The effect of seasonal temperature variation on behaviour and metabolism in the freshwater mussel (*Unio tumidus*)
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**abstract**

Temperature plays a critical role in determining the biology of ectotherms. Many animals have evolved mechanisms that allow them to compensate biological rates, i.e. adjust biological rates to overcome thermodynamic effects. For low energy-organisms, such as bivalves, the costs of thermal compensation may be greater than the benefits, and thus prohibitive. To examine this, two experiments were designed to explore thermal compensation in *Unio tumidus*. Experiment 1 examined seasonal changes in behaviour in *U. tumidus* throughout a year. Temperature had a clear effect on burrowing rate with no evidence of compensation. Valve closure duration and frequency were also strongly affected by seasonal temperature change, but there was slight evidence of partial compensation. Experiment 2 examined oxygen consumption during burrowing, immediately following valve opening and at rest in summer (24 °C), autumn (14 °C), winter (4 °C), and spring (14 °C) acclimatized *U. tumidus*. Again, there was little evidence of burrowing rate compensation, but some evidence of partial compensation of valve closure duration and frequency. None of the oxygen compensation rates showed any evidence of thermal compensation. Thus, in general, there was only very limited evidence of thermal compensation of behaviour and no evidence of thermal compensation of oxygen compensation rates. Based upon this evidence, we argue that there is no evolutionary pressure for these bivalves to compensate these biological rates. Any pressure may be to maintain or even lower oxygen consumption as their only defence against predation is to close their valves and wait. An increase in oxygen consumption will be detrimental in this regard so the cost of thermal compensation may outweigh the benefits.
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1 Introduction

For cold-blooded, ectothermic animals, environmental temperature plays a determining role in many biological functions. A suite of adjustment mechanisms at different levels of organisation have evolved that allow animals to compensate biological rates, thus retaining function in the face of thermally variable environments (Angilletta, 2009; Tattersall et al., 2012), known as acclimation or acclimatization. An early, but nonetheless practical model of thermal acclimation described five different types of thermal compensation of biological rates that underlie acclimation and acclimatization: supra-optimal; optimal (also known as perfect); partial; no; and inverse compensation (Precht, 1958). Other models have been developed to describe the purpose of acclimation (Angilletta, 2009). The beneficial acclimation hypothesis simply states that “acclimation to a particular environment gives an organism a performance advantage in that environment” (Leroi and Bennett, 1994, p. 1917). Despite its intuitive sense, data from various experiments have not always supported this hypothesis (Huey and Bennig, 1996; Wilson, 2007; Wilson and Franklin, 2002), although the argument has subsequently been made that some of the data may be wrongly interpreted due to two confounding factors, namely developmental plasticity, and the detrimental effects of holding animals long-term (see Woods and Harrison, 2002 for more details).

While the beneficial acclimation hypothesis has been tested experimentally in various ways, only a handful of studies, primarily with terrestrial insects, have explicitly examined the costs of acclimation (Hoffmann, 1995; Kristensen et al., 2008; Scott et al., 1997). In field experiments using *Drosophila melanogaster*, clear benefits and costs were demonstrated as a result of both warm and cold acclimation (Kristensen et al., 2008). Direct costs of thermal compensation in aquatic animals, often termed trade-offs in performance, have been examined in various fish species where acclimation to warmer temperatures usually led to enhanced performance at higher temperatures, but with a deterioration at lower temperatures (Pörtner et al., 2006). While the maintenance of performance during temperature changes can be of considerable importance in some fish species, enabling predators to catch prey and prey to escape predators (Catalan et al., 2004; Johnston and Temple, 2002; Rome, 1995), some species adopt a different strategy enhancing the depressive effects of temperature thus reducing metabolic needs to a minimum in times of little (Holopainen et al., 1997), i.e. displaying Precht’s inverse compensation.
For bivalves, the ability to burrow and move horizontally from one place to another is of critical importance. For example, *Ellipitio complanata* completely bury themselves in autumn and do not emerge until the following spring. This behaviour is thought to reduce the chance of predation, displacement by water currents and wave action, and/or prevent freezing in the shallows where ice may extend to the substrate (Amyot and Downing, 1997). Complete burial, by necessity, also correlates with valve closure for equally long periods, although this has not yet been quantified. Horizontal locomotion is equally important, for example, during aggregation when spawning, or relocating after disturbance (Amyot and Downing, 1997, 1998). Evidence clearly indicates that both burrowing and locomotion are strongly affected by seasonal changes in temperature. (Amyot and Downing, 1997, 1998; Watters, 2007). Nonetheless, bivalves generally have a very low-energy life-style, exhibiting minimal voluntary mobility and very low athleticism, and remaining largely inactive as they filter water. Although water filtration and the collection of food particles, can account for 90% of metabolic costs (Clemmesen and Jørgensen, 1987), bivalve oxygen consumption rates are typically very low (e.g. Lurman et al., 2014; Tankersley and Dimock, 1993). Based on their low-energy life-style, we expect that bivalves should adopt an energy saving strategy in the face of changing temperatures.

