scientific reports

OPEN



Analysis of the fastest backstroke age group swimmers competing in the World Masters Championships 1986–2024

Mielad Fariod¹, Aldo Seffrin², Marilia Santos Andrade³, Mathias Wilhelm¹, Katja Weiss⁴, Wais Ahmad⁵, Sascha Moreitz⁶, Arkadiusz Stanula⁷, Pantelis T. Nikolaidis⁸, Thomas Rosemann⁴ & Beat Knechtle^{4,9}

Backstroke has been thoroughly investigated in the context of sports science. However, we have no knowledge about the nationalities of the fastest age group backstroke swimmers. Therefore, the present study intended to investigate the nationalities of the fastest backstroke swimmers. For all World Masters Championships held between 1986 and 2024, the year of competition, the first and last name, the age, and the age group, and both the stroke and the distance were recorded for each swimmer. Descriptive data were presented using mean, standard deviation, maximum and minimum values, and confidence intervals. The top ten race times for each swimming distance and sex were identified for descriptive purposes. Nationalities were then grouped into six categories: the top five nationalities with the most appearances in the backstroke swimming top ten times by distance each year and one group consisting of all other nationalities. The Kruskal-Wallis test compared nationality differences, followed by Bonferroni-adjusted pairwise comparisons to identify specific distinctions. Between 1986 and 2024, most age group backstroke swimmers (39.6%) competed in the 50 m event (11,964, 6206 women, and 5,758 men), followed by the 100 m event (32.3%, n = 9764, 5157 women, and 4607 men), and the 200 m event (28.1%, n = 8483, 4511 women, and 3,972 men). Germany had the highest number of top ten female swimmers in the 50 m backstroke distance. Brazil had the highest number of top ten male swimmers in the same distance. The USA had the highest number of female and male swimmers among the top ten in the 100 m and 200 m backstroke distances. Germany and Great Britain were the only countries with swimmers in the top ten for all female backstroke distances. Brazil, the USA, Italy, and Germany were the countries that had swimmers in the top ten for all male backstroke distances. In summary, the fastest backstroke age group swimmers originated from Germany, Brazil, USA, Great Britain, and Italy, where differences between the sexes and race distances exist.

Keywords Age group athlete, Master swimmer, Nationality, Origin

Swimming is a basic mode of human locomotion, and – considering its beneficial role for human functions—its practice is often included in the guidelines of public health policies, especially for special populations such as pregnant women and the elderly^{1,2}. In addition, swimming has been considered a competitive sport for centuries (www.britannica.com/sports/swimming-sport). The first known swimming races were held in Japan in the first century BCE (before the Common Era). Swimming was also a popular sport in ancient Greece and Rome

¹Centre for Rehabilitation and Sports Medicine, Inselspital Bern, University Hospital Bern, Bern, Switzerland. ²Postgraduate Program in Translation Medicine, Federal University of São Paulo, São Paulo, Brazil. ³Department of Physiology, Federal University of São Paulo, São Paulo, Brazil. ⁴Institute of Primary Care, University of Zurich, Zurich, Switzerland. ⁵Sanatorium Kilchberg, Kilchberg, Switzerland. ⁶Radiology and Neuroradiology, Institute of Radiology, Spital Zollikerberg, Zurich, Switzerland. ⁷Institute of Sport Sciences, Department of Swimming and Water Rescue, Academy of Physical Education in Katowice, Katowice, Poland. ⁸School of Health and Caring Sciences, University of West Attica, Athens, Greece. ⁹Medbase St. Gallen Am Vadianplatz, Vadianstrasse 26, 9001 St. Gallen, Switzerland. [⊠]email: beat.knechtle@hispeed.ch (www.olympics.com), where the early Olympic Games included swimming events in open water rather than pools (www.olympics.com).

Modern competitive swimming as we know it today developed in the nineteenth century (www.olympics. com). The National Swimming Society was founded in England in 1837 and was one of the first swimming organizations in the world (www.eastswimming.org). Its main purpose was to promote swimming as a sport and to organize swimming competitions. The society was responsible for organizing the first swimming champion-ship in Australia in 1846, and it played a crucial role in developing swimming as a competitive sport worldwide (www.britannica.com).

While the National Swimming Society is a historical organization with a focus on promoting swimming as a sport and organizing competitions at the national level, the International Swimming Federation (Fédération Internationale de Natation/FINA – now it is World Aquatics(www.worldaquatics.com/about) is a global organization responsible for overseeing and regulating aquatic sports, including swimming, diving, water polo, synchronized swimming, and open water swimming at an international level (www.worldaquatics.com). In January 2023, the federation underwent an official name change and became known as World Aquatics (www.worldaquatics.com).

The swimming world boasts some of its biggest events through FINA, including the prestigious FINA World Championships and the FINA World Cup (worldaquatics.com /competitions). These competitions attract the world's best swimmers to showcase their skills and compete in various events and disciplines.

Swimming is a cyclic sport that displays a repeating structure due to the continuous action of the limbs. However, swimming also presents biomechanical variability in each one of the conventional propulsive techniques, demonstrating similar but not identical consecutive cycles³. Backstroke is an alternated swimming technique characterized by continuous propulsion or shorter non-propulsive lags⁴. It is one of four swimming styles, including freestyle, breaststroke, and butterfly⁵. Backstroke swimming races are mainly held at 50 m, 100 m, and 200 m. Backstroke is, however, also part of the individual medley⁶. Like the front crawl, it is an alternate swimming technique. Among the alternating techniques, swimmers usually achieve a faster swimming velocity in front crawl compared to backstroke, despite their similarities, such as the six-beat kick during each upper limb cycle. This difference in velocity is likely due to variations in energy expenditure at a given velocity⁷. Scientific research on backstroke swimming focused mainly on elite swimmers^{8–15} and technical details^{16,19}. Only little research was performed regarding youth swimmers^{20–22} and master (age group) swimmers^{5,23–26}. In recent years, there has been a high scientific interest in this specific swimming technique, resulting in a large body of studies. Specific topics were anthropometry^{21,27}, the age of the elite athletes⁹, the sex difference in performance¹², biomechanical analyses¹⁶ such as arm coordination¹⁰, the body roll (rollover)²⁸ and pacing^{5,15,28,29}, and modeling progress in swimmers' performance³⁰.

A large topic is kinematics^{20,31–33}, where aspects such as the influence of distance on swimming kinematics³¹, stroke technique¹⁷, and performance level have been undertaken²⁰. A further important topic was the start of backstroke swimming^{16,34–40}.

