Short-term feed intake is regulated by macronutrient oxidation in lactating Holstein cows
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ABSTRACT

In addition to plasma metabolites and hormones participating as humoral signals in the control of feed intake, oxidative metabolic processes in peripheral organs also generate signals to terminate feeding. Although the degree of oxidation over longer periods is relatively constant, recent work suggests that the periprandial pattern of fuel oxidation is involved in regulating feeding behavior in the bovine. However, the association between periprandial oxidative metabolism and feed intake of dairy cows has not yet been studied. Therefore, the aim of this study was to elucidate possible associations existing between single feed intake events and whole-body net fat and net carbohydrate oxidation as well as their relation to plasma metabolite concentrations. To this end, 4 late-lactating cows equipped with jugular catheters were kept in respiratory chambers with continuous and simultaneous recording of gas exchange and feed intake. Animals were fed ad libitum (AL) for 24 h and then feed restricted (RE) to 50% of the previous AL intake for a further 24 h. Blood samples were collected hourly to analyze β-hydroxybutyrate (BHBA), glucose, nonesterified fatty acids (NEFA), insulin, and acylated ghrelin concentrations. Cross-correlation analysis revealed an offset ranging between 30 and 42 min between the maximum of a feed intake event and the lowest level of postprandial net fat oxidation (FOXnet) and the maximum level of postprandial net carbohydrate oxidation (COXnet), respectively. During the AL period, FOXnet did not increase above −0.2 g/min, whereas COXnet did not decrease below 6 g/min before the start of the next feed intake event. A strong inverse cross-correlation was obtained between FOXnet and plasma glucose concentration. Direct cross-correlations were observed between COXnet and insulin, between heat production and BHBA, between insulin and glucose, and between BHBA and ghrelin. We found no cross-correlation between FOXnet and NEFA. During RE, FOXnet increased with an exponential slope, exceeded the threshold of −0.2 g/min as indicated by increasing plasma NEFA concentrations, and approached a maximum rate of 0.1 g/min, whereas COXnet decayed in an exponential manner, approaching a minimal COXnet rate of about 2.5 g/min in all cows. Our novel findings suggest that, in late-lactating cows, postprandial increases in metabolic oxidative processes seem to signal suppression of feed intake, whereas preprandially an accelerated FOXnet rate and a decelerated COXnet rate initiate feed intake.
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INTRODUCTION

The control of feed intake is a complex process that results from the integration of multiple short- and long-term signals at the feed intake regulatory centers in the brain. For example, postprandial plasma metabolite and hormone concentrations occurring in response to the latest feed intake are thought to provide feedback signals that are detected, integrated, and translated into anorexic responses by neurons of the hypothalamus to terminate feed intake and delay hunger (Sartin et al., 2011). In this regard, the relations between feed intake and postprandial plasma insulin and ghrelin, and also nutrient signals such as glucose, NEFA, or BHBA, have been intensively studied in cows (Bines et al., 1983; Bradford et al., 2008; Bradford and Allen, 2008; Wylie et al., 2008). However, the association between these humoral signals and feed intake seems to depend on the physiological state of the animal and on other prerequisites that have not yet been fully resolved.

In addition to these humoral signals, metabolic oxidation in peripheral organs, specifically fatty acid oxidation in the liver, intestine, and muscle (Scharrer and Langhans, 1986; Friedman et al., 1999; Langhans et al., 2011) and carbohydrate oxidation, primarily he-
patic and muscular glycolysis (Friedman and Tordoff, 1986), signal through the autonomous nervous system to the brain for terminating feed intake. In contrast, inhibition of peripheral fatty acid and carbohydrate oxidation increases feed intake (Friedman et al., 1999; Del Prete et al., 2004).

Knowledge about the involvement of substrate oxidation in feed intake inhibitory signaling was primarily obtained from studies with rodents and sheep. Similar signaling pathways have been proposed to exist in dairy cows, as outlined in the hepatic oxidation theory (Allen et al., 2009). For example, feeding a diet supplemented with rumen-protected fat or carnitine to cows increased fatty acid oxidation and decreased feed intake (Carlson et al., 2007; Duske et al., 2009). The greater hypophagic effect caused by unsaturated compared with saturated C18 fatty acids (Drackley et al., 1992) and by medium-chain fatty acids compared with long-chain fatty acids (Dohme et al., 2004) is presumably because the former are more rapidly oxidized. These studies support a link between fatty acid oxidation and longer-term feed intake suppression but the association between periprandial oxidative metabolism and feed intake has not yet been investigated in cows.

Our hypothesis was that short-term feed intake is linked to fuel oxidation and that whole-body net fat oxidation (FOXnet) is inversely associated with the amount of feed ingested. Therefore, the objective of the present study was to examine the interrelation between single feed intake events and periprandial FOXnet and whole-body net carbohydrate oxidation (COXnet), in parallel with plasma metabolites and hormones linked to net fat and net carbohydrate metabolism. In this first approach, we used pregnant cows in late lactation, because in early lactation feed intake is generally decoupled from energy requirements for milk production (Drackley, 1999).

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Animals, Measurement of Zootechnical Data, and Feeding

The experiment was performed with 4 German Holstein dairy cows from the herd of the Leibniz Institute for Farm Animal Biology (FBN, Dummerstorf, Germany). Cows were in second lactation and comparable in age, DIM, BW, BCS, back fat thickness, and milk yield (Table 1). Back fat thickness was determined according to Schröder and Staufenbiel (2006) once weekly by ultrasound (Aloka SSK-500, PPG Hellige GmbH, Freiburg, Germany) 1 mo before the experiment started. Before and during the experiment, animals were milked twice daily and fed a TMR that consisted of 70% corn silage, 4% grass hay, 26% concentrate (33% extracted soy meal, 20% corn, 17% wheat gluten, 13% wheat, 8% extracted rapeseed meal, 5% sugar beet pulp, 2% sodium hydrogen carbonate, 1.3% calcium carbonate, 0.2% sodium chloride, 8.0 MJ of NEL/kg of DM, 204 g of utilisable protein/kg of DM, and a mineral mixture). The composition of the TMR was as follows: DM = 28%; CP = 13.6%; crude fiber = 16.5%; ME = 10.6 MJ/kg.

Animal Experiment

The experimental procedures were in accordance with the German animal protection law and were approved by the relevant authorities of the State Government in Mecklenburg-West Pommerania. Before the experiment, animals were halter-trained and well adapted to the respiration chambers. Sixteen hours before the experiment started, cows were transferred to open-circuit respiration chambers at an ambient temperature of 15°C, 60% relative humidity, and light cycle ranging from 0600 to 1900 h, as described previously (Derno et al., 2009). Cows had free access to water and were milked at 0630 and 1430 h. The experiment started on the next day at 0630 h with continuous recording of gas exchange throughout the whole experimental period (see below) in intervals of 6 min. On the first day of the respiration measurements, cows were fed ad libitum (AL), whereas on the second day they received 50% of the feed amount ingested during AL (restricted, RE) in meals of equal size at 0700 and 1500 h. Starting at 0630 h, blood samples were collected approximately every 60 min (actual collection ranged between 54 and 66 min) via a jugular vein catheter connected to the outside of the chamber via tubing (1 mm i.d., length 4 m) using vacutainers (Vacuette, Greiner Bio-One, Kremsmunster, Austria) containing EDTA and the protease inhibitor Trasylol (Bayer, Leverkusen, Germany). Feed intake was determined every 6 min by feed disappearance as measured by using a scale connected to an electronic registration device.

Indirect Calorimetry

Body mass was measured directly before and after the transfer of the animals to the respiration chambers. Milk yield was recorded and milk samples were taken twice daily for analysis. Concentrations of CO2 and CH4 in the chamber were analyzed by infrared absorption (UNOR 610, Maihak, Hamburg, Germany), and the concentration of O2 was analyzed paramagnetically (OXYGOR 610, Maihak). Because total CO2 production (VCO2) measured is the sum of fermentative and
Metabolic CO₂, fermentative CO₂ (L) was estimated according to Chwalibog et al. (1996):

$$\text{CO}_2_{\text{ferm}} = 1.7 \times \text{CH}_4 \ (\text{L}),$$  \[1\]

in which the factor 1.7 remains constant for a variety of diet compositions (Blümmel et al., 1999). Metabolic CO₂ (CO₂ (metab)) was calculated by subtracting CO₂ (ferm) from VCO₂.

