Stalagmite water content as a proxy for drip water supply in tropical and subtropical areas

N. Vogel1,2, Y. Scheidegger1,2, M. S. Brennwald1, D. Fleitmann3,4,*, S. Figura1,5, R. Wieler2, and R. Kipfer1,2,5

1Eawag, Swiss Federal Institute of Aquatic Science and Technology, Überlandstrasse 133, 8600 Dübendorf, Switzerland
2ETH Zurich, Institute of Geochemistry and Petrology, Clausiusstrasse 25, 8092 Zurich, Switzerland
3Institute of Geological Sciences, University of Bern, Baltzerstrasse 1–3, 3012 Bern, Switzerland
4Oeschger Center for Climate Research, University of Bern, Zähringerstrasse 25, 3012 Bern, Switzerland
5ETH Zurich, Institute of Biogeochemistry and Pollutant Dynamics, Universitätsstrasse 16, 8092 Zurich, Switzerland

*now at: Department of Archaeology, School of Human and Environmental Sciences, University of Reading, Whiteknights, P.O. Box 226, Reading, RG6 6AB, UK

Correspondence to: N. Vogel (nadia.vogel@eawag.ch)

Received: 15 June 2012 – Published in Clim. Past Discuss.: 25 July 2012
Revised: 3 December 2012 – Accepted: 4 December 2012 – Published: 7 January 2013

Abstract. In this pilot study water was extracted from samples of two Holocene stalagmites from Socotra Island, Yemen, and one Eemian stalagmite from southern continental Yemen. The amount of water extracted per unit mass of stalagmite rock, termed “water yield” hereafter, serves as a measure of its total water content. Based on direct correlation plots of water yields and \(\delta^{18}O_{\text{calcite}}\) and on regime shift analyses, we demonstrate that for the studied stalagmites the water yield records vary systematically with the corresponding oxygen isotopic compositions of the calcite \(\delta^{18}O_{\text{calcite}}\). Within each stalagmite lower \(\delta^{18}O_{\text{calcite}}\) values are accompanied by lower water yields and vice versa. The \(\delta^{18}O_{\text{calcite}}\) records of the studied stalagmites have previously been interpreted to predominantly reflect the amount of rainfall in the area; thus, water yields can be linked to drip water supply. Higher, and therefore more continuous drip water supply caused by higher rainfall rates, supports homogeneous deposition of calcite with low porosity and therefore a small fraction of water-filled inclusions, resulting in low water yields of the respective samples. A reduction of drip water supply fosters irregular growth of calcite with higher porosity, leading to an increase of the fraction of water-filled inclusions and thus higher water yields. The results are consistent with the literature on stalagmite growth and supported by optical inspection of thin sections of our samples. We propose that for a stalagmite from a dry tropical or subtropical area, its water yield record represents a novel paleo-climate proxy recording changes in drip water supply, which can in turn be interpreted in terms of associated rainfall rates.