Apart from elite swimmers, youth swimmers²¹⁻²⁴ and age group (master, recreational) swimmers^{5,23-25,41} also compete at international level. Master swimmers compete specifically at the FINA World Masters Championships²⁵. Also, for these master swimmers, specific research exists, such as the swimming performance with increasing age^{42,43}, technical aspects^{24,26}, the development of master world records⁴¹, pacing⁵, and the participation and performance trends across age groups²⁵.

Little is known regarding the aspect of nationality of these swimmers⁸. Especially since we have no knowledge of where the best backstroke age group swimmers might be coming from. Data exist for cold-water swimmers⁴⁴ and long-distance open-water swimmers^{45,46} regarding the origin of swimmers.

Regarding master swimmers, only one study investigated the origin of open-water master swimmers⁴⁷. However, several studies have investigated the participation and performance of American master swimmers^{48–51}. Therefore, the present study intended to investigate the origin of the fastest backstroke age group swimmers. We hypothesized that the United States of America (USA) would produce the fastest swimmers, males would have better times than females in the same age group, and younger categories would have better times overall.

Method

Ethical approval

This study was approved by the Institutional Review Board of Kanton St. Gallen, Switzerland, with a waiver of the requirement for informed consent of the participants as the study involved the analysis of publicly available data (EKSG 01/06/2010). The study was conducted in accordance with recognized ethical standards according to the Declaration of Helsinki adopted in 1964 and revised in 2013.

Data set and data preparation

The race data were obtained from the official website of World Aquatics, former FINA (Fédération Internationale de Natation) (www.worldaquatics.com). We obtained full data for all World Masters Championships held between 1986 and 2024 (www.worldaquatics.com/masters/archives/masters-archives). From each swimmer, year of competition, first name, last name, age, age group, stroke, and distance were recorded. World Aquatics follows rigorous verification and publication procedures to ensure the accuracy and integrity of the information. These data are publicly accessible and can be validated and checked by any interested party. Additionally, we conducted internal cross-referencing and manual reviews to further ensure data integrity. Our dataset, as submitted to the analysis procedures, is available upon request to the leading author.

	50 m			100 m			200 m				
Age group	Female	Male	Ratio	Female	Male	Ratio	Female	Male	Ratio		
25-29	562	623	0.90	547	497	1.10	423	349	1.21		
30-34	630	636	0.99	570	536	1.06	468	414	1.13		
35-39	653	657	0.99	539	521	1.03	451	429	1.05		
40-44	666	680	0.98	592	546	1.08	491	488	1.01		
45-49	761	676	1.13	587	539	1.09	536	474	1.13		
50-54	787	637	1.24	579	500	1.16	577	450	1.28		
55-59	661	533	1.24	504	413	1.22	481	389	1.24		
60-64	619	526	1.18	481	397	1.21	437	363	1.20		
65–69	526	421	1.25	417	338	1.23	349	335	1.04		
70-74	419	373	1.12	339	291	1.16	286	270	1.06		
75–79	261	271	0.96	218	208	1.05	180	188	0.96		
80-84	154	181	0.85	137	139	0.99	120	115	1.04		
85-89	57	73	0.78	50	63	0.79	47	55	0.85		
90+	23	45	0.51	25	38	0.66	20	24	0.83		

Table 1. Female to male ratio in each age group and distance.

Statistical analysis

Descriptive data were presented using mean, standard deviation, maximum and minimum values, confidence intervals. Overall, the top ten race times for each swimming distance and sex were identified for descriptive purposes. Nationalities were then grouped into six categories to provide a structured analysis based on the top five nationalities with the most appearances in the backstroke swimming top ten times by distance each year while consolidating the remaining nationalities into a single group for comparative purposes. This approach allows for a clearer understanding of trends among the most prominent nationalities while ensuring comprehensive coverage of all participating countries. This segmentation is done for convenience, aiming to identify which nationalities have the highest likelihood of podium placement. The data did not follow a normal distribution or exhibit homogeneous variances, as determined by Shapiro–Wilk and Levene's tests, respectively. Therefore, the Kruskal–Wallis test was used to compare differences between nationalities, and multiple pairwise comparisons adjusted by Bonferroni correction were performed to identify differences. The significance level was set at 0.05, and SPSS version 26.0 (SPSS, Inc., Chicago, IL, USA) was used for all statistical analyses.

Results

Between 1986 and 2024, a total of 204,005 swimmers (94,312 women and 109,693 men) competed in 50m, 100m, 200m, 400m, and 800m races. The majority of swimmers were in freestyle (40.2%; n = 82,019; 36,977 women and 45,042 men), followed by breaststroke (21.2%; n = 43,159; 20,003 women and 23,156 men), backstroke (16.3%; n = 33,169; 17,331 women, and 15,838 men), butterfly (14.2%; n = 29,026; 12,266 women, and 16,760 men), and individual medley (8.2%; n = 16,627; 7735 women, and 8,892 men).

Due to the need to work with a smaller sample, only the backstroke swimming style was selected. In backstroke swimming, most swimmers (39.8%) competed in the 50m event (n = 13,111; 6779 women and 6332 men), followed by the 100m event (32.2%; n = 10,611; 5585 women and 5026 men), and the 200m event (28,0%; n = 9209; 4866 women and 4343 men). A ratio between the number of female and male competitors in each swim distance and age group was calculated for descriptive purposes, which are presented in Table 1.

The 50m backstroke event had an overall mean time of 43.55 ± 13.08 seconds (minimum 25.92/maximum 154.38). Females had a mean time of 48.01 ± 13.95 seconds (minimum 28.85/maximum 154.38) and males had a mean time of 38.77 ± 10.09 seconds (minimum 25.92/maximum 142.15). The 100m backstroke event had an overall mean time of 91.97 ± 25.71 seconds (minimum 49.13/maximum 370.47). Females had a mean time of 99.74 ± 26.56 seconds (minimum 61.75/maximum 370.47) and males had a mean time of 83.35 ± 21.70 seconds (minimum 49.13/maximum 304.12). The 200m backstroke event had an overall mean time of 200.42 ± 51.86 seconds (minimum 121.91/maximum 627.00). Females had a mean time of 214.61 ± 52.77 seconds (minimum 627.00) and males had a mean time of 184.52 ± 45.87 seconds (minimum 123.62/maximum 626.45). Figure 1 displays the histograms of race times for women and men in all swimming distances.

Figure 2 presents the mean time for each swimming distance for both men and women overall group and the mean times achieved by the top ten athletes annually. It is important to note that the top ten times for the year 1988 were excluded in Fig. 2 as they were not part of the nationality analysis due to the unavailability of information in the database. Additionally, there was no men's data available for 2008.

