



American Society of Hematology 2021 L Street NW, Suite 900, Washington, DC 20036 Phone: 202-776-0544 | Fax 202-776-0545 editorial@hematology.org

IL-9 Secreted by Leukemia Stem Cells Induces Th1-Skewed CD4+ T-Cells, which Promote Their Expansion

Tracking no: BLD-2024-024000R1

Ramin Radpour (Inselspital, Bern University Hospital, University of Bern, Bern, Switzerland, Switzerland) Cedric Simillion (F. Hoffmann-La Roche Ltd,, Switzerland) Bofei Wang (The University of Texas MD Anderson Cancer Center, United States) Hussein Abbas (M D Anderson Cancer Center, United States) Carsten Riether (Inselspital, Bern University Hospital, University of Bern, Bern, Switzerland, Switzerland) Adrian Ochsenbein (Department for BioMedical Research (DBMR), University of Bern, Germany)

Abstract:

In acute myeloid leukemia (AML), leukemia stem and progenitor cells (LSCs and LPCs) interact with various cell types in the bone marrow (BM) microenvironment, regulating their expansion and differentiation. To study the interaction of CD4+ and CD8+ T-cells in the BM with LSCs and LPCs, we analyzed their transcriptome and predicted cell-cell interactions by unbiased high-throughput correlation network analysis. We found that CD4+ T-cells in the BM of AML patients were activated and skewed towards Th1-polarization whereas IL-9 producing (Th9) CD4+ T-cells were absent. In contrast to normal hematopoietic stem cells (HSCs), LSCs produced IL-9 and the correlation modelling predicted IL9 in LSCs as a main hub-gene that activates CD4+ T-cells in AML. Functional validation revealed that IL-9R signaling in CD4+ T-cells leads to activation of the JAK-STAT pathway that induces the upregulation of KMT2A, KMT2C genes resulting in methylation on histone H3 at lysine 4 (H3K4) to promote genome accessibility and transcriptional activation. This induced Th1-skewing, proliferation and effector cytokine secretion, including interferon (IFN)-y and tumor necrosis factor (TNF)- α . IFN- γ and to a lesser extend TNF- α produced by activated CD4+ T-cells, induced the expansion of LSCs. In accordance with our findings, high IL9 expression in LSCs and high IL9R, TNF and IFNG expression in BM-infiltrating CD4+ T-cells correlated with worse overall survival in AML. Thus, IL-9 secreted by AML LSCs shapes a Th1-skewed immune environment that promotes their expansion by secreting IFN-y and TNF- α .

Conflict of interest: No COI declared

COI notes:

Preprint server: No;

Author contributions and disclosures: Contribution: R.R. designed and performed experiments, analyzed and interpreted data and wrote the manuscript. C.S., B.W. and H.A.A. analyzed the data. C.R. designed experiments and interpreted data. A.F.O. designed experiments, wrote the manuscript and supervised the project.

Non-author contributions and disclosures: No;

Agreement to Share Publication-Related Data and Data Sharing Statement: Data availability All transcriptomic data compiled for this study have been deposited in NCBI GEO under the accession codes: GSE117090 and GSE249638. Public repository gene expression data was analyzed using the scRNA-seq AML dataset (GSE239721), HOVON-SAKK AML dataset (E-MTAB-3444), NL-Valk AML dataset (GSE6891) and normal karyotype AML "Metzeler" dataset (GSE12417).

Clinical trial registration information (if any):

1 2	Running title (50-character max): IL-9 activated CD4 ⁺ T-cells expand LSCs
3	
4	IL-9 Secreted by Leukemia Stem Cells Induces Th1-Skewed
5	CD4 ⁺ T-Cells, which Promote Their Expansion
6	
7	Ramin Radpour, ^{1,2} Cedric Simillion, ³ Bofei Wang, ⁴ Hussein A. Abbas, ^{4,5} Carsten Riether, ^{1,2} Adrian F.
8	Ochsenbein, ^{1,2}
9	
10	¹ Tumor Immunology, Department for BioMedical Research (DBMR), University of Bern, Bern,
11	Switzerland.
12	² Department of Medical Oncology, Inselspital, Bern University Hospital, University of Bern, Bern,
13	Switzerland.
14	³ Hoffmann-La Roche AG, Basel, Switzerland.
15	⁴ Department of Leukemia, MD Anderson Cancer Center, Houston, TX, USA.
16	⁵ Department of Genomic Medicine, MD Anderson Cancer Center, Houston, TX, USA.
17	
18	
19	
20	Correspondence:
21	Adrian F. Ochsenbein, Department of Medical Oncology, Inselspital, Bern University Hospital, 3010 Bern,
22 23	Switzerland. E-mail: adrian.ochsenbein@insel.ch, Telephone: +41-31-632-4114, Fax: +41-31-632-4119.
24	Ramin Radpour, Department of Medical Oncology, Inselspital, Bern University Hospital,3010 Bern,
25	Switzerland. E-mail: ramin.radpour@unibe.ch, Telephone: +41-31-632-0956, Fax: +41-31-632-4119.
26	
27	Data availability
28	All transcriptomic data compiled for this study have been deposited in NCBI GEO under the accession
29	codes: GSE117090 and GSE249638. Public repository gene expression data was analyzed using the
30	scRNA-seq AML dataset (GSE239721), HOVON-SAKK AML dataset (E-MTAB-3444), NL-Valk AML
31	dataset (GSE6891) and normal karyotype AML "Metzeler" dataset (GSE12417).
32	
33	Competing interests:
34	The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial
35	relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

36 Abstract

37 In acute myeloid leukemia (AML), leukemia stem and progenitor cells (LSCs and LPCs) interact with various cell types in the bone marrow (BM) microenvironment, regulating their expansion and 38 39 differentiation. To study the interaction of CD4⁺ and CD8⁺ T-cells in the BM with LSCs and LPCs, we 40 analyzed their transcriptome and predicted cell-cell interactions by unbiased high-throughput correlation 41 network analysis. We found that CD4⁺ T-cells in the BM of AML patients were activated and skewed towards Th1-polarization whereas IL-9 producing (Th9) CD4⁺ T-cells were absent. In contrast to normal 42 hematopoietic stem cells (HSCs), LSCs produced IL-9 and the correlation modelling predicted IL9 in 43 LSCs as a main hub-gene that activates CD4⁺ T-cells in AML. Functional validation revealed that IL-9R 44 signaling in CD4⁺ T-cells leads to activation of the JAK-STAT pathway that induces the upregulation of 45 KMT2A, KMT2C genes resulting in methylation on histore H3 at lysine 4 (H3K4) to promote genome 46 accessibility and transcriptional activation. This induced Th1-skewing, proliferation and effector cytokine 47 secretion, including interferon (IFN)-y and tumor necrosis factor (TNF)-a. IFN-y and to a lesser extend 48 TNF-α produced by activated CD4⁺ T-cells, induced the expansion of LSCs. In accordance with our 49 findings, high *IL9* expression in LSCs and high *IL9R*, *TNF* and *IFNG* expression in BM-infiltrating CD4⁺ 50 T-cells correlated with worse overall survival in AML. Thus, IL-9 secreted by AML LSCs shapes a Th1-51 52 skewed immune environment that promotes their expansion by secreting IFN-y and TNF-a.

- 53
- 54

Keywords: Acute myeloid leukemia, AML, leukemia stem cells, LSC, CD4⁺ T-cells, Th1-differentiation,
histone methylation, IFN-γ, TNF-α.

57 58

59 Key Points (Each Key Point should be no more than 140 characters, including spaces):

- IL-9 secreted by AML LSCs epigenetically activates CD4⁺ T-cells and induces Th1-skewing.
- IFN-γ and TNF-α produced by activated CD4⁺ T-cells expand LSCs.

62 Introduction

Acute myeloid leukemia (AML) is a clonal disease of hematopoietic stem and progenitor cells (HSPCs) which is characterized by a maturation arrest, expansion and abnormal proliferation of undifferentiated progenitor cells and myeloid blasts.^{1,2} According to the molecular and cytogenetic profile, AML is grouped into adverse, intermediate and favorable risk categories.^{3,4}

Leukemia stem cells (LSCs) have the capacity of self-renewal, are responsible for the disease initiation, propagation and resistance to chemotherapy.⁵⁻¹⁰ Similar to hematopoietic stem cells (HSCs), LSCs interact with stromal, endothelial, and immune cells in the bone marrow (BM) microenvironment, that form the niche. Niche cells regulate HSC and LSC homing, quiescence, self-renewal and differentiation.¹¹

- The BM acts as a primary and a secondary lymphoid organ. Thus, lymphocytes are an important part of 72 the BM environment.¹² In contrast to peripheral blood, the percentage of CD8⁺ T-cells in the BM is 73 slightly higher than that of CD4⁺ T-cells.^{13,14} Regulatory T-cells (Tregs) in the BM preserve normal 74 hematopoiesis and provide an immune privileged niche for HSCs.¹⁵⁻¹⁷ Sixty percent of CD8⁺ and CD4⁺ T-75 cells are naive, whereas the remainders are memory T-cells.¹⁸ In addition, CD4⁺ T-cells with Th2-76 polarization produce many cytokines with important functions in hematopoiesis such as IL-3, IL4, IL-6 77 and GM-CSF.^{19,20} CD4⁺ and CD8⁺ T-cells have an important role in hematopoiesis after BM 78 transplantation.²¹ T-cell deficient mice have a block in the differentiation of myeloid cells that is restored 79 after transfer of CD4⁺, but not CD8⁺ T-cells.²² During immune activation such as an infection, 80 autoimmunity or cancer, the differentiation and cytokine production of immune cells may change leading 81 to the expansion of Th1-cells that produce IFN-y and TNF- α .¹⁹ 82
- Activated CD8⁺ T-cells and natural killer (NK) cells have the potential to eliminate AML blasts.^{23,24}
 However, LSCs efficiently avoid the elimination by the immune system through co-localization with
 Tregs, the expression of immune-inhibitory molecules or downregulation of immunological recognition
 pathways.^{25,26} In contrast to direct cytotoxic effects, activated T-cells produce cytokines such as IL-3, IL6, IFN-γ and TNF-α that induce the expansion of leukemia stem cells.²⁷⁻³³
- To study the interaction of AML LSCs and LPCs with BM-infiltrating CD4⁺ and CD8⁺ T-cells, we 88 89 performed a comprehensive transcriptomic profiling and unbiased high-throughput correlation network analysis. We recently reported that activated CD8⁺ T-cells induce the expansion of LSCs by stimulating 90 the production of cytokines, particularly in favorable risk AML.³³ In the present study, we analyzed the 91 interaction of CD4⁺ T-cells with LSCs/LPCs and CD8⁺ T-cells. Transcriptomic analysis of CD4⁺ T-cells in 92 93 AML revealed an activation of immune-related signaling pathways with skewing towards Th1-polarization 94 and lack of the Th9-immunophenotype. The correlation network analysis identified IL9 as a crucial hub-95 gene in AML LSCs regulating the differentiation, activation and proliferation of BM-infiltrating CD4⁺ Tcells. Functional studies validated that IL-9 secreted by AML LSCs epigenetically activates CD4⁺ T-cells 96 via JAK/STAT pathway and histone methylation. This induced the expression of the transcription factor 97 T-bet (TBX21) leading to Th1-differentiation and secretion of IFN-y and TNF- α . These cytokines 98 expanded LSCs in vitro and were associated with worse overall survival in AML patients. Thus, LSCs 99

- 100 shape their surrounding immune-microenvironment by producing IL-9. Increased Th1-cytokines,
- 101 particularly IFN-y, cause LSC proliferation and expansion.

102 Materials and methods

103 Patients and study cohorts

BM aspirates and blood samples from AML patients were collected at the Department of Medical Oncology, University Hospital Bern and the University of Texas MD Anderson Cancer Center. The cantonal ethical committee and the institutional review board at MD Anderson approved the study protocol (KEK 122/14 and 2019-01627). Study participation required a written informed consent. AML risk classification was conducted according to the guidelines of European Leukemia Network (ELN) in 2012^{3,34} and updated guidelines in 2022.⁴ AML risk categories and immune-phenotype of the AML samples are shown in Supplemental Table 1. The research followed the Helsinki Declaration.

- 111
- 112 A detailed description of materials and methods is in the Supplemental Data.

113 Results

114 **BM-infiltrating CD4⁺ T-cells are skewed towards Th1-polarization.**

We characterized the transcriptome of fluorescence-activated cell sorting (FACS)-purified CD4⁺ and 115 CD8⁺ T-cells, LSCs and LPCs in the BM of AML patients at the time point of diagnosis.³³ HSCs, HPCs 116 117 and CD4⁺ and CD8⁺ BM T-cells from healthy individuals served as controls (Supplemental Figure 1A, Supplemental Table 1). The gene expression of CD4⁺ T-cells from AML patients differed from healthy 118 controls and was more heterogeneous (Figure 1A). In total, 386 genes were differentially expressed in 119 BM-infiltrating CD4⁺ T-cells from AML patients compared to healthy donors (Figure 1B, Supplemental 120 Dataset 1). Gene ontology (GO) analysis revealed that the 154 downregulated genes regulated TOR 121 signaling, antigen presentation via MHC-I or IL-9 production (Supplemental Figure 1B). The 232 122 upregulated genes were related to T-cell activation, differentiation, IFN-y and TNF- α production, 123 regulation of JAK-STAT signaling and kinase activity, positive regulation of gene expression and 124 cytokine/chemokine signaling (Supplemental Figure 1C). This indicated an activated and inflammatory 125 CD4⁺ T-cell compartment in the BM of AML patients. Pathway enrichment analysis revealed that 126 important cellular pathways such as HEDGEHOG, JAK-STAT, MAPK-ERK, canonical NF-KB, TGF-127 BETA and canonical WNT (beta catenin) pathways were activated in CD4⁺ T-cells from AML patients 128 (Figure 1C). These pathways are involved in the differentiation and activation of T-cells.³⁵ Additionally, 129 130 the gene signatures for cytokine signaling (including IL-6, and IL-9 mediated signaling), inflammation 131 pathway, TNF signaling, T-cell receptor signaling and regulation T-cell of activation/proliferation/differentiation were significantly upregulated in AML CD4⁺ T-cells (Figure 1C). In 132 contrast, most intracellular signaling pathways and immune-related gene signatures were downregulated 133 in AML-derived CD8⁺ T-cells, consistent with the previously described silenced transcriptomic profile in 134 CD8⁺ T-cells from AML patients.³³ 135

136 According to their cytokine profile and the expression of specific transcription factors, CD4⁺ T-cells can be grouped in different subsets³⁶. BM-derived AML CD4⁺ T-cells had skewing towards a Th1-immune-137 phenotype and absence of Th9-polarized CD4⁺ T-cells in all risk categories (Figure 1D). Single cell RNA 138 sequencing (scRNA-seq) analysis of an independent cohort of AML patients revealed a significantly 139 higher expression of the genes encoding for the Th1 transcription factor, TBX21, and for the Th1 140 cytokines, IFNG and TNF, in CD4⁺ T-cells from AML patients (Figure 1E). The scRNA-seg analysis 141 revealed that the frequency of Th1-polarized CD4⁺ T cells increased approximately 3-fold compared to 142 HD. In contrast, the frequency of CD4⁺ Treg cells in AML increased only marginally. This results in a 143 144 substantially higher Th1/Treg ratio, with approximately 35% Th1-polarized CD4⁺ T-cells and 5% CD4⁺ Treg cells in AML BM (Figure 1F). These data indicate that BM-infiltrating CD4⁺ T-cell in AML are 145 146 activated and preferentially differentiated to a pro-inflammatory Th1-phenotype.

147

148 Correlation network analysis identifies hub-genes in LSCs that regulate target genes in BM-149 infiltrating CD4⁺ T-cells

150 To study possible interactions of CD4⁺ T-cells with paired AML LSCs, LPCs and CD8⁺ T-cells in the BM,

151 we conducted an unbiased comprehensive correlation network analysis. Within all mapped networks, a

significantly with more than 15 different genes in the other cell type (Supplemental Figure 2A). Three categories of correlation networks were mapped: 1) 'appear', a correlation was present in AML and was absent in controls, 2) 'disappear', a correlation was detected in controls and not in AML, and 3) 'flip', Most hub-genes and nodes were detected in the appear networks (Figure 2A). Since we recently described that AML LSCs with adverse-risk constellation are less dependent on the interaction with CD8⁺ T-cells than LSCs from favorable or intermediate risk patients, we analyzed the correlation network for CD4⁺ T-cells in different prognostic groups (Supplemental Dataset 2). Independent of AML risk groups, the highest number of hub-genes was detected in the appear network in CD4⁺ T-cells and LSCs (Figure 2B, Supplemental Figure 2B). All hub-genes identified in LSCs correlated with target genes in CD4⁺ T-cells, but not vice versa (Supplemental Dataset 2).

These observations indicate that the detected hub-genes in LSCs were involved in the 164 regulation/activation of lymphocytes. IL9 is an important growth factor for different immune cells including 165 T-cells, *IFI30* is involved in antigen-processing and *CD1C* in antigen-presentation.^{37,38} In contrast, the 166 correlation networks in CD4⁺ T-cells with LPCs or CD8⁺ T-cells suggested a bidirectional communication 167 as hubs occur in both cell populations and the number of correlating genes was more balanced (Figure 168 2C). Overall, the network analysis suggests that LSCs regulate CD4⁺ T-cells that interact with LPCs and 169 170 CD8⁺ T-cells.

node was defined as a gene expressed in any of the studied cell populations, and a hub-gene correlated

171

152

153

154

155 156

157

158

159

160

161

162

163

172 IL-9 expands LSCs in an autocrine loop

where the sign of the correlation changed.

To study the role of IL-9 produced by LSCs, we quantified the IL-9 concentrations in the BM-fluid of AML 173 174 patients and healthy donors. IL-9 levels were very low in healthy controls, but significantly increased in 175 AML patients (Figure 3A). IL-9 protein was only detected in the supernatant of FACS-purified LSCs but 176 not of CD4⁺ or CD8⁺ T-cells from AML patents after 48h in vitro culture (Figure 3B). This is consistent with the absence of *IL9* mRNA expressing CD4⁺ T-cells in AML (Th9-cells; Figure 1D). In healthy 177 individuals, CD4⁺ T-cells secreted IL-9 while normal HSCs did not (Figure 3B). In AML patients, the IL9 178 gene was expressed similarly in French-American-British (FAB) and in molecular subtypes 179 (Supplemental Figure 3A-B). Interferon regulatory factor 4 (IRF4) expression, the main transcriptional 180 regulator of the IL9 gene, was significantly higher in AML LSCs than in healthy HSCs. In contrast, AML 181 CD4⁺ T-cells expressed significantly less *IRF4* than CD4⁺ T-cells from healthy controls (Figure 3C). *IRF4* 182 was similarly expressed in different AML subtypes according to FAB classification (Supplemental Figure 183 3A). 184

The IL-9 receptor (IL-9R) gene and protein expression was significantly increased in AML LSCs and 185 CD4⁺ T-cells (Figure 3D-E, Supplemental Figure 3C). Two *IL9R* protein-coding splice variants have been 186 identified (Supplemental Figure 3D). Only the gene expression of long isoform was increased in AML 187 and correlated with IL-9R protein expression (Supplemental Figure 3E). AML cells in BM can be 188 hierarchically classified as primitive-, granulocyte macrophage progenitors (GMP)-, or differentiated-like 189

190 cells, whereas cells in the immune-niche include T-, B-, NK-cells and monocytes (Figure 3F).³⁹ The 191 scRNA-seq analysis of BM cells from an independent cohort of AML patients revealed that primitive-like AML cells, representing LSCs, had the highest expression levels of IL9 signaling genes compared to 192 more differentiated AML cells (Figure 3G). In BM-infiltrating immune cells of AML patients and healthy 193 194 individuals, T-cells showed the highest expression of *IL9* signaling genes, followed by NK-cells (Figure 3G). Within AML CD4⁺ T cells, *IL9* signaling was most active in Th1/Th2 differentiated subtypes, while in 195 healthy CD4⁺ T cells, it was primarily active in Th2/Th17 skewed T-cells (Figure 3H). Further analysis of 196 IL9 gene expression in FACS-purified cell populations confirmed that it is predominantly expressed in 197 LSCs/LPCs in AML BM and CD4⁺ T-cells in HD BM (Figure 3I). 198

- As previously reported, IL-9 stimulated the proliferating capacity of AML LSCs.⁴⁰ Pre-incubation with anti-199 IL-9 neutralizing antibody (alL-9) reduced the colony forming capacity of LSCs but not HSCs (Figure 3J-200 K). In contrast, the capacity of LSCs to form colonies in secondary plantings in the absence of αIL-9 Ab 201 was not impaired. This indicates that blocking IL-9/IL-9R signaling before plating AML LSCs in 202 methylcellulose did reduce the number of stem cells but not their function to form colonies in secondary 203 platings. Since AML LSCs produce IL-9 endogenously, additional short/long-term treatment with rhIL-9 204 did not increase their colony forming capacity (Supplemental Figure 3F-G). These results indicated that 205 206 IL-9 secreted by LSCs induced their expansion in an autocrine loop.
- 207

IL-9 expression in AML LSCs correlates with the expression of histone lysine methyltransferase genes in CD4⁺ T-cells.

IL9 was identified as a potential hub-gene in LSCs of AML patients, regulating BM-infiltrating CD4⁺ Tcells (Figure 2C). Additionally, gene set enrichment analysis (GSEA) revealed that IL-9R signaling is similarly active in CD4⁺ T-cells from AML patients across all molecular risk groups (Figure 4A). This data suggests that paracrine IL-9/IL-9R signaling may regulate CD4⁺ T-cell function independently of the molecular risk groups.

The correlation network modeling identified 88-target genes in CD4⁺ T-cells that correlated with *IL9* expression in LSCs (Supplemental Table 4). These 88-target genes positively regulate gene expression, histone methylation (specifically H3 lysine-4 methylation), cell cycle and cell growth, response to TNF and negatively regulate adaptive immune responses (Figure 4B). Eight of these 88-genes were part of two or more GO-predicted biological pathways (Figure 4C).

Two members of KMT2 complex, KMT2A/C, were associated with five identified biological pathways, 220 including positive regulation of gene expression and histone methylation. Since, the KMT2 complex has 221 core family members (KMT2A-E),⁴¹ we examined their gene expression in CD4⁺ T-cells from different 222 AML risk groups. KMT2A and C, but not KMT2B, D or E genes were expressed at significantly higher 223 levels in CD4⁺ T-cells of AML patients (Figure 4D, Supplemental Datasets 1). We observed a significant 224 positive correlation between KMT2A and KMT2C gene expression in AML CD4⁺ T-cells, but not for other 225 KMT2 complex genes (Figure 4E). This data suggests that IL-9 secreted by LSCs activates CD4⁺ T-cells 226 227 by regulating KMT2A/C.

228

229 IL-9 secreted by AML LSCs activates BM-infiltrating CD4⁺ T-cells.

230 To investigate the role of IL-9 signaling on BM-infiltrating CD4⁺ T-cells in AML patients, we stimulated FACS-purified CD4⁺ T-cells with rhIL-9 or co-cultured them with paired LSCs and performed RNA-seq 231 transcriptomic analysis. CD4⁺ T-cells stimulated with rhIL-9 or co-cultured with paired LSCs had distinct 232 233 gene expression patterns from untreated or co-cultured CD4⁺ T-cells with paired LSCs and IL-9 neutralization antibody (alL-9) (Figure 5A). IL9R but not IL9 was highly expressed in purified CD4⁺ T-234 cells from AML patents (Supplemental Figure 4A). Both, rhIL-9 and LSC co-culture activated IL9 235 signaling in CD4⁺ T-cells (Supplemental Figure 4B). After stimulation with rhIL-9, 1073-genes were 236 upregulated and 81-genes were downregulated (Fig 5B, Supplemental Dataset 3). GSEA indicated a 237 positive enrichment of T-cell activation, proliferation and differentiation in rhIL-9 stimulated CD4⁺ T-cells 238 from AML patients (Figure 5C). 239

- To analyze whether co-culture of CD4⁺ T-cells with paired LSCs induced IL-9/IL-9R signaling, we defined 240 an IL-9 stimulated up signature, including the identified 1073-genes upregulated upon rhIL-9-stimulation 241 (Figure 5B). GSEA analysis of CD4⁺ T-cells co-cultured with paired LSCs revealed a significant 242 enrichment for this IL-9 stimulated up gene signature compared to both untreated or CD4⁺ T-cells co-243 cultured with LSCs in the presence of αIL-9, indicating that LSCs regulate CD4⁺ T-cells through IL-9/IL-244 9R signaling (Figure 5D). The expression profile of key cytokine/transcription factor genes for Th-245 polarization in IL-9-stimulated CD4⁺ T-cells or co-cultured with AML LSCs, indicated a skewing towards 246 Th1-immune-phenotype (Figure 5E). To determine whether the observed changes in gene expression 247 reflect the situation in AML patients, we created a signature of the 386 DE-genes in CD4⁺ T cells in AML 248 249 patients vs. healthy controls, as shown in Figure 1B. This signature was then assessed in CD4⁺ T-cells treated with rhIL-9 or co-cultured with LSCs, in comparison to untreated CD4⁺ T-cells. Our analysis 250 251 revealed a significant positive enrichment of these DE genes, implying that activating CD4⁺ T-cells with 252 IL-9 or co-culture with LSCs may induce a transcriptomic phenotype similar to that initially observed in AML CD4⁺ T-cells (Figure 5F). Incubation with hIL-9 and co-culture with LSCs resulted in a significant 253 upregulation of KMT2A/C/E, JAK1/2, STAT1/3/5B, TNF, IFNG and the Th1-transcription factor (TBX21) 254 (Supplemental Figure 4C, Supplemental Dataset 3). GO analysis of upregulated intersection genes 255 revealed a positive regulation of transcription, histone methylation, JAK-STAT and cytokine signaling 256 (e.g., IFN-γ, TNF-α, IL-1 and IL-6 production) (Supplemental Figure 4D). 257
- Next, we quantified 12-key cytokines in the culture supernatant of CD4⁺ T-cells upon stimulation with rhIL-9 or co-cultured with paired LSCs *in vitro*. CD4⁺ T-cells stimulated with rhIL-9 or co-culture with LSCs produced more IFN- γ and TNF- α (Figure 5G, Supplemental Figure 4E). In addition, the concentration of IFN- γ and TNF- α was significantly higher in the BM-fluid of AML patients than healthy donors (Figure 5H).
- Taken together, IL-9 secretion by LSCs activates BM-infiltrating CD4⁺ T-cells and induces a Th1-skewing with the production of IFN- γ and TNF- α .
- 265

AML LSC-secreted IL-9 activated CD4⁺ T-cells through JAK-STAT signaling and histone H3

267 methylation.

IL-9 stimulation and co-culture with LSCs induced signaling pathways in CD4⁺ T-cells that are associated 268 with positive regulation of gene expression, histone methylation and JAK-STAT signaling (Supplemental 269 270 Figure 4D). We identified the top 10-genes involved in >4 of these pathways. KMT2A/C/E genes were significantly upregulated in CD4⁺ T-cells and associated with positive regulation of gene expression, 271 histone methylation as well as transcription factor and promoter-specific chromatin binding (Figure 6A). 272 GSEA comparing the transcriptome of CD4⁺ T-cells incubated with or without IL-9 revealed a significant 273 positive enrichment for the gene expression patterns regulating histone H3 lysine-4 (H3-K4) and histone 274 H3 lysine-27 (H3-K27) methylations (Figure 6B). A similar enrichment pattern was identified in CD4⁺ T-275 cells co-incubated with LSCs in the presence or absence of alL-9 mAbs (Figure 6B). IL-9 induced di- and 276 trimethylation H3-K4 signatures (Supplemental Figure 5A). To validate these findings, we quantified 21-277 diverse histone H3 modifications in purified histones from AML CD4⁺ T-cells after in vitro IL-9 stimulation 278 or LSC co-culture. In both conditions, the levels of di- and trimethylation on H3-K4 and H3-K27 279 significantly increased, with H3-K4 methylation showing particularly pronounced enhancement (Figure 280 281 6C, Supplemental Table 4).

