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Anxiety & Attentional Control

- Effects of Anxiety on Processing Efficiency and Attentional Control in Sports
  - impaired visual search behaviour in karate, table tennis, football, basketball (Williams & Elliot, 1999; Williams et al., 2002; Wilson et al., 2009a, Wilson et al., 2009b, respectively)
  - increased response times (Murray & Janelle., 2003)
  - higher mental effort (ME) in high anxiety condition (Causer et al., 2011)
  - larger pupil diameter (Wilson et al., 2006)
Apparatus and Test Film

- **Apparatus:**
  - Mobile eye-tracking system; HD camera; Stereo audio system
  - Analysis: ‘ASL-results plus Gaze Map’

- **Test Film:**
  - 11 vs. 11 football situations; 1st person view; clip duration: 5s; Occlusion: 120ms prior to certain action of player in possession of ball (i.e., pass + which player, shot, dribble)
Method

- Factors:
  - High Skilled (n=11) vs. Less Skilled (n=11)
  - Near vs. Far Task (different perceptual task demands)
  - High Anxiety (HA) vs. Low Anxiety (LA)
  - Visual Search: Fixation Location

- Anxiety Manipulations: ego threats, competitive scenario, false feedback

- Measures: visual search behaviour, response time, mental effort (RSME), state anxiety (MRF-L), response accuracy, pupil diameter

- Statistics: Repeated-measures ANOVA; partial eta squared ($p < .05$)
Anxiety increased in HA condition (MRF-Ratings: $F(1,20) = 13.13$, $\eta_p^2 = .40$, $p < .01$) and larger pupil diameter, $F(1,18) = 4.99$, $\eta_p^2 = .22$, $p < .05$. 

**ACT**

- **Response Accuracy**
  - No effect = *constant effectiveness*

- **Response Time**
  - Significant increases in both groups, $F(1,20) = 9.29$, $\eta_p^2 = .31$, $p < .01$  
  - *reduced processing efficiency*

- **Mental Effort**
  - Significant increases in both groups $F(1,20) = 13.77$, $\eta_p^2 = .41$, $p < .01$  
  - *reduced processing efficiency*
Results 2

- **Visual Search Behaviour**
  - Effect of Expertise: fixation location X task type X group, $F(1,18) = 13.27$, $\eta_p^2 = .78$, $p < .01$
  - Effect of Anxiety: anxiety X task type X group, $F(1,18) = 9.25$, $\eta_p^2 = .34$, $p < .01$
Discussion

- Reduced processing efficiency in HA condition
  - Worrying thoughts competing for attentional resources (Wilson, 2008; Wilson et al., 2009) → reduced inhibition
  - Reduction in goal directed attention (Eysenck et al., 2007)
  - Attentional narrowing (Murray & Janelle, 2003; Williams & Elliot, 1999)
  - Reduced ability to pick up relevant optical information (Williams et al., 2002)
- Why did less skilled players didn´t show anxiety effects in Number of Fixation Locations?
  - may use the stimulus-driven attentional system to greater extend → lower effect of anxiety (internal worrying thoughts) on visual search (from ACT perspective)
Possible Interventions

- Perceptual-cognitive training (highlighting important areas on the pitch) → attentional control (Hagemann et al., 2006; Abernethy, 2012)
- More stress resistant learning strategies (e.g. implicit learning) → less processing of explicit rules (Beilock & Gray, 2007, Smeeton et al., 2005)
- Perceptual-control beliefs → anxiety interpreted in facilitative manner (Wood & Wilson, 2012)
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