (2017). The assay is very sensitive (3.4 cfu/100 µL) and has excellent diagnostic sensitivity (99%) and specificity (100%) (Sartori et al., 2017; Sartori et al., 2018a), so that every GTB-positive and GTB-negative cow can be identified very reliably. Furthermore, the assay can be used for BTM analyses where it detects at least 1 GTB positive cow among 138 negative cows (Boss et al., 2011; Sartori et al., 2017).

The test was performed by a commercial diagnostic laboratory (IDEXX Diavet, Freienbach, Switzerland). In cases of analytical problems, the samples were forwarded to the Swiss reference laboratory for *S. aureus* GTB (Agroscope, Liebefeld) for definitive evaluation. To reduce analytical costs, milk of 10 cows was pooled in the commercial laboratory (1 mL of milk per cow) and then analyzed by the standard qPCR assay. In case of a positive GTB result, each sample included in the pool was analyzed separately. The dilution factor was not considered for evaluating the result of the pooled samples, as a false-negative result because of the dilution was very improbable: in BTM, at least 1 GTB-positive cow in 138 negative cows can be detected (dilution 1:138) by the assay (Boss et. al., 2011; Sartori et al., 2017).

Antimicrobial resistance testing. Antimicrobial susceptibility of *S. aureus* GTB strains which were isolated from up to 4 randomly selected cows of each positive farm was tested by the agar disk diffusion method according to the guidelines of EUCAST (http://www.eucast.org/ast_of_bacteria/disk_diffusion_methodology/) to check that the standard antimicrobial therapy (see below) can be expected to be effective.

Herd Sanitation Procedure

As performed in the study by Sartori (2018a), *S. aureus* GTB-positive farms (i.e., those with ≥1 infected cow) had i) to stick to a strict milking order depending on the cows' infection status (Sartori et al., 2018a): GTB negative animals were milked first (group 1), followed by cows with unknown GTB status forming group 2 (i.e., new animals entering the farm, cows under antibiotic mastitis therapy, or cows with <14 d after calving), and finally group 3 including GTB-positive animals. For easier identification by the milker, the positive cows were marked with a red band fixed on one of the hind legs. Additional obligatory on-farm measures were ii) thorough cleaning of the milking equipment twice a day according to the manufacturer's guidelines, iii) cleaning the teats with single-use material, iv) post-milking teat disinfection using iodine-based products, v) maintenance of the milking equipment by an authorized technician once a year, and vi) wearing disposable gloves during milking (newly included). Farms equipped with an automatic milking system had to conduct an additional cleaning cycle after milking of each positive cow and disinfection of the liners by hot steam. For all GTB positive farms, sampling of cows after treatment and the on-farm measures were maintained until each cow of a herd was GTB-negative or culled (also during the summer months; see also Figure 1).

For all GTB positive cows which farmers decided to eliminate because of additional health problems or with an i_t below the threshold (feasible for financial compensation), they were immediately culled without therapeutic intervention.

Table 1. Descriptive data on district-wide sanitation of dairy herds infected with *Staphylococcus aureus* genotype B (*S. aureus* GTB). Herd sanitation started on 1 January 2018

Item	Unit	Values
Herds involved	n/N (%)[1]	168/193 (87.0)
Herds positive for *S. aureus* GTB	n (%)	62 (36.9)
Cows involved 2018	n	3,364
Cows positive for *S. aureus* GTB	n (%)	339 (10.1)
Cows involved 2019	n	3,171
Cows positive for *S. aureus* GTB		4 (0.1)
Cows successfully treated with antibiotic therapy	n/N (%)	275/293 (93.9)
Cows culled without therapy	n	50
Herd size 2018	mean ± SD	21.9 ± 16.4
	min - max	10 - 83
Milking system		
Pipe/bucket milking	n (%)	164 (97.6)
Automatic milking	n (%)	4 (2.4)

[1]Percentage of the total number of dairy herds of the Ticino district in 2018.



Figure 1. Study design: Sampling procedure and times of data collection[1]. [1]Cross (†) indicates the times of *S. aureus* GTB prevalence assessment BM = bulk milk; *S. aureus* GTB = *Staphylococcus aureus* genotype B

All the other GTB positive cows received either lactational or dry cow therapy. Cows that were ≤210 DIM received intramammary medication of either a combination of 200 mg of cefalexin and 100,000 IU of kanamycin (Ubrolexin®, Boehringer Ingelheim Vetmedica GmbH) as used by Sartori et al. (2018a) or a cheaper, not previously evaluated combination of 250 mg of gentamicin and 2.5 million IU of procaine benzylpenicillin (Gentapen®, Dr. E. Graeub AG) applied to each quarter for 5 d at 24 h intervals. Cows with >210 DIM were dried off and immediately treated after the last milking by intramammary administration of 1.28 g of benzathine cloxacillin (Orbenin Extra 1.28 g, Zoetis Schweiz GmbH) applied to each quarter. Furthermore, a dry cow prophylaxis with cloxacillin was recommended for all negative cows of GTB positive farms. To determine bacteriological cure, each cow was tested twice by qPCR for *S. aureus* GTB using composite quarter milk samples: the first control sample was taken ≥10 d posttreatment in lactating animals and ≥14 d postpartum in dry cows, respectively. The 2nd control sample was taken ≥21 d after the first testing for both lactating and dry cows.

Financial Support

The farmers taking part in the GTB sanitation project were financially supported as milk sampling, qPCR analysis for *S. aureus* GTB, and financial compensation for culled cows were paid by the project. To ensure an objective criterion for financial compensation after culling, we established the treatment index (i_t) based on the study by Sol et al. (1997). For each GTB positive cow, it was calculated as $i_t = (i_l + i_c)/2$, where the lactation index (i_l) is based on the number of lactations and the SCC index (i_c) is based on the average SCC (**TSCC**) calculated from the last 3 SCC values obtained from official monthly SCC recordings (for the specific i_l and i_c values see Supplemental Table S1; https://data.mendeley.com/datasets/ggfrmygmpp/1; Sesso et al., 2024). When the i_t value was below a certain threshold, culling of the animal was recommended and financial compensation was provided. The threshold varied depending on the number of infected cows per herd: if the within-herd prevalence for *S. aureus* GTB was <20%, the i_t threshold was set to 0.35. For prevalences between 20% - 40% and > 40%, the i_t threshold was 0.33 and 0.30, respectively. Positive animals with an i_t above the threshold were treated and not financially compensated for, if slaughtered without therapeutic intervention.