Total oxygen consumption (VO₂) and CO₂ (metab) were used to calculate the net disappearance rate of substrates (carbohydrates or lipids) from their respective metabolic pools, according to Simonson and DeFronzo (1990). In ruminants, carbohydrate substrates are converted in the rumen to intermediates such as VFA, lactate, or hydroxybutyrate, but gas exchange measurements consider only the total net loss of carbohydrates by oxidation (COXnet) to CO₂ and H₂O, regardless of any formation of intermediates, exchanges, or cycling in metabolic pathways (Ferrannini, 1992). Analogously, if simultaneous oxidation and de novo synthesis of lipids occur, calorimetry yields an estimate of net lipid oxidation and lipid synthesis (FOXnet), which is the algebraic sum of true lipid oxidation and lipid synthesis (Ferrannini, 1992) without considering intermediates such as acetate or ketone bodies, for example.

Accordingly, COXnet and FOXnet were calculated as follows:

$$\text{COX}_{\text{net}} \ (\text{g}) = 4.75 \ \text{CO}_2 \ (\text{metab}) \ (\text{L}) - 3.23 \ \text{O}_2 \ (\text{L}) - 2.60 \ \text{N}_u \ (\text{g}),$$  \[2\]

$$\text{FOX}_{\text{net}} \ (\text{g}) = 1.69 \ \text{O}_2 \ (\text{L}) - 1.69 \ \text{CO}_2 \ (\text{metab}) \ (\text{L}) - 2.03 \ \text{N}_u \ (\text{g}).$$  \[3\]

As we did not measure N excretion in urine the term N_u (N excretion in urine) was set to zero, thus accepting an error of about 10% (Simonson and DeFronzo, 1990) in the absolute values of COXnet and FOXnet, respectively.

Based on the measurements of O₂ consumption and CO₂ and CH₄ production, daily heat production (HP) was calculated according to Brouwer (1965):

$$\text{HP} \ (\text{kJ}) = 16.18 \ \text{O}_2 \ (\text{L}) + 5.02 \ \text{CO}_2 \ (\text{L}) - 2.17 \ \text{CH}_4 \ (\text{L}) - 5.99 \ \text{N} \ (\text{g}).$$  \[4\]
The mean of the 10 lowest HP values determined during the nocturnal period (1900 to 0600 h) was computed, reflecting energy metabolism due to resting HP and not due to digestion (Derno et al., 2005). Energy balance (MJ/kg\(^{0.75}\)) was calculated by subtracting measured HP and calculated milk energy content from ME intake, and ME was calculated according to the recommendations of the German Society of Nutrition Physiology (GfE, 2004):

\[
ME (MJ/kg of DM) = 6.0756 + 0.19123 EE (g/kg) + 0.02459 CP (g/kg) - 0.000038 CF^2 (g^2/kg^2) - 0.002139 EE^2 (g^2/kg^2) - 0.00006 CP^2 (g^2/kg^2),
\]

where CF is crude fiber and EE is crude fat from ether extract.

### Milk and Plasma Analyses

Milk fat, lactose, and protein were measured at the Landeskontrollverband für Leistungs- und Qualitätssprüfung Mecklenburg-Vorpommern e.V. (Güstrow, Germany) by infrared absorption (Foss MilkoScan, Hillerød, Denmark). Energy-corrected milk was calculated as follows: ECM (kg) = (0.038 \times g of crude fat + 0.024 \times g of CP + 0.017 \times g of lactose) \times kg of milk/3.17 (Reist et al., 2002).

Blood was centrifuged immediately after withdrawal at 1,570 \times g for 20 min at 4°C to obtain plasma. An aliquot of 1 mL of plasma was treated with 50 μL of 1 N HCl and stored at −80°C for ghrelin analysis. Ghrelin was measured using an RIA kit (#GHRA-88HK; Linco Research, St. Charles, MO) specific for the octanoyl moiety at Ser\(^3\) (active ghrelin; Wertz et al., 2003). Concentrations of plasma BHBA, cholesterol, glucose, NEFA, and urea were determined by routine analyses (Cobas Mira, Clinic for Cattle, Stiftung Tierärztliche Hochschule Hannover, Hannover, Germany) using the following commercial kits: BHBA (no. RB 1008) from Randox Laboratories Ltd. (Crumlin, UK); cholesterol (no. 553-124) from MTI Diagnostics (Idstein, Germany); glucose (GOD-PAP, LT-GL 0103) from Labor+Technik Lehmann (Berlin, Germany); NEFA (no. 434-91795) from Wako Chemicals (Neuss, Germany); and urea (no. LT-UR 0050) from Labor+Technik Lehmann. Plasma immunoreactive insulin was determined by RIA as previously described (Vicari et al., 2008).

