Body Contouring Surgery Following Bariatric Surgery and Dietetically Induced Massive Weight Reduction: A Risk Analysis
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Abstract

Background This study analyzed the impact of weight reduction method, preoperative, and intraoperative variables on the outcome of reconstructive body contouring surgery following massive weight reduction.

Methods All patients presenting with a maximal BMI $\geq 35$ kg/m$^2$ before weight reduction who underwent body contouring surgery of the trunk following massive weight loss (excess body mass index loss (EBMIL)$\geq 30\%$) between January 2002 and June 2007 were retrospectively analyzed. Incomplete records or follow-up led to exclusion. Statistical analysis focused on weight reduction method and pre-, intra-, and postoperative risk factors. The outcome was compared to current literature results.

Results A total of 104 patients were included (87 female and 17 male; mean age 47.9 years). Massive weight reduction was achieved through bariatric surgery in 62 patients (59.6%) and dietetically in 42 patients (40.4%). Dietetically achieved excess body mass index loss (EBMIL) was 94.20% and in this cohort higher than surgically induced reduction EBMIL 80.80% ($p<0.01$). Bariatric surgery did not present increased risks for complications for the secondary body contouring procedures. The observed complications (26.9%) were analyzed for risk factors. Total tissue resection weight was a significant risk factor ($p<0.05$). Preoperative BMI had an impact on infections ($p<0.05$). No impact on the postoperative outcome was detected in EBMIL, maximal BMI, smoking, hemoglobin, blood loss, body contouring technique or operation time. Corrective procedures were performed in 11 patients (10.6%). The results were compared to recent data.

Conclusion Bariatric surgery does not increase risks for complications in subsequent body contouring procedures when compared to massive dietetic weight reduction.
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Introduction

Obesity is today considered as a ‘disease’ taking epidemic proportions, frequently associated with increased morbidity and mortality as well as economic health costs. About 300 million people around the world are obese (BMI $>30$). In the USA nearly two thirds of the population can be classified as overweight or obese [1], while in most European countries obesity rates tripled over the past two decades. The prevalence of obesity varies significantly among countries, with France and Switzerland showing the lowest obesity prevalence, and Slovenia, Croatia, and Greece the highest prevalence with similar distribution in men and women between 4–16% [2, 3]. Morbid obesity is defined as a BMI of over 40 or a BMI over 35 in combination with comorbidities [4]. Related comorbidities, like diabetes mellitus, arterial hypertension, sleep apnea syndrome, cardiovascular disease, hyperlipidemia, degenerative joint disease, and depression are known to reduce the quality of life and threaten the overall life expectancy of severely obese persons [5]. Bariatric surgery is an expanding field, given the significant negative impact of morbid
obesity and the limited efficacy of dietetic therapy [6, 7].
Data from The American Society of Bariatric Surgeons
show that the number of surgical procedures performed to
induce weight loss has increased from 28,800 in 1999 to
171,000 in 2005, with subsequent increasing number of
patients who require secondary corrective procedures as
surgical steps towards normal quality of life.
Patients frequently continue to suffer from stigmatizing
sequels in the form of redundant skin and encumbering soft
tissues, which interfere with exercise, proper fitting of
clothes, and present not only an aesthetic burden but can
be painful through mechanical friction and pose a hygienic
problem associated with fungal infections and intertriginous
dermatitis [8, 9]. According to the American Society of
Plastic Surgeons, over 68,000 body contouring procedures
were performed for massive-weight-loss patients in 2005 [1].
The plastic and reconstructive surgeon must address these
secondary deformities and choose the appropriate surgical
technique and the optimal time point for surgery while
assessing the operative risks for each individual. Most
current investigations focus on the risk of secondary
procedures in the post-bariatric surgical population and only
few risk factors have been so far statistically outlined while
others are still being controversially discussed [10–14].
To date it remains unclear whether the particular method
of weight reduction (dietetic vs. bariatric) may additionally
predispose for increased complication rates in the secondary
reconstructive body contouring procedures. The introduction
of excess body mass index loss (EBMIL) as an accurate
measure tool for individual weight loss [15] independent of
the therapeutic approach facilitates this comparison.
This study was designed to investigate and define risk
factors for complications in reconstructive body contouring
surgery following different methods of massive weight
reduction. The results were further compared to the
outcome reported in current studies [10, 11] and with
previous data from our institution [13].

Patients and Methods
All patients undergoing reconstructive body contouring
procedures following massive weight loss between January
2002 and June 2007 at our institution were analyzed
retrospectively in this study. Inclusion criteria were a BMI
of $\geq 35$ kg/m$^2$ (BMI max.) before weight loss and a
successful weight reduction as defined by an EBMIL $\geq 30$%
followed by a stable weight plateau maintained for at least
12 months prior to the body contouring procedure. Patients
who were lost at follow-up or presented incomplete
documentation were excluded.
Following data and variables were collected from the
patients’ medical records for statistical analysis: gender,
age, maximal BMI before weight reduction (BMI max),
BMI prior to body contouring surgery (BMI preop), excess
body mass index loss, method of weight reduction (dietetic
vs. bariatric surgery), nicotine consumption, hemoglobin
levels, operative technique, intraoperative findings, blood
loss, total operation time, and total tissue resection weight.
Outcome variables included conservatively treated minor
complications (seromas, hematomas, local infections, small
skin necrosis or wound dehiscence below 4 cm$^2$), and major
complications requiring surgical revision. Furthermore,
tertiary corrective procedures for dog ears or scars were noted.

Surgical Technique
The reconstructive body contouring procedures were
performed under general anesthesia, muscle relaxation and
perioperative antibiotic coverage (1.5 g cefuroxime iv.).
Abdominoplasty was defined as complete undermining of
the skin and subcutaneous fat up to the xyphoid process
with umbilical preservation according to the method
described by Ptanguy et al. [16] and combinations thereof
with median soft tissue resection. Rectus sheath diastases
exceeding 4 cm were repaired by fascial plication. Incisional
hernias<2 cm were repaired primarily, larger defects
with a mesh in an onlay or sub-fascial location. Wound
closure was routinely performed with resorbable sutures in
three layers: Scapa fascia with 2–0 vicryl, subcuticular
stitches with 3–0 monocryl followed by a 3–0 monocryl
intracutaneous running suture. Blood transfusions were
performed hemoglobin dependent intraoperatively and
symptoms oriented in the postoperative phase. Circumfer-
tential skin excess of the trunk was corrected by belt
diastasis. Excess soft tissues of the thighs, arms, and
breasts were resected separately depending on the individ-
ual patient need.
All patients received prophylactic respiratory physio-
therapy, were mobilized within 12 h of surgery and
received antithrombotic therapy until discharge from
hospital. The drains were removed when drainage de-
creased to less than 20 ml per day and drain. Patients
undergoing abdominal wall plication or hernia repair were
encouraged to wear a waist binder for 8 weeks.
Postoperative controls were scheduled routinely at 1, 2,
4 weeks, 3 and 6 to 12 months following reconstructive
body contouring.

Statistical Analysis
The investigation included descriptive statistics of means
with standard deviation and medians, depending on the
distribution of the data. Differences in patient treatment
characteristics between dietetic and bariatric surgery group
were assessed by non-parametric tests (Mann–Whitney,
Fishers-Exact, Kruskal–Wallis), which were used to compare means. Linear and multiple regression models (ANOVA, MANOVA) were applied in a second step to analyze risk factors for complication in the different treatment groups. SAS 9.1 (SAS Institute Inc., Cary, NC, USA) was used for all analyses. The level of significance was set at 0.05 throughout the study.

Results

A total of 112 patients met the first inclusion criterion of a BMI of ≥35 kg/m² (BMI max.) before weight loss, however only 109 patients met the harder second inclusion criteria of an EBMIL ≥30% followed by a stable weight plateau maintained for at least 12 months prior to the body contouring procedure. Five patients were excluded due to incomplete records. The remaining 104 patients undergoing reconstructive body contouring procedures were enrolled in the study. The mean age was 47.9 years, 17 patients were male, 87 patients were female. Median follow-up time was 11.1 months (5–28 months) excluding one lethal outcome due to pulmonary embolism. The cohort details are summarized in Table 1.

Weight reduction was achieved through various approaches of bariatric surgery in 62 patients (59.6%) and by dietetic measures in 42 patients (40.4%) (Fig. 1). The mean overall maximal BMI before weight reduction was 46.76 kg/m². Dietetic therapy started at a significantly lower median BMI of 40.4 kg/m² while bariatric surgery was performed for weight reduction at a mean BMI of 49.5 kg/m² (p<0.0002) (Table 1). The overall mean estimated body mass index loss calculated according to the recommendations for reporting weight loss [15] was 84.7% (range, 32.5–156.7%). Dietetically achieved weight reduction was hereby statistically significantly higher than the surgically induced reduction (p<0.0001; mean dietetic EBMIL 94.90% vs. mean EBMIL surgical 80.80%) leading to a mean overall preoperative BMI of 29.7 kg/m² (Table 1).

Reconstructive body contouring therapy included in 75 patients (72.1%) abdominoplasty and in 29 patients (27.9%) belt lipectomy. The mean operative time was 3.5 h. The mean total resection weight was 2,996 g per patient. The soft tissue resection weight during body contouring showed a significant negative correlation with EBMIL (r=-0.55, r²=0.3, p<0.0001, Fig. 2).