Data Management

To monitor the infection status of each cow involved in the project, their GTB test results (diagnostic laboratory) and treatment data (veterinarians, farmers) were regularly transmitted to a data warehouse (run by the Federal Food Safety and Veterinary Office) and supplemented with further data from the breeding association (Braunvieh, Zug, Switzerland) including age, number of lactations, DIM, and monthly SCC (composite milk samples) of the cows. Based on these data, 2 specific, monthly updated reports were created and sent electronically to the receivers: i) a list for the farmers with the milking order of the cows; ii) a list for the local veterinarians containing the GTB positive cows of a farm and the description of the management thereof (lactational treatment, treatment at dry-off, or culling).

Statistics

Individual cow data including ear tag number, age, number of lactations, and DIM were transferred to Microsoft Excel (Microsoft Corporation, Redmond, WA). Statistical analyses were performed using the Systat 13.1 software (SYSTAT Software, Inc., Chicago, IL) for all analyses if not otherwise stated. Categorical data were described as frequencies, and continuous data as mean ±

standard deviation, minimum and maximum. For rates, the nominator and denominator were reported. All missing data were excluded from statistical analysis.

To assess whether lactation number, SCC or the type of antibiotic treatment affected the cure of a cow, a binary logistic model was computed. For this reason, the treatment success of the individual (successfully vs. non-successfully treated) was specified as binary dependent variable. Furthermore, for each cow, the composite milk SCC of the monthly milkings recorded at the month of GTB sampling (**ICSCC**) was used and then log10 transformed (**log10ICSCC**). Regarding the type of treatment, a categorical variable was generated including lactational therapy either with cefalexin/kanamycin (reference), penicillin/gentamicin, or treatment at drying-off using cloxacillin. Furthermore, a categorical variable was introduced for lactation number comprising 3 levels (see also Table 2): level 0 and 1 included all cows with lactation number 1 and 2, respectively, level 2 comprised all cows with lactation numbers ≥3. As the choice of the antibiotics (**AB**) combination used for lactational treatment was completely farm dependent, a separate variable representing the different farms was omitted in the model to avoid a corresponding association bias.

The progress of GTB herd sanitation was assessed by a nonparametric survival analysis approach using the Kaplan-Meier method (Kaplan and Meier, 1958). To do so, for each herd the time (expressed in months) after the start of the project in January 2018 was calculated until every cow of a herd was twice GTB-negative or slaughtered (= herd sanitized). Every GTB-positive herd initially included in the study (n = 68) was followed until its sanitation was complete meaning that there were no censored herds in the data set. The function was computed according to Kaplan and Meier (1958) and plotted using the Systat 13.1 software (SYSTAT Software, Inc.). The observed curve was compared with a theoretical reference curve using the logrank test (Mantel, 1966), assuming for the reference that a GTB-infected herd and cow do not undergo spontaneous cure. That this assumption is justified is shown by Sartori et al. (2018a): for all 21 GTB-infected herds included in the cited study, there was a history of a *S. aureus* mastitis problem at the herd and cow level that had lasted at least > 1 year despite several different therapeutical interventions.

A linear mixed model was established to evaluate whether GTB infection affected milk quality (measured as SCC), and whether milk quality increased after GTB sanitation. As milk, the monthly herd bulk tank milk (**BTSCC**) was used. It was obtained from milk samples sent in for official milk quality control and was established by Suisselab AG (Zollikofen, Switzerland). This data set was complete, as for every herd and month included in the present study the BTSCC recordings were all available. For farms whose milk was not delivered for public consumption (normally used for fattening calves), BTSCC was not available as, in this case, official milk quality control is not required by Swiss law (Administration, Swiss 2020a). The values of the BTSCC variable were log10 transformed. The new variable (**log10BTSCC**) served as response variable, while the GTB status of a herd at enrollment (GTB-negative versus GTB-positive), observation time in months (**OT**), and their interaction served as explanatory variables. The model included herds as random intercepts. Considering OT, it started in 2017 and ended in 2020. The time between January 2017 and May 2017 was considered as the pre-sanitation time, whereas the time between January 2018 and May 2020 reflected the time of sanitation. For each year only the months January to May were included, as afterward many herds were sent for alpine pasturing, a situation which made it no longer possible to control them during that time.

The linear mixed model addressing non-independence of BTSCC measurement (the same farms were repeatedly sampled over time) was computed using R v.4.1.2. and the package lme4 v.1.1–28 (Bates et al., 2015). The model was evaluated using Q-Q plots of the residuals and plots of expected versus observed values. Significance was tested using the F Wald test with sum of errors type III, as implemented in the R package car v.3.0–12 (Fox and Weisberg, 2019). Further analysis was performed to test for differences in SCC within each month: based on the mixed model described above, the marginal means were estimated as implemented in the R package emmeans v.1.7.5 (Lenth, 2022), and the difference in marginal means between GTB positive versus GTB negative herds were then computed within each point in time.

Table 2. Results of the binary logistic model on the therapeutic success of the cows (successfully treated vs. non-successfully treated) dependent on lactation number, log10ICSCC and treatment. For lactation number, the cows were grouped into 3 categories: lactation 1 (all cows in 1st lactation), lactation 2 (all cows in 2nd lactation), lactation 3 (all cows in ≥3 lactations; reference). Lactational treatment was performed with a previously evaluated combination of cefalexin/kanamycin (Ubrolexin®; reference), with a new combination of penicillin and gentamicin (Gentapen®; Therapy GP), or with cloxacillin at drying-off (Orbenin Extra®; Therapy C). Log10ICSCC indicates the log10 transformation of the composite milk SCC of the monthly milkings recorded at the month of milk sampling for *Staphylococcus aureus* genotype B (GTB)

Parameter	β ± SE[1]	*P*-value
Intercept	−6.281 ± 2.434	0.010
Log10ICSCC	0.986 ± 0.783	0.208
Lactation 1	1.285 ± 2.839	0.651
Lactation 2	−5.571 ± 4.459	0.212
Therapy GP	−0.225 ± 0.735	0.759
Therapy C	−0.306 ± 0.619	0.621
Log10ICSCC * lactation 1	−0.374 ± 0.997	0.708
Log10ICSCC * lactation 2	1.728 ± 1.410	0.220

[1]β = parameter estimate; SE = standard error of the parameter estimate.

The difference in marginal means was tested using the contrasts method implemented in the emmeans package (Lenth, 2022). Figures were plotted using the R package ggplot2 v.3.4.1. Values of $P < 0.05$ were considered statistically significant.