1 Introduction

Stalagmites have been recognised as distinguished paleoclimate archives as they occur widespread in continental settings, are precisely dateable, and preserve a wealth of high-resolution paleo-climate information over long time intervals (e.g. Cheng et al., 2009; Dong et al., 2010; Fleitmann et al., 2003a, 2007; Henderson, 2006; Wang et al., 2008; Zhao et al., 2010). For paleo-climate reconstructions, stalagmite studies often focus on changes in the isotopic compositions of oxygen and carbon of the calcite \(\delta^{18}O_{\text{calcite}}, \delta^{13}C_{\text{calcite}}\) to identify major climatic events (e.g. Burns et al., 2001; Fleitmann et al., 2003a, 2007; Henderson, 2006; Wang et al., 2008; Zhao et al., 2010). For paleo-climate reconstructions, stalagmite studies often focus on changes in the isotopic compositions of oxygen and carbon of the calcite \(\delta^{18}O_{\text{calcite}}, \delta^{13}C_{\text{calcite}}\) to identify major climatic events (e.g. Burns et al., 2001; Fleitmann et al., 2009; Liu et al., 2010), to reconstruct changes in precipitation (e.g. Griffith et al., 2010; Vaks et al., 2010), and to infer type and density of plant cover above a cave (e.g. Cosford et al., 2009; Dorale et al., 1998). However, our understanding of the true sensitivity of \(\delta^{18}O_{\text{calcite}}\) and \(\delta^{13}C_{\text{calcite}}\) values to climatic and environmental variables remains limited and interpretation of speleothem stable isotope records is therefore often controversial (e.g. Clemens et al., 2010; LeGrande and Schmidt, 2009; McDermott et al., 2006). A way to reduce these uncertainties is to measure additional climate-sensitive parameters in speleothems, e.g. in
the drip water trapped in fluid inclusions during stalagmite growth. The hydrogen isotopic composition of inclusion water (in combination with corresponding δ18Ocalcite) has been used to reconstruct paleo-temperatures (Zhang et al., 2008) and changes in the source and amount of rainfall (e.g. Fleitmann et al., 2003b; Harmon et al., 1979; McGarry et al., 2004; Schwarcz and Harmon, 1976). More recently, concentrations of noble gases dissolved in inclusion water have been used to determine absolute temperatures prevailing in a cave during stalagmite growth (Kluge et al., 2008; Scheidegger et al., 2010, 2011). These so-called noble gas temperature determinations require the quantification of the amount of water from which the dissolved noble gases are released. In the course of noble gas temperature determinations on samples from two Holocene stalagmites from Socotra Island (Yemen), we observed that the amounts of water extracted during stalagmite growth (Kluge et al., 2008; Scheidegger et al., 2011), which has previously been shown to reflect changes in the rates of rainfall, i.e. was attributed to the so-called “amount effect” (Fleitmann et al., 2007). This effect was originally proposed by Dansgaard (1964) and is based on the observation that in tropical and subtropical monsoon regions, stronger (i.e. higher rates of) monsoon rainfall often exhibits more negative δ18O signals than weaker monsoon rainfall, a feature that is best observed in arid regions (Clark and Fritz, 1997). As shown, e.g. by Fleitmann et al. (2004), the δ18O of the rainfall is reflected in that of the stalagmite’s calcite as long as no massive changes of other parameters occur that would influence δ18Ocalcite, which can largely be excluded for the relevant time span on Socotra Island. A co-variation of water yield and δ18Ocalcite was also observed in a stalagmite grown during the Eemian in southern continental Yemen. Also for this stalagmite, the δ18Ocalcite record was found to reflect mainly changes in rainfall rates (Fleitmann et al., 2011b). Therefore, we propose that in dry tropic to subtropical areas, the stalagmite’s water yield represents a new paleo-climate proxy responding to long-term changes in drip water supply. Only recently, a similar suggestion was made based on stalagmite water content measurements on a Hungarian stalagmite (Demény et al., 2012).

2 Experimental procedures

2.1 Sampling sites

Figure 1 shows the locations of the caves from where the three studied stalagmites originate. Stalagmites D1 and P3 were taken from Dimarshim Cave and Pit Cave, respectively, located on opposite sides of the central mountain range of Socotra Island, Yemen. Socotra lies in the NW Indian Ocean, about 240 km east of the Horn of Africa and 380 km south of the Arabian Peninsula. The modern climatic conditions on the island are semi-arid and characterized by seasonally reversing monsoon winds (Scholte and De Geest, 2010). Rainfall is associated with the passing of the Intertropical Convergence Zone over the island, resulting in a mean annual amount of rainfall of approximately 300 mm (Fleitmann et al., 2007; Scholte and De Geest, 2010; Shakun et al., 2007). Stalagmite Y99 was taken from Mukalla Cave (see Fleitmann et al., 2011b) situated in southern continental Yemen, an area that is also influenced by the monsoon. However, present-day rates of rainfall in the Mukalla Cave region are considerably lower than on Socotra Island and the area is characterized by arid to hyper-arid climatic conditions.

2.2 Dating

Absolute ages for all studied stalagmites are based on Uranium-series dating (230Th-dating hereafter). Details on the analytical method and results are provided by Fleitmann et al. (2007, 2011b). Age models for D1 and Y99 were constructed by linear interpolation between measured 230Th ages. A total of eight 230Th ages (see Fig. 2) indicate that stalagmite D1 grew continuously with a mean growth rate of 0.25 mm a⁻¹ over the last 4.5 ka. Stalagmite Y99 records several growth periods separated by hiatuses (Fleitmann et al., 2011b). For this study the growth period between 119 and 131 ka BP was analyzed. During this period, Y99 grew at a mean growth rate of about 0.013 mm a⁻¹ as deduced from a total of seven 230Th ages. The studied part of the stalagmite is separated from older parts by a distinct change in calcite texture and colour. Photographs of stalagmite Y99 including locations for dating can be found in Fig. 2 in Fleitmann et al. (2011b). In this figure, the part of stalagmite Y99 studied here is referred to as Sect. I. Two 230Th ages measured at the top and base of stalagmite P3 (see Table 1 and Fig. 2) indicate that it grew within the time period of about 10 to 1.3 ka BP. A marked change in colour (arrow in Fig. 2) close to the top of P3 indicates a growth hiatus. The existing ages do not allow
The extracted water amounts, i.e. the water yields (defined as the amounts of water extracted per unit mass of stalagmite rock), as well as total water contents (defined as the amounts of water extracted per unit mass of stalagmite Y99. The extracted water amounts, i.e. the water yields (defined as the amounts of water extracted per unit mass of stalagmite rock), as well as total water contents (defined as the amounts of water extracted per unit mass of stalagmite rock), are given as mass of water per unit mass of stalagmite rock in Table 2 and throughout the paper. Prior to water extraction, each sample was first crushed to a grain size of about 350 µm. During this crushing procedure (which is a prerequisite for successful noble gas analyses, see Scheidegger et al., 2010), a fraction of the inclusion water present in the stalagmite sample is probably lost. However, according to Scheidegger et al. (2010) this fraction is minor. Crushed samples (approximately 5 g for D1 and P3 samples, respectively, and ≤ 1 g for Y99 samples) were then loaded into a high vacuum water and noble gas extraction system (Scheidegger et al., 2010, 2011).