The mean time of all top ten swimmers for each gender, year, and country were compared and are displayed in Table 2 (females) and Table 2 (males). However, these averages should be interpreted with caution, even though no outliers were identified and only a brief deviation from normality was observed.

Germany had the highest number of top ten female swimmers in the 50 m backstroke distance (Table 2), while Brazil had the highest number of top ten male swimmers in the same distance (Table 2). The United States had the highest number of female and male swimmers among the top ten in the 100 m and 200 m backstroke distances (Tables 2 and 3). Additionally, the United States, Germany, Great Britain, and Brazil were the countries that had









swimmers in the top ten for all female backstroke distances (Table 2), while Brazil, the United States, Italy, and Germany were the countries that had swimmers in the top 10 for all male backstroke distances (Table 2). In the female sample, there were no significant differences in performance between countries in the 50 m, 100 m, and 200 m backstroke distances (Table 2). However, in the male sample, there were no significant differences in performance between countries in the 200 m backstroke distances, but for the 50 m, Japan (mean rank 49.23) performed better than Brazil (mean rank 93.34) (p = 0.006) (Table 2), and 100 m, "Others" (mean rank 82.77) performed better than the United States (mean rank 119.33) (p = 0.019) (Table 2).



Figure. 2. Overall and Top ten mean time for each swimming distance between sexes.

Discussion

The purpose of this study was to explore the trends in participation and performance among age group backstroke swimmers competing in 50 m, 100 m, and 200 m races held in FINA competitions between 1986 and 2019, broken down by nationality, sex, and age groups. The main findings were that (i) Germany had the highest number of top ten female swimmers in the 50 m backstroke distance, (ii) Brazil had the highest number of top ten male swimmers in the same distance, (iii) the USA had the highest number of female and male swimmers among the top ten in the 100 m and 200 m backstroke distances, (iv) Germany and Great Britain were the only countries