RESULTS

Status of S. aureus GTB at beginning of campaign

Herd level. In January 2018, at the beginning of *S. aureus* GTB sanitation, a total of 168 Ticino dairy farms were tested for *S. aureus* GTB using BTM (Table 1). Out of them, 106 farms were GTB-negative, 62 were GTB-positive, corresponding to a herd prevalence of 37% and were submitted for GTB sanitation as described above.

Cow level. At the start of the campaign, the median GTB cow prevalence was 10.1%. Twenty-six herds (42%) showed a cow prevalence of <20%, for 15 herds (24%), the prevalence was between 20% and 40%, and for 21 herds (34%), the prevalence was > 40%. On 3 farms, all cows (100%) were tested positive for *S. aureus* GTB.

In total, 339 of the 3,364 cows (overall cow prevalence = 10.1%) were GTB-positive. As decided by the farmers, 48 infected cows were immediately culled without receiving any treatment, whereas 291 (85.6%) were treated with antibiotics as described.

Status of S. aureus GTB during campaign

Herd level. As shown in Figure 2, all 62 initially GTB-positive herds could be sanitized within 20 mo. There was a sharp decrease of infected herds during the first 7 mo (73% of the herds were sanitized by that time), while a slower decline was observed for the remaining 17 herds. According to the Kaplan-Meier model, mean sanitation time was 6.9 mo (95% confidence interval CI95: 5.8–7.9 mo). The sanitation of 25%, 50%, 75%, and 90% herds took 4, 6, 9, and 13 mo, respectively. Accordingly, the herd prevalence dropped from initially 37% to 27.7%, 18.5%, 9.2%, and 3.7% after 4, 6, 9, and 13 mo, respectively.

Cow level. During the campaign (in 2019), 4 additional cows (0.1%) were newly infected. Two of them were treated with antibiotics, 2 were immediately culled. Adding these cows to the initial 339 GTB-positive animals, a total of 343 cows were found to be GTB-positive during the campaign.

Analyzing all 343 cows, the number of GTB-positive animals decreased rapidly within the first 6 mo followed by a slower decline during the remaining 14 mo. The mean sanitation time for a cow was 5.0 mo (CI: 4.7–5.4 mo). The first 25% of the GTB-positive cows were GTB-free within the first 3 mo, the 50%, 75%, and 90% of the cows were sanitized within the first 4, 6, and 10 mo, respectively. The overall cow prevalence dropped from initially 10.1% to 5.1%, 2.5% and 1.0% after 4, 6, and 10 mo, respectively.

Status of S. aureus GTB after campaign Re-evaluation of all sanitized herds and all the previously GTB free herds of the project in December 2019, 2020, and 2021 using BTM and the GTB qPCR assay revealed all herds to be negative in 2019 and 2020, whereas in 2021 2 herds were GTB-positive. Testing all lactating cows of these farms individually exposed 9 GTB-positive animals whereof 7 were then re-treated according to the standard procedure and 2 were culled.

Treatment Success

Out of 343 GTB-positive cows, 50 were immediately slaughtered without therapeutic intervention, but financially compensated as their treatment index i_t was within the predetermined compensation range. The remaining 293 cows were treated with AB either during lactation using the combination of cephalexin-kanamycin or penicillin-gentamicin or at dry-off using cloxacillin. Out of these cows, 275 (93.9%) were treated successfully, meaning that after therapy these cows showed twice a GTB-negative qPCR result in a row. The remaining 18 cows (6.1%) with treatment failure were slaughtered and not financially compensated.

Of all treated cows (n = 275), 55 were at their 1st lactation, 51 at their 2nd, and 169 at their 3rd or higher lactation. Neither the type of therapy nor log10ICSCC showed any significant impact on therapeutic success (Table 2). Furthermore, no difference in treatment success was observed between older cows of ≥3 lactations (reference) and cows of 1st ($P = 0.708$) and 2nd lactation ($P = 0.220$). Additionally, no significant interaction could be detected among lactation number and log10ICSCC (Table 2). The full model did not differ from the constant only model ($P = 0.296$ and McFadden's Rho squared was 0.069).

Somatic Cell Counts in Bulk Tank Milk

Using 30 GTB-positive and 71 GTB-negative herds for which all the necessary data were available (1855 observations), the impact of GTB sanitation on BTSCC was evaluated using a linear mixed model. The analyses showed that log10BTSCC varied over time ($P < 0.001$; Table 3) Furthermore, a significant interaction between GTB status (infected/free) of the herd and time was observed ($P = 0.017$; Table 3). Further analysis revealed that log10BTSCC was higher in GTB positive compared with GTB negative herds during the year before sanitation (2017). After the start of the sanitation campaign in January 2018, log10BTSCC declined rapidly in the herds



Figure 2. Progress of sanitation for *Staphylococcus aureus* genotype B (GTB). A) Progress at the herd level. B) Progress at the cow level. Assessment was performed by a nonparametric survival analysis approach using the Kaplan-Meier method.

under GTB sanitation reaching a non-significant difference in marginal means as early as 2 mo after having started the sanitation campaign (March 2018; Table 4, Figure 2). At this point in time, 20% of the initially GTB-infected herds were fully sanitized. From March 2018 onwards, the marginal means of the herds under sanitation continued to adapt (always $P > 0.05$) and ended in a value very close to the one observed for the control herds (GTB status negative) in May 2020 (Table 4, Figure 3).

DISCUSSION

To our knowledge, this is the first report to show the eradication of *S. aureus* as a contagious mastitis pathogen in an entire district. It was made possible by comprehensive sanitation of all affected herds. Indeed, all the 62 dairy herds initially positive for *S. aureus* GTB could be sanitized within 20 mo whereby the majority (73%) of the herds had been sanitized within the first 7 mo of the campaign. At the cow level, 90% of the GTB-infected animals were GTB free within 10 mo, for the remaining 10% of the cows, another 10 mo were required. Overall, with 20 mo, the sanitation time was short although a considerable number of different farmers and veterinarians were involved. In addition, the sanitation was also sustainable, as all herds and cows remained GTB free (years 2018–2020) or only minimally re-infected (9 cows in 2 herds in 2021). For the infected herds, the success was accompanied by a rapid increase in milk quality and reached the same quality at the end of the campaign as for the GTB-negative herds. Importantly, a major contribution to this success was that the campaign was driven and supervised by a small team of veterinarians (L. S., M. V.) who interpreted the laboratory results and were in daily contact with their colleagues in the field and with the farmers for consulting purposes, to answer questions, and to help solving specific problems. Further major contributions were, that a proven on-farm sanitation procedure (Sartori et al., 2018a) and a robust, highly sensitive and specific GTB qPCR assay were used (Sartori et al., 2017).