Intraoperative findings during body contouring surgery included hernias in 27 patients (26%) which underwent intraoperative repair. Incisional hernias were found in 14, umbilical hernias were present in 8 and abdominal wall hernias in 5 patients. Unsurprisingly, patients following bariatric surgery showed a statistically significantly higher incidence of incisional hernias compared to patients with dietetic weight reduction (p<0.01). The incidence of umbilical hernias showed no difference in the two weight reduction groups. The overall risk for hernia occurrence in patients following massive weight reduction was significantly elevated over 40 years of age (p<0.05).

According to the defined criteria, the total complication rate following reconstructive body contouring surgery was 26.9%. The most frequent complications were wound dehiscences that occurred in 21 patients (20%) of patients and were treated predominantly conservatively. The second most frequent complication was seroma formation in 8 patients (7.7%) (Fig. 3). Surgical revision was necessary in 14 patients (13.4%). Despite routinely administered antithrombotic therapy, one patient developed a lethal pulmonary embolism 3 weeks following discharge from hospital. Complications were not dependent of age, gender, BMI max, or EBMIL. The BMI prior to body contouring surgery (BMI preop) had however a significant impact on the infection rate (p<0.05), but was not statistically linked to other complications.

The total resection weight during the body contouring procedure influenced significantly the postoperative necrosis and wound dehiscence rate (p<0.05).

Bariatric surgery patients presented with a significantly lower preoperative hemoglobin level than patients following dietetic weight reduction (p<0.05). Low preoperative hemoglobin levels did not show a statistically significant increase in postoperative complication rates nor did the estimated intraoperative blood loss. The mean overall estimated intraoperative blood loss was 844 ml and 22 patients received blood transfusions. A total of 47 patients (45.2%) admitted to smoking but no statistically significant increase in their complication rates was observed.

The data collected in this study allowed—for future prospective investigations—by means of power analysis the assessment of the group sample sizes necessary to achieve 80% power to detect an odds ratio in the group proportions

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 1 Details of analyzed patients’ cohort</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Bariatric</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Dietetic</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of patients (n)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age (years)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Smoker</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pre-bariatric BMI* (kg/m²)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EBMIL (%)**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BMI before body contouring (kg/m²)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hernias***</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Cohort details, significant differences in characteristics
*p<0.1; **p<0.01; ***p<0.001
of 1.6892 targeting a significance level of 0.025 (since no previous direction was postulated). The calculation was performed using a two-sided Fischer’s Exact test. The required total number of patients for prospective studies is estimated at \( n = 654 \) (with \( n = 327 \) per treatment group).

**Discussion**

Patients suffering from morbid obesity who successfully undergo massive weight loss are an inhomogenous group with various comorbidities, different success rates, and different preceding treatment methods. Recent studies compared the impact of the therapeutic approach on comorbidities and quality of life improvement [6, 17], but no comparative data was issued on the residual risks for subsequent reconstructive body contouring surgery following successful massive weight reduction. Common sequels of successful weight reduction remain stigmatizing in form of excess skin and soft tissues. These combined borderline conditions between medical and aesthetic limitations have to be addressed by reconstructive body contouring surgery in order to complete social and psychological reintegration following the long suffering of these patients [18].

Bariatric surgery is known to achieve successfully and rapidly massive weight reduction but may induce nutritional imbalance through malabsorption and intake restriction [19, 20]. In comparison, dietetic weight reduction occurs slower with a postulated lower risk for nutritional imbalance but also with a lower success rate in terms of overall EBMIL [6]. The various therapeutic methods of weight reduction may therefore have different impact on subsequent wound healing, blood transfusion need, and postoperative complication rates following secondary body contouring surgery.

The presented retrospective study compared possible risk factors for complications in both dietetic and bariatric surgical patient groups at secondary body contouring procedures following massive weight loss and did not outline any differences in the risk analysis between the two groups. The selection criteria for body contouring surgery in the 104 patients were particularly strict in this previously morbidly obese population (BMI \( \max \geq 35 \text{ kg/m}^2 \)). All patients had first to achieve a successful weight reduction.
of EBMIL ≥ 30% irrespective of the preceding weight loss therapy and maintain a stable plateau phase for 12 months. These high requirements prior to body contouring surgery were based on preliminary observations from our institution [13] showing, similarly to other studies [10, 11, 13, 21], high complication rates exceeding 40% in patients with low EBMIL. As a consequence of these strict requirements for body contouring, the complication rate was successfully reduced to only 26.9% in this selected population.