IL-9R signaling is mainly mediated through the JAK/STAT pathway.⁴² In accordance, an *in silico* pathway
 analysis predicted that IL-9/IL-9R signaling triggers the expression of *KMT2A/C* mainly through JAK STAT signaling (Figure 6D). GSEA revealed a significant positive enrichment of JAK-STAT and NF-κB
 signaling in IL-9 stimulated or LSC co-cultured CD4⁺ T-cells (Supplemental Figure 5B-C).

To confirm the transcriptomic finding, we individually analyzed the gene expression profile of 5-members 286 of the KMT2 complex and key genes of JAK-STAT, Phospho Erk, canonical NF-kB signaling pathways 287 as well as TBX21, IFNG and TNF in CD4⁺ T-cell from AML patients or healthy donors upon IL-9 288 289 stimulation or co-cultured with LSCs. Stimulation with IL-9 or co-culture with AML LSCs significantly increased the expression of KMT2A/C/E, JAK-STAT, canonical NF-kB signaling, TBX21, IFNG and TNF 290 291 in CD4⁺ T-cell (Figure 6E). Similarly, cell culture supernatant from AML LSCs or LPCs induced the expression of those genes in AML CD4⁺ T-cells, indicating that a soluble factor is mediating the 292 observed effect (Supplemental Figure 5D). Given that healthy CD4⁺ T-cells produce IL-9 at high 293 concentrations (Figure 3B), neither treatment with rhIL-9 nor co-culture with AML LSCs increased the 294 expression of crucial target genes (Figure 6E). In contrast, αIL-9 treatment reduced the expression of 295 those target genes. Thus, IL-9 produced by LSCs does not regulate gene expression in healthy CD4⁺ T-296 297 cells, because these cells already produce high amounts of IL-9.

We functionally validated the predicted effect of IL-9 signaling on the JAK-STAT pathway and histone methylation on CD4⁺ T-cell activation and proliferation. Incubation of CD4⁺ T-cells from primary AML with rhIL-9 increased the expression of *KMT2A*/C/E, genes of the JAK-STAT and the canonical NF-κB signaling pathways as well as *TBX21*, *IFNG* and *TNF*. Treatment with si*KMT2A*, si*KMT2C* but not si*KMT2E* prevented the increase in NF-κB related genes, *TBX21*, *IFNG* and *TNF* (Figure 6F). Treatment with the JAK1/JAK2 inhibitor, ruxolitinib, reduced the expression of *KMT2A*/C but not *KMT2E*, as well as the NF-κB related genes, *TNX21*, *IFNG* and *TNF* (Figure 6G). In contrast, treatment with the NF-κB inhibitor, BAY 11-7082, only prevented the IL-9 induced upregulation of *IFNG* and *TNF* without affecting
the JAK-STAT pathway or the expression of *TBX21* or KMT2-complex genes (Figure 6G). Furthermore,
rhIL9 induced the proliferation and replication of CD4⁺ T-cells from AML patients (Figure 6H, I).
KMT2A/C knockdown and treatment with ruxolitinib or BAY 11-7082 reduced the IL-9-induced
proliferation of CD4⁺ T-cells.

To confirm the possibility of histone H3 lysine methylation at the promoter region of TNF, IFNG and 310 TBX21 genes, we examined the ChIP-seq histone methylation data of CD4⁺ T-cells in the Roadmap 311 Epigenomics Mapping Database. H3K4me3 peaks were detected at the promoter region of TNF, IFNG 312 and TBX21 genes (Supplemental Figure 6A-C). This suggests that histone H3 lysine methylation 313 (especially at H3K4me3) may regulate the gene expression of TNF, IFNG, and TBX21 in CD4⁺ T-cells. 314 To functionally validate the impact of H3K4me3 on promoter accessibility and the increased expression 315 of target genes, we assessed H3K4me3 occupancy at the promoter regions of NF-kB targets (NFKB1 316 and RELA), TBX21, IFNG, and TNF genes in CD4⁺ T-cells from AML patients in the presence or 317 absence of rhIL-9, using ChIP-quantitative real-time (q)PCR. H3K4me3 occupancy at these promoter 318 regions was observed exclusively in IL-9-stimulated CD4⁺ T-cells, while it was absent in untreated cells 319 320 (Figure 6J).

In summary, IL-9/IL-9R signaling activates JAK-STAT signaling, leading to the upregulation of *KMT2A/C* in CD4⁺ T-cells. This, results in H3 lysine-4 methylation, which activates CD4⁺ T-cells through *TBX21* and NF- κ B signaling, promoting cell proliferation and cytokine production.

324

TNF-α and IFN-y secreted by activated CD4⁺ T-cells expand LSCs and correlate with disease progression.

To investigate the functional role of TNF- α and IFN- γ produced by AML CD4⁺ T-cells on LSCs, we 327 328 cultured primary AML LSCs with rhTNF-a or rhIFN-y and performed a colony-forming and re-plating assay. Treatment with rhTNF- α or rhIFN-y significantly increased colony formation in the first plating. 329 330 LSCs stimulated with rhTNF-a did not show a significant increase in colony formation in the secondary platings, whereas the number of rhIFN-y stimulated colonies even increased (Figure 7A). This indicates 331 TNF- α and IFN-y expanded LSCs that retained the capacity of self-renewal in re-platings. Our findings 332 were confirmed by culturing primary LSCs with the supernatant of IL-9-activated CD4⁺ T-cells with or 333 without αTNF-α or αIFN-y neutralization antibodies. The addition of CD4⁺ T-cell supernatant increased 334 colony forming capability by 3-fold and the expanded LSCs maintained their capacity to from colonies in 335 336 secondary re-platings. Treatment with α IFN-y antibody reduced the colony numbers to levels of nontreated LSCs. In contrast, the addition of α TNF- α antibody did not significantly reduce the colony forming 337 338 capacity (Figure 7B). The results indicate that although both effector cytokines have the potential to 339 expand LSCs in vitro, CD4⁺ T-cells mainly expand LSCs by secreting IFN-y. This may be due to the fact that the level of TNF-α produced by CD4⁺ T-cells in co-cultures with LSCs is rather low (Figure 5G). 340

To investigate the prognostic value of IL-9/IL-9R signaling on overall survival, we used 3-independent datasets containing gene expression values of non-fractionated BM cells from AML patients (HOVON-SAKK dataset: E-MTAB-3444⁴³; NL-Valk dataset: GSE6891^{44,45}; Metzeler dataset: GSE12417⁴⁶). AML 344 patients with lower expression of IL9 or IL9R had a significantly better overall survival in all three datasets (Figure 7C, Supplemental Figure 7). Although the prognostic value of IL9 and IL9R in total BM 345 cells in these large datasets is consistent with our hypothesis, it does not allow concluding on the role of 346 IL-9/IL-9R signaling in CD4⁺T-cells. Thus, we examined the prognostic value of *IL9* and *IL9R* in purified 347 AML LSCs and CD4⁺ T-cells (Figure 7D). The expression of *IL9* in LSCs and *IL9R* in CD4⁺ T-cells 348 correlated with worse overall survival, although not significant due to the small sample size. In addition, 349 LSCs in the *IL9*-high group patients had a significant higher expression of 16 LSC-signature genes than 350 patients in the *IL9*-low group (Figure 7E-F). Although IFN-y secreted by CD4⁺ T-cells from AML patients 351 expands LSCs in vitro, we did not detect IFNG as a hub-gene in CD4⁺ T-cells in our network modeling 352 (Figure 2). However, a positive correlation between TNF and IFNG expression in CD4⁺ T-cells with 16 353 LSC-signature genes in purified AML LSC suggests that CD4⁺ T-cells regulate LSCs through IFN-y and 354 TNF- α secretion (Figure 7G). 355

Since IL-9/IL-9R signaling in AML CD4⁺ T-cells increases the secretion of IFN- γ and TNF- α , we investigated their correlation with prognosis. Higher *TNF* and *IFNG* expression in purified CD4⁺ T-cells correlated significantly with worse outcome (Figure 7H). Taken together, LSC induce CD4⁺ T-cells to secrete IFN- γ and TNF- α that induces their proliferation and expansion.

360 Discussion

361 The immune-microenvironment plays a crucial role in leukemia development, progression and control. For solid tumors, it is well documented that cancer cells shape their immune microenvironment. In 362 contrast to solid tumors, LSCs develop and expand in the BM within a secondary lymphoid structure, in 363 close proximity to naïve and memory lymphocytes.47 CD8+ and CD4+ T-cells contribute to the 364 immunosurveillance, recognize leukemia associated antigens and lyse leukemia cells.⁴⁷ Nevertheless, 365 CD8⁺ T-cells are often dysfunctional in myeloid leukemia.^{33,48} Furthermore, many CD4⁺ T-cell subsets 366 with distinct functions on leukemia cells have been described.⁴⁹ CD4⁺ Treqs are important part of the 367 HSC niche and their frequency has been shown to increase in leukemia.⁵⁰ They frequently cluster with 368 CD8⁺ T-cells in the BM. They have a main function in the immune escape of LSC by inhibiting adaptive 369 immune responses.^{50,51} Increased numbers of BM CD4⁺ Tregs in AML correlate with poor prognosis.¹⁷ 370 Although the BM contains all cytokine-producing subsets (Th1, Th2, Th9, Th17 and Th22), their roles in 371 AML development remain poorly understood. Our gene expression analysis of BM-infiltrating CD4⁺ T-372 cells in AML identified a skewing towards the pro-inflammatory Th1-subset, while Th9-cells were notably 373 374 absent. We also found an increase in Treg cells in AML, but the expansion of the Th1-subset was much more pronounced, resulting in a higher proportion of inflammatory Th1-cells compared to 375 immunosuppressive Tregs. 376

IL-9 is an essential cytokine for CD4⁺ T-cell proliferation and function.⁵² IL-9 is produced by a variety of
different cells including mast cells, NK cells, T-cells, ILCs and different Th-subsets including specialized
Th9-cells.⁵³ In AML, *IL9* gene expression was absent in CD4⁺ T-cells. In contrast, IL-9 was produced by
AML LSCs independent of molecular risk groups, genetic aberrations or FAB classification. Due to the
high number of LSPCs, IL-9 concentrations in the BM fluid of AML patients were significantly elevated.
IL-9 is an important growth factor not only for immune cells but also for malignant cells including AML.
Thus, IL-9 promotes LSC expansion and proliferation in an autocrine loop.

