A comparison between the chemical behaviour of lead-gold and lead-bismuth eutectics towards 316L stainless steel
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Summary. Lead-gold eutectic (LGE) has been recently proposed as an alternative target material for high power spallation sources. In order to compare the corrosive properties of LGE to the better-studied eutectic of lead-bismuth (LBE), an isothermal twin-loop made of SS 316L was built and operated at the Institute of Physics of the University of Latvia. We have measured the concentration of steel alloying elements dissolved in both alloys at the end of two test campaigns via ICP-OES. In case of LGE, a pronounced concentration increase of Fe, Ni, Mn and Cr is found in the liquid metal, which is significantly higher compared to LBE. Similar results were obtained during complementary investigations on material samples exposed to both alloys in this twin-loop at 400 °C and 450 °C. These findings indicate that in contact with LGE, SS 316L steel suffers from substantial chemical attack. Detailed investigations using structure materials other than SS 316L have to be undertaken before qualifying LGE as a serious alternative to LBE.

1. Introduction

The use of heavy liquid metals as target materials and coolants in nuclear facilities is currently a topic under intense investigation. For spallation sources with beam powers exceeding the megawatt range, liquid metal targets can offer several advantages over solid targets. Facilities operating with liquid metals may achieve comparable neutron fluxes while having similar neutronic and better heat dissipation performance. Additionally, the liquid metal target material itself does not suffer from irradiation damage. Therefore, the exchange frequency of such a target is determined more by the lifetime of the target containment, especially the proton beam window [1].

For high power spallation sources, the materials taken into consideration are mercury, lead and its eutectic alloy with bismuth, lead-bismuth eutectic (LBE). Currently two spallation sources operating with mercury exist in the US (SNS, ORNL, [2]) and in Japan (J-PARC, JAERI, [3]). Mercury was also considered as a possible target material for the European Spallation Source (ESS, Sweden, [4]) in the past, but has currently been dropped due to serious disposal issues, since there is no proven technology for solidification and storage of large amounts of radioactive mercury [5].

In order to avoid problems arising from mercury, lead and LBE have been discussed as possible alternative materials for liquid metal targets. The bismuth-based alloy features the advantage of having a considerably lower melting temperature compared to pure lead. This allows the facility to be run at a lower operating temperature, thus reducing engineering challenges and diminishing corrosion effects on structure materials and increasing their lifetime. A demonstration experiment (MEGAPIE) using LBE as target material was successfully performed at PSI, Switzerland, in 2006 [6]. LBE is currently foreseen as coolant and spallation material in the MYRRHA demonstration reactor to be built at SCK-CEN, Belgium [7]. The ESS project as well has considered LBE as a possible fallback solution.

The use of LBE, however, does not come without certain drawbacks. Due to nuclear reactions of bismuth, a considerable amount of $^{208-210}$Po is formed within the liquid metal [1]. Being a volatile $\alpha$-emitter, polonium poses serious radiation safety issues during operation of the facility and disposal of the target material. Additionally, a volume increase after solidification is observed for LBE, which may cause serious damage to the facility due to tube ruptures in case the liquid metal accidentally solidifies [8].

In order to circumvent these problems, a eutectic mixture of lead and gold (LGE, $T_m = 212.5 ^\circ$C, 84.1 at.% Pb, 15.9 at.% Au [9]) was suggested as alternative target material [10, 11]. While having similar neutronic performance, LGE does not exhibit a volume increase in the solid state [11]. The accumulation of Po isotopes was calculated to be in the order of $10^4$ less compared to LBE, if no impurity bismuth is present [11]. However, the advantages of
LGE have to be outstanding to warrant the cost of this expensive material.1

Only few experimental data on properties of LGE relevant for nuclear applications are available up to now. Substantial R&D has to be invested before LGE can be accepted as a serious alternative to LBE. One of these concerns is the evaluation of the corrosive behaviour of LGE towards structure materials.