The limitations of this study should be mentioned: firstly, the study design is retrospective and therefore detailed data acquisition is limited, secondly, patients’ numbers are limited due to a single center database and literature meta-analysis is difficult in view of the different measures used in the past for weight loss quantification. Nevertheless, the collected data represents a basis for a power analysis for group sample sizes in a future prospective multicenter study design.

The current cohort compares well to patient populations in recent studies on this subject depicted in Table 2. Age, male gender, and smoking were found to be linked to higher complication rates by other authors [13, 21–24], but this observation was not paralleled by the present data.

Interestingly, despite an older patient population and similarly high percentage of smokers and the highest overall soft tissue resection weight, a low complication rate could be obtained in both dietetic and bariatric surgery patient groups of this study.

The possible impact of the preceding method of weight reduction on the subsequent body contouring surgery has not yet been sufficiently investigated. With the advent of EBMIL as an FDA approved and recognized measure for weight reduction [25], an appropriate mean for comparison of various weight reduction therapies and their individual-related success has become available. This measure allows an improved inter-individual analysis of weight reduction between various therapies. The high EBMIL achieved both through dietetic therapy and bariatric surgery in this cohort underlines once more the strict preoperative patient selection. The EBMIL showed a negative correlation to the total tissue resection weight that was statistically linked to increased necrosis and wound dehiscence. This means the higher the weight loss, the less tissue weight has to be resected and the lower the risk for complications. Importantly, this finding was independent of the weight reduction method.

### Table 2: Comparison of current data with observations from recent studies

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Author</th>
<th>Patients enrolled</th>
<th>Male</th>
<th>Female</th>
<th>Mean age</th>
<th>Smoking habits</th>
<th>EBMIL</th>
<th>Maximal BMI</th>
<th>Pre-body contouring BMI</th>
<th>Mean weight loss (kg)</th>
<th>Tissue resection weight (g)</th>
<th>Hernia rate (%)</th>
<th>Complication rate (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Arthurs et al.</td>
<td>126</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>121</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>82.6</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>29.0</td>
<td>53</td>
<td>1,200</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[11]</td>
<td></td>
<td>(4%)</td>
<td>(96%)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fraccalvieri et al. [10]</td>
<td>117</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>101</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>48%</td>
<td>79.2</td>
<td>42.75</td>
<td>28.7</td>
<td>33.8</td>
<td>2,276</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(13.7%)</td>
<td></td>
<td>(86.3%)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>de Kerviler et al.</td>
<td>104</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>87</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>45.2%</td>
<td>84.7</td>
<td>46.8</td>
<td>29.7</td>
<td>47.9</td>
<td>2,996</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>26.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>(16%)</td>
<td>(84%)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Fig. 3 Detailed distribution of complications within the overall observed 26.9% complication rate.
The toll of bariatric surgery has not gone unnoticed and is represented by the high rate of incisional hernias and the lower preoperative hemoglobin level when compared to the dietetic patient group. Although these observations parallel findings described by other authors [11, 22], they had no significant impact on the observed body contouring complication rates. According to Sugerman et al. [26] the incidence of incisional hernias following open gastric bypass operations was 25% and in a single group of superobese patients who underwent vertical banded gastroplasty it reached 50% [27]. Risk increases proportionally to BMI and with age (>40 years) according to our observations. Although a future decrease of hernias can be expected with the advent of laparoscopic bariatric surgery, the reconstructive surgeon performing the body-contouring procedures has to be prepared to find and intraoperatively repair clinically silent hernias, and should be familiar with reconstructive techniques for the abdominal wall.

The achieved BMI prior to body-contouring surgery had only a significant impact on the observed infection rate, which parallels the findings of Arthurs et al. [11] who suggested BMI may become a selection criterion for body-contouring surgery. The requested plateau phase in the mentioned study was however only 2 years. In view of the very low rate of complications achieved in the present study following both weight reduction modalities, a strictly defined minimal EBMIL of 30% or more in combination with a longer stable plateau phase may appear a more appropriate inclusion parameter. This is also in accordance with Larsen and Polat’s request for a close-to-normal preop BMI prior to body contouring procedures [28]. When further compared to previous data from our own institution [13], the complications rate could be reduced by 50% with the above strict guidelines for patient selection, underlining this hypothesis. The advantages of this strict patient selection may have even outweighed certain risk factors like age and smoking habits.

Conclusions

Bariatric surgery does lead to increased incisional hernia rates and lower preoperative hemoglobin levels than dietetic weight loss but does not increase the risk of complications in secondary body contouring procedures. Strict selection criteria like a minimum EBMIL ≥30% and a long plateau phase of 12 months may be the key to a significant reduction of complications. A prospective multicenter analysis is planned.
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