Water was extracted from the grain separates by heating. The extracted amounts of water were then determined by cryogenically concentrating the water into a known volume and measuring the water vapour pressure manometrically following the experimental procedure described by Scheidegger et al. (2010). The overall uncertainties associated with the water yield determinations are given in Table 2 and are discussed in the following. All errors are given at a 1σ significance level. The precision of a vapour pressure measurement includes (i) the instrument-specific precision of the pressure measurement (±0.025 %), (ii) the uncertainty of the ambient temperature determination (±0.25 %), and (iii) the uncertainty associated with calibrating the “known volume” (±0.6 %). A bias in the determination of the water mass occurs also if not all of the generated pressure originates from water vapour. This has been extensively tested and discussed in Scheidegger (2011) and Scheidegger et al. (2010). Briefly, at elevated extraction temperatures the liberated water vapour reacts with the metal surfaces of the extraction line, which consumes small amounts of water and builds up a hydrogen pressure, which can be determined when all water is concentrated cryogenically into the known volume. To account for this bias, the residual hydrogen pressure is, if present, (i) deduced from the total measured pressure and is (ii) used as an additional measure of the error of the pressure measurement itself. While this additional error has a minor influence on the overall uncertainty of the 320°C water yield uncertainties, which are on average 1.2 %, it can be a significant source of error for the 480°C water yield measurements, whose errors range around 3 %. The errors compare well with the scatter of water yield results from replicate analyses on aliquots of a D1 grain separate (85–350 µm), which is ~ 3 %. Note, however, that producing real “aliquots” of such a grain size separate is not easy and the scatter might, to a considerable degree, originate from real differences in the water contents of the “aliquots”.

At temperatures above approximately 600°C, water extraction from the samples is quantitative, as at these temperatures calcite begins to disintegrate into CaO and CO₂ (e.g. Faust, 1950; Yonge, 1982). The generated CO₂, however, would significantly disturb the water vapour pressure measurements and even more so subsequent noble gas analyses (see also next section). Therefore, the grain separates...
Table 1. Results of $^{230}\text{Th}$-dating of stalagmite P3 (see Fleitmann et al., 2007 for details on the analytical techniques). * For dating of stalagmites D1 and Y99, see Fleitmann et al. (2007, 2011b).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample</th>
<th>distance from top (cm)</th>
<th>Th concentration (ppb)</th>
<th>U concentration (ppb)</th>
<th>$^{234}\text{U}/^{238}\text{U}$</th>
<th>$^{230}\text{Th}/^{232}\text{Th}$</th>
<th>$^{230}\text{Th}/^{234}\text{U}$ (ka BP)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>P3-a</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0.496 ± 0.007</td>
<td>330.7 ± 0.9</td>
<td>1.2408 ± 0.0033</td>
<td>31 ± 2</td>
<td>0.0123 ± 0.0007</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P3-b</td>
<td>72.6</td>
<td>0.176 ± 0.001</td>
<td>376 ± 1</td>
<td>1.2778 ± 0.0039</td>
<td>760 ± 16</td>
<td>0.0920 ± 0.0011</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2. Water in stalagmites D1, P3, and Y99.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample</th>
<th>Age [ka BP]</th>
<th>Water yield $320^\circ$C</th>
<th>Water yield crushing+heating</th>
<th>from top</th>
<th>Distance $320^\circ$C [cm]</th>
<th>Water yield crushing+heating</th>
<th>Water yield $480^\circ$C</th>
<th>Water yield $400^\circ$C [10$^{-4}$ g g$^{-1}$]</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>D1-1</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>1.96 ± 0.02</td>
<td>P3-1 0.5</td>
<td>3.33 ± 0.04</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D1-2</td>
<td>0.07</td>
<td>2.58 ± 0.03</td>
<td>P3-2 0.5</td>
<td>3.30 ± 0.04</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D1-3</td>
<td>0.19</td>
<td>1.77 ± 0.02</td>
<td>P3-3 1</td>
<td>2.92 ± 0.04</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D1-4</td>
<td>0.30</td>
<td>2.22 ± 0.03</td>
<td>P3-4 2</td>
<td>1.80 ± 0.02</td>
<td>18.3 ± 0.3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D1-5</td>
<td>0.37</td>
<td>2.53 ± 0.03</td>
<td>P3-5 3</td>
<td>3.55 ± 0.04</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D1-6</td>
<td>0.74</td>
<td>3.31 ± 0.04</td>
<td>P3-6 7.3</td>
<td>2.87 ± 0.03</td>
<td>36.2 ± 0.3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D1-7</td>
<td>1.60</td>
<td>3.02 ± 0.04</td>
<td>P3-7 13</td>
<td>2.29 ± 0.03</td>
<td>13.1 ± 0.6</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D1-8</td>
<td>1.75</td>
<td>4.96 ± 0.08</td>
<td>P3-8 20</td>
<td>2.13 ± 0.03</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D1-9</td>
<td>1.80</td>
<td>3.50 ± 0.04</td>
<td>P3-9 27</td>
<td>2.12 ± 0.03</td>
<td>23.6 ± 0.2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D1-10</td>
<td>1.85</td>
<td>4.73 ± 0.06</td>
<td>P3-10 41</td>
<td>1.58 ± 0.02</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D1-11</td>
<td>1.89</td>
<td>5.85 ± 0.07</td>
<td>P3-11 45</td>
<td>0.86 ± 0.01</td>
<td>7.3 ± 0.2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D1-12</td>
<td>1.90</td>
<td>5.30 ± 0.06</td>
<td>P3-12 51</td>
<td>1.72 ± 0.02</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D1-13</td>
<td>1.95</td>
<td>3.76 ± 0.04</td>
<td>P3-13 69</td>
<td>2.87 ± 0.03</td>
<td>28.1 ± 0.2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D1-14</td>
<td>2.00</td>
<td>4.70 ± 0.06</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D1-15</td>
<td>2.05</td>
<td>3.07 ± 0.04</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D1-16</td>
<td>2.10</td>
<td>2.98 ± 0.04</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D1-17</td>
<td>2.15</td>
<td>3.43 ± 0.04</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D1-18</td>
<td>2.20</td>
<td>3.70 ± 0.04</td>
<td>32.4 ± 0.4</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D1-19</td>
<td>2.37</td>
<td>6.00 ± 0.07</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D1-20</td>
<td>2.45</td>
<td>4.45 ± 0.05</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D1-21</td>
<td>3.13</td>
<td>2.07 ± 0.02</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D1-22</td>
<td>3.14</td>
<td>3.75 ± 0.05</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D1-23</td>
<td>3.19</td>
<td>5.20 ± 0.06</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D1-24</td>
<td>3.49</td>
<td>3.74 ± 0.04</td>
<td>47.4 ± 0.6</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D1-25</td>
<td>3.72</td>
<td>3.88 ± 0.05</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D1-26</td>
<td>3.80</td>
<td>3.60 ± 0.04</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D1-27</td>
<td>4.28</td>
<td>4.86 ± 0.06</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D1-28</td>
<td>4.32</td>
<td>4.30 ± 0.05</td>
<td>43.0 ± 0.4</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D1-29</td>
<td>4.53</td>
<td>4.55 ± 0.05</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D1-30</td>
<td>4.56</td>
<td>4.04 ± 0.05</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

D1, P3, and Y99 water yields (given in units of $10^{-4}$ g of water per g of stalagmite rock) extracted from grain separates (mean grain diameter 350 µm) at different temperatures. For selected samples of D1 and P3, also the water yield resulting from combined vacuum crushing and subsequent heating of the powder to 480°C is given. Uncertainties of water yield measurements are 1σ and are discussed in Sect. 2.4. $^{230}\text{Th}$ age uncertainties are < 3%. For detailed information on the age uncertainties of D1 and Y99, see Fleitmann et al. (2007, 2011b), respectively.

were heated for 1 h to only 320°C (D1 and P3 samples), and 400°C (Y99 samples), respectively. The resulting water yields are considerably lower than the total water contents of the samples (cf. Sect. 2.5 and Table 2). This is due to the fact that the water yield is mainly a function of the extraction temperature and duration, but also of the fabric and the grain size spectrum of a given stalagmite sample. Therefore, a direct comparison of water yields is only meaningful for samples taken from the same stalagmite, and only if extraction...
was performed under the same experimental conditions for all samples.

2.5 Additional water extraction experiments

In order to further study the relationship between water yield and the total water content of the samples, additional water extraction experiments were performed. Small blocks (1–5 g) were cut from stalagmites D1 and P3 close to the positions of samples used for water yield determinations. This was done to enable a direct comparison of the respective extracted water amounts. The blocks were broken into a few pieces and loaded into a vacuum crusher described by Scheidegger et al. (2010). In a first step, each sample was crushed to a very fine powder (50–80 wt% of the powder had a grain size of < 85 μm), and the water amount released during crushing was determined. In a second step, this powder was heated to 480 °C for 1 h to extract as much water as possible at conditions that still allowed determination of an accurate water vapour pressure. The cumulative water yields extracted during crushing and subsequent heating to 480 °C are referred to as “water yield crushing + heating 480 °C” in Table 2 and Fig. 3. For both stalagmites, a correlation was observed between the water yields obtained from extraction at 320 °C and the combined crushing/heating procedure (Fig. 3). The latter water amounts are systematically higher by about a factor of 10 compared to the former ones. Interestingly, the fractions of water released during crushing alone (i.e. prior to heating the powder to 480 °C) are significantly different for D1 and P3 samples. In the case of P3, about 70% of the extracted water was released by crushing, followed by another 30% by heating of the crushed sample. For D1 samples, about 40% of the water was released during crushing and 60% by subsequent heating.