### Data Analysis and Statistics

For the description of a dependency between 2 time series (e.g., feed intake and FOX\(_{\text{net}}\)), time series analyses were performed for each individual animal. To this end, cross-correlation functions were estimated using Proc Timeseries of SAS (version 9.2; SAS Institute Inc., 2009). The sample cross-correlation function is an estimate of the correlation between 2 time series at lags \(k = 0, \pm 1, \pm 2, \pm 3, \ldots\). The lags used for the calculation of cross-correlation functions were equidistant 6 min for feed intake, COX\(_{\text{net}}\), and FOX\(_{\text{net}}\), whereas lags for plasma variables ranged between 54 and 66 min. The maximum or minimum of these cross-correlation functions defined the corresponding time lag \(\tau\). In a first modeling step, we examined cross-correlation functions separately for the AL and RE periods. Because both periods yielded comparable results (data not shown), we estimated the final cross-correlation functions over both periods. Examples of cross-correlation functions are provided in Supplementary Figure 1 (available online at http://www.journalofdairyscience.org/). During the AL period, Pearson correlation coefficients for linear regression between the amount of feed ingested per feed intake event and the corresponding FOX\(_{\text{net}}\) decrease or COX\(_{\text{net}}\) increase (difference between consecutive turning points), respectively, were calculated. During the RE period, changes in FOX\(_{\text{net}}\) or COX\(_{\text{net}}\), respectively, occurring after the last feed intake were exponentially regressed by \(y = y_0 + a \times [1 - \exp(-b \times x)]\) for FOX\(_{\text{net}}\) and \(y = y_0 + a \times \exp(-b \times x)\) for COX\(_{\text{net}}\), with \(x = 1, 2, 3, \ldots\) coding a 6-min measuring interval (Table 2).

### RESULTS

#### Associations Between Feed Intake and Periprandial FOX\(_{\text{net}}\)

Throughout the AL period, all animals showed negative FOX\(_{\text{net}}\), which is indicative of prevailing net lipogenesis (Figure 1). At the beginning of the RE period, animals remained in a lipogenic state as indicated by the negative FOX\(_{\text{net}}\) scale for 16 ± 2 h but reached FOX\(_{\text{net}}\) ≥0 g thereafter. The interval between the last feed intake during RE and the emergence of a balanced FOX\(_{\text{net}}\) lasted for 5 ± 1 h. Throughout the RE period, the increase of FOX\(_{\text{net}}\) followed an exponential relationship in all animals (Table 2), asymptotically approaching a mean maximum FOX\(_{\text{net}}\) rate [FOX\(_{\text{net(max)}}\) of ~0.1 g/min. Considering both the AL and RE periods, cross-correlation analysis revealed that each feed intake event strongly paralleled a decrease in FOX\(_{\text{net}}\) (Table 3). In this context, each maximum of a feed intake event was followed by a FOX\(_{\text{net}}\) minimum with an offset of \(\tau\) ranging between 30 and 42 min (Table 3; Supplementary Figures S1 and S2, available online at http://www.journalofdairyscience.org/), whereas the extent of prandial + postprandial FOX\(_{\text{net}}\) decrease
correlated strongly with the amount of feed ingested during the corresponding feed intake event (Table 4). During between-feed intake intervals, FOXnet re-increased according to the exponential rate determined during the RE period (Table 2). When FOXnet reached a threshold value of −0.7 to −0.2 g/min, the next feed intake event started; however, there was no individual animal FOXnet set-point determining the start of the next feed intake event. Interestingly, when this FOXnet rate was exceeded during feed restriction, plasma NEFA accumulated, although we found no cross-correlation between FOXnet and NEFA.