				Mean ± SD		CI 95%								Post-hoc	
Race	Ranking	Country	N			Lower Limit	Upper Limit	Median	Mínimum	Maximum	X ²	Df	p	differences	
	1	GER	27	32.63	±	0.71	32.35	32.91	32.65	31.12	33.75				
	2	USA	26	31.97	±	1.25	31.46	32.48	32.39	25.85	34.42]	5	0.394	
50 m back-	3	GBR	21	32.53	±	0.84	32.15	32.91	32.48	31.14	34.79	1 - 102			
stroke	4	JPN	16	32.51	±	1.79	31.55	33.46	31.89	29.74	35.97	5.183			
	5	BRA	15	32.86	±	1.56	32.00	33.72	32.71	30.20	35.74	1			
	6	Others	95	32.33	±	1.17	32.10	32.57	32.22	29.89	35.54	1			
100 m back- stroke	1	USA	36	69.64	±	3.57	68.43	70.84	69.21	61.75	78.47		5	0.084	
	2	GER	22	71.04	±	1.72	70.28	71.81	70.79	67.88	74.83	1			
	3	GBR	20	70.66	±	2.89	69.31	72.01	69.75	67.36	77.30	- 9.697 			
	4	JPN	14	69.51	±	2.89	67.84	71.17	69.07	65.43	75.24				
	5	BRA	12	70.77	±	2.67	69.07	72.47	70.77	67.41	77.06				
	6	Others	96	69.85	±	2.93	69.26	70.44	69.49	64.00	78.78				
200 m back- stroke 4 5 6	1	USA	41	152.33	±	6.22	150.37	154.30	151.88	139.98	169.99	- 8.858	5	0.115	
	2	GBR	31	153.88	±	7.94	150.97	156.79	154.75	136.28	171.19				
	3	GER	14	155.43	±	4.10	153.06	157.80	155.90	147.23	160.44				
	4	BRA	11	155.50	±	4.39	152.55	158.45	154.79	150.37	163.17				
	5	ITA	11	151.06	±	4.34	148.14	153.98	149.86	143.93	160.12				
	6	Others	92	153.85	±	8.54	152.08	155.62	152.95	121.91	175.17				
					<u> </u>		CI 95%	I							Post-hoc
Race	Ranking	Country	N	Mean±	SD		CI 95% Lower Limit	Upper Limit	Median	Mínimum	Maximum	X ²	Df	p	Post-hoc differences
Race	Ranking	Country BRA	N 37	Mean ± 9 28.29	SD ±	1.35	CI 95% Lower Limit 27.84	Upper Limit 28.74	Median 27.84	Mínimum 26.44	Maximum 30.92	X ²	Df	p	Post-hoc differences
Race	Ranking 1 2	Country BRA USA	N 37 28	Mean ± 9 28.29 28.73	SD ± ±	1.35 1.01	CI 95% Lower Limit 27.84 28.34	Upper Limit 28.74 29.13	Median 27.84 28.87	Mínimum 26.44 26.72	Maximum 30.92 30.49	X ²	Df	p	Post-hoc differences
Race 50 m back-	Ranking 1 2 3	Country BRA USA ITA	N 37 28 13	Mean ± \$ 28.29 28.73 28.29	5D ± ± ±	1.35 1.01 0.99	CI 95% Lower Limit 27.84 28.34 27.68	Upper Limit 28.74 29.13 28.89	Median 27.84 28.87 27.95	Mínimum 26.44 26.72 27.15	Maximum 30.92 30.49 30.70	X ²	Df	p	Post-hoc differences
Race 50 m back- stroke	Ranking 1 2 3 4	Country BRA USA ITA GER	N 37 28 13 13	Mean ± 5 28.29 28.73 28.29 28.35	SD ± ± ± ±	1.35 1.01 0.99 0.80	CI 95% Lower Limit 27.84 28.34 27.68 27.86	Upper Limit 28.74 29.13 28.89 28.83	Median 27.84 28.87 27.95 28.17	Mínimum 26.44 26.72 27.15 26.81	Maximum 30.92 30.49 30.70 29.71	X ² 12.876	Df 5	p 0.025	Post-hoc differences BRA vs JPN
Race	Ranking 1 2 3 4 5	Country BRA USA ITA GER JPN	N 37 28 13 13 11	Mean ± 5 28.29 28.73 28.29 28.35 27.32	SD ± ± ± ± ±	1.35 1.01 0.99 0.80 1.37	CI 95% Lower Limit 27.84 28.34 27.68 27.86 26.40	Upper Limit 28.74 29.13 28.89 28.83 28.24	Median 27.84 28.87 27.95 28.17 26.71	Mínimum 26.44 26.72 27.15 26.81 26.27	Maximum 30.92 30.49 30.70 29.71 30.97	X ² 12.876	Df	P 0.025	Post-hoc differences BRA vs JPN
Race 50 m back- stroke	Ranking 1 2 3 4 5 6	Country BRA USA ITA GER JPN Others	N 37 28 13 13 11 88	Mean ± 5 28.29 28.73 28.29 28.35 27.32 28.23	SD ± ± ± ± ± ±	1.35 1.01 0.99 0.80 1.37 1.25	CI 95% Lower Limit 27.84 28.34 27.68 27.86 26.40 27.96	Upper Limit 28.74 29.13 28.89 28.83 28.24 28.49	Median 27.84 28.87 27.95 28.17 26.71 28.19	Mínimum 26.44 26.72 27.15 26.81 26.27 25.92	Maximum 30.92 30.49 30.70 29.71 30.97 30.67	X ² 12.876	Df 5	P 0.025	Post-hoc differences BRA vs JPN
Race 50 m back- stroke	Ranking 1 2 3 4 5 6 1	Country BRA USA ITA GER JPN Others USA	N 37 28 13 13 11 88 32	Mean ± 5 28.29 28.73 28.29 28.35 27.32 28.23 62.62	SD ± ± ± ± ± ± ± ±	1.35 1.01 0.99 0.80 1.37 1.25 2.67	CI 95% Lower Limit 27.84 28.34 27.68 27.86 26.40 27.96 61.65	Upper Limit 28.74 29.13 28.89 28.83 28.24 28.49 63.58	Median 27.84 28.87 27.95 28.17 26.71 28.19 62.45	Mínimum 26.44 26.72 27.15 26.81 26.27 25.92 58.66	Maximum 30.92 30.49 30.70 29.71 30.97 30.67 68.29	X ² 12.876	D f 5	p 0.025	Post-hoc differences BRA vs JPN
Race 50 m back- stroke	Ranking 1 2 3 4 5 6 1 2	Country BRA USA ITA GER JPN Others USA BRA	N 37 28 13 13 11 88 32 28	Mean ± 5 28.29 28.73 28.29 28.35 27.32 28.23 62.62 61.94	SD ± ± ± ± ± ± ± ± ±	1.35 1.01 0.99 0.80 1.37 1.25 2.67 2.54	CI 95% Lower Limit 27.84 28.34 27.68 27.86 26.40 27.96 61.65 60.11	Upper Limit 28.74 29.13 28.89 28.83 28.24 28.24 28.49 63.58 62.08	Median 27.84 28.87 27.95 28.17 26.71 28.19 62.45 60.67	Mínimum 26.44 26.72 27.15 26.81 26.27 25.92 58.66 57.53	Maximum 30.92 30.49 30.70 29.71 30.97 30.67 68.29 67.60	X ² - 12.876	D f 5	p 0.025	Post-hoc differences BRA vs JPN
Race 50 m back- stroke 100 m back-	Ranking 1 2 3 4 5 6 1 2 3	Country BRA USA ITA GER JPN Others USA BRA GBR	N 37 28 13 13 11 88 32 28 15	Mean ± 3 28.29 28.73 28.29 28.35 27.32 28.23 62.62 61.94 62.73	5D ± ± ± ± ± ± ± ± ± ±	1.35 1.01 0.99 0.80 1.37 1.25 2.67 2.54 3.06	CI 95% Lower Limit 27.84 28.34 27.68 27.86 26.40 27.96 61.65 60.11 61.03	Upper Limit 28.74 29.13 28.89 28.83 28.24 28.49 63.58 62.08 64.42	Median 27.84 28.87 27.95 28.17 26.71 28.19 62.45 60.67 62.83	Mínimum 26.44 26.72 27.15 26.81 26.27 25.92 58.66 57.53 57.49	Maximum 30.92 30.49 30.70 29.71 30.97 30.67 68.29 67.60 67.76	X ² - 12.876	5 5	p 0.025	Post-hoc differences BRA vs JPN
Race 50 m back- stroke 100 m back- stroke	Ranking 1 2 3 4 5 6 1 2 3 4 5 6 1 2 3 4	Country BRA USA ITA GER JPN Others USA BRA GBR GER	N 37 28 13 13 13 11 88 32 28 15 14	Mean ± 3 28.29 28.73 28.29 28.35 27.32 28.23 62.62 61.94 62.73 61.98	5D ± ± ± ± ± ± ± ± ± ± ±	1.35 1.01 0.99 0.80 1.37 1.25 2.67 2.54 3.06 2.19	CI 95% Lower Limit 27.84 28.34 27.68 26.40 27.96 61.65 60.11 61.03 60.72	Upper Limit 28.74 29.13 28.89 28.83 28.24 28.49 63.58 62.08 64.42 63.25	Median 27.84 28.87 27.95 28.17 26.71 28.19 62.45 60.67 62.83 62.08	Mínimum 26.44 26.72 27.15 26.81 26.27 25.92 58.66 57.53 57.49 58.09	Maximum 30.92 30.49 30.70 29.71 30.97 30.67 68.29 67.60 67.76 66.83	X ² - 12.876 - 15.575	D f 5	p 0.025 0.008	Post-hoc differences BRA vs JPN Others vs USA
Race 50 m back- stroke 100 m back- stroke	Ranking 1 2 3 4 5 6 1 2 3 4 5 6 1 2 3 4 5	Country BRA USA ITA GER JPN Others USA BRA GBR GER ITA	N 37 28 13 13 11 88 32 28 15 14 13	Mean ± \$ 28.29 28.73 28.29 28.35 27.32 28.23 62.62 61.94 62.73 61.98 61.07	5D ± ± ± ± ± ± ± ± ± ± ± ± ±	1.35 1.01 0.99 0.80 1.37 1.25 2.67 2.54 3.06 2.19 1.10	CI 95% Lower Limit 27.84 28.34 27.68 27.86 26.40 27.96 61.65 60.11 61.03 60.72 60.41	Upper Limit 28.74 29.13 28.89 28.83 28.24 28.49 63.58 62.08 64.42 63.25 61.73	Median 27.84 28.87 27.95 28.17 26.71 28.19 62.45 60.67 62.83 62.08 60.52	Mínimum 26.44 26.72 27.15 26.81 26.27 25.92 58.66 57.53 57.49 58.09 59.53	Maximum 30.92 30.49 30.70 29.71 30.97 30.67 68.29 67.60 67.76 66.83 63.21	X ² - 12.876 - 15.575	Df 5	p 0.025 0.008	Post-hoc differences BRA vs JPN Others vs USA