Subsequent to the 480 °C heating step, two samples of D1 were heated to 650 °C for 1 h, a temperature at which calcite begins to decompose (Faust, 1950; Yonge, 1982) and water extraction is thus quantitative (Yonge, 1982). In both cases, the extensive heating caused a gas pressure that, if generated by water vapour, would have been approximately equivalent to another 40% of the cumulative amounts of water released from the samples. However, under these conditions the gas pressure is largely controlled by the generation of CO₂ from calcite (Scheidegger et al., 2010), and only a minor fraction of it is actually related to water vapour. We therefore conclude that the water yields from our combined crushing and heating to 480 °C in the range of (7–47) × 10⁻⁴ g of water per gram of stalagmite rock (see Table 2) are close to the total water contents of the samples. This conclusion is further corroborated by the similarity of our 480 °C water yields to literature water yields from total extractions, which range between (24–100) × 10⁻⁴ (Yonge, 1982), and (7–47) × 10⁻⁴ (Demény et al., 2012) g of water per g of stalagmite rock, respectively.

The experiments show that water extraction from stalagmite samples occurs in a highly systematic manner. The correlation shown in Fig. 3 clearly illustrates that water extracted at 320 °C is primarily a function of the total amount of water trapped in the rock. A changing water yield within a stalagmite therefore has to be attributed primarily to a changing total water content of the rock, but not, e.g. to a change of the crystal fabric of a rock with a uniform total water amount, in which water extraction is merely alleviated or hampered based on the type of fabric.

2.6 Digital image analysis

Optical inspection of stalagmite thin sections was performed using a Zeiss Axiosplan 2 optical microscope equipped with a DeltaPix camera and software. Thin sections were prepared from parts of stalagmites D1 (thin sections D1-A, -B, -C) and P3 (thin sections P3-A, -B) characterized by higher and lower water yields, respectively, in order to compare measured water yields with fractions of inclusions estimated from optical inspection (see Fig. 2 for locations of thin section in stalagmites D1 and P3). For stalagmite Y99, no thin sections were available. All thin sections studied here showed that inclusions were numerous and heterogeneous in size, shape, and distribution. Thus, neither counting nor a distinction into (originally) water- and gas-filled inclusions was practicable. Therefore, 8–16 microphotographs were taken at suitable magnifications and under transmitted light for each of the thin sections and were transformed into black and white.
Examples of microphotographs of stalagmite thin sections P3-A (a) and P3-B (c) characterized by higher and lower water yields, respectively. Also shown are their respective processed black and white images (b, d), in which inclusions at the thin sections’ surface are visible as black pixels. Images (b) (P3-A) and (d) (P3-B) show 6.8% and 4.3% of black pixels, respectively. Note that these numbers do refer to the actual shown microphotographs and can deviate from the mean volume fractions of fluid inclusions given in Sect. 3.2, which average over 8 to 16 individual images.

The threshold was selected such that only inclusions at the immediate surface (i.e. open cavities and inclusions located within the uppermost few microns of the sections), and not those from deeper parts of the sections, appeared as black areas in the processed images. For all P3 images the same threshold value was used, for D1 images the threshold values varied slightly due to variable greyscale distributions of the original photographs. Subsequently, the fraction of black pixels was determined for each image, and the results from all images of the same thin section were averaged. The resulting mean values (given with the respective standard errors of the means in Sect. 3.2) served as an estimate of the fraction of fluid inclusions in the stalagmite. We thereby implicitly assume that a sample with a high fraction of fluid inclusions (i.e. comprising both water- and gas-filled inclusions) is also characterized by a high fraction of water-filled inclusions alone, and vice versa. This assumption seems also justified based on the general similarity of the results from pixel counts and water content measurements, as described in Sect. 3.2.