- 384 Our correlation network analysis and the functional validation revealed that AML LSCs secrete IL-9 to regulate CD4⁺ T-cell skewing, proliferation, activation and cytokine production. IL-9 activates and 385 expands T-helper cells.⁵⁴ Furthermore, IL-9 suppresses the immunological response to cancer cells by 386 enhancing the function of Foxp3⁺ Tregs, or the growth of myeloid-derived suppressor cells (MDSCs).⁵⁵⁻⁵⁷ 387 Our study now indicates that IL-9/IL-9R signaling in AML induces T-bet expression, which differentiates 388 389 and expands Th1-cells. In addition, IL9 signaling genes were also expressed in Th2- and NK-cells in the BM of AML patients. This suggests that different immune cells are dependent on the secretion of the 390 391 growth factor IL-9 by LSCs.
- We confirmed that IL-9R signaling activates the Jak-STAT pathway and increased *STAT1/3/5B* expression. STAT1 is critical for Th1-polarization, whereas STAT3 induces RORyt and Th17differentiation. Given the lack of Th1-cytokines and the specific transcription factor, T-bet, in the BM of healthy individuals, it is likely that in AML naïve CD4⁺ T-cells are activated and skewed towards a Th1phenotype. Inhibiting JAK-STAT signaling blocked *TBX21* expression, indicating that IL9/IL9R signaling affects Th1-skewing. In addition, IL-9 may also induce the proliferation of already differentiated Thsubsets.

399 IL-9 expression in LSCs correlates with the expression of genes involved in histone methylation (KMT2A/C) in CD4⁺ T-cells. Histone methylation regulates CD4⁺ T-cell growth, function, selection and 400 maturation.⁵⁸⁻⁶⁰ Methylation of histone H3 at lysine 4 (H3K4me) increases gene expression, activation 401 and T-cell differentiation into effector cells.⁵⁹ Histone H3 lysine 27 methylation (H3K27me) induces the 402 development of Tregs.^{61,62} We showed that IL-9/IL-9R signaling in CD4⁺ T-cells of AML patients 403 increased histone methylation, particularly at H3K4, leading to CD4⁺ T-cell activation. It has been shown 404 that histone methylation is regulated by JAK/STAT signaling during CD4⁺ T-cell activation.⁶¹ Accordingly, 405 inhibiting JAK/STAT reduced KMT2A/C expression, but not KMT2E, as well as CD4⁺ T-cell activation 406 and proliferation, TBX21, TNF and IFNG expression. Moreover, silencing KMT2A/C, but not KMT2E 407 reduced CD4⁺ T-cell activation and proliferation, as well as *TNF* and *IFNG* expression. This indicates 408 that IL-9 induces IFN-γ and TNF-α production in CD4⁺ T-cells by regulating KMT2A/C histone 409 methylation genes. 410

IFN-γ and TNF-α are key inflammatory cytokines secreted by activated CD4⁺ Th1-cells.^{57,63} These 411 cytokines stimulate inflammation, recruit immune cells, and alter the tumor microenvironment in cancer.⁵⁸ 412 IFN- χ and TNF- α may boost the immune response to cancer cells.^{64,65} In addition, IFN- χ upregulates 413 MHC-I and II.⁶⁶ For example, Flotetuzumab and CAR-T-cells targeting surface antigens on AML cells, 414 upregulate MHC-II expression on AML cells by increasing IFN-y secretion leading to improved graft-415 versus leukemia effects post-transplantation.⁶⁷ IFN-y also induces immunosuppression by promoting the 416 synthesis of indoleamine-2,3-dioxygenase (IDO) and immune checkpoint inhibitory molecules.^{68,69} We 417 found that CTL-secreted IFN-y promotes disease progression by expanding LSCs.⁷⁰ The effect of IFN-y 418 on LSCs might be dose-dependent. Low-doses of IFN-y promote AML LSC self-renewal and disease 419 progression, while high-doses have anti-AML effects.⁷¹ We now documented that the IFN-y secreted by 420 IL-9-activated CD4⁺ T-cells expand LSCs. 421

Elevated IL-9 levels in the blood have been associated with poor prognosis therapy outcomes in 422 lymphoma and leukemia.⁷²⁻⁷⁴ We found that patients with lower IL9 or IL9R expression in non-423 fractionated BM cells had a significantly improved overall survival. In addition, IL9 expression in purified 424 LSCs as well as IFNG and TNF expression in purified BM-infiltrating CD4⁺ T-cells predicted poor overall 425 survival in our patient cohort. Thus, IL-9 secreted by AML LSCs activates CD4⁺ T-cells in the BM and 426 skews them towards pro-inflammatory Th-subsets, mainly Th1. Activated CD4⁺ T-cells secrete IFN-y and 427 TNF-α, expand LSCs and correlate with poor prognosis. The autocrine and paracrine effects of IL-9 428 secreted by LSCs may enable a novel therapeutic approach by blocking IL-9R signaling. 429

430

431 Additional information

The complete list of differentially expressed genes in CD4⁺ T-cells from AML patients versus healthy controls is shown in Supplemental Datasets 1. The correlation analysis including the list of predicted hub-genes and their correlations (edges) are listed in Supplemental Dataset 2. The complete list of differentially expressed genes from *in vitro* stimulated CD4⁺ T-cells is shown as Supplemental Datasets 3.

437

438 Acknowledgements

We thank the staff of the Flow cytometry facility (FACS-lab, University Bern, Switzerland) and the
Genomic facility of the University of Basel and the Department of Biosystems Science and Engineering
(D-BSSE, Basel, Switzerland) for providing technical assistance.

442

443 Funding

This work was supported by grants from Krebsliga Schweiz and Swiss National Science Foundation (SNF 310030_192675) to AFO, as well as Stiftung für klinisch-experimentelle Tumorforschung and Bernische Krebsliga to RR. The work was partially supported by Leukemia Research Foundation and MD Anderson Cancer Center Institutional Start-up Funding to HAA.

448

449 Authorship

450 Contribution: R.R. designed and performed experiments, analyzed and interpreted data and wrote the

- 451 manuscript. C.S., B.W. and H.A.A. analyzed the data. C.R. designed experiments and analyzed data.
- 452 A.F.O. designed experiments, wrote the manuscript and supervised the project.