This expectation is further supported by previous studies, for example an early study by Tudorancea and Florescu (1968) that found the oxygen consumption rate of mature *Unio tumidus* to vary directly with season and temperature, while a recent comparison of summer and winter acclimatized *Anodonta anatina* found oxygen consumption, valve opening/closing behaviour (also known as gape), and locomotory speed varied directly with season and temperature, and showed, at best, minimal evidence of thermal compensation (Lurman et al., 2014). In general, oxygen consumption in a range of marine and freshwater bivalves exhibits no thermal compensation at low to intermediate temperatures and only minimal evidence of compensation at intermediate to high temperatures characteristic of their natural thermal range (Alexander and McMahon, 2004; Baker and Hombach, 2001; Hombach et al., 1983; Huebner, 1982; Newell et al., 1977; Newell and Pye, 1970; Pernet et al., 2007, 2008; Resgalla et al., 2007; Riascos et al., 2012; Tankersley and Dimock, 1993; Widdows, 1973).

*Unio tumidus* inhabits a lacustrine habitat where it is subject to considerable seasonal variation in temperature, from a summer average of 22 °C to a winter average of 6 °C (Lurman et al., 2014). Based on the evidence cited above, it was hypothesised that *U. tumidus* would show minimal, if any evidence of thermal compensation. Two independent experiments were conducted with the aim of examining the effect of seasonal temperature changes on, and the possibility of compensation of behaviour and oxygen consumption in *U. tumidus*. In the first experiment, changes in behavioural parameters, namely burrowing rate, valve closure duration and frequency and the proportion of time spent closed were examined each month throughout one year, with measurements made at a range of temperatures that corresponded to each month.

Based on the results of the first experiment, the energetic costs of burrowing and valve closure were examined in a second experiment in summer, autumn, winter and spring acclimatized mussels. Here, it was also hypothesised that:

1. the resting oxygen consumption rate would be lowest in winter, highest in summer and intermediate in the autumn and spring acclimatized mussels;
2. oxygen consumption during burrowing and immediately after the valves are opened would similarly be lowest in winter, highest in summer and intermediate in the autumn and spring acclimatized mussels; and
3. the $Q_{10}$s for these rates would range between 2 and 3 and would not show signs of thermal compensation, i.e. acclimatization.

### 2 Methods

#### 2.1 Mussel Collection and Holding

Murtensee water temperature (±0.5 °C) was recorded at 4 hourly intervals between 9 May 2011 and 23 August 2013 using an iButton temperature logger (Embedded Data Systems, Lawrenceburg, USA) at a depth of 1 m (99.96°C–54.2°C; 21.5 °C, N, 7.0264°E–7.0310°E).

All experiments were conducted in conformity with the “Guiding principles in the care and use of animals” approved by the Council of the American Physiological Society. Given that *U. tumidus* is an endangered species in Switzerland, relevant permits were sought and granted for their use.