3 Results

3.1 Water yields and oxygen isotopes

Figure 6 shows for D1, P3, and Y99 the correlations of water yields with $\delta^{18}$O$_{\text{calcite}}$ values. For this comparison, the resolutions of both parameters had to be harmonized, because samples for water yield analyses were taken with spatial resolutions of 1 cm, while samples for oxygen isotope measurements were taken at resolutions of about 2 mm (D1), 5 mm (P3), and 1 mm (Y99). Therefore, $\delta^{18}$O$_{\text{calcite}}$ values
were averaged such that each water yield data point was compared with the mean of the spatially closest 5 (D1), 3 (P3), and 9 (Y99) $\delta^{18}$O$_{\text{calcite}}$ values. The uncertainties associated with those mean $\delta^{18}$O$_{\text{calcite}}$ values are the respective standard errors of the means. Fitting straight lines to the data by error-weighted least squares regression, we obtained slopes (with 1σ errors) of $2.6 \pm 0.2$, $0.8 \pm 1.1$, and $1.2 \pm 0.2$ for D1, P3, and Y99, respectively. The slopes obtained for D1 and Y99 are clearly inconsistent with a slope of zero, but the error-weighted fit to the P3 data shows no statistically significant correlation between water yield and $\delta^{18}$O$_{\text{calcite}}$. The missing correlation in the case of P3 is mostly attributed to the coarse spatial resolution of the P3 $\delta^{18}$O$_{\text{calcite}}$ record, which results in large errors of the averaged values (including only three individual values each). The coarse resolution of the $\delta^{18}$O$_{\text{calcite}}$ record is particularly problematic in the upper part of stalagmite P3 where successive individual $\delta^{18}$O$_{\text{calcite}}$ values vary substantially. If these errors are not taken into account, the (non-error-weighted) fit to the P3 data results in a slope of the correlation line of $3.2 \pm 1.5$, which is different from zero even on the 2σ level (solid line in Fig. 6b). We further compare by applying F-tests to the two models “linear trend” (using the error-weighted least squares regressions) and “no trend” (using error-weighted mean values) for each of the three data sets. For D1 and Y99 the results clearly show that the “no trend” model should be rejected in favour of the “linear trend” model for D1 ($p = < 0.001$) and Y99 ($p = 0.002$); however, this is not the case for P3 ($p = 0.98$). For P3 the same test was repeated using non-error-weighted fits. In this case for P3 the “no trend” model should also clearly be rejected in favour of the “linear trend” model ($p = 0.008$).

In summary, highly significant correlations exist between the parameters water yield and $\delta^{18}$O$_{\text{calcite}}$ for D1 and Y99, with low water yields corresponding to low $\delta^{18}$O$_{\text{calcite}}$ values and vice versa. The same is true for P3, but the correlation is obscured to a certain degree by the large errors of the average $\delta^{18}$O$_{\text{calcite}}$ values caused by the coarse spatial resolution of the P3 oxygen isotope record.

In the following sections (3.1.1–3.1.3) the correlations between water yield and oxygen isotopic composition were further assessed by applying parametric Sequential t-test Analyses of Regime Shifts (STARS, Rodionov, 2004; Rodionov and Overland, 2005) to determine regime shift points in the stalagmites’ $\delta^{18}$O$_{\text{calcite}}$ records. Thereby, the targeted significance level $p$ was predefined to 0.05 and for the window length $L$ we used 10, 20, and 30 data points, respectively. The most prominent regime shift point for each $\delta^{18}$O$_{\text{calcite}}$ record is shown as a dashed red line in Fig. 7. Subsequently, the water yield and $\delta^{18}$O$_{\text{calcite}}$ values on both sides of the respective major shift points were compared with each other by means of a Student’s t-test. Also mean values with standard errors of the means for all oxygen and water yield data prior to and after the respective major regime shift points are given in Fig. 7 and visualized as grey areas in the plots.

![Fig. 6. Correlation between water yield and $\delta^{18}$O$_{\text{calcite}}$ for stalagmites D1 (a), P3 (d), and Y99 (c). Water was extracted at 320°C for D1 and P3 samples, and at 400°C for Y99 samples. Dashed lines represent error-weighted fits; the solid line in Fig. 6b represents a non-error-weighted fit. $N =$ number of data points; $r^2 =$ coefficient of determination; $p =$ significance level. Error bars of $\delta^{18}$O$_{\text{calcite}}$ values represent standard errors of mean values. Error bars associated to water yield data (see Table 2 and Sect. 2.4) are often smaller than the symbol sizes.](https://www.clim-past.net/9/1/2013/)
3.1.1 Stalagmite D1 (Fig. 7a)

The D1 $\delta^{18}$O$_{\text{calcite}}$ ranges around values of about $-3\%e$ between 4.35 and 1.3 ka BP, followed by an overall decrease of the $\delta^{18}$O$_{\text{calcite}}$ values between 1 ka BP to the present. STARS determines the major regime shift point in the D1 oxygen isotope record at 1.25 ka BP, which corresponds to a pronounced negative excursion with $\delta^{18}$O$_{\text{calcite}}$ values as low as $-5.3\%e$. Note that each of the different window lengths applied resulted in the same major regime shift point. Application of the Student’s t-test shows that the D1 $\delta^{18}$O$_{\text{calcite}}$ values prior to and after the major regime shift point are significantly different from each other at the 1% level. Accordingly, also the water yields on both sides of the major regime shift point (as defined via the $\delta^{18}$O$_{\text{calcite}}$ data) are different from each other at the 1% level.