**Associations Between Feed Intake and Periprandial COXnet**

Each feed intake event was strongly associated with an increase in COXnet (Figure 1, Table 3), which already started increasing during a feed intake event. The COXnet peak occurred in all animals with an offset of τ ranging between 30 and 42 min after each feed intake peak (Table 3, Supplementary Figure S2; http://www.journalofdairyscience.org/). Moreover, the amount of feed ingested was highly correlated with the extent of the prandial + postprandial COXnet increase (Table 4). Between 2 consecutive feed intake events, COXnet decreased at an exponential rate as determined after the last feed intake in the RE period (Table 2), but COXnet rate did not decrease below ~6 g/min before the next feed intake event began. The asymptotically approaching minimum COXnet rate [COXnet(min)] calculated for the period after the last feed intake during RE was, in contrast to FOXnet(max), of the same order of magnitude for all animals. In addition, milking had no effect on FOXnet or COXnet (data not shown).

**Associations Between Periprandial COXnet, Glucose, and Insulin Concentrations**

Periprandial plasma glucose concentrations ranged between 3.5 and 5.0 mmol/L during both the AL and the RE periods. As particularly evident during the AL period, plasma glucose concentration reached a low point after an average of 54 min after every COXnet maximum; vice versa, when COXnet reached a temporal minimum, the subsequent plasma glucose peak was observed with an offset of 54 min (Table 3).

In cows 1, 2, and 3, plasma insulin concentrations strongly paralleled periprandial COXnet without any lag (τ = 0 min; Table 3). Only cow 4, which also differed in HP and BHBA from the other cows (see below), responded with an insulin surge 216 min after the COXnet maximum during AL. Comparably, we observed an offset between glucose and insulin in cow 4 (τ = 54 min) but a strong inverse relationship without measurable lag (τ = 0 min) in the other 3 animals (Table 3).

**Associations Between HP and BHBA**

During the AL period, HP peaked instantaneously in response to each feed intake event but decreased during the fasted state (i.e., during the RE period). In parallel, BHBA increased in response to feed intake and declined at the end of the RE period. As a result, we found a high direct cross-correlation without any delay between HP and plasma BHBA concentration for cows 1, 2, and 3, whereas cow 4 lagged behind with a 270-min time offset to the HP peak (Table 3).

**Associations Between Plasma Metabolites and Hormones**

With the exception of cow 1, the periprandial course of ghrelin was highly cross-correlated with plasma...
Figure 1. Dynamics of feed intake, heat production, net fat oxidation (FOXnet), net carbohydrate oxidation (COXnet), plasma glucose, BHBA, NEFA, insulin, and ghrelin concentrations in 4 cows in late lactation during a 48-h stay in the respiration chamber. On the first experimental day, cows were fed ad libitum (AL), and on the second day, they received 50% of the AL amount (restricted, RE); vertical lines separate AL and RE periods. Equally sized meals were offered twice daily each at 0700 and 1500 h (indicated by arrows).
NEFA concentrations (but not with FOXnet), as particularly evident in their parallel slopes during the last 14 h of RE (Table 3). Moreover, periprandial ghrelin and BHBA concentrations were inversely cross-correlated without a time lag in cows 1, 2, and 3, but with a lag of 162 min in cow 4 (Table 3).

DISCUSSION

Because of the lack of unanimity as to the appropriate definitions of a “meal” of a cow (Tolkamp et al., 2011), we herein used the term “feed intake event” to define each peak bordered by nonfeeding intervals, as represented in Figure 1. Cases in which cows stopped eating to drink and then immediately resumed eating were recorded as belonging to the same feed intake event.

Furthermore, because indirect calorimetry estimates only the total net loss of substrates (carbohydrates or lipids) and their conversion to CO₂ and H₂O but does not consider any exchange or cycling that the substrate itself or its intermediates undergo along the biochemical pathway to complete oxidation (Ferrannini, 1992), we termed this “disappearance” or “net loss” by oxidation, COXnet and FOXnet, respectively. Even for those reactions that do involve respiratory gases (e.g., oxidation), indirect calorimetry does not quantify these metabolic transformation, exchange, or cycling processes. In this regard, measurement of COXnet, which is primarily determined by acetic acid oxidation, is not confounded by acetic acid degraded from long-chain fatty acids. Vice versa, FOXnet is not confounded by acetic acid originating from carbohydrate sources because the indirect calorimetric technique does not “see” intermediates.