Experiment 1 was designed to determine changes in burrowing, valve closure behaviour and locomotion as a result of seasonal temperature changes. *Unio tumidus* and lake sediment for the burrowing experiments were collected by hand in approximately 1 m of water from the same location as above, each month for a year, from May 2011 until April 2012. Mussels were cleaned of epibiota before being transported to the University of Bern (approximately 40 km) in a 2 L sealed box filled with lake water. At the University, they were measured and weighed (Table 2). Mussels were kept in a 60 L aquarium filled with 100% air-saturated, charcoal-filtered, aged tap water at the same temperature as the lake upon collection for a maximum of 3 weeks. Mussels were not fed during this time. To maintain the target temperature within 0.5 °C, the aquarium was kept in a cold-room and heated using a timer controlled 50 W aquarium heater. The water nitrate and nitrite were checked every three days and kept below 0.1 and 10 mg L⁻¹, respectively, with regular water changes.

The total length of time that the individual mussels were observed varied between approximately 1 and 8 days, with longer observation periods required at lower temperatures (see Table 2 for more detail). Artificial lighting followed the natural light-dark cycle, and a low wattage red light was constantly on to allow behavioural observation at night (see below).

Experiment 2 was designed to quantify the energetic cost of burrowing and valve closure using respirometry. Naturally acclimatized mussels were collected from the same location in summer (August–September, 2010), winter (January–March, 2011), autumn (October–November, 2012) and spring (May–June, 2013), at times when the lake temperature approximately matched the desired experimental temperature, i.e. 24±1 °C,
For experiment 1, random pairs of mussels were allowed to move and burrow voluntarily after being placed in one of three rectangular (210 mm x 100 mm x 100 mm) 1.5 L containers containing autoclaved lake sediment in the aquarium. A webcam connected to a computer that took a time-stamped picture every 30 seconds was used to record behaviour for both experiments. Pictures were compiled into a time-lapse film at a rate of 2 frames per second using Quicktime Player Pro 7.7.3 (Apple Inc., Cupertino, CA). The times at which given activities, namely burrowing, valve closure and opening, as well as locomotory activity, occurred were determined manually.

Three phases involved with burrowing were identified, firstly probing with the foot, then erection into a vertical position, before the actual burrowing typically began. The burrowing duration was defined by the start of the burrowing cycle, once the mussel was in a vertical position and the end, i.e. once the mussel was completely inactive. The burrowing rate index was calculated using formula 1, analogous to Peck et al. (2004):

$$\text{BRI} = \frac{\text{mussel whole weight (g)}^{1/3} \times \text{burrowing duration (s)}}{1000}$$

A 20 mm linear black and white scale marked directly on both long edges of the 1.5 L containers allowed for the determination of voluntary locomotory speed. This was calculated from the distance moved along the scale in a given time period.

### 2.3 Respirometry

For experiment 2, the respirometry chamber, a custom-made chamber of clear plastic with a middle section that could be filled with autoclaved lake sediment, was connected to a 600 L min$^{-1}$ water pump with two one-way valves that allowed the chamber to be flushed with aerated, fully oxygenated water for 2 out of every 30 min using an automatic timer. The total volume of the chamber with sediment, 0.7 L, was determined to be the difference between the weights of the dry and water-filled chamber. The air-saturation of water in the chamber never decreased below 90% during a 30 min measurement interval. Two minutes was sufficiently long to completely recharge the chamber with 100% air-saturated water. The gas-tightness of the chamber was checked regularly by bubbling the water with nitrogen gas and then monitoring oxygen concentration over a 24 h period. A fluorescence oxygen electrode (Model FDO925, WTW, Weilheim, Germany) recorded the water oxygen concentration (in mg L$^{-1}$) at 30 s intervals. All measurements were automatically temperature compensated.

Before being used for the respirometry experiments, mussels were held for at least 2 days. Mussels of a similar size (Table 2) were used for the determination of oxygen consumption to avoid allometric scaling effects. Individual mussels were selected at random and placed in the chamber, atop the sediment on their side. Mussels were observed and behaviours quantified as per experiment 2. Sediment was autoclaved to minimize background oxygen consumption and provided a substrate for burrowing. The mussel was then left in the chamber for 5–7 days and allowed to burrow voluntarily. The drop in the oxygen concentration in the chamber once sealed was equivalent to the mussel’s oxygen consumption rate minus background oxygen consumption.