In order to test the behaviour of flowing LGE towards steel structures and to compare it to LBE, two identical isothermal test loops were built and operated in Salaspils by the Institute of Physics of the University of Latvia (IPUL). It has to be pointed out that this study was not devoted towards detailed investigations on corrosion mechanisms in LGE, nor to elucidate precisely the physico-chemical aspects of the dissolution processes. In the absence of a number of monitoring tools, the aim of this study rather was to qualitatively assess the corrosive properties of LGE by comparing it to the better-known LBE in an experiment under identical conditions as far as possible.

Up to now, two campaigns operating at different temperatures were carried out and were chemically analyzed. The corrosive behaviour during these runs was investigated by applying two different methods. Analysis of steel specimens inserted in both loops was performed using scanning electron microscopy (SEM) in [12]. In the paper at hand, we report the concentrations of dissolution products present within both liquid metals after the two campaigns, measured using inductively coupled plasma optical emission spectroscopy (ICP-OES).

2. Experimental

Lead-bismuth eutectic was obtained as bars provided by GMH Jost-Hinrich Stachow GmbH, Goslar, Germany.

For the preparation of the eutectic lead-gold mixture a special mixing apparatus was developed by IPUL, where molten lead was added to molten gold in a controlled manner. Lead heated to 520 °C in a steel vessel was added to molten gold at 1100 °C in a crucible made of Alsint Al₂O₃ ceramic material. During the mixing procedure, the apparatus was held under an argon atmosphere to avoid oxidation of molten lead.

In order to obtain a homogeneous alloy, the liquid metal in the ceramic crucible was thoroughly mixed by means of an electro-magnetic rotary induction stirrer. 862 g of gold, 99.99% purity, provided by Valcambi Inc., were mixed with 99.9% lead, GMH Jost-Hinrich Stachow GmbH, to obtain 6 kg of LGE in three subsequent batches. The concentration of impurities inside the initial metals was determined by a procedure described below. The eutectic composition of the final product was confirmed by differential calorimetric measurements. The appearance of a plateau at 216 °C during heating and cooling of the alloy coincided well with the expected LGE melting temperature.

Corrosion experiments were performed with two identical isothermal liquid metal loops. A schematic layout of this twin-loop is shown in Fig. 1.

The tubing of the twin-loop was made of 316L stainless steel and had a length of approx. 2.5 m with an inner diameter of 10 mm. Each loop was filled with approx. 200 mL of molten metal from a supply tank. An electromagnetic pump (EMP) circulated the metals at a speed of 1 m/s during two test campaigns performed at 400 and 450 °C. At the end of each campaign, both alloys were drained back into the supply tanks, cooled down and solidified. There was no exchange of the alloys; the second test run was performed with the same material used in the run before. No oxygen sensor was present in either of the loops.

Additionally, a material test section was installed in each loop in order to investigate the corrosion behaviour of LGE and LBE towards austenitic 316LN and martensitic T91 stainless steel specimens. Both steel types are standard materials in existing spallation target facilities. After both runs, a maintenance break allowed the exchange of specimens in-
Table 1. Impurity concentration of elements found in pure Pb and Au as well as in both investigated alloys for different loop temperatures and sampling times. The analysed steel composition is shown in the last row of the table. Values denoted by "<" were below the quantification limit of the ICP-OES spectrometer. The overall errors were in the range of ±20%.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Loop temperature</th>
<th>Sampling time after startup</th>
<th>Analyzed alloy</th>
<th>Impurity concentration [mass ppm]</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Fe</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Quantification limit</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Initial metals used for the preparation of LGE</td>
<td>Pb</td>
<td></td>
<td>68</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Au</td>
<td></td>
<td>24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>400°C</td>
<td>0h</td>
<td>LBE</td>
<td>18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>LGE</td>
<td></td>
<td>29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>900h</td>
<td>LGE</td>
<td>16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1800h</td>
<td>LBE</td>
<td>13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>450°C</td>
<td>1300h</td>
<td>LGE</td>
<td>86</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>LBE</td>
<td></td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>316L stainless steel composition [%]&lt;b&gt;</td>
<td>64.3</td>
<td>17.4</td>
<td>13.1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*a: The precision for these data is in the range of ±1%; b: not determined.

The concentrations of relevant trace elements found in both investigated alloys and their quantification limits are given in Table 1. Additionally, the measured composition of the structure material of the loop (316L stainless steel) is given.

3. Results

The concentrations of relevant trace elements found in both investigated alloys and their quantification limits are given in Table 1. Additionally, the measured composition of the structure material of the loop (316L stainless steel) is given.

The concentrations of Ag and Bi may be used to evaluate the precision of measurements in each experimental campaign. Silver is a noble metal that is easily dissolved in lead alloys [13]. This element is not present in relevant amounts inside the steel structure or the test specimens, nor does it precipitate from the liquid metal or adsorb on the steel tubing surface at the studied temperatures. In Hg, it was indeed observed in laboratory experiments [14] and also in the Japanese SNS mercury target [15], that Ag remained dissolved in the liquid metal and did not adsorb to tubes and pipes. Similar behaviour was found concerning the Ag distribution in proton irradiated LBE retrieved from different positions of the solidified MEGAPIE target [16]. This implies that the concentration of Ag inside both liquid metals should not change over the whole period of test campaigns.
Fig. 2. The mass concentration of Fe, Cr, Ni and Mn in ppm found in LGE and LBE as a function of accumulated experiment time. In the upper section of the graph the operating temperature is shown, where the temperature offset represents a maintenance break for both loops.

by dissolution or deposition processes. A similar postulate may be made for Bi dissolved in LGE because of its high solubility in Pb and Au.

By averaging the measured concentrations, the mean impurity contents of Ag and Bi before starting the campaigns were calculated to be 5 ± 1 ppm Ag in LBE and 23 ± 6 ppm Ag and 114 ± 18 ppm Bi in LGE. Both last-mentioned values coincide well with impurity concentrations found in Pb and Au before the preparation of LGE.

The determined concentrations for the most important steel alloying elements Fe, Cr, Ni and Mn are plotted against the accumulated operating time in Fig. 2. In addition, the operating temperature with time is shown in the upper section of the graph.

In the initial LGE material, 29 ppm Fe is found. The concentration of Fe is even higher in Pb used for the preparation of its alloy with gold. This contamination may originate from the mixing device used for preparing the lead-gold eutectic, where molten lead was in contact to steel structure materials at temperatures exceeding 500 °C.

As indicated in Fig. 2, the dominant impurity that is preferentially dissolved in LGE is Ni. Its concentration continuously increases with operation time of the loop at 400 °C. Compared to LBE, there is a clearly larger dissolution effect of Ni – after 1800 h the concentration of Ni in LGE is ten times higher than in the bismuth containing alloy. The amount of Mn found in both liquid metals is generally low, and similar to Ni, Mn is found in LGE in ten times higher concentration compared to LBE after 1800 h of operation. Interestingly, the concentration of Fe inside both loops decreases for longer operation times at 400 °C. At the end of the first test campaign, the concentration of Fe in LBE decreases up to 5-fold if compared to the content present in the alloy before start-up.

The concentrations of corrosion products within both alloys considerably increase in the second loop experiment that was performed at a higher temperature (450 °C). Here, again LGE affects the steel structure more strongly than LBE, resulting in concentrations of 86 ppm for Fe, 53 ppm for Mn, 134 ppm for Cr and 537 ppm for Ni. The dissolution of impurities from the steel matrix into the liquid metal at 450 °C is far less pronounced in LBE; however, significant dissolution of nickel is also observed. For both investigated alloys, this element is the dominating impurity. No Mo dissolution was found either in LGE or in LBE.
4. Discussion

From investigations on the corrosion behaviour of LBE it is known that the concentration of oxygen dissolved in the alloy is a crucial factor influencing the corrosion behaviour [17]. Since no oxygen sensor was installed in either of the loops, a detailed analysis of the underlying mechanisms is not possible in the present study. Therefore, in this paper we simply compare results concerning impurities dissolved from the steel matrix between the two liquid metals.

The origin of impurities inside both liquid metals, apart from those present already at the beginning, is mainly the twin-loop tubing material. The contact area of the stainless steel 316L tubing of the loop towards the liquid metal is much higher if compared to that of specimens within the test section. Considering an inner diameter of 10 mm and a total length of the loop of approximately 2.5 m, the total contact area would correspond to about 800 cm². The size of the steel specimens inside the material test section is comparatively small. Thus, the contribution from the test specimen is negligible and the source of steel alloying elements that are found as impurities either in LBE or LGE should mainly be the tubing material.

In order to understand the behaviour of these corrosion products in LBE and LGE at different temperatures, knowledge on their solubility in these media is of major importance. Literature data on the solubility of Fe, Cr and Ni is available for lead, bismuth and their eutectic alloy [18]. For Mn and Mo, data is only available for pure Pb and Bi, not for LBE. Therefore, we will focus our discussion on the dissolution behaviour of Fe, Cr and Ni.

The solubility of Ni and Cr in LBE was recently determined in [18] and [19], and data in the temperature range of 350–450 °C is available. From temperature functions published in these papers, it may be concluded that Ni is the most soluble species of the discussed elements, with solubility limits ranging from $10^4$ to $2 \times 10^5$ ppm at 400 and 450 °C, respectively. The solubility function for Cr yields much lower values for these temperatures, ranging from 4 to 8 ppm. To estimate solubility data for Fe, an extrapolation from functions obtained at higher temperatures [20] has to be made. From such extrapolation, a solubility of Fe in LBE in the order of 1 ppm at the highest investigated temperature of 450 °C is obtained. This is much lower than the values found and could possibly explain the observed 5-fold decrease in Fe concentration at 400 °C. The solubility of Mo in molten Pb and Bi was determined to be below 1 ppm for temperatures up to 1000 °C [21]. Thus, the fact that no Mo was found in our analysis is not surprising.

There are no data on the solubility behaviour of these chemical elements in a lead-gold alloy. It is however well known that gold easily forms stable alloys with several metals like Ag and Cu (jewellery), but also with Ni, Fe, Al, Cr and others [22]. Some of these metals show miscibility with gold in wide concentration ranges above its melting temperature. Thus, one could suspect that the presence of 15.2 wt. % gold could cause higher solubilities of these elements in LGE compared to LBE.

Apart from the knowledge on the solubility of these elements in a liquid metal alloy, information on the rate at which a solid goes into solution is of importance. Eq. (1) describes the dissolution kinetics of a solid in a liquid metal under isothermal conditions [23]:

$$ \frac{dc}{dt} = \frac{\alpha S}{V} (c_s - c), $$

where $S$ is the total surface of the solid in contact with a liquid metal of volume $V$ with a concentration $c_s$ of the solute. The value $c_s$ denotes the saturation concentration (solubility) of the solute in the liquid metal and $\alpha$ the temperature dependent dissolution rate. If $c_s \ll c_s$, the concentration increase per unit of time becomes proportional to the saturation concentration $c_s$, assuming $S$, $V$ and $\alpha$ remain constant.

Indeed, for Ni in LGE, the data obtained for 400 °C show an approximately linear behaviour. This finding indicates that in LGE the concentration observed in the present experiments are far below the saturation concentration for this element at 400 °C. In case of LBE, the solubility data given above also show no Ni saturation at 400 °C and 450 °C. From the available data it is concluded, that much longer test runs are needed in order to obtain Ni saturation.

The dissolution of alloying elements from the steel surface is a relatively slow process dependent on the temperature and time of contact with the liquid metal. It will unavoidably result in their depletion from the steel surface. Such depletion was in fact observed for Ni and Mn during investigations of the SS 316LN specimens from the material test sections from the twin-loop. It was shown by SEM combined with energy dispersive X-ray analysis that for SS 316LN specimens in contact to LGE a depletion of Ni content from 10.2 wt. % to 2 wt. % had occurred at the surface of the specimen throughout a penetration layer of 10–40 μm [12]. Since the loops were operated isothermally, a similar depletion can be expected for the inner tubing surfaces of the whole LGE loop.

The decrease in the concentration of Fe in LGE during the experiment at 400 °C may indicate that this element was already present at the start of the experiment at concentrations exceeding the saturation solubility. These high concentrations at start-up can be explained by an extended contact of the lead used for preparation of the eutectic alloy with the surface of a steel melting pot at temperatures higher than 500 °C. However, the decrease in Fe concentration could also be explained by the formation of magnetic iron clusters in the liquid metal that are precipitated within the electromagnetic pump. Such cluster formation was actually observed in mercury, where cluster growth inside the liquid metal is occurring on the submicron scale [24]. Together with Cr, these clusters might then be trapped by magnetic forces near the pump. For example, high concentrations of Fe-Cr agglomerates were found for samples retrieved near the electromagnetic pump of the JLBL-1 loop [25], supporting this assumption.

5. Summary of the results and evaluation of LGE as potential target material

The leaching of steel alloying elements from 316L stainless steel in contact with liquid lead-gold eutectic is significantly

---

2 In total, 6 specimens with about 1 cm² per specimen were installed per test section. In both loops the same test specimen were employed.
stronger compared to that observed in LBE at similar operational conditions. Substantial dissolution of nickel was observed at temperatures of 400 and 450 °C. The observed strong corrosion prohibits the use of LGE by simply substituting LBE in a facility equipped with 316L stainless steel. A further consideration of LGE as target material would call for detailed investigations looking for structure materials with higher resistance towards LGE. For example, Mo shows a relatively high resistance towards liquid metal alloys. Based on its known resistance to lead, it has been actually already applied very successfully as construction material for a lead loop at the neutron time of flight facility at HIZDR [26]. Another possibility to mitigate corrosion implies a protection by special coatings resistant to liquid metal deterioration [23]. These investigations should be performed under controlled conditions concerning the purity of the alloy. All material and corrosion studies have also to incorporate reliable measurements of the oxygen concentration inside the liquid metal, as this was found to be a crucial factor for material corrosion in LBE systems [23].

Finally, material tests have to be performed under irradiation conditions. Material damage should be investigated to assure reliable statements on the sustainability of these materials under an intense irradiation environment present in a spallation target.

Apart from research on the corrosion resistance, the physico-chemical behaviour of spallation and activation products in a LGE target would have to be investigated as well. Calculations in [11] revealed that after proton irradiation of LGE the polonium inventory will be by a factor of 10^4 smaller if compared to the bismuth based alloy. These calculations assumed that LGE is free from bismuth. From lead refining it is known that Bi is a prominent impurity present in cast Pb. Our measurements have shown that the Bi content in LGE used for the present investigation exceeds 100 ppm. The amount of polonium formed within LGE with such a concentration of Bi would be certainly higher than predicted by [11].

Another peculiarity of LGE arises from the formation of mercury due to nuclear transmutation of gold. Since LGE as a target material requires operating temperatures of about 100 K higher than LBE, the evaporation rates of radionuclides formed within the liquid metal will be enhanced. As a result, the total release of isotopes of Hg could be higher from LGE if compared to the lead-bismuth alloy, whereas the Po release may not be necessarily lower due to the higher operating temperatures. Consequently, dedicated studies on the release of volatiles from LGE are mandatory and cover gas filtering systems have to be developed in order to assure a safe operation of the spallation facility.

Summarizing all these constraints and taking into account that LGE is up to now only very rarely investigated, one has to expect extended R&D programs for several decades to provide the necessary information for a safe operation in a nuclear facility. For comparison, the reader may look up the extensive studies on LBE performed since the early 1950ies [23]. Another drawback concerning this material is that there is no other industrial application that would justify such expensive and time-consuming research projects. Together with the high costs of gold, a potential use of LGE as target material for spallation neutron sources seems not to be reasonable from the present point of knowledge.
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