Race 50 m back- stroke 100 m back- stroke	Ranking 1 2 3 4 5 6 1 2 3 4 5 6 1 2 3 4 5 6 1 2 3 4 5 6	Country BRA USA ITA GER JPN Others USA BRA GBR GER ITA Others	N 37 28 13 13 11 88 32 28 15 14 13 88	Mean ± \$ 28.29 28.73 28.29 28.35 27.32 28.23 62.62 61.94 62.73 61.98 61.07 60.69	5D ± ± ± ± ± ± ± ± ± ± ± ± ±	1.35 1.01 0.99 0.80 1.37 1.25 2.67 2.54 3.06 2.19 1.10 3.03	CI 95% Lower Limit 27.84 28.34 27.68 27.86 26.40 27.96 61.65 60.11 61.03 60.72 60.41 60.05	Upper Limit 28.74 29.13 28.89 28.83 28.24 28.49 63.58 62.08 64.42 63.25 61.73 61.34	Median 27.84 28.87 27.95 28.17 26.71 28.19 62.45 60.67 62.83 62.08 60.52 60.42	Mínimum 26.44 26.72 27.15 26.81 26.27 25.92 58.66 57.53 57.49 58.09 59.53 49.13	Maximum 30.92 30.49 30.70 29.71 30.97 30.67 68.29 67.60 67.76 66.83 63.21 67.59	x ² - 12.876 - 15.575	Df 5	p 0.025 0.008	Post-hoc differences BRA vs JPN Others vs USA
Race 50 m back- stroke 100 m back- stroke	Ranking 1 2 3 4 5 6 1 2 3 4 5 6 1 2 3 4 5 6 1 2 3 4 5 6 1	Country BRA USA ITA GER JPN Others USA BRA GBR GER ITA Others USA	N 37 28 13 13 11 88 32 28 15 14 13 88 31	Mean ± \$ 28.29 28.73 28.29 28.35 27.32 28.23 62.62 61.94 62.73 61.98 61.07 60.69 137.82	± ±	1.35 1.01 0.99 0.80 1.37 1.25 2.67 2.54 3.06 2.19 1.10 3.03 4.64	CI 95% Lower Limit 27.84 28.34 27.68 27.86 26.40 27.96 61.65 60.11 61.03 60.72 60.41 60.05 136.12	Upper Limit 28.74 29.13 28.89 28.83 28.24 28.49 63.58 62.08 64.42 63.25 61.73 61.34 139.52	Median 27.84 28.87 27.95 28.17 26.71 28.19 62.45 60.67 62.83 62.08 60.52 60.42 137.40	Mínimum 26.44 26.72 27.15 26.81 26.27 25.92 58.66 57.53 57.49 58.09 59.53 49.13 125.62	Maximum 30.92 30.49 30.70 29.71 30.97 30.67 68.29 67.60 67.76 66.83 63.21 67.59 147.66	x ² 12.876	Df 5	p 0.025 0.008	Post-hoc differences BRA vs JPN Others vs USA
Race 50 m back- stroke 100 m back- stroke	Ranking 1 2 3 4 5 6 1 2 3 4 5 6 1 2 3 4 5 6 1 2 3 4 5 6 1 2	Country BRA USA ITA GER JPN Others USA BRA GBR GBR GER ITA Others USA GER	N 37 28 13 13 11 88 32 28 15 14 13 88 31 22	Mean ± 3 28.29 28.73 28.29 28.35 27.32 28.23 62.62 61.94 62.73 61.98 61.07 60.69 137.82 135.07	5D ±	1.35 1.01 0.99 0.80 1.37 1.25 2.67 2.54 3.06 2.19 1.10 3.03 4.64 4.64	CI 95% Lower Limit 27.84 28.34 27.68 27.86 26.40 27.96 61.65 60.11 61.03 60.72 60.41 60.05 136.12 133.01	Upper Limit 28.74 29.13 28.89 28.83 28.24 28.49 63.58 62.08 64.42 63.25 61.73 61.34 139.52 137.13	Median 27.84 28.87 27.95 28.17 26.71 28.19 62.45 60.67 62.83 62.08 60.52 60.42 137.40 135.89	Mínimum 26.44 26.72 27.15 26.81 26.27 25.92 58.66 57.53 57.49 58.09 59.53 49.13 125.62 123.80	Maximum 30.92 30.49 30.70 29.71 30.97 30.67 68.29 67.60 67.76 66.83 63.21 67.59 147.66 145.07	x ² - 12.876 - 15.575	5 5	p 0.025 0.008	Post-hoc differences BRA vs JPN Others vs USA
Race 50 m back- stroke 100 m back- stroke 200 m back-	Ranking 1 2 3 4 5 6 1 2 3 4 5 6 1 2 3 4 5 6 1 2 3 4 5 6 1 2 3	Country BRA USA ITA GER JPN Others USA BRA GBR GER ITA Others USA GER FRA	N 37 28 13 13 11 88 32 28 15 14 13 88 31 22 17	Mean ± 3 28.29 28.73 28.29 28.35 27.32 28.23 62.62 61.94 62.73 61.98 61.07 60.69 137.82 135.07 134.93	5D ± ± ± ± ± ± ± ± ± ± ± ± ±	1.35 1.01 0.99 0.80 1.37 1.25 2.67 2.54 3.06 2.19 1.10 3.03 4.64 4.64 4.40	CI 95% Lower Limit 27.84 28.34 27.68 27.86 26.40 27.96 61.65 60.11 61.03 60.72 60.41 60.05 136.12 133.01 132.67	Upper Limit 28.74 29.13 28.89 28.83 28.24 28.49 63.58 62.08 64.42 63.25 61.73 61.34 139.52 137.13 137.19	Median 27.84 28.87 27.95 28.17 26.71 28.19 62.45 60.67 62.83 62.08 60.52 60.42 137.40 135.89 134.19	Mínimum 26.44 26.72 27.15 26.81 26.27 25.92 58.66 57.53 57.49 58.09 59.53 49.13 125.62 123.80 128.23	Maximum 30.92 30.49 30.70 29.71 30.97 30.67 68.29 67.60 67.76 66.83 63.21 67.59 147.66 145.07 145.79	X ² - 12.876 - 15.575 - 7.257	5 5	p 0.025 0.008	Post-hoc differences BRA vs JPN Others vs USA
Race 50 m back-stroke 100 m back-stroke 200 m back-stroke	Ranking 1 2 3 4 5 6 1 2 3 4 5 6 1 2 3 4 5 6 1 2 3 4 5 6 1 2 3 4	Country BRA USA ITA GER JPN Others USA BRA GBR GER ITA Others USA GER FRA BRA	N 37 28 13 13 11 88 32 28 15 14 13 88 31 22 17 16	Mean ± 3 28.29 28.73 28.29 28.35 27.32 28.23 62.62 61.94 62.73 61.98 61.07 60.69 137.82 135.07 134.93 136.37	5D ± ± ± ± ± ± ± ± ± ± ± ± ±	1.35 1.01 0.99 0.80 1.37 1.25 2.67 2.54 3.06 2.19 1.10 3.03 4.64 4.64 4.40 5.26	CI 95% Lower Limit 27.84 28.34 27.68 27.86 26.40 27.96 61.65 60.11 61.03 60.72 60.41 60.05 136.12 133.01 132.67 133.57	Upper Limit 28.74 29.13 28.89 28.83 28.24 28.49 63.58 62.08 64.42 63.25 61.73 61.34 139.52 137.13 137.19 139.17	Median 27.84 28.87 27.95 28.17 26.71 28.19 62.45 60.67 62.83 62.08 60.52 60.42 137.40 135.89 134.19 135.07	Mínimum 26.44 26.72 27.15 26.81 26.27 25.92 58.66 57.53 57.49 58.09 59.53 49.13 125.62 123.80 128.23 130.24	Maximum 30.92 30.49 30.70 29.71 30.97 30.67 68.29 67.60 67.76 66.83 63.21 67.59 147.66 145.07 145.79 151.71	x ² - 12.876 - 15.575 - 7.257	5 5 5	p 0.025 0.008 0.202	Post-hoc differences BRA vs JPN Others vs USA
Race 50 m back-stroke 100 m back-stroke 200 m back-stroke	Ranking 1 2 3 4 5 6 1 2 3 4 5 6 1 2 3 4 5 6 1 2 3 4 5 6 1 2 3 4 5	Country BRA USA ITA GER JPN Others USA BRA GBR GER ITA Others USA GER FRA BRA ITA	N 37 28 13 13 11 88 32 28 15 14 13 88 31 22 17 16 14	Mean ± 5 28.29 28.73 28.29 28.35 27.32 28.23 62.62 61.94 62.73 61.98 61.07 60.69 137.82 135.07 134.93 136.37 135.79	5D ± ± ± ± ± ± ± ± ± ± ± ± ±	1.35 1.01 0.99 0.80 1.37 1.25 2.67 2.54 3.06 2.19 1.10 3.03 4.64 4.64 4.40 5.26 5.18	CI 95% Lower Limit 27.84 28.34 27.68 27.86 26.40 27.96 61.65 60.11 61.03 60.72 60.41 60.05 136.12 133.01 132.67 133.57 132.80	Upper Limit 28.74 29.13 28.89 28.83 28.24 28.49 63.58 62.08 64.42 63.25 61.73 61.34 139.52 137.13 137.19 139.17 138.78	Median 27.84 28.87 27.95 28.17 26.71 28.19 62.45 60.67 62.83 62.08 60.52 60.42 137.40 135.89 134.19 135.07 134.78	Mínimum 26.44 26.72 27.15 26.81 26.27 25.92 58.66 57.53 57.49 58.09 59.53 49.13 125.62 123.80 128.23 130.24 129.67	Maximum 30.92 30.49 30.70 29.71 30.97 30.67 68.29 67.60 67.76 66.83 63.21 67.59 147.66 145.07 145.79 151.71 149.64	X ² - 12.876 - 15.575 - 7.257	Df 5 5 5 5	p 0.025 0.008 0.202	Post-hoc differences BRA vs JPN Others vs USA