Expression of oxygen consumption per whole mussel (including shell and cavity water), per gram of whole mussel, or per gram wet soft tissue made little difference to the results. To enable comparison with other data, oxygen consumption is expressed here in µg O$_2$ h$^{-1}$ g soft tissue$^{-1}$, where the whole animal oxygen consumption rate was divided by the soft tissue wet weight of the mussel. Soft tissue wet weights were calculated from the whole mussel weight, which was derived from a correlation of mussel whole weight and soft tissue wet weight (Eq. (2); $R^2=0.65$, $p=0.036$, d.f.=84). No significant differences were found between correlations for summer and winter mussels ($F_{3,80}=2.13$, $p=0.13$), so samples were pooled. Whole mussel weight ranged from 4.13 to 44.34 g.

$$\text{Soft tissue wet weight (g)} = (0.185 \times \text{whole mussel weight}) + 0.73$$

### 2.4 Statistics

All analyses were performed using Prism 5.0 (Graphpad). All data were checked for a Gaussian distribution using a Shapiro–Wilk test and proportional data were log transformed before analysis. For experiment 1, non-parametric one-way Kruskal–Wallis ANOVAs and Dunn’s multiple comparison tests were used to test for significant differences in: mussel whole weight; length; BRI; closure frequency; closure duration; and the proportion of time spent closed. Linear models were fit to plots of log$_2$ rate (BRI; closure frequency, closure duration) versus temperature (1000/K). For experiment 2, a multivariate analysis was performed on mussel whole weight, whole animal oxygen consumption (mg O$_2$ h$^{-1}$), valve closure duration, valve closure frequency and burrowing duration in hours. Significant differences were subsequently checked using one-way ANOVAs and Tukey’s multiple comparison tests of the following parameters: mussel weight; mussel length; resting oxygen consumption; oxygen consumption during burrowing; and valve closure duration. Non-parametric one-way Kruskal–Wallis ANOVAs, and Dunn’s multiple comparison tests were used to test for significant differences in: BRI; valve closure frequency; proportion of time spent closed; and oxygen consumption after valve closure. All values are presented as the mean ± standard error of the mean.

### 3 Results

#### 3.1 Lake Temperature

...
Lake temperature at 1 m changed as a result of season (Fig. 1). The maximum temperature measured on 6 Aug 2013 was 28.9 °C and the minimum was 0.97 °C in February 2012 and 2013, with the water having frozen and mussels retreating into the lake sediment. A frequency distribution of temperature measurements revealed a bimodal distribution with peaks at 21.4±0.47 and 5.9±0.19 °C (Supplementary Fig. 1), representing the summer and winter averages, respectively. Seasonal rates of temperature change were approximately 0.2 °C per day in spring and autumn. Considerable variation was also seen on shorter scales particularly in summer and winter, with rates of change as high as 1–2 °C d⁻¹ for several days running, although diel variation was low.

3.2 Experiment 1: Seasonal changes in behaviour

Mussel whole weight (Kruskal–Wallis: H₁₁ =23.7, p=0.014) and length (Kruskal–Wallis: H₁₁ =32.7, p<0.01) differed significantly between months. This was due to a significant difference between April and July U. tumidus (Table 1). There was a statistically significant effect of month on BRI (Kruskal–Wallis: H₁₁ =69.2, p<0.01), valve closure frequency (Kruskal–Wallis: H₁₁ =51.8, p<0.01), valve closure duration (Kruskal–Wallis: H₁₁ =30.2, p<0.01), and the proportion of time U. tumidus spent closed (Kruskal–Wallis: H₁₁ =50.7, p<0.01). In general, summer acclimatized U. tumidus burrowed faster and closed more often, but for shorter durations (Fig. 2A–C), while the proportion of time spent closed was only significantly different in February and August, the two months with the most extreme temperatures (Fig. 3). Pairwise comparisons of individual months (see Supplementary Table 1 for details) revealed statistically significant differences between summer (primarily June, July and August) and winter months (January, February and March). Noteworthy was the significant difference in BRI between April and October, where the temperature was similar (15 °C in April and 13 °C in October), yet the BRI was more than 5-fold lower in April. This was also reflected, albeit to a lesser degree, in the valve closure frequency and duration, which were both more than 2-fold lower in April.

### Table 1

The whole mussel weights (including the valves) and lengths (mean ±SEM) and the number of mussels (N) used for each of the derived parameter. Significant differences were seen for weight (Kruskal–Wallis: H₁₁ =23.7, p=0.014) and length (Kruskal–Wallis: H₁₁ =32.7, p<0.01).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Month</th>
<th>Mean Weight (g)</th>
<th>Mean Length (mm)</th>
<th>Observation Period (d)</th>
<th>N (total)</th>
<th>Burrowing</th>
<th>Valve Closure</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Jan</td>
<td>22.0±1.2</td>
<td>57.4±1.2</td>
<td>2.02±0.29</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Feb</td>
<td>21.3±2.0</td>
<td>56.4±2.0</td>
<td>8.32±0.91</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mar</td>
<td>18.0±2.6</td>
<td>51.6±2.8</td>
<td>2.21±0.42</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Apr</td>
<td>14.4±2.3</td>
<td>45.5±3.3</td>
<td>4.24±0.55</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>May</td>
<td>20.0±3.5</td>
<td>52.9±4.0</td>
<td>2.04±0.24</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jun</td>
<td>20.9±2.4</td>
<td>53.9±2.1</td>
<td>0.96±0.04</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jul</td>
<td>27.6±2.7</td>
<td>59.8±1.6</td>
<td>1.60±0.20</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Month</td>
<td>Mean (±SD)</td>
<td>Temperature (°C)</td>
<td>Oxygen (μL·L⁻¹)</td>
<td>Value 1</td>
<td>Value 2</td>
<td>Value 3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------</td>
<td>------------</td>
<td>------------------</td>
<td>-----------------</td>
<td>---------</td>
<td>---------</td>
<td>---------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aug</td>
<td>23.0±2.3</td>
<td>57.9±2.1</td>
<td>2.52±0.61</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sep</td>
<td>21.2±2.5</td>
<td>56.6±2.3</td>
<td>2.76±0.55</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oct</td>
<td>24.3±2.2</td>
<td>60.4±1.9</td>
<td>1.08±0.34</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nov</td>
<td>28.0±3.5</td>
<td>59.1±2.1</td>
<td>2.78±0.86</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dec</td>
<td>16.3±2.1</td>
<td>47.6±2.6</td>
<td>1.15±0.10</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Correlation coefficients ($r^2$ values) for the correlation of burrowing rate index, valve closure frequency and valve closure duration with temperature were 0.64 ($F_{(1,10)}=17.8$, $p<0.01$) for burrowing rate index, 0.73 ($F_{(1,10)}=27.1$, $p<0.01$) for valve closure frequency, and 0.39 ($F_{(1,10)}=6.27$, $p=0.03$) for valve closure duration. Linear models were fit to each of the different log$_{10}$ transformed data sets on R–T plots. The derived descriptors of each of these fits are given in Table 3. Briefly, a slope of 0 is equivalent to a $Q_{10}$ of 1, or Precht’s perfect compensation, slopes between 0 and $\pm 2.56$ are equivalent to $Q_{10}$s of 1–2, indicative of Precht’s partial compensation, and slopes between $\pm 2.56$ and $\pm 4.05$ are equivalent to $Q_{10}$s of 2 and 3, respectively, indicative of no compensation.

For the BRI, the slope approximated an idealized R–T plot slope indicative of non-compensation, while the valve closure frequency and duration slope values were closer to an idealized R–T plot slope indicative of partial compensation.

Correlation coefficients ($r^2$ values) for the correlation of burrowing rate index, valve closure frequency and valve closure duration with temperature were 0.64 ($F_{(1,10)}=17.8$, $p<0.01$) for burrowing rate index, 0.73 ($F_{(1,10)}=27.1$, $p<0.01$) for valve closure frequency, and 0.39 ($F_{(1,10)}=6.27$, $p=0.03$) for valve closure duration. Linear models were fit to each of the different log$_{10}$ transformed data sets on R–T plots. The derived descriptors of each of these fits are given in Table 3. Briefly, a slope of 0 is equivalent to a $Q_{10}$ of 1, or Precht’s perfect compensation, slopes between 0 and $\pm 2.56$ are equivalent to $Q_{10}$s of 1–2, indicative of Precht’s partial compensation, and slopes between $\pm 2.56$ and $\pm 4.05$ are equivalent to $Q_{10}$s of 2 and 3, respectively, indicative of no compensation.

For the BRI, the slope approximated an idealized R–T plot slope indicative of non-compensation, while the valve closure frequency and duration slope values were closer to an idealized R–T plot slope indicative of partial compensation.

**Table 2** Morphometric data from mussels used for the determination of oxygen consumption in experiment 2. Whole mussel weight includes the valves. Values are means±SEM.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Summer</th>
<th>Autumn</th>
<th>Winter</th>
<th>Spring</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Whole mussel weight (g)</td>
<td>19.3±2.06</td>
<td>17.4±1.50</td>
<td>17.3±2.22</td>
<td>20.4±0.51</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Length (mm)</td>
<td>53.1±2.03</td>
<td>52.3±1.58</td>
<td>51.1±2.13</td>
<td>56.1±0.67</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Table 3

Descriptive parameters (means SEM) derived from the linear model fits to transformed data of rate (log of rate) versus temperature (1000/K) from experiment 1.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Rate</th>
<th>Slope</th>
<th>Intercept</th>
<th>p Value</th>
<th>r² Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>BRI</td>
<td>-2.63±0.78</td>
<td>9.23±2.7</td>
<td>0.007</td>
<td>0.53</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Valve closure frequency (closures d⁻¹¹)</td>
<td>-1.92±0.44</td>
<td>6.77±1.5</td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td>0.66</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Valve closure duration (h)</td>
<td>1.89±0.63</td>
<td>-5.7±2.2</td>
<td>0.013</td>
<td>0.48</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### 3.3 Experiment 2: Energetic Cost of Burrowing and Valve Closure

There were no significant differences in the whole mussel weight (Kruskal–Wallis: $H_{11}=3.50, p=0.32$) or length (Kruskal–Wallis: $H_{11}=5.99, p=0.011$) between summer, autumn, winter, and spring groups (Table 2), and a multivariate analysis found whole weight did not significantly co-vary with whole animal oxygen consumption, valve closure duration, valve closure frequency nor burrowing duration in hours (Pillai’s Trace: $F_{4(17)}=2.59, p=0.074$). The BRI was significantly higher in the summer acclimatized *U. tumidus* compared to both the winter and spring *U. tumidus* by a factor of 3.3 and 3.0, respectively (Kruskal–Wallis: $H_{11}=20.9, p<0.01$ Fig. 4). The $Q_{10}$s for burrowing are given in Table 4. Valve closure frequency differed significantly between seasons (Kruskal–Wallis: $H_{11}=14.9, p<0.01$ Fig. 5A). Valve closure frequency was 3.5, 3.5 and 2.4 times lower in the summer *U. tumidus* compared to the autumn, winter and spring *U. tumidus*, respectively. No significant differences between closure durations were seen as a result of season (ANOVA: $F_{3(29)}=1.90, p=0.15$ Fig. 5B), where valve closure duration was 2.4 and 1.2 times lower, respectively, and 1.2 times higher in the spring *U. tumidus*. The proportion of time *U. tumidus* spent closed was not significantly different between groups (Kruskal–Wallis: $H_{11}=4.90, p=0.18$).

![Fig. 4](https://example.com/figure4.png)

**Fig. 4** The burrowing rate index (BRI) in summer (24 °C), autumn (14 °C), winter (4 °C) and spring (14 °C) acclimatized *Unio tumidus*. Significant differences between seasons (where $p<0.05$) are indicated by different letters.

### Table 4

The $Q_{10}$ values for burrowing, valve closure and oxygen consumption from experiment 2.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$Q_{10}$ values</th>
<th>BRI</th>
<th>Closure frequency</th>
<th>Closure duration</th>
<th>$MO_2$-burrowing</th>
<th>$MO_2$-valve closure</th>
<th>$MO_2$-rest</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Summer-Autumn/Summer-Autumn</td>
<td>1.69</td>
<td>3.48</td>
<td>4.51</td>
<td>2.13</td>
<td>1.60</td>
<td>2.14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Summer-Winter/Summer-Winter</td>
<td>1.83</td>
<td>1.86</td>
<td>1.64</td>
<td>2.37</td>
<td>1.96</td>
<td>2.43</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Summer-Spring/Summer-Spring</td>
<td>3.07</td>
<td>2.44</td>
<td>1.94</td>
<td>1.96</td>
<td>2.09</td>
<td>1.45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Autumn-Winter/Autumn-Winter</td>
<td>1.99</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>0.60</td>
<td>2.63</td>
<td>2.41</td>
<td>2.74</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Oxygen consumption was significantly affected by seasonal acclimatization (ANOVA: $F_{(3,27)}=11.4$, $p<0.01$ for $MO_2$ during burrowing, Kruskal–Wallis: $H_{(3)}=17.9$, $p<0.01$ for $MO_2$ after valve closure, and ANOVA: $F_{(3,29)}=15.2$, $p<0.01$ for resting $MO_2$ Fig. 6A–C). During burrowing, oxygen consumption in summer $U. tumidus$ was significantly higher than autumn, winter and spring $U. tumidus$ by a factor of 2.2, 5.7 and 2.0, respectively. The oxygen consumption rate immediately after valve closure was also highest in summer $U. tumidus$ by a factor of 1.6, 3.9 and 2.1 compared to autumn, winter and spring $U. tumidus$, respectively, however this was only statistically significant for the summer/winter $U. tumidus$ comparison.
Oxygen consumption at rest was again highest in summer U. tumidus by a factor of 2.2, 5.9 and 1.4 compared to autumn, winter and spring U. tumidus, respectively. These differences were statistically significant for summer U. tumidus compared to autumn and winter U. tumidus and for spring compared to winter U. tumidus. Generally speaking, significant differences were observed in all three rates of oxygen consumption, primarily between summer and winter acclimatized U. tumidus, however, no statistically significant differences were seen between autumn and spring acclimatized U. tumidus.

Significant differences between oxygen consumption were also seen within acclimatization groups as a result of burrowing and valve closure in the summer (ANOVA: \( H\text{ }_{\text{spring}}=9.66, p<0.01 \)) and winter (Kruskal–Wallis: \( H\text{ }_{\text{spring}}=9.66, p<0.01 \)) groups. In all three groups the difference was most pronounced between the oxygen consumption rate after valve closure and at rest. Significant differences were not seen in the spring U. tumidus as a result of burrowing and valve closure where oxygen consumption during burrowing and immediately after valve closure were 1.3 and 1.4 times higher, respectively, than resting oxygen consumption (Kruskal–Wallis: \( H\text{ }_{\text{spring}}=3.44, p=0.18 \)). This appears to be due largely to an elevated resting oxygen consumption rate.  

4 Discussion

4.1 Thermal Compensation

As expected, and similar to A. anatina from the same habitat and the same lake (Lurman et al., 2014), there was a strong effect of temperature on the behaviour of U. tumidus throughout the year with minimal thermal compensation. Winter led to slower burrowing, less frequent opening and closing and a longer duration of closing. For all three behavioural parameters, there was a significant correlation with temperature, however the strength of the correlation varied. Temperature explained 64% of the variation in the BRI and 73% of the variation in valve closure frequency, but only 35% of the variation in closure duration. Examination of the R–T slopes for these parameters (Table 3) shows that there was no evidence of thermal compensation for BRI, however there was some evidence for partial compensation of the valve closure frequency and duration. Also worth noting was the pronounced difference in BRI during spring (April) and autumn (October) U. tumidus, despite being measured at approximately the same temperature, i.e. 15 and 13 °C, respectively. This significant difference provoked an examination of the oxygen consumption during burrowing in spring and autumn U. tumidus in experiment 2.

A comparison of the R–T plot slope values for the different behaviours from experiment 1 (Figs. 4 and 5), with the \( Q_10 \) values from experiment 2 (Table 4), indicate that in general there was only marginal evidence of thermal compensation of behaