Terminology used in publications for post-mortem cross-sectional imaging

Guy N. Rutty • Gil Brogdon • Fabrice Dedouit •
Silke Grabherr • Gary M. Hatch • Christian Jackowski •
Peter Leth • Anders Persson • Thomas D. Ruder •
Seiji Shiotani • Naoya Takahashi • Michael J. Thali •
Krzysztof Woźniak • Kathrin Yen • Bruno Morgan

Received: 11 September 2012 / Accepted: 4 October 2012 / Published online: 18 October 2012 © Springer-Verlag Berlin Heidelberg 2012

We write to you today to suggest the need for standardisation of terminology used in the forensic/autopsy journals in the ever increasing number of publications in the emerging field post-mortem cross-sectional imaging. In our opinion, there are too many different terms used currently both as words and/or abbreviations and the time has come to propose within the forensic literature that common terminology be applied to this field. The introduction of standardised terminology is critical, not only for those endeavouring to write and publish their work but also for those attempting to find references by keyword searches. We suggest the following terminology be introduced to initiate the process of standardisation:

G. N. Rutty (⊠)

East Midlands Forensic Pathology Unit, University of Leicester, Robert Kilpatrick Building, Leicester Royal Infirmary, Leicester, UK e-mail: gnr3@le.ac.uk

G. Brogdon

Department of Radiology, University of South Alabama Medical Center, 2451 Fillingim St., Mobile, AL 36617, USA

F. Dedouit

Department of Radiology and Forensic, CHU Toulouse-Rangueil, 1 Avenue Professeur Jean Poulhès, 31059 Toulouse Cedex 9, France

S. Grabherr

University Center of Legal Medicine, Lausanne and Geneva, Rue du Bugnon 21, 1011 Lausanne, Switzerland

- Currently, the two modalities emerging as dominant in post-mortem imaging are computed tomography and magnetic resonance imaging. We propose, as has been adopted within the UK, these be generically referred to as post-mortem cross-sectional imaging, so both modalities are covered by a single phrase. Authors could then go on to specify which of the two modalities, i.e. post-mortem computed tomography or postmortem magnetic resonance imaging or both, are referred to within their work.
- 2. In the case of post-mortem computed tomography, we propose the abbreviation PMCT be used. In the case of

G. M. Hatch

Department of Radiology and Pathology, Radiology-Pathology Center for Forensic Imaging, University of New Mexico School of Medicine, MSC07 4040, 1101 Camino de Salud NE,

C. Jackowski

Albuquerque, NM 87102, USA

Center for Forensic Imaging, Institute of Forensic Medicine, University of Bern, Bühlstrasse 20, CH-3012 Bern, Switzerland

P. Letl

Institute of Forensic Medicine, University of Southern Denmark, Odense, Denmark

A. Persson

CMIV, Center for Medical Image Science and Visualization, University of Linköping, SE-581 85 Linköping, Sweden



- post-mortem magnetic resonance imaging, we consider the term PMMR most appropriate.
- 3. For angiography, we propose the following wording be used; post-mortem computed tomography angiography (abbreviated to PMCTA) or post-mortem magnetic resonance angiography (abbreviated to PMMRA).
- 4. In the case of post-mortem image-guided biopsy, we suggest the words image-guided biopsy are added after the modality and that the abbreviation should be PMCTIGB or PMMRIGB.
- 5. As new techniques emerge, appropriate terminology and abbreviation can be agreed to and introduced on a term-by-term basis. An example of an emerging technique is that of post-mortem ventilation. So as not to

cause confusion with other clinical techniques, in this case, we suggest the words ventilated post-mortem computed tomography (VPMCT) or ventilated post-mortem magnetic resonance (VPMMR) could be used.

In our opinion, the time is right to present these suggestions within the literature. Although we recognise that neither we nor any journal can enforce such terminology or abbreviations, we are hopeful that authors of forthcoming papers will consider our proposal, accept our suggestions and begin using the proposed terminology. It is essential that common terminology be applied to all publishing within this field, as it will assist authors, reviewers and those searching for said references.

S. Shiotani Deptartment of Diagnostic Radiology, Tsukuba Medical Center Hospital, Tsukuba City, Japan

N. Takahashi Department of Diagnostic Radiology, Niigata City General Hospital, 463-7 Shumoku, Chuoku, Niigata 950-1197, Japan

T. D. Ruder · M. J. Thali
Department of Forensic Medicine and Imaging,
Institute of Forensic Medicine, University of Zurich,
Winterthurerstr. 190/52,
CH-8057 Zurich, Switzerland

K. Woźniak
 Department of Forensic Medicine,
 Jagiellonian University Medical College,
 Grzegórzecka 16,
 31-531 Kraków, Poland

K. Yen Institute of Forensic and Traffic Medicine, University of Heidelberg, Vossstrasse 2, 69118 Heidelberg, Germany

B. Morgan Radiology Department, Leicester Royal Infirmary, University of Leicester, Leicester LE1 5WW, UK