Table 2. Significant differences between nationalities with female athletes in the top 10 times in all backstroke distances.

that had swimmers in the top ten for all female backstroke distances and (v) while Brazil, the USA, Italy, and Germany were the countries that had swimmers in the top ten for all male backstroke distances.

Regarding our hypothesis that the fastest swimmers would originate from the USA, we could only confirm that swimmers from the USA were among the fastest in men but not in women. Especially swimmers from Germany, Brazil, Great Britain, and Italy also provided the top age group backstroke swimmers.

For example, in the USA, U.S. MASTERS SWIMMING has a large calendar of different events, helps its members find a swimming club, provides articles and videos to help improve swimming performance, and has a large library for workouts for a variety of training styles (www.usms.org). In Germany, the DSV (Deutscher Schwimm-Verband) offers a list of potential clubs and all potential swimming races for age group swimmers (www.dsv.de/masterssport). In Great Britain, Swim England Masters offers a large list of different races, and links to the masters community, technique tips, and nutrition advice). In Italy, Federazione Italiana Nuoto has a special section for master swimmers with a large list of races (www.federnuoto.it/home/master.html). Most probably, all these countries have a high interest in promoting master swimming.

Our hypothesis was that the USA would produce the fastest swimmers. The study found that Germany had the highest number of top ten female swimmers in the 50 m backstroke distance in younger ages, the age groups

fastest for both women and men, whereas Brazil also shows the fastest in both men and women. Similar findings have been reported for other disciplines such as running, for example, in ultra-marathon/triathlon races^{52,53}. It was shown that Germany and Great Britain were the only countries that had swimmers in the top ten for all female backstroke distances, while Brazil, the USA, Italy, and Germany were the countries that had swimmers in the top ten for all male backstroke distances. The excellence of these countries in master backstroke swimming reflected the corresponding performance of these countries in overall swimming competitions. For instance, the USA was 1st, Italy 3rd, Great Britain 9th, Germany 12th and Brazil 14th in the swimming table of medals in the 19th FINA World Championships Budapest 2022 (https://www.worldaquatics.com/competitions). It was reasonable to assume that master backstroke swimmers from these countries were former elite swimmers during their youth, which might explain their high performance when getting older. In addition, success in the race does not only result from age but also highlights the importance of physiological variables such as oxygen uptake, maximal heart rate, stroke volume, arteriovenous oxygen difference, active muscle mass, type II muscle fiber size, and blood volume^{54,55}. VO₂max is an indicator of endurance performance and a strong predictor of cardiovascular disease and mortality.

Some limitations must be acknowledged for this study. In the FINA Masters World Championships, swimmers can be considered as the best age group swimmers in the world. In low-performance swimmers, there is a chance for a different pacing; therefore, the pacing patterns should be generalized for swimmers of a similar level. It is also important to note that the competition took place in a 50-m-long outdoor pool with different physiological aspects (e.g., turns or push parts off the wall) compared to a smaller pool, for example, a length of 25 m. Another limitation of this study might be the environmental conditions, such as the temperature of air and water, since the competition did not take place indoors. Finally, a statistically significant outcome was clearly attributable to the high number of participants. No information about the training conditions was considered. It is possible that the same athlete who performed among the top ten over multiple years had his/her performance included multiple times in the analysis, which could affect the independence of the observation but cannot be excluded due to the format of the database. On the other hand, the strength of the study was its novelty as it was the first one to examine this topic covering such a long period. The findings have practical applications for coaches and trainers to set optimal training goals, and develop sex- and age-tailored exercise programs.

Conclusion

Germany had the highest number of top ten female swimmers in the 50 m backstroke distance. Whether Brazil had the highest number of top ten male swimmers in the same distance. Also, the USA had the highest number of female and male swimmers among the top ten in the 100 m and 200 m backstroke distances. In contrast, Germany and Great Britain were the only countries that had swimmers in the top ten for all female backstroke distances. However, Brazil, the USA, Italy, and Germany were the countries that had swimmers in the top ten for all male backstroke distances. This study's findings can help identify the specific countries of origin of the top-performing master backstroke swimmers and lend themselves as a basis for future studies to identify the contributing factors to this success, which can support the development of policies, more effective training programs, and coaching strategies. As technology and science continue to advance, we will likely see further improvements in athletic performance and new records being set. Backstroke results will soon also improve due to FINA's change to the Backstroke finish rule, allowing the swimmer to fully submerge prior to reaching for the surface (www.csoaoffici als.org/ncaa-position-on-revised-fina-backstroke-finish-rule/). This solution will probably favor younger age-group competitors, who will be able to swim underwater without breathing in the final meters of the distance.

Data availability

Availability of data and materials For this study, we have included official results from the official race website (www.worldaquatics.com). The datasets used and/or analysed during the current study are available from the corresponding author on reasonable request.

Received: 3 March 2024; Accepted: 22 July 2024 Published online: 26 July 2024

References

- Evenson, K. R., Brown, W. J., Brinson, A. K. & Emily Budzynski-Seymour, M. H. A review of public health guidelines for postpartum physical activity and sedentary behavior from around the world. J Sport Heal scinece. 13(4), 472–483 (2023).
- Davison, R. & Cowan, D. Ageing, sport and physical activity participation in Scotland. Front. Sport. Act Living. https://doi.org/10. 3389/fspor.2023.1213924 (2023).
- 3. Preatoni, E. et al. Movement variability and skills monitoring in sports. Sports Biomechanics. 12(2), 69–92 (2013).
- 4. Silva, A., Figueiredo, P., Seifert, L., Soares, S. & Vilas-Boas, J. P. Backstroke technical characterization of 11–13 year-old swimmers. J. Sport Sci. Med. 24(3), 409–419 (2013).
- Moser, C., Sousa, C., Olher, R., Nikolaidis, P. & Knechtle, B. Pacing in world-class age group swimmers in 100 and 200 m freestyle, backstroke, breaststroke, and butterfly. *Int. J. Environ. Res. Public Health.* 17, 3875 (2020).
- 6. Vilain, M. & Careau, V. Performance trade-offs in elite swimmers. Adapt Hum. Behav. Physiol. 8(1), 28-51 (2022).
- Gonjo, T. *et al.* Front crawl is more efficient and has smaller active drag than backstroke swimming: kinematic and kinetic comparison between the two techniques at the same swimming speeds. *Front. Bioeng. Biotechnol.* 8, 570657 (2020).
- Born, D.-P., Schönfelder, M., Logan, O., Olstad, B. & Romann, M. Performance development of european swimmers across the olympic cycle. *Front. Sport Act Liv.* https://doi.org/10.3389/fspor.2022.894066 (2022).
- 9. Knechtle, B. *et al.* The age in swimming of champions in world championships (1994–2013) and Olympic games (1992–2012): A cross-sectional data analysis. *Sports.* **4**(1), 17 (2016).
- 10. Didier, C., Seifert, L. & Carter, M. Arm coordination in elite backstroke swimmers. J. Sports Sci. 26, 675–682 (2008).
- 11. Fernandes, A. et al. Velocity variability and performance in backstroke in elite and good-level swimmers. Int. J. Environ. Res. Public Health. 19, 6744 (2022).