3.1.2 Stalagmite P3 (Fig. 7b)

The P3 $\delta^{18}$O$_{\text{calcite}}$ values range around $-2\%e$ between 53 and 7 cm from the top of the stalagmite. In contrast, the character of the $\delta^{18}$O$_{\text{calcite}}$ record of the uppermost few centimeters of P3 is clearly different, showing a distinctly larger variability of $\delta^{18}$O$_{\text{calcite}}$ values over small distances with individual values of up to $-6\%e$. For this $\delta^{18}$O$_{\text{calcite}}$ record, STARS detects the major regime shift point at 120.6 ka BP. The $\delta^{18}$O$_{\text{calcite}}$ values on both sides of this shift point location are significantly different from each other at the sub-permil level. Also, the water yields prior to and after the major regime shift point are different from each other at a significance level of 1%.

3.1.3 Stalagmite Y99 (Fig. 7c)

The Y99 $\delta^{18}$O$_{\text{calcite}}$ values range around $-11\%e$ between 131 and 121 ka BP. Like P3, also Y99 shows in its youngest part (121–119 ka BP) a distinctly larger variability of $\delta^{18}$O$_{\text{calcite}}$ values over small distances with individual values of up to $-6\%e$. For this $\delta^{18}$O$_{\text{calcite}}$ record, STARS detects the major regime shift point at 120.6 ka BP. The $\delta^{18}$O$_{\text{calcite}}$ values on both sides of this shift point location are significantly different from each other at the sub-permil level. Also, the water yields prior to and after the major regime shift point are different from each other at a significance level of 1%.

As shown in Fig. 7, for all three stalagmites low mean water yields correlate with low mean $\delta^{18}$O$_{\text{calcite}}$ and vice versa. This also corroborates the results from the direct correlation tests of both parameters described above and shown in Fig. 6.

3.2 Relationship between water yield and the occurrence of microscopically detectable fluid inclusions

Image-processed microphotographs of thin sections were used to optically estimate area fractions of fluid inclusions (represented by the percentages of black pixels in the images) from parts of stalagmites D1 and P3 characterized by...
high and low water yields, respectively. In the following we implicitly assume the actual area fractions do represent the respective volume fractions of fluid inclusions in the stalagmite samples.

Thin sections D1-A and D1-B were prepared from the upper part of stalagmite D1 characterized by low water yields. The mean volume fractions of fluid inclusions for D1-A and D1-B are (2.6 ± 0.3) % and (2.7 ± 0.3) %, respectively. Thin section D1-C was prepared from the lower part of the stalagmite characterized by high water yields, and also shows a higher mean volume fraction of fluid inclusions of (3.7 ± 0.3) % compared to D1-A and -B. For thin section P3-A, prepared from the top of the stalagmite with overall high water yields, the mean volume fraction of fluid inclusions is (2.4 ± 0.4) %. Thin section P3-B, prepared from a lower part of the stalagmite characterized by low water yields, nominally shows a lower mean volume fraction of fluid inclusions of (2.0 ± 0.8) %, which is, however, not outside the given uncertainties.

Note that the volume fractions of fluid inclusions deduced from pixel counts are somewhat higher but not too different from the volume fractions of water in the studied stalagmites calculated from our 480 °C extractions, which range between 0.2–1.2 vol. %. The systematic offset between results from optical inspection and water yield measurement is largely attributed to the fact that the former method also counts air inclusions (and other impurities causing black pixels) in addition to the water-filled inclusions.

The results from optical inspection of thin sections basically support the trends deduced from our actual water yield measurements. For both stalagmites, those parts characterized by high water yields also show large volume fractions of fluid inclusions and vice versa. Nonetheless, we emphasize that especially the selection of appropriate threshold values to distinguish fluid inclusions (both air- and water-filled) from other features (e.g. surface roughness) of the thin sections is to a certain degree subject to the examiner’s personal judgement, which might bias the respective results. Thus, in order to infer for a given set of stalagmite samples a reliable record of water contents, extraction of inclusion water under uniform experimental conditions is certainly preferable to the more subjective tool of microscopic inspection of thin sections.

4 Water yield, oxygen isotopes, and drip water supply

Numerous oxygen isotope studies on stalagmites from Yemen and Oman have shown that δ18Ocalcite values decrease, which indicates, according to the “amount-effect”, an increase in rainfall rates (e.g. Burns et al., 2001, 1998; Fleitmann et al., 2003a, b, 2004, 2007). Note that the change of climatic conditions on Socotra Island coincides with a recovery from arid conditions in continental Arabia (e.g. Fleitmann et al., 2011a; Parker and Rose, 2008).