Our results demonstrate that successful GTB sanitation can also be achieved for farms with an initial within-herd GTB prevalence >40% and despite additional risk factors arising from temporary common pasturing of cows originating from various farms (Voelk et al., 2014; van den Borne et al., 2017). These results confirm those of the previous field study by Sartori et al. (2018a), who successfully sanitized 10/10 dairy herds using the qPCR approach for detection of positive animals, while only 6/9 farms could be sanitized by classical bacteriology during a 9-mo period.

The qPCR assay is characterized by a very high diagnostic sensitivity (99.4%) and specificity (100%) (Sartori et al., 2017), meaning that every GTB-infected cow is detected very reliably by this test whereas a GTB-negative cow is very reliably excluded from being infected.

Table 3. Effect of herd sanitation for *Staphylococcus aureus* genotype B (GTB) on SCC in bulk tank milk (BTSCC) delivered for commercial use. A linear mixed model was used to assess the impact of the GTB status of a herd (infected/free) and the time of sanitation on log10BTSCC. The analysis included 30 dairy GTB-infected and 71 GTB-free herds during 2017 to 2020. Wald F tests were performed to test for significance of the included variables and their interaction

	F-value	Df[1]	*P*-value
GTB status	3.54	1	0.063
Time	4.47	19	<0.001
GTB status x Time	1.82	19	0.017

[1]Df: degrees of freedom.

Correct identification of GTB-infected and non-infected cows is the key for an eradication program that is based on a sanitation approach (Voelk et al., 2014; Leuenberger et al., 2019). Indeed, according to this procedure, cows with a negative test result are allocated to the healthy group. If a cow with a false-negative result, however, is brought into such a group, spreading of the pathogen remains possible resulting in new infections of previously uninfected animals with the consequence that sanitation frequently fails. This is the main problem if milk testing is performed by standard plating on blood agar (Sartori et al., 2018a). In fact, by this method only a diagnostic sensitivity for *S. aureus* after single sampling of 75% is achieved (Sears et al., 1990; Studer et al., 2008), meaning that 25% of truly infected cows will show a false-negative result and will spread the disease. Actually, according to our experience, recurrent infection in the healthy group is a frequent observation in GTB-infected herds before successful sanitation and often brings the farmers close to despair. In fact, for many farmers, a GTB-infected herd does not only cause professional, but also mental stress, as infection of herds caused by *S. aureus* GTB are usually characterized by a history of at least 1 to 2 years during which the farmers had tried several different treatments but none of them was capable of eradicating the disease (Sartori et al., 2018a). This leads to considerable frustration with the consequence that various farmers stop milk production and decide for a professional alternative.

In addition to its excellent diagnostic sensitivity and specificity at the cow level, the qPCR assay can also be applied for analysis of BTM samples, enabling to use this simple and cheap type of milk sample to detect GTB-infected herds and to control them after sanitation. With a detection limit in BTM of at least 1 GTB-positive cow among 138 negative cows (Boss et al., 2011; Sartori et al., 2017), GTB-infected herds can be very reliably identified. Furthermore, the qPCR test shows practical advantages as compared with bacterial cultivation, such as the simple collection procedure of composite milk samples (clean but not sterile), a key for sampling all cows also of large herds, the rapid formation of consistent milking groups according to the infection status of the cows, and the lower requirements for laboratory analysis concerning time and costs (Sartori et al., 2017; Sartori et al., 2018a).

According to previous publications (Sol et al., 1997; Barkema et al., 2006), bacteriological cure of mastitis caused by *S. aureus* is associated with certain host-level factors including higher lactation number or ICSCC at treatment, and infection of multiple quarters. In the present study, dealing exclusively with one subtype of *S. aureus* (GTB/CC8), neither lactation number nor ICSCC had a significant impact on the treatment success of

Table 4. Somatic cell counts expressed in cells/ml in bulk tank milk (BTSCC). The analysis included 30 dairy herds infected with *Staphylococcus aureus* genotype B (*S. aureus* GTB; "infected") and 71 GTB-free herds ("free") during 2017 to 2020. For each year, the samples were evaluated for the months of January (e.g., 2017–01) to May (e.g., 2017–05). The table includes back-transformed marginal means and comparisons based on the linear mixed model to assess the impact of *S. aureus* GTB herd sanitation on log10BTSCC

Date	Back-transformed marginal mean infected	Back-transformed marginal mean free	Back-transformed marginal mean difference (Infected vs. free)	Marginal mean difference (Infected vs. free)[1]	95% CI[2]	*P*-value
2017–01	115543	90530	25013	0.106	−0.013 - 0.225	0.08
2017–02	119689	84479	35209	0.151	0.032 - 0.27	0.013
2017–03	113161	86077	27084	0.119	0.001 - 0.237	0.048
2017–04	144237	91193	53044	0.199	0.082 - 0.316	0.001
2017–05	142832	103611	39220	0.139	0.022 - 0.257	0.021
2018–01	110638	72438	38199	0.184	0.065 - 0.303	0.002
2018–02	96747	71071	25676	0.134	0.016 - 0.252	0.026
2018–03	94948	80079	14869	0.074	−0.044 - 0.192	0.218
2018–04	102802	86535	16267	0.075	−0.043 - 0.193	0.212
2018–05	107973	95301	12672	0.054	−0.065 - 0.173	0.371
2019–01	105784	91792	13992	0.062	−0.057 - 0.181	0.309
2019–02	105364	82363	23000	0.107	−0.012 - 0.226	0.078
2019–03	94509	89425	5084	0.024	−0.096 - 0.144	0.693
2019–04	98130	90355	7775	0.036	−0.083 - 0.155	0.555
2019–05	114381	96390	17992	0.074	−0.045 - 0.193	0.22
2020–01	114643	101716	12927	0.052	−0.069 - 0.173	0.399
2020–02	105082	99787	5295	0.022	−0.098 - 0.143	0.714
2020–03	99690	95696	3993	0.018	−0.103 - 0.138	0.772
2020–04	103157	101069	2088	0.009	−0.111 - 0.129	0.885
2020–05	121234	119084	2150	0.008	−0.112 - 0.127	0.898

[1]Difference between the marginal log10BTSCC means of GTB-infected and GTB-free dairy herds. These values resulted from the linear mixed model and were used for the *post-hoc* analysis.

[2]95% CI: 95% confidence interval.