The high cross-correlations between each feed intake event with local FOXnet and COXnet minima and maxima, respectively, show the biological meaning of each of these peaks, which also led us to refrain from clustering several consecutive feed intake events to so-called feeding bouts, despite this approach being sug-
gested by Tolkamp et al. (2011). Moreover, the pattern of fuel oxidation (minute to minute) is what affects feeding behavior because the amount of oxidation over longer periods (hours or days) is relatively constant and determined by the energy requirements of peripheral organs (Allen et al., 2009), with highest demands in the muscles and liver (Ferrell, 1988). In this regard, it has been shown that lactating dairy cows use about 40% of the total oxygen supply for hepatic oxidative processes (Reynolds et al., 2003). Because hepatic glucose oxidation is negligible in ruminants, increasing hepatic fatty acid oxidation is thought to depress feed intake (Allen et al., 2009). Our findings support this hypothesis, demonstrating that FOXnet increases to a certain degree while no feed is ingested between 2 feeding events. Studies in sheep suggest that signals generated by hepatic oxidation of several fuels are transmitted via the vagus nerve to the brain to terminate feed intake (Anil and Forbes, 1980). However, the increase of FOXnet, which we have shown obeys an exponential function (Table 2), ends with the next feed intake event and so it seems that cows in established lactation start to eat to keep the FOXnet rate from becoming too positive (see below). A defined FOXnet threshold at which the next feed intake event is initiated, however, could not clearly be detected, but it ranged very narrowly between −0.7 and −0.2 g/min. Only when this FOXnet threshold was exceeded did plasma NEFA concentrations start to accumulate, indicating the lack of cross-correlation between periprandial FOXnet and plasma NEFA concentrations. Interestingly, average FOXnet,max approached ~0.1 g/min in response to feed restriction but differed markedly between animals (see FOXnet(max) in Table 2), referring to an individual oxidative capacity and thus metabolic flexibility for adjusting to the lipolytic state. This inter-individual variance, however, was not evident for the coefficients a, b, and γ0 (see Table 2).

During a feed intake event, we found steeply decreasing FOXnet. This likely occurs because hepatic oxidation of NEFA is limited during meals by increased insulin secretion and inhibited lipolysis from adipose tissue, and because propionate, which is intensively absorbed during meals, inhibits β-oxidation. Inhibition of β-oxidation in the liver and muscle has been shown to provide a signal for increasing (perhaps prolonging?) food intake in rats (Friedman et al., 1999). In line with this, we found a strong inverse correlation between the prandial + postprandial decrease of FOXnet and the corresponding amount of feed ingested (Table 4). However, we also observed that FOXnet still decreased even though the previous feed intake event had already finished. The latter finding may raise some doubts that pharmaceutical inhibition of β-oxidation would enable dairy cows to continue with feed intake, as was shown for rodents (Friedman and Tordoff, 1986; Scharrer and Langhans, 1986). Nevertheless, the continuous decrease of FOXnet after the latest feed intake event has finished is likely because of the ongoing absorption of propionate from the rumen, which in turn occurs with delay because of the prior necessity of microbial fermentation.

In the rumen, starch, as the major carbohydrate source, is converted by microbes into VFA, which in turn are oxidized by the metabolism of the cow. Thus, the observation of increased COXnet in response to each feed intake event is primarily attributed to the oxidation of VFA and also, albeit to a minor extent, to the lactate production from glucose in the small intestine. Propionate is primarily oxidized in the liver during meals, and according to the hepatic oxidation theory (Allen et al., 2009), the energy state of hepatocytes increases, generating a satiety signal to terminate feed intake. Our results obtained on a whole-body level partly reflecting liver metabolism may support this hypothesis because COXnet begins to increase prandially and continuously increases while the latest feed intake event has already finished. Within the subsequent between-feed intake interval, COXnet decreased to a different extent, although the COXnet rate never decreased below ~6 g/min before the start of the next feed intake event. It thus appears that a decelerated COXnet rate initiates feed intake, an assumption that agrees with the study of Del Prete et al. (2004), which showed that prevention of glucose oxidation in rats stimulates feed intake. Similarly, the 24-h COXnet rate determined in a respiratory chamber was negatively correlated with daily energy intake in humans (Pannacciulli et al., 2007). Taken together, these results imply that in several mammalian species a general mechanism exists in which oxidation of dietary carbohydrates is involved in the control of feed intake, regardless of whether VFA or glucose is used as metabolic substrate.