Also, the δ18Ocalcite pattern of stalagmite P3 implies fairly constant rainfall over large parts of the record. The uppermost 50 cm of P3 are characterized by rapidly changing δ18Ocalcite values over short distances, including two prominent excursions to high δ18Ocalcite values at around 4.5 cm and 0 cm from the top of the stalagmite. The peak at 4.5 cm correlates with a distinctive dark layer indicative of a growth hiatus (see Figs. 2 and 7b). The second increase of δ18Ocalcite at 0 cm correlates with the final termination of stalagmite growth. A corresponding carbon isotope record of stalagmite P3 shows two excursions towards high δ13Ccalcite values synchronous with the positive δ18Ocalcite peaks. We conclude that the excursions to higher, i.e. heavier, isotopic compositions for both oxygen and carbon are the result of reduced drip water availability, leading to higher rates of CO2 degassing from the water film on top of stalagmite P3. This process is known to cause simultaneous enrichment of 13C and 18O in the precipitating calcite (e.g. Baker et al., 1997; Hendy, 1971). Due to the poorly constrained chronology of this stalagmite, the regime shift recorded in P3 cannot be linked to changing regional paleoclimatic conditions.

The interpretation of the section of Y99 studied here is similar to that of P3. Between 131 and 121 ka BP, high rainfall rates lead to the lowest mean δ18Ocalcite values found in the stalagmite (cf. Fleitmann et al., 2011b). The time span between 121 and 119 ka BP is characterized by strongly variable δ18Ocalcite values including prominent excursions to higher, i.e. heavier, δ18Ocalcite values similar to those found in P3. This implies an overall reduction of drip water supply between 121 and 119 ka BP, ultimately followed by the termination of growth of stalagmite Y99 and the onset of a dry period in continental Yemen lasting until ~105 ka BP (Fleitmann et al., 2011b).

The interpretation of δ18Ocalcite in terms of drip water supply allows establishing a link between water yields of the studied stalagmites and drip rates. δ18Ocalcite in D1 indicates a period of constant rainfall rates, implying a constant drip water supply between 4.3 and 1.2 ka BP. During the last 1 ka BP, rainfall, and therefore also the drip rates for D1, increase. During the same time interval, D1 shows reduced water yields in the respective stalagmite samples. Therefore, we infer that the fraction of water-filled inclusions (governing the water yield of a given sample) in the stalagmite is reduced during times of higher drip water supply. The P3 and Y99 δ18Ocalcite data both indicate phases of fairly constant rates of rainfall and therefore constant drip rates. These are followed by periods of lower rainfall rates and
thus lower drip rates recorded in the uppermost few cm of the two stalagmites, respectively. For both stalagmites, these uppermost parts are also characterized by the highest water yields. Consequently, we conclude that a reduction of drip water supply increases the fraction of water-filled inclusions in the growing stalagmite.

This conclusion might at first seem to be “counterintuitive”. However, our observations are supported by several studies on stalagmite growth and formation of stalagmite fluid inclusions (e.g. Fairchild et al., 2008; Frisia et al., 2000; Genty and Quinif, 1996; Yonge, 1982). The studies agree that a high (and therefore continuous) supply of drip water, being usually characterized by low calcium ion supersaturation (e.g. Sherwin, 2011), supports a slow and constant growth of calcite crystals, which results in a low density of crystal defects. Such “ideal” crystal growth conditions lead to a low porosity and therefore a low fraction of fluid inclusions in the calcite precipitate. Conversely, low drip rates (with high calcium ion supersaturation of the water) lead to a more effective degassing of CO$_2$ from the drip water, which in turn causes faster carbonate precipitation. In addition, low drip rates can cause periodic exposure of the growing crystals to the cave air. Both effects result in a “non-ideal” crystal growth and therefore a higher porosity and a larger fraction of fluid inclusions in the calcite fabric (Fairchild et al., 2008; Frisia et al., 2000; Genty and Quinif, 1996). Also, Yonge (1982) speculated that faster carbonate precipitation leads to higher porosity in the growing stalagmite, which in turn fosters the trapping of larger fractions of drip water in the rock.

5 Conclusions and outlook

We present water yield measurements serving as proxy measures of the total water content of stalagmite samples, together with oxygen isotope records, for two Holocene stalagmites from Socotra Island, Yemen, and one Eemian stalagmite from southern continental Yemen. Water yield measurements are qualitatively supported by the volume fraction of fluid inclusions in the stalagmites estimated from optical inspection of stalagmite thin sections. Water yields vary systematically with changes in the oxygen isotopic compositions of a given stalagmite. Thereby, isotopically light oxygen is accompanied by low water yields and vice versa. Based on the paleo-climatic interpretation of the stalagmites’ $\delta^{18}O$ values in speleothems in a British cave system, Chem. Geol., 136, 263–270, 1997.
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