Figure 3. Impact of herd sanitation for *Staphylococcus aureus* genotype B (*S. aureus* GTB) on SCC in bulk tank milk (BTSCC) delivered for consumption. A linear mixed model was applied using data of 30 GTB infected and 71 GTB free herds (1855 observations), and log10 transformation of BTSCC (log10BTSCC). After 2 mo of sanitation (March 2018), the marginal means of the herds under sanitation did no longer differ statistically from GTB-free herds (P always >0.05) and remained constantly low, even after the end of sanitation in 2018. For each year, the samples were evaluated for the months of January (e.g., 2017–01) to May (e.g., 2017–05). The connected dots reflect the marginal means, while their bars indicate ± the standard error of the mean. Red vertical bar: start of herd sanitation for *S. aureus* GTB.

individual cows. Similarly, time of treatment (lactation vs. dry period) did not affect the outcome. Furthermore, no difference in treatment success was observed when lactational treatment was performed using either Ubrolexin® (cefalexin + kanamycin) or Gentapen® (penicillin + gentamicin). With a cure rate of 93.9% including all cows which had undergone antibiotic therapy, the success was very high and was nearly identical (93%) to the one observed by Sartori et al. (2018a), even though the present study included many more herds, cows, and veterinarians than the previous one. Importantly, as defined by Sartori et al. (2018a), cure was considered as 2 consecutive negative results obtained by the GTB qPCR assay. With the test's diagnostic specificity of 100% (95% confidence interval = ± 2%) (Sartori et al., 2017) and 2 samplings in a row, the probability is close to zero that a cow was wrongly considered to be cured. As no germicides were used for teat disinfection before sampling it cannot be ruled out, however, that some cows were wrongly considered to suffer from GTB IMI as their teats were colonized by the pathogen but the mammary gland was actually not infected. These IMI false positives, although healthy, were treated too and may have caused, therefore, some inflation of the described cure rate. However, reevaluation of the data by Sartori et al. (2018a) revealed that all GTB positive cows remained GTB positive in consecutive samplings as long as they were not treated (resampling GTB positive cows was omitted in the present study to save costs). Constant detection of *S. aureus* GTB in the milk of the same cow over weeks is a clear indicator that IMI was the source of the pathogen in these cases. Taken together, the observed cure rate of 93.9% likely reflects the real rate.

Compared with previous studies with a reported median cure rate of about 30% (Gruet et al., 2001), the observed rate of antibiotic therapy for *S. aureus* is very high (Sol et al., 1997; Gruet et al., 2001; Barkema et al., 2006). Several reasons may have contributed to this success: as performed by Sartori et al. (2018a), antimicrobial treatment was extended to 5 d in lactating cows, as prolonged therapy enhances the cure rate of subclinical IMI caused by *S. aureus* (Barkema et al., 2006). In addition, as in the previous study, antibiotics were administered to all 4 quarters as *S. aureus* GTB commonly infects 2 or more quarters of a cow (Fournier et al., 2008). Furthermore, resistance to aminoglycoside antibiotics (e.g., kanamycin, gentamicin) in Swiss mastitis-associated *S. aureus* isolates is rare with a resistance rate of 1.7% (Overesch, Stephan and Perreten, 2013) and 0% (Käppeli et al., 2019), values that have recently been confirmed by a

European study showing 0.5% aminoglycoside resistant *S. aureus* isolates (Nemati et al., 2023). Finally, we are gaining more and more evidence that the success rate of antibiotic treatment of *S. aureus* is genotype dependent. Indeed, the cure rate is very high for *S. aureus* GTB as shown in the present study and in the one by Sartori et al. (2018a), but it seems to be considerably lower for GTC and GTR (own clinical experience). However, this is not because of increased resistance rates for these GT to penicillin and aminoglycoside antibiotics as shown by Nemati et al. (2023). Rather, these GT may differ from GTB by their biological properties. Indeed, recent studies using genomic, transcriptomic, and secretomic analyses demonstrated that biological differences among GT actually exist (Capra et al., 2017; Addis et al., 2022; Di Mauro et al., 2023). Further investigations, however, are necessary to confirm the hypothesis about different reactions of GT against AB in vivo.

The present study demonstrates that sanitation of *S. aureus* GTB infected herds not only lead to GTB eradication, but also to increased udder health and milk quality as BTSCC of infected herds, starting from an increased level, dropped within 2 mo of sanitation to a level that did no longer differ statistically from the GTB-negative control herds. The BTSCC remained low and stable even after 1 year after sanitation (2020), demonstrating the sustainability of the sanitation campaign. Furthermore, it was also possible to decrease considerably the use of antibiotics during common pasturing on alps after successful sanitation ($P = 0.004$) (Vaccani et al., 2022; Nemati et al., 2023), the location with the highest risk for a cow to get infected by *S. aureus* GTB (Berchtold et al., 2014; Voelk et al., 2014; van den Borne et al., 2017). For GTB-positive herds, the use of antibiotics for mastitis treatment increased during the sanitation and fell back afterward to the initial amount whereby it was now in tendency even lower ($P = 0.068$) than the one used for the control herds (Vaccani et al., 2022).

To ensure an objective selection of GTB-positive cows eligible for culling and financial compensation, a treatment index (i_t) was established. It was based on the study by Sol et al. (1997), demonstrating that increased parity and SCC at the time of treatment impaired the success of antibiotic therapy. Contrary to our expectations, however, neither lactation number nor TSCC had a significant impact on the treatment success meaning that this index was basically inappropriate as a selection criterion. Nevertheless, it was an objective and reproducible evaluation tool to justify official financial compensation. Retrospectively seen, however, this payment was most probably not necessary as with a treatment success rate of 93.3%, also the majority of the culled cows might have been cured successfully. Importantly, this study demonstrates that objective criteria associated with the outcome of therapy are required with respect to economic and animal welfare issues.

Additional Aspects

Sanitation of *S. aureus* GTB not only increased udder health und milk quality in the Ticino district, but it also improved food safety in raw milk cheese as demonstrated in the official governmental report (www4.ti.ch/fileadmin/DSS/DSP/LC/lcinforma/Rapportini/2022/Alpeggi_2022.pdf). In the frame of regular quality controls of dairy products required by Swiss law (Administration, 2020b), the presence of coagulase-positive staphylococci (**CPS**) in samples of curd prepared from raw milk was measured every year, following a standardized protocol (Administration, 2020a). According to these analyses, the percentage of samples with CPS content conforming to Swiss law (<10'000 cfu/g) increased from about 58% (mean over years) before the start of the sanitation for *S. aureus* GTB to about 80% after sanitation in 2018 and remained largely constant since then.