Dynamics of COXnet and plasma glucose were offset by τ = 54 min in all animals, representing a homeostatic system with mutually coordinated whole-body glucose oxidation and hepatic glucose production. However, because of the relatively long period between 2 consecutive plasma data points (~60 min), caution must be given in interpreting the absolute τ lags involving plasma metabolites or hormones, which could actually be shorter.

We have further shown that whole-body net oxidation of carbohydrates decays in an exponential manner later postprandially and in response to fasting. As a key player in the maintenance of glucose homeostasis, plasma insulin levels were, as expected, inversely cross-correlated with plasma glucose. In addition to this, plasma insulin strongly paralleled periprandial COXnet without any lag in most cows, reflecting the well-known
role of insulin in promoting glucose uptake in peripheral organs and increasing oxidation of gluconeogenic precursors such as propionate or lactate.

Furthermore, our results show that diet-induced HP strongly paralleled plasma BHBA concentration during both the AL and RE periods. In nonruminants, BHBA produces only a minor thermic effect (Chioldé et al., 1993) and even inhibits noradrenaline-induced thermogenesis (Cañas et al., 1998), suggesting that in cows the postprandial increase of BHBA concentrations occurs not only as a result of feed intake when produced by rumen epithelial cells but also acts as a counter-regulatory signal to prevent excessive diet-induced thermogenesis.

Whereas BHBA is produced from ruminal butyrate after each feed intake event, ghrelin is released with decreasing rumen fill (Gregorini et al., 2009), which usually occurs before meals (Wertz-Lutz et al., 2006). In line with this, we demonstrated that periprandial BHBA and ghrelin concentrations were inversely cross-correlated. However, although the orexigenic property of acylated ghrelin in ruminants has recently been questioned (Iqbal et al., 2006), BHBA reduces orexigenic signaling in hypothalamic cells (Laeger et al., 2012) and food intake in small ruminants (Rossi et al., 2000). In the current study, significant cross-correlations between feed intake events and BHBA or ghrelin, respectively, could not be identified, probably because plasma samples were withdrawn at predefined hourly intervals and not in relation to single feed intake events. This likely explains why we found no significant cross-correlations between ghrelin and FOXnet but did between ghrelin and NEFA. In addition, the courses of plasma ghrelin and NEFA were highly parallel, particularly during the RE period, but whether both variables increase independently of each other remains to be determined. Moreover, whether ghrelin contributes to the elevation of NEFA as demonstrated when infused in cows (ThidarMyint et al., 2006), or whether acylation of ghrelin is triggered in a lipid-rich environment, such as in response to fasting-induced NEFA release or feeding rumen-protected long-chain fatty acids (Fukumori et al., 2011), is subject to further investigations.

It seems that only 1 of the 4 cows (no. 4) differed in terms of \( \tau \) from the other animals shown in Table 3. The longer lags observed in cow 4 may be due to the fact that, during AL, it had the highest amplitudes in HP, \( \text{COX}_{\text{net}} \), and \( \text{FOX}_{\text{net}} \), as well as the highest plasma insulin and BHBA concentrations compared with the other animals, suggesting longer-lasting periods to regulate homeostasis. However, despite the higher \( \tau \) and \( b \) values (see Tables 3 and 4), metabolically related plasma and respiratory parameters were highly cross-correlated in cow 4, and the cross-correlation coefficients (\( r \)) were in the same range as those of the other animals.

CONCLUSIONS

Each single feed intake event induced a nearly constant time-delayed change in net carbohydrate and net fat oxidation. Because postprandial \( \text{FOX}_{\text{net}} \) never increased above \(-0.2 \text{ g/min}\) and postprandial \( \text{COX}_{\text{net}} \) never decreased below \( 6 \text{ g/min}\) before the start of the next feed intake event and because these thresholds ranged only very narrowly, late-lactating cows fed ad libitum seem to initiate feed intake in response to an accelerated \( \text{FOX}_{\text{net}} \) rate and a decelerated \( \text{COX}_{\text{net}} \) rate, respectively. Because postprandial increases in \( \text{COX}_{\text{net}} \) and \( \text{FOX}_{\text{net}} \) coincide with times in which cows do not eat, assuming they are satiated, metabolic oxidative processes seem to signal feed intake suppression, which lends support to the hepatic oxidation theory.
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