- Wild, S., Rüst, C., Rosemann, T. & Knechtle, B. Changes in sex difference in swimming speed in finalists at FINA World Championships and the Olympic Games from 1992 to 2013. BMC Sports Sci. Med. Rehabil. 6, 25 (2014).
- 13. Sonia, N. S. Relationship between different swimming styles and somatotype in national level swimmers. Br. J. Sports Med. 44, i13.2-13 (2010).
- 14. Veiga, S. & Roig, A. Underwater and surface strategies of 200 m world level swimmers. J. Sports Sci. 34, 1-6 (2015).
- Skorski, S., Faude, O., Caviezel, S. & Meyer, T. Reproducibility of pacing profiles in elite swimmers. Int. J. Sports Physiol. Perform. 9(2), 217–225 (2013).
- 16. De Jesus, K. *et al.* Biomechanical analysis of backstroke swimming starts. *Int J Sports Med.* **32**, 546–551 (2011).
- 17. Smith, H. K. & Montpetit, R. R. The aerobic demand of backstroke swimming, and its relation to body size, stroke technique, and performance. *Eur. J. Appl. Physiol. Occup. Physiol.* **58**, 182–188 (1988).
- 18. Stibilj, J., Košmrlj, K. & Jernej, K. Evaluation of Mistakes in Backstroke Swimming. 26, 5-15 (2020).
- Veiga, S., Roig, A. & Ruano, M. Do faster swimmers spend longer underwater than slower swimmers at World Championships?. *Eur. J. Sport Sci.* 16, 1–8 (2016).
- Veiga, S., Cala, A., González-Frutos, P. & Navarro, E. Kinematical comparison of the 200 m backstroke turns between national and regional level swimmers. J. Sports Sci. Med. 12, 730–737 (2013).
- 21. Sammoud, S. et al. Key somatic variables in young backstroke swimmers. J. Sports Sci. 37, 1162-1167 (2018).
- Alshdokhi, K., Petersen, C. & Clarke, J. Improvement and variability of adolescent backstroke swimming performance by age. Front. Sport Act Living. https://doi.org/10.3389/fspor.2020.00046 (2020).
- 23. Chainok, P. et al. Biomechanical features of backstroke to breaststroke transition techniques in age-group swimmers. Front Sport Act Living. 4, 802967 (2022).
- 24. Chainok, P. et al. Backstroke to breaststroke turning performance in age-group swimmers: hydrodynamic characteristics and pull-out strategy. Int. J. Environ. Res. Public Health. 18, 1858 (2021).
- 25. Unterweger C, Knechtle B, Nikolaidis P, Rosemann T, Rüst C. Increased participation and improved performance in age group backstroke master swimmers from 25–29 to 100–104 years at the FINA World Masters Championships from 1986 to 2014. Springerplus. 2016;in print.
- Blanksby, B., Skender, S., Elliott, B., McElroy, K. & Landers, G. An analysis of the rollover backstroke turn by age-group swimmers. Sports Biomech. 3, 1–14 (2004).
- Gonjo, T., Fernandes, R., Vilas-Boas, J. P. & Sanders, R. Body roll amplitude and timing in backstroke swimming and their differences from front crawl at the same swimming intensities. *Sci. Rep.* https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-020-80711-5 (2021).
- Gonjo, T. et al. Do swimmers conform to criterion speed during pace-controlled swimming in a 25-m pool using a visual light pacer?. Sport Biomech. 20(6), 651–664 (2019).
- Saavedra, J., Escalante, Y., García-Hermoso, A., Arellano, R. & Valdivielso, F. A 12-year analysis of pacing strategies in 200- and 400-M individual medley in international swimming competitions. J. Strength Cond Res. 26(12), 3289–3296 (2012).
- 30. Dormehl, S., Williams, C. & Robertson, S. Modelling the progression of male swimmers' performances through adolescence. *Sports.* **4**, 2 (2016).
- 31. Cortesi, M., Fantozzi, S. & Gatta, G. Effects of distance specialization on the backstroke swimming kinematics. J. Sports Sci. Med. 11, 526–532 (2012).
- Gonjo, T., Fernandes, R., Vilas-Boas, J. P. & Sanders, R. Differences in the rotational effect of buoyancy and trunk kinematics between front crawl and backstroke swimming. Sport Biomech. 22(12), 1590–1601 (2021).
- Gonjo, T., Fernandes, R., Vilas-Boas, J. P. & Sanders, R. Upper body kinematic differences between maximum front crawl and backstroke swimming. J. Biomech. 98, 109452 (2019).
- Dvořáčková, N. & Brodáni, J. Swimming performance to 25 meters backstroke depends on selected factors of explosive strength of lower limbs. Acta Fac Educ Phys. Univ. Comenianae. 59, 203–213 (2019).
- De Jesus, K. et al. Modelling and predicting backstroke start performance using non-linear and linear models. J. Hum. Kinet. 61, 29–38 (2018).
- De Jesus, K. et al. Are the new starting block facilities beneficial for backstroke start performance. J. Sports Sci. 34(9), 871–877 (2015).
- 37. De Jesus, K., Jesus, K., Fernandes, R., Vilas-Boas, J. P. & Sanders, R. The backstroke swimming start: State of the art. J. Hum. Kinet. 42, 7–20 (2014).
- Nguyen, C., Bradshaw, E., Pease, D. & Wilson, C. Is starting with the feet out of the water faster in backstroke swimming?. Sport Biomech. 13(2), 154–165 (2014).
- Takeda, T., Itoi, O., Takagi, H. & Tsubakimoto, S. Kinematic analysis of the backstroke start: Differences between backstroke specialists and non-specialists. J. Sports Sci. 32(7), 635–641 (2013).
- 40. De Jesus, K. *et al.* Backstroke start kinematic and kinetic changes due to different feet positioning. J. Sports Sci. 31, 1665–1675 (2013).
- Zamparo, P., Gatta, G. & Prampero, P. The determinants of performance in master swimmers: An analysis of master world records. *Eur J Appl Physiol.* 112(10), 3511–3518 (2012).
- 42. Rahe, R. H. Swim performance decrement over middle life. Med. Sience Sport 7(1), 53–58 (1975).
- 43. Letzelter, M. & Jungermann, C. Swimming performance in the aged. Z Gerontol. 19(6), 385–395 (1986).
- 44. Zimmermann, L. *et al.* The aspects of sex, age and nationality in winter swimming performance. *Eur Rev Med Pharmacol Sci.* **26**, 3469–3482 (2022).
- Seffrin, A. et al. Origin of the fastest 5 km, 10 km and 25 km open-water swimmers-an analysis from 20 years and 9819 swimmers. Int. J. Environ. Res. Public Health. 18, 1–11 (2021).
- 46. Eichenberger, E. *et al.* Best performances by men and women open-water swimmers during the 'English Channel Swim' from 1900 to 2010. *J Sports Sci.* **30**, 1295–1301 (2012).
- 47. Seffrin, A. et al. Italians are the fastest 3000 m open-water master swimmers in the world. Int. J. Environ. Res. Public Health. 18, 7606 (2021).
- Fairbrother, J. Prediction of 1500-m freestyle swimming times for older masters all-American swimmers. *Exp. Aging Res.* 33, 461–471 (2007).
- Medic, N., Young, B. & Medic, D. Participation-related relative age effects in Masters swimming: A 6-year retrospective longitudinal analysis. J. Sports Sci. 29, 29–36 (2010).
- Medic, N., Müssener, M., Lobinger, B. & Young, B. Constituent year effect in masters sports: An empirical view on the historical development in US masters swimming. J Sports Sci Med. 18, 505–512 (2019).
- Medic, N., Young, B., Starkes, J., Weir, P. & Grove, R. Gender, age, and sport differences in relative age effects among US Masters swimming and track and field athletes. J. Sports Sci. 27, 1535–1544 (2009).
- 52. Fariod M, Olher R, Sousa C, Scheer V, Cuk I, Nikolaidis P, et al. Pacing variation in multi-stage ultra-marathons: An internet-based cross-sectional study. 2023;
- Wonerow, M., Rüst, C., Nikolaidis, P., Rosemann, T. & Knechtle, B. Performance trends in age group triathletes in the olympic distance triathlon at the world championships 2009–2014. *Chin J Physiol.* 60(3), 137–150 (2016).
- 54. Reaburn, P. & Dascombe, B. Endurance performance in Masters athletes. Eur. Rev. Ag. Physic. Act. 5, 31–42 (2008).

55. Nikolaidis, P. Age- and sex-related differences in force-velocity characteristics of upper and lower limbs of competitive adolescent swimmers. J. Hum. Kinet. 32, 87–95 (2012).

Author contributions

MF drafted the manuscript, AS and MSA performed the statistical analysis and prepared the methods and results, SM and WA obtained the data, MW, AS, MSA, AS, PTN, KW, TR and BK helped in drafting the final version. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Competing interests

The authors declare no competing interests.

Additional information

Correspondence and requests for materials should be addressed to B.K.

Reprints and permissions information is available at www.nature.com/reprints.

Publisher's note Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

Open Access This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-NoDerivatives 4.0 International License, which permits any non-commercial use, sharing, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons licence, and indicate if you modified the licensed material. You do not have permission under this licence to share adapted material derived from this article or parts of it. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons licence, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons licence and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this licence, visit http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/.

© The Author(s) 2024