In March 2022, a questionnaire had been sent to all farmers who had participated in the GTB sanitation project in the Ticino district having also included those whose herds had tested negative at the start of the project (Supplemental Table S2 and S3; https://data.mendeley.com/datasets/ggfrmygmpp/1; Sesso et al., 2024). The questionnaire had comprised various questions dealing among others with the reasons for participation and success of the project. The main reason was to improve milk quality, followed by eradication of the disease to solve an old problem, reduction of antibiotics, and improvement of food safety. Furthermore, 97% of the farmers stated that they would again participate in a GTB sanitation project if necessary in the future, demonstrating that the farmers were very pleased with the benefits achieved for their own farms and for their region.

Generalizability of results

The described sanitation procedure with qPCR assay and related on-farm measures can be taken over directly to sanitize all areas where *S. aureus* GTB is observed. This is particularly true for other Swiss regions, but also for regions in Austria, France, Germany, and Italy where this staphylococcal genotype was found too (Cremonesi et al., 2015; Cosandey et al., 2016). According to Monistero et al. (2018), however, there are also other contagious genotypes whose biological and genetic properties may differ from those of *S. aureus* GTB. In this case, the studies by Sartori et al. (2017, 2018a) need to be repeated. In particular, a novel qPCR assay specific for the particular genotype needs to be developed as conventional bacteriologic methods are no longer suitable

to deal with large numbers of herds and cows (Sartori et al. 2018a). Furthermore, the simple and elegant way of using BTM for a first herd evaluation and control after sanitation is not available.

CONCLUSIONS

The present study included a total of 168 dairy herds of the Ticino district comprising 62 herds being initially positive for *S. aureus* GTB in BTM. GTB is the only genotype causing staphylococcal contagious mastitis *S. aureus* in Switzerland. Based on our previously developed qPCR assay with its very high specificity and sensitivity for *S. aureus* GTB and its associated sanitation procedure in the field, all 62 herds could be sustainably sanitized from this pathogen within 20 mo. With 93.3% of all cows which had undergone antibiotic therapy, the cure rate was very high. Furthermore, GTB sanitation was associated with a fast reduction of SCC in delivered BTM, and, therefore, with increased milk quality, with reduced application of AB for mastitis treatment, improved food safety, and very pleased study participants. With the presented approach, successful herd sanitation and sustainable eradication of contagious mastitis caused by *S. aureus* GTB can be expanded to herds of a whole district.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The authors thank all the farmers for their participation, as well as the Swiss Federal Office for Agriculture (FOAG), Swiss Federal Food Safety and Veterinary Office (FSVO), the Canton Ticino Milk Producers Federation, and the Swiss Milk Producers (SMP), for financial support. Furthermore, the authors thank D. Dietrich and L. A. Rojas Mora, Competence Center of SAKK, Bern, Switzerland, for statistical support and computation of the mixed linear model to assess the impact of herd sanitation for *Staphylococcus aureus* genotype B on milk quality. All authors declare no conflict of interest.

REFERENCES

Addis, M. F., S. Pisanu, V. Monistero, A. Gazzola, M. Penati, J. Filipe, S. Di Mauro, P. Cremonesi, B. Castiglioni, P. Moroni, D. Pagnozzi, S. Tola, and R. Piccinini. 2022. Comparative secretome analysis of *Staphylococcus aureus* strains with different within-herd intramammary infection prevalence. Virulence 13:174–190. https://doi.org/10.1080/21505594.2021.2024014.

Administration, Swiss 2020a. Verodnung des EDI über die Hygiene bei der Milchproduktion (VHyMP). Das Eidgenössische Departement des Innern (EDI), Bern, Schweiz, https://www.fedlex.admin.ch/eli/oc/2020/928/de.

Administration, Swiss 2020b. Verordnung des EDI über die Hygiene beim Umgang mit Lebensmitteln. Das Eidgenössische Departement des Innern (EDI), Bern, Schweiz, https://www.fedlex.admin.ch/eli/cc/2017/183/de.

Albrecht, V. S., B. M. Limbago, G. J. Moran, A. Krishnadasan, R. J. Gorwitz, L. K. McDougal, and D. A. Talan. 2015. *Staphylococcus aureus* colonization and strain type at various body sites among patients with a closed abscess and uninfected controls at U.S. emergency departments. J. Clin. Microbiol. 53:3478–3484. https://doi.org/10.1128/JCM.01371-15.

Barkema, H. W., Y. H. Schukken, and R. N. Zadoks. 2006. Invited Review: The role of cow, pathogen, and treatment regimen in the therapeutic success of bovine *Staphylococcus aureus* mastitis. J. Dairy Sci. 89:1877–1895. https://doi.org/10.3168/jds.S0022-0302(06)72256-1.

Bates, D., M. Mächler, B. Bolker, and S. Walker. 2015. Fitting linear mixed-effects models using lme4. J. Stat. Softw. 67:1–48. https://doi.org/10.18637/jss.v067.i01.

Berchtold, B., M. Bodmer, B. H. van den Borne, M. Reist, H. U. Graber, A. Steiner, R. Boss, and F. Wohlfender. 2014. Genotype-specific risk factors for *Staphylococcus aureus* in Swiss dairy herds with an elevated yield-corrected herd somatic cell count. J. Dairy Sci. 97:4886–4896. https://doi.org/10.3168/jds.2013-7760.

Boss, R., A. Cosandey, M. Luini, K. Artursson, M. Bardiau, F. Breitenwieser, E. Hehenberger, T. Lam, M. Mansfeld, A. Michel, G. Mosslacher, J. Naskova, S. Nelson, O. Podpecan, A. Raemy, E. Ryan, O. Salat, P. Zangerl, A. Steiner, and H. U. Graber. 2016. Bovine *Staphy*lococcus aureus: Subtyping, evolution, and zoonotic transfer. J. Dairy Sci. 99:515–528. https://doi.org/10.3168/jds.2015-9589.

Boss, R., J. Naskova, A. Steiner, and H. U. Graber. 2011. Mastitis diagnostics: quantitative PCR for Staphylococcus aureus genotype B in bulk tank milk. J. Dairy Sci. 94:128–137. https://doi.org/10.3168/jds.2010-3251.

Bowers, J. R., E. M. Driebe, V. Albrecht, L. K. McDougal, M. Granade, C. C. Roe, D. Lemmer, J. K. Rasheed, D. M. Engelthaler, P. Keim, and B. M. Limbago. 2018. Improved subtyping of *Staphylococcus aureus* Clonal Complex 8 strains based on whole-genome phylogenetic analysis. MSphere 3:e00464-17. https://doi.org/10.1128/mSphere.00464-17.

Capra, E., P. Cremonesi, A. Pietrelli, S. Puccio, M. Luini, A. Stella, and B. Castiglioni. 2017. Genomic and transcriptomic comparison between *Staphylococcus aureus* strains associated with high and low within herd prevalence of intra-mammary infection. BMC Microbiol. 17:21. https://doi.org/10.1186/s12866-017-0931-8.

Carrel, M., E. N. Perencevich, and M. Z. David. 2015. USA300 Methicillin-Resistant Staphylococcus aureus, United States, 2000–2013. Emerg. Infect. Dis. 21:1973–1980. https://doi.org/10.3201/eid2111.150452.

Cosandey, A., R. Boss, M. Luini, K. Artursson, M. Bardiau, F. Breitenwieser, E. Hehenberger, T. Lam, M. Mansfeld, A. Michel, G. Mosslacher, J. Naskova, S. Nelson, O. Podpecan, A. Raemy, E. Ryan, O. Salat, P. Zangerl, A. Steiner, and H. U. Graber. 2016. *Staphylococcus aureus* genotype B and other genotypes isolated from cow milk in European countries. J. Dairy Sci. 99:529–540. https://doi.org/10.3168/jds.2015-9587.

Cremonesi, P., F. Pozzi, M. Raschetti, G. Bignoli, E. Capra, H. U. Graber, F. Vezzoli, R. Piccinini, B. Bertasi, S. Biffani, B. Castiglioni, and M. Luini. 2015. Genomic characteristics of *Staphylococcus aureus* strains associated with high within-herd prevalence of intramammary infections in dairy cows. J. Dairy Sci. 98:6828–6838. https://doi.org/10.3168/jds.2014-9074.

Di Mauro, S., J. Filipe, A. Facchin, L. Roveri, M. F. Addis, V. Monistero, R. Piccinini, G. Sala, D. Pravettoni, C. Zamboni, F. Ceciliani, and C. Lecchi. 2023. The secretome of *Staphylococcus aureus* strains with opposite within-herd epidemiological behavior affects bovine mononuclear cell response. Vet. Res. 54:120. https://doi.org/10.1186/s13567-023-01247-w.

Fournier, C., P. Kuhnert, J. Frey, R. Miserez, M. Kirchhofer, T. Kaufmann, A. Steiner, and H. U. Graber. 2008. Bovine *Staphylococcus aureus*: association of virulence genes, genotypes and clinical outcome. Res. Vet. Sci. 85:439–448. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.rvsc.2008.01.010.

Fox, J., and S. Weisberg. 2019. An R Companion to Applied Regression. 3rd ed. Sage, Thousand Oaks, California.

Freick, M., Y. Frank, K. Steinert, A. Hamedy, O. Passarge, and A. Sobiraj. 2016. Mastitis vaccination using a commercial polyvalent vac-

cine or a herd-specific *Staphylococcus aureus* vaccine. Results of a controlled field trial on a dairy farm. Tierarztl. Prax. Ausg. G Grosstiere Nutztiere 44:219–229. https://doi.org/10.15653/TPG-150912.

Graber, H. U., J. Naskova, E. Studer, T. Kaufmann, M. Kirchhofer, M. Brechbühl, W. Schaeren, A. Steiner, and C. Fournier. 2009. Mastitis-related subtypes of bovine *Staphylococcus aureus* are characterized by different clinical properties. J. Dairy Sci. 92:1442–1451. https://doi.org/10.3168/jds.2008-1430.

Gruet, P., P. Maincent, X. Berthelot, and V. Kaltsatos. 2001. Bovine mastitis and intramammary drug delivery: review and perspectives. Adv. Drug Deliv. Rev. 50:245–259. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0169-409X(01)00160-0.

Halasa, T., M. Nielen, A. P. De Roos, R. Van Hoorne, G. de Jong, T. J. Lam, T. van Werven, and H. Hogeveen. 2009. Production loss due to new subclinical mastitis in Dutch dairy cows estimated with a test-day model. J. Dairy Sci. 92:599–606. https://doi.org/10.3168/jds.2008-1564.

Hebert, A., K. Sayasith, S. Senechal, P. Dubreuil, and J. Lagace. 2000. Demonstration of intracellular *Staphylococcus aureus* in bovine mastitis alveolar cells and macrophages isolated from naturally infected cow milk. FEMS Microbiol. Lett. 193:57–62. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0378-1097(00)00455-9.

Heiniger, D., B. H. van den Borne, I. Lechner, A. Tschopp, D. Strabel, A. Steiner, and H. Meier. 2014. Cost-benefit analysis of an intervention to improve udder health in Swiss dairy farms. Schweiz. Arch. Tierheilkd. 156:473–481. https://doi.org/10.1024/0036-7281/a000634.

Hummerjohann, J., J. Naskova, A. Baumgartner, and H. U. Graber. 2014. Enterotoxin-producing *Staphylococcus aureus* genotype B as a major contaminant in Swiss raw milk cheese. J. Dairy Sci. 97:1305–1312. https://doi.org/10.3168/jds.2013-7643.

Kaplan, E. L., and P. Meier. 1958. Individual nonparametric estimation from incomplete observations. J. Am. Stat. Assoc. 53:457–481. https://doi.org/10.1080/01621459.1958.10501452.

Käppeli, N., M. Morach, S. Corti, C. Eicher, R. Stephan, and S. Johler. 2019. *Staphylococcus aureus* related to bovine mastitis in Switzerland: Clonal diversity, virulence gene profiles, and antimicrobial resistance of isolates collected throughout 2017. J. Dairy Sci. 102:3274–3281. https://doi.org/10.3168/jds.2018-15317.

Kirchhofer, M., T. Kaufmann, M. Guelat-Brechbühl, A. Michel, C. Syring, and M. Bodmer. 2011. Systematic sanitation of dairy herds with mastitis caused by *Staphylococcus aureus*. Schweiz. Arch. Tierheilkd. 153:361–368. https://doi.org/10.1024/0036-7281/a000222.

Lenth, R. V. 2022. emmeans: Estimated Marginal Means, aka Least-Squares Means. R package version 1.7.5. https://github.com/rvlenth/emmeans.

Leuenberger, A., C. Sartori, R. Boss, G. Resch, F. Oechslin, A. Steiner, P. Moreillon, and H. U. Graber. 2019. Subtypes of *Staphylococcus aureus*: occurrence in the milk and environment of dairy cows. J. Dairy Sci. 102:3295–3309. https://doi.org/10.3168/jds.2018-15181.

Mantel, N. 1966. Evaluation of survival data and two new rank order statistics arising in its consideration. Cancer Chemother. Rep. 50:163–170.

Monistero, V., H. U. Graber, C. Pollera, P. Cremonesi, B. Castiglioni, E. Bottini, A. Ceballos-Marquez, L. Lasso-Rojas, V. Kroemker, N. Wente, I. M. Petzer, C. Santisteban, J. Runyan, M. Veiga Dos Santos, B. G. Alves, R. Piccinini, V. Bronzo, M. S. Abbassi, M. B. Said, and P. Moroni. 2018. *Staphylococcus aureus* isolates from bovine mastitis in eight countries: genotypes, detection of genes encoding different toxins and other virulence genes. Toxins (Basel) 10:247. https://doi.org/10.3390/toxins10060247.

National Mastitis Council (NMC). 2017. Laboratory Handbook on Bovine Mastitis. 3 ed. National Mastitis Council, Inc., New Prague, MI, USA.

Nemati, G., A. Romanó, F. Wahl, T. Berger, L. V. Rojo, and H. U. Graber. 2023. Bovine *Staphylococcus aureus*: a European study of contagiousness and antimicrobial resistance. Front. Vet. Sci. 10:1154550. https://doi.org/10.3389/fvets.2023.1154550.

Overesch, G., R. Stephan, and V. Perreten. 2013. Antimicrobial susceptibility of gram-positive udder pathogens from bovine mastitis milk in Switzerland. Schweiz. Arch. Tierheilkd. 155:339–350. https://doi.org/10.1024/0036-7281/a000469.

Ruegg, P. L. 2017. A 100-Year Review: Mastitis detection, management, and prevention. J. Dairy Sci. 100:10381–10397. https://doi.org/10.3168/jds.2017-13023.

Sakwinska, O., G. Kuhn, C. Balmelli, P. Francioli, M. Giddey, V. Perreten, A. Riesen, F. Zysset, D. S. Blanc, and P. Moreillon. 2009. Genetic diversity and ecological success of *Staphylococcus aureus* strains colonizing humans. Appl. Environ. Microbiol. 75:175–183. https://doi.org/10.1128/AEM.01860-08.

Sartori, C., R. Boss, M. Bodmer, A. Leuenberger, I. Ivanovic, and H. U. Graber. 2018a. Sanitation of *Staphylococcus aureus* genotype B-positive dairy herds: a field study. J. Dairy Sci. 101:6897–6914. https://doi.org/10.3168/jds.2017-13937.

Sartori, C., R. Boss, I. Ivanovic, and H. U. Graber. 2017. Development of a new real-time quantitative PCR assay for the detection of *Staphylococcus aureus* genotype B in cow milk, targeting the new gene adlb. J. Dairy Sci. 100:7834–7845. https://doi.org/10.3168/jds.2017-12820.

Sartori, C., V. Perreten, I. Ivanovic, M. C. Härdi-Landerer, and H. U. Graber. 2018b. Short communication: Lack of intramammary niche recolonization during a sanitation program for the contagious mastitis pathogen *Staphylococcus aureus* genotype B. J. Dairy Sci. 101:8296–8300. https://doi.org/10.3168/jds.2017-14313.

Schukken, Y. H., V. Bronzo, C. Locatelli, C. Pollera, N. Rota, A. Casula, F. Testa, L. Scaccabarozzi, R. March, D. Zalduendo, R. Guix, and P. Moroni. 2014. Efficacy of vaccination on *Staphylococcus aureus* and coagulase-negative staphylococci intramammary infection dynamics in 2 dairy herds. J. Dairy Sci. 97:5250–5264. https://doi.org/10.3168/jds.2014-8008.

Sears, P. M., B. S. Smith, P. B. English, P. S. Herer, and R. N. Gonzalez. 1990. Shedding pattern of *Staphylococcus aureus* from bovine intramammary infections. J. Dairy Sci. 73:2785–2789. https://doi.org/10.3168/jds.S0022-0302(90)78964-3.

Sol, J., O. C. Sampimon, J. J. Snoep, and Y. H. Schukken. 1997. Factors associated with bacteriological cure during lactation after therapy for subclinical mastitis caused by *Staphylococcus aureus*. J. Dairy Sci. 80:2803–2808. https://doi.org/10.3168/jds.S0022-0302(97)76243-X.

Studer, E., W. Schaeren, J. Naskova, H. Pfaeffli, T. Kaufmann, M. Kirchhofer, A. Steiner, and H. U. Graber. 2008. A longitudinal field study to evaluate the diagnostic properties of a quantitative real-time polymerase chain reaction-based assay to detect *Staphylococcus aureus* in milk. J. Dairy Sci. 91:1893–1902. https://doi.org/10.3168/jds.2007-0485.

Thiran E., P. A. Di Ciccio, H. U. Graber, E. Zanardi, A. Ianieri and J. Hummerjohann. 2018. Biofilm formation of *Staphylococcus aureus* dairy isolates representing different genotypes. J. Dairy Sci 101(2):1000–1012. https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.3168/jds.2017–13696.

Vaccani, M., L. Sesso, J. Pont, G. Schüpbach-Regula, and M. Bodmer. 2022. Intramammary use of antibiotics in dairy farms in the canton of Ticino before, during and after *Staphylococcus aureus* genotype B elimination. Schweiz. Arch. Tierheilkd. 164:513–524. https://doi.org/10.17236/sat00361.

van den Borne, B. H., M. Nielen, G. van Schaik, M. B. Melchior, T. J. Lam, and R. N. Zadoks. 2010. Host adaptation of bovine *Staphylococcus aureus* seems associated with bacteriological cure after lactational antimicrobial treatment. J. Dairy Sci. 93:2550–2558. https://doi.org/10.3168/jds.2009-2971.

van den Borne, B. H. P., H. U. Graber, V. Voelk, C. Sartori, A. Steiner, M. C. Haerdi-Landerer, and M. Bodmer. 2017. A longitudinal study on transmission of *Staphylococcus aureus* genotype B in Swiss communal dairy herds. Prev. Vet. Med. 136:65–68. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.prevetmed.2016.11.008.

Voelk, V., H. U. Graber, B. H. van den Borne, C. Sartori, A. Steiner, M. Bodmer, and M. C. Haerdi-Landerer. 2014. A longitudinal study investigating the prevalence of *Staphylococcus aureus* genotype B in seasonally communal dairy herds. J. Dairy Sci. 97:4184–4192. https://doi.org/10.3168/jds.2013-7291.