453 References

- 454 1. Estey E, Dohner H. Acute myeloid leukaemia. *Lancet.* 2006;368(9550):1894-1907.
- 455 2. Hoesel B, Schmid JA. The complexity of NF-kappaB signaling in inflammation and cancer. *Mol Cancer*. 456 2013;12:86.
- 457 3. Zeisig BB, Kulasekararaj AG, Mufti GJ, So CW. SnapShot: Acute myeloid leukemia. *Cancer Cell.* 458 2012;22(5):698-698 e691.
- 459 4. Döhner H, Wei AH, Appelbaum FR, et al. Diagnosis and management of AML in adults: 2022 460 recommendations from an international expert panel on behalf of the ELN. *Blood*. 2022;140(12):1345-1377.
- 461 5. Lapidot T, Sirard C, Vormoor J, et al. A cell initiating human acute myeloid leukaemia after transplantation 462 into SCID mice. *Nature*. 1994;367(6464):645-648.
- 463 6. Hope KJ, Jin L, Dick JE. Acute myeloid leukemia originates from a hierarchy of leukemic stem cell classes 464 that differ in self-renewal capacity. *Nat Immunol.* 2004;5(7):738-743.
- 465 7. Guzman ML, Allan JN. Concise review: Leukemia stem cells in personalized medicine. *Stem Cells*. 2014;32(4):844-851.
- 467 8. Murone M, Radpour R, Attinger A, et al. The Multi-kinase Inhibitor Debio 0617B Reduces Maintenance and 468 Self-renewal of Primary Human AML CD34(+) Stem/Progenitor Cells. *Mol Cancer Ther*. 2017;16(8):1497-1510.
- Radpour R, Forouharkhou F. Single-cell analysis of tumors: Creating new value for molecular biomarker
 discovery of cancer stem cells and tumor-infiltrating immune cells. *World J Stem Cells*. 2018;10(11):160-171.
- 471 10. Naef P, Radpour R, Jaeger-Ruckstuhl CA, et al. IL-33-ST2 signaling promotes stemness in subtypes of 472 myeloid leukemia cells through the Wnt and Notch pathways. *Sci Signal*. 2023;16(800):eadd7705.
- Shiozawa Y, Havens AM, Pienta KJ, Taichman RS. The bone marrow niche: habitat to hematopoietic and
 mesenchymal stem cells, and unwitting host to molecular parasites. *Leukemia*. 2008;22(5):941-950.
- 475 12. Fauci AS. Human bone marrow lymphocytes. I. Distribution of lymphocyte subpopulations in the bone 476 marrow of normal individuals. *J Clin Invest*. 1975;56(1):98-110.
- 477 13. Zeng D, Hoffmann P, Lan F, Huie P, Higgins J, Strober S. Unique patterns of surface receptors, cytokine
 478 secretion, and immune functions distinguish T cells in the bone marrow from those in the periphery: impact on
 479 allogeneic bone marrow transplantation. *Blood*. 2002;99(4):1449-1457.
- 480 14. Westermann J, Pabst R. Distribution of lymphocyte subsets and natural killer cells in the human body. *Clin* 481 *Investig.* 1992;70(7):539-544.
- 482 15. Camacho V, Matkins VR, Patel SB, et al. Bone marrow Tregs mediate stromal cell function and support
 483 hematopoiesis via IL-10. *JCI Insight*. 2020;5(22).
- 484 16. Zou L, Barnett B, Safah H, et al. Bone marrow is a reservoir for CD4+CD25+ regulatory T cells that traffic 485 through CXCL12/CXCR4 signals. *Cancer Res.* 2004;64(22):8451-8455.
- 486 17. Riether C. Regulation of hematopoietic and leukemia stem cells by regulatory T cells. *Front Immunol.* 487 2022;13:1049301.
- 488 18. Berard M, Tough DF. Qualitative differences between naïve and memory T cells. *Immunology*. 489 2002;106(2):127-138.
- 490 19. Basu A, Ramamoorthi G, Albert G, et al. Differentiation and Regulation of T(H) Cells: A Balancing Act for
 491 Cancer Immunotherapy. *Front Immunol.* 2021;12:669474.
- 492 20. Walker JA, McKenzie ANJ. T(H)2 cell development and function. *Nat Rev Immunol*. 2018;18(2):121-133.
- 493 21. Schürch CM, Caraccio C, Nolte MA. Diversity, localization, and (patho)physiology of mature lymphocyte 494 populations in the bone marrow. *Blood*. 2021;137(22):3015-3026.
- 495 22. Monteiro JP, Benjamin A, Costa ES, Barcinski MA, Bonomo A. Normal hematopoiesis is maintained by 496 activated bone marrow CD4+ T cells. *Blood*. 2005;105(4):1484-1491.
- 497 23. Austin R, Smyth MJ, Lane SW. Harnessing the immune system in acute myeloid leukaemia. *Crit Rev Oncol* 498 *Hematol.* 2016;103:62-77.
- 499 24. Masarova L, Kantarjian H, Garcia-Mannero G, Ravandi F, Sharma P, Daver N. Harnessing the Immune
 500 System Against Leukemia: Monoclonal Antibodies and Checkpoint Strategies for AML. *Adv Exp Med Biol.*501 2017;995:73-95.
- 502 25. Lesokhin AM, Callahan MK, Postow MA, Wolchok JD. On being less tolerant: enhanced cancer 503 immunosurveillance enabled by targeting checkpoints and agonists of T cell activation. *Sci Transl Med.* 504 2015;7(280):280sr281.
- 505 26. Radpour R, Stucki M, Riether C, Ochsenbein AF. Epigenetic Silencing of Immune-Checkpoint Receptors in 506 Bone Marrow- Infiltrating T Cells in Acute Myeloid Leukemia. *Front Oncol.* 2021;11:663406.
- 507 27. Riether C, Schurch CM, Buhrer ED, et al. CD70/CD27 signaling promotes blast stemness and is a viable 508 therapeutic target in acute myeloid leukemia. *J Exp Med.* 2017;214(2):359-380.
- 509 28. Riether C, Schurch CM, Flury C, et al. Tyrosine kinase inhibitor-induced CD70 expression mediates drug 510 resistance in leukemia stem cells by activating Wnt signaling. *Sci Transl Med.* 2015;7(298):298ra119.
- 511 29. Hashimoto H, Güngör D, Krickeberg N, et al. T(H)1 cytokines induce senescence in AML. *Leuk Res.* 512 2022;117:106842.
- 513 30. Kondo A, Yamashita T, Tamura H, et al. Interferon-gamma and tumor necrosis factor-alpha induce an 514 immunoinhibitory molecule, B7-H1, via nuclear factor-kappaB activation in blasts in myelodysplastic syndromes. 515 Blood 2010;116(7):1124-1121
- 515 *Blood*. 2010;116(7):1124-1131.

- Zhou X, Li Z, Zhou J. Tumor necrosis factor α in the onset and progression of leukemia. Exp Hematol. 516 31. 517 2017;45:17-26. 518 32. Ersvaer E, Hampson P, Hatfield K, et al. T cells remaining after intensive chemotherapy for acute 519 myelogenous leukemia show a broad cytokine release profile including high levels of interferon-gamma that can be further increased by a novel protein kinase C agonist PEP005. Cancer Immunol Immunother. 2007;56(6):913-925. 520 Radpour R, Riether C, Simillion C, Hopner S, Bruggmann R, Ochsenbein AF. CD8(+) T cells expand stem 521 33. 522 and progenitor cells in favorable but not adverse risk acute myeloid leukemia. Leukemia. 2019;33(10):2379-2392. 523 34. Mrózek K, Marcucci G, Nicolet D, et al. Prognostic significance of the European LeukemiaNet standardized 524 system for reporting cytogenetic and molecular alterations in adults with acute myeloid leukemia. J Clin Oncol. 525 2012:30(36):4515-4523. Backer RA, Hombrink P, Helbig C, Amsen D. The Fate Choice Between Effector and Memory T Cell 526 35. 527 Lineages: Asymmetry, Signal Integration, and Feedback to Create Bistability. Adv Immunol. 2018;137:43-82. 528 Raphael I, Nalawade S, Eagar TN, Forsthuber TG. T cell subsets and their signature cytokines in 36. autoimmune and inflammatory diseases. Cytokine. 2015;74(1):5-17. 529 530 37. Chancellor A, Gadola SD, Mansour S. The versatility of the CD1 lipid antigen presentation pathway. 531 Immunology. 2018;154(2):196-203. 532 Negedu MN, Duckworth CA, Yu LG. Galectin-2 in Health and Diseases. Int J Mol Sci. 2022;24(1). 38. 533 39. Zeng AGX, Bansal S, Jin L, et al. A cellular hierarchy framework for understanding heterogeneity and 534 predicting drug response in acute myeloid leukemia. Nat Med. 2022;28(6):1212-1223. 535 40. Lemoli RM, Fortuna A, Tafuri A, et al. Interleukin-9 stimulates the proliferation of human myeloid leukemic cells. Blood. 1996;87(9):3852-3859. 536 Park K, Kim JA, Kim J. Transcriptional regulation by the KMT2 histone H3K4 methyltransferases. Biochim 537 41. 538 Biophys Acta Gene Regul Mech. 2020;1863(7):194545. Demoulin JB, Uyttenhove C, Van Roost E, et al. A single tyrosine of the interleukin-9 (IL-9) receptor is 539 42. required for STAT activation, antiapoptotic activity, and growth regulation by IL-9. Mol Cell Biol. 1996;16(9):4710-540 541 4716. Stavropoulou V, Kaspar S, Brault L, et al. MLL-AF9 Expression in Hematopoietic Stem Cells Drives a 43. 542 543 Highly Invasive AML Expressing EMT-Related Genes Linked to Poor Outcome. Cancer Cell. 2016;30(1):43-58. 544 44 Verhaak RG, Wouters BJ, Erpelinck CA, et al. Prediction of molecular subtypes in acute myeloid leukemia 545 based on gene expression profiling. Haematologica. 2009;94(1):131-134. 546 45. de Jonge HJ, Valk PJ, Veeger NJ, et al. High VEGFC expression is associated with unique gene 547 expression profiles and predicts adverse prognosis in pediatric and adult acute myeloid leukemia. Blood. 548 2010;116(10):1747-1754. 549 Wang YH, Lin CC, Hsu CL, et al. Distinct clinical and biological characteristics of acute myeloid leukemia 46. 550 with higher expression of long noncoding RNA KIAA0125. Ann Hematol. 2021;100(2):487-498. Riether C, Schurch CM, Ochsenbein AF. Regulation of hematopoietic and leukemic stem cells by the 551 47. immune system. Cell Death Differ. 2015;22(2):187-198. 552 Baitsch L, Baumgaertner P, Devevre E, et al. Exhaustion of tumor-specific CD8(+) T cells in metastases 553 48. 554 from melanoma patients. J Clin Invest. 2011;121(6):2350-2360. 555 Feng X, Xu H, Yin L, Yin D, Jiang Y. CD4+ T-Cell Subsets in Aplastic Anemia, Myelodysplastic Syndrome, 49. 556 and Acute Myelogenous Leukemia Patients: a Comparative Analysis. Clin Lab. 2023;69(7). Szczepanski MJ, Szajnik M, Czystowska M, et al. Increased frequency and suppression by regulatory T 557 50. 558 cells in patients with acute myelogenous leukemia. Clin Cancer Res. 2009;15(10):3325-3332. 559 Ustun C, Miller JS, Munn DH, Weisdorf DJ, Blazar BR. Regulatory T cells in acute myelogenous leukemia: 51. 560 is it time for immunomodulation? Blood. 2011;118(19):5084-5095. Wan J, Wu Y, Ji X, et al. IL-9 and IL-9-producing cells in tumor immunity. Cell Commun Signal. 561 52. 562 2020;18(1):50. Veldhoen M, Uyttenhove C, van Snick J, et al. Transforming growth factor-beta 'reprograms' the 563 53. 564 differentiation of T helper 2 cells and promotes an interleukin 9-producing subset. Nat Immunol. 2008;9(12):1341-565 1346. 566 54. Li H, Nourbakhsh B, Cullimore M, Zhang GX, Rostami A. IL-9 is important for T-cell activation and differentiation in autoimmune inflammation of the central nervous system. Eur J Immunol. 2011;41(8):2197-2206. 567 Elyaman W, Bradshaw EM, Uyttenhove C, et al. IL-9 induces differentiation of TH17 cells and enhances 568 55. 569 function of FoxP3+ natural regulatory T cells. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A. 2009;106(31):12885-12890. Feng LL, Gao JM, Li PP, Wang X. IL-9 contributes to immunosuppression mediated by regulatory T cells 570 56. and mast cells in B-cell non-hodgkin's lymphoma. J Clin Immunol. 2011;31(6):1084-1094. 571 572 57. Konjević GM, Vuletić AM, Mirjačić Martinović KM, Larsen AK, Jurišić VB. The role of cytokines in the 573 regulation of NK cells in the tumor environment. Cytokine. 2019;117:30-40. 574 58. He S, Tong Q, Bishop DK, Zhang Y. Histone methyltransferase and histone methylation in inflammatory T-575 cell responses. Immunotherapy. 2013;5(9):989-1004. 576 59. LaMere SA, Thompson RC, Komori HK, Mark A, Salomon DR. Promoter H3K4 methylation dynamically 577 reinforces activation-induced pathways in human CD4 T cells. Genes Immun. 2016;17(5):283-297.
- 578 60. Bosselut R. Control of Intra-Thymic αβ T Cell Selection and Maturation by H3K27 Methylation and 579 Demethylation. *Front Immunol.* 2019;10:688.

- 580 61. LaMere SA, Thompson RC, Meng X, Komori HK, Mark A, Salomon DR. H3K27 Methylation Dynamics 581 during CD4 T Cell Activation: Regulation of JAK/STAT and IL12RB2 Expression by JMJD3. *J Immunol.* 582 2017;199(9):3158-3175.
- 583 62. Zhang Q, Fang Y, Lv C, et al. Norisoboldine induces the development of Treg cells by promoting fatty acid 584 oxidation-mediated H3K27 acetylation of Foxp3. *Faseb j.* 2022;36(4):e22230.
- 585 63. Nakamura T, Kamogawa Y, Bottomly K, Flavell RA. Polarization of IL-4- and IFN-gamma-producing CD4+ 586 T cells following activation of naive CD4+ T cells. *J Immunol*. 1997;158(3):1085-1094.
- 587 64. Schürch CM, Riether C, Ochsenbein AF. Cytotoxic CD8+ T cells stimulate hematopoietic progenitors by 588 promoting cytokine release from bone marrow mesenchymal stromal cells. *Cell Stem Cell*. 2014;14(4):460-472.
- 589 65. Pronk CJ, Veiby OP, Bryder D, Jacobsen SE. Tumor necrosis factor restricts hematopoietic stem cell 590 activity in mice: involvement of two distinct receptors. *J Exp Med.* 2011;208(8):1563-1570.
- 591 66. Keskinen P, Ronni T, Matikainen S, Lehtonen A, Julkunen I. Regulation of HLA class I and II expression by 592 interferons and influenza A virus in human peripheral blood mononuclear cells. *Immunology*. 1997;91(3):421-429.
- 593 67. Rimando JC, Chendamarai E, Rettig MP, et al. Flotetuzumab and other T-cell immunotherapies upregulate 594 MHC class II expression on acute myeloid leukemia cells. *Blood*. 2023;141(14):1718-1723.
- 595 68. Mojic M, Takeda K, Hayakawa Y. The Dark Side of IFN-γ: Its Role in Promoting Cancer Immunoevasion. 596 Int J Mol Sci. 2017;19(1).
- 597 69. Zaidi MR, Davis S, Noonan FP, et al. Interferon-γ links ultraviolet radiation to melanomagenesis in mice. 598 *Nature*. 2011;469(7331):548-553.
- 599 70. Schürch C, Riether C, Amrein MA, Ochsenbein AF. Cytotoxic T cells induce proliferation of chronic myeloid 600 leukemia stem cells by secreting interferon-gamma. *The Journal of experimental medicine*. 2013;210(3):605-621.
- 601 71. Xie X, Zhang W, Zhou X, et al. Low doses of IFN-γ maintain self-renewal of leukemia stem cells in acute 602 myeloid leukemia. *Oncogene*. 2023;42(50):3657-3669.
- Fischer M, Bijman M, Molin D, et al. Increased serum levels of interleukin-9 correlate to negative prognostic factors in Hodgkin's lymphoma. *Leukemia*. 2003;17(12):2513-2516.
- 605 73. Patrussi L, Capitani N, Baldari CT. Interleukin (IL)-9 Supports the Tumor-Promoting Environment of 606 Chronic Lymphocytic Leukemia. *Cancers (Basel)*. 2021;13(24).
- 607 74. Chen N, Lu K, Li P, Lv X, Wang X. Overexpression of IL-9 induced by STAT6 activation promotes the 608 pathogenesis of chronic lymphocytic leukemia. *Int J Clin Exp Pathol*. 2014;7(5):2319-2323.
- 609

610 Figure legends

611 Figure 1. The transcriptomic analysis of BM-infiltrating CD4⁺ T-cells in AML indicates T-cell activation and Th1-polarization. A) PCA based on the transcriptomic profile of BM-infiltrating CD4⁺ T-612 cells from AML patients (n=30) and controls (n=7). B) Heatmap illustrating differentially expressed genes 613 614 in CD4⁺ T-cells (AML vs. Ctrl). C) Balloon plots illustrating significantly up/downregulated pathways (left panel) or crucial immune-related signaling (right panel) within CD4⁺ and CD8⁺ T-cells based on gene set 615 enrichment analysis (GSEA) and normalized enrichment scores (NES). D) Heatmap illustrating the 616 expression profile of key genes and transcription factors regulating CD4⁺ T-cell polarization (Th1, Th2, 617 Th9, Th17, Th22 and Tregs). E) Gene expression analysis of *IFNG*, *TNF* and *TBX21* in BM-infiltrating 618 CD4⁺T-cell from AML patients (n=20) and healthy donors (n=9) assessed by single cell RNA sequencing 619 (scRNA-seq). F) Frequency of Th1 CD4⁺ T-cells (IFNG, TNF or TBX21 expressing CD4⁺ T-cells) and 620 Tregs (FOXP3 expressing CD4⁺ T-cells) from AML patients (n=20) and healthy donors (n=6) analyzed 621 by scRNA-seq. Statistics: Two-sided Wilcoxon test (E-F). 622

623

Figure 2. Detection of hub-genes in different cell populations. A) Visualization of nodes (genes) in the AML appear network that have more than two connections. Node fill color indicates the cell population; node and label size indicate the node degree (number of correlations). Hub-genes are annotated with their gene name. **B)** Number of hub-genes in LSCs, LPCs, CD8⁺ and CD4⁺T-cells for the appear network across AML risk groups. **E)** Summary of significantly correlated hub-genes in the different studied cell populations (LSCs, LPCs, CD8⁺ and CD4⁺T-cells).

630

Figure 3. LSCs but not BM-infiltrating CD4⁺ T-cells in AML produce IL-9 that expands LSCs *in vitro*.

633 A) Quantification of IL-9 protein in BM-fluid of AML patients (n=5) and healthy donors (n=12). BM-fluid from healthy donors was either collected from BM aspirates (n=7) or from orthopedic patients who 634 underwent vertebroplasty (n=5). B) Quantification of IL-9 protein in culture supernatants of FACS-635 purified LSCs/HSCs, BM-infiltrating CD4⁺ and CD8⁺ T-cells (n=6 AML patients and 3 healthy donors 636 (HD)). C) Gene expression levels of IRF4 in HSCs/LSCs and CD4⁺ T-cells (n=28-30 AML and 7 HD). 637 Fold differences represented as AML vs. HD. D) Gene expression levels of different isoforms of IL9R in 638 HSCs/LSCs and CD4⁺ T-cells (n=9 AML and 5 HD). E) IL-9R protein quantification in LSCs/HSCs and 639 640 CD4⁺ T-cells (n=9 AML patients and 5 HD; ΔMFI: MFI αIL-9R – MFI isotype). F) Uniform manifold approximation and projection (UMAP) representation of primitive-, GMP- and differentiated-like cells as 641 well as T-, B-, NK-cells and monocytes in the BM of AML patients (scRNA-seq; n=20 AML), or HSC, 642 GMP, T-, B-, NK-cells and monocytes in the BM of HD (scRNA-seq; n=6 HD). G) The reactome IL9 643 signaling signature, which includes nine genes (IL9, IL9R, IL2RG, JAK1, JAK3, STAT1, STAT5A, 644 STAT3, and STAT5B) in various cell subsets studied in scRNA-seq for both AML and HD. It was scored 645 using AUCell in R, which calculates the area under the curve of gene set enrichment scores for each 646 647 cell. H) The reactome IL9 signaling signature in scRNA-seg analysis in different subtypes of CD4⁺ T-cells in the BM of AML patients and HD (TBX21 pos. Th1, GATA3 pos. Th2, RORC pos. Th17, FOXP3 pos. 648

649 Treg and SELL/LEF1 pos. Naïve). I) IL9 gene expression in FACS-purified BM-infiltrating LSC/HSC, 650 LPC/HPC, CD4⁺ T-cells, CD8⁺ T-cells, CD19⁺ B-cells, NK-cells, or CD14⁺ monocytes assessed by qPCR (n = 4 AML and 3 HD). J-K) Colony forming assays using FACS-purified AML LSCs (I) or HSCs from 651 652 healthy donors (HD, J), cultured overnight in the presence or absence of IL-9 neutralization antibody 653 (alL-9; 1µg/ml) before plating in methylcellulose in the primary plating. In secondary platings, rhlL-9 was not added (n=6 AML and 4 HD; each value indicates the average of three replicates of one individual 654 patient sample). Statistics: Two-sided Wilcoxon test (G-H), two-tailed unpaired t-test (J-K). *P<0.05, 655 *****P*<0.0001. 656

657

Figure 4. IL-9 secreted by AML LSCs upregulates histone lysine methyltransferase genes in BM-658 infiltrating CD4⁺ T-cells. A) GSEA of the IL9 signaling signature in AML CD4⁺ vs. CTRL CD4⁺ T-cells 659 within the entire cohort of AML samples (n=30) or in each respective risk group. B) GO analysis of the 660 IL-9 regulated 88-target genes in CD4⁺ T-cells. GO enrichment score of \geq 3 indicates significant changes. 661 C) Circus plot illustrating the top 8-genes involved in several of the dysregulated pathways in the GO 662 663 analysis (4B) D) Heatmap illustrating the expression of important family members of Histone-Lysine N-664 Methyltransferase 2 (KMT2) complex in CD4⁺ T-cell in the different AML risk groups. E) Correlation matrix of lysine methyltransferase complex genes in AML CD4⁺T-cell. Statistics: Pearson correlation. 665 666

Figure 5. IL-9 secreted by LSCs activates BM-infiltrating CD4⁺ T-cells and induces Th1-skewing. 667 A) PCA based on the transcriptomic profile of CD4⁺ T-cells upon stimulation with rhIL-9 or untreated 668 CD4⁺ T-cells as controls as well as CD4⁺ T-cells co-cultured with paired AML LSCs and CD4⁺ T-cell co-669 cultured with AML LSCs together with neutralizing antibody for IL-9 (alL-9) (n=3 biological replicates per 670 671 condition). B) Heatmap of differentially expressed genes in CD4⁺ T-cells + rhIL-9 vs. untreated CD4⁺ T-672 cells. C) GSEA of T-cell activation, proliferation and differentiation-related gene signatures in CD4⁺ Tcells + rhIL-9 vs. untreated CD4⁺ T-cells. D) GSEA of the IL-9 stimulated up signature (1073 genes, 673 Figure 5C) in CD4⁺ T-cells co-incubated with LSCs vs. untreated or CD4⁺ T-cells co-culture with LSCs in 674 the presence of neutralizing alL-9 antibody. E) Heatmap illustrating the expression profile of key genes 675 for CD4⁺ Th-cell polarization. F) GSEA of AML CD4 vs. CTRL DE gene signature (386 genes, Figure 676 1B) in CD4⁺ T-cells treated with rhIL-9 or co-incubated with LSCs vs. untreated CD4⁺ T-cells. G) Protein 677 guantification of IFN-y and TNF-α in supernatants of FACS-purified AML CD4⁺ T-cells in the presence or 678 absence of rhIL-9 and co-cultured with LSCs in the presence or absence of neutralizing alL-9 antibody 679 680 (n=3 AML patients). H) Protein quantification of IFN-y and TNF- α in BM-fluid of AML patients (n=5) and healthy donors (n=12). Statistics: Two-tailed unpaired t-test. *P<0.05, **P<0.01, ****P<0.0001. 681

682

Figure 6. IL-9 secreted by AML LSCs activated CD4⁺ T-cells and induced a Th1-phenotype through JAK-STAT signaling and histone H3 methylation. A) Circus plot illustrating the top 10upregulated genes involved in several of the dysregulated pathways based on the GO analysis (Figure 5E) (CD4⁺ T-cells + rhIL-9 vs. untreated CD4⁺ T-cells). B) GSEA of H3-K4 and H3-K27 methylation gene signatures in CD4⁺ T-cells treated with hIL9 vs. untreated and in CD4⁺ T-cells co-incubated with LSCs 688 vs. CD4⁺ T-cells co-cultured with LSCs in the presence of neutralizing αIL-9 antibody. C) Quantification 689 of 21-histone H3 modifications (15-different lysine methylation, 4-different lysine acetylation and 2different serine phosphorylation) in extracted histones from FACS-purified in the same experimental 690 groups (n=3 biological replicates per condition). The dotted square lines represent significant changes. 691 692 D) In silico prediction of IL9 and KMT2A or KMT2C gene interactions (GeneMANIA database). E) Expression levels of crucial genes for lysine methyltransferases, JAK-STAT, Phospho Erk, canonical NF-693 κB signaling as well as *IFNG* and *TNF* genes assessed by gPCR (n=3 AML and 3 HD per condition). 694 Fold differences in gene expression, as depicted in bar charts, were calculated in comparison to 695 unstimulated, untreated CD4⁺ T-cells. Corresponding heatmaps, illustrating the relative expression levels 696 of the analyzed genes in individual samples. F) Heatmap demonstrating fold differences in gene 697 expression for lysine methyltransferases, JAK-STAT, important genes of canonical NF-κB signaling, as 698 well as TBX21, IFNG and TNF in CD4⁺ T-cells from AML patients after gene silencing using siKMT2A, 699 siKMT2C or siKMT2E in the presence or absence of rhIL-9. The fold changes in gene expression were 700 calculated according to siCtrl treated CD4⁺ T-cells without addition of rhIL-9 as the control condition (n=4 701 702 AML patients per condition). G) Heatmap demonstrating fold differences in gene expression in CD4⁺ Tcells from AML patients after in vitro treatment with rhIL-9 plus NF-kB inhibitor (BAY 11-7082) or 703 704 JAK1/JAK2 inhibitor (Ruxolitinib). The fold changes in gene expression were calculated using vehicle 705 (Veh) treated cells as the control condition (n=4 AML patients per condition). H-I) Proliferation of CD4⁺ T-706 cells from BM of AML patients treated in vitro with or without rhIL-9 plus siKMT2A, siKMT2C, siKMT2E, NF-kB (BAY 11-7082) or JAK1/JAK2 (ruxolitinib) inhibitors. Following the CFSE staining, the proliferation 707 708 and replication indexes were determined using Flowjo's proliferation modeling module. The proliferation and replication indices were compared to control CD4⁺ T-cells treated with siCtrl or veh without addition 709 710 of rhIL-9 (n=3-4 AML patients per condition). J) H3K4me3 occupancy at the promoters of NFKB1, RELA, 711 TBX21, IFNG and TNF by ChIP-qPCR in FACS-purified AML CD4⁺ T-cells cultured 48h in the presence or absence of rhIL-9. H3K4me3 occupancy at the GAPDH promoter was used as a positive control (n=4 712 713 AML samples). Statistics: Two-way ANOVA with multiple comparisons and Dunnett's Post Hoc Test (E), two-tailed unpaired t-test (H-J). *P<0.05, **P<0.01, ***P<0.001 ****P<0.0001. 714

715

Figure 7. IL-9/IL-9R signaling as well as TNF-α and IFN-γ expression in CD4⁺ T-cells correlate with worse prognosis in AML patients.

A) Colony forming assays with primary LSCs treated overnight in the presence or absence of rhTNF-a 718 719 (100 pg/mL) or rhIFN-y (100 pg/mL), before plating in methylcellulose in the primary plating. Secondary 720 plating was without addition of rhTNF- α or rhIFN-y (n=6 AML patients; each value indicates the average 721 of three replicates of one individual patient sample). B) Colony forming assays performed with primary 722 LSCs treated overnight with or without supernatant of IL-9 activated AML CD4⁺ T-cells in the presence or 723 absence of neutralizing $\alpha TNF-\alpha$ (1 µg/mL) or $\alpha IFN-\gamma$ antibodies (1 µg/mL), before plating in methylcellulose in the primary plating. No further treatment was added for secondary platings (n=3 AML 724 patients; each value is average of three replicates of one individual patient sample). The results were 725 726 normalized to the colony numbers of LSCs that have been treated with vehicle (A) or left untreated (B)

727 (dotted line). C) Kaplan-Meier plots of overall survival (OS) for pooled AML patients from 3-independent 728 AML cohorts (HOVON-SAKK dataset: E-MTAB-3444; NL-Valk dataset: GSE6891; Metzeler dataset: GSE12417), according to the IL9 and IL9R gene expression (n=1339 AML patients). The cut-off for high 729 730 or low gene expression was assessed by the X-tile program. D) Kaplan-Meier plots of OS according to the IL9 gene expression in LSCs or IL9R gene expressions in FACS-purified BM-infiltrating CD4⁺ T-cells 731 from AML patients (n=28 LSCs and n=30 CD4⁺ T-cells). E) GSEA of 16 LSC signature genes (IL9 high 732 vs. IL9 low in purified LSCs, as determined by the X-tile program cut-off (D)). F) Heatmap of 16 LSC 733 signature genes. G) Correlation between TNF and IFNG in CD4⁺ T-cells and the geometric mean of 16 734 LSC signature genes in purified LSCs. H) Kaplan-Meier plots of OS according to the TNF and IFNG 735 gene expressions in FACS-purified BM-infiltrating CD4⁺ T-cells from AML patients (n=30 AML). 736 Statistics: Two-way ANOVA with multiple comparisons and Dunnett's Post Hoc Test (A-B) and log-rank 737 test (C, D and H), Pearson correlation (G). *P<0.05, **P<0.01, ***P<0.001 ****P<0.0001. 738









[®]Figure 4

-0.8 -0.6 -0.4 -0.2 correlatio

-1

correlation coefficient

0.2

0.4

0.6

0.8

1

0





untreated rhlL-9

untreated rhlL-9

untreated

rhlL-9

untreated rhlL-9

untreated rhlL-9

untreated rhlL-9

Downloaded from http://ashpublications.org/blood/article-pdf/doi/10.1182/blood.2024024000/2233141/blood.2024024000.pdf by guest on 30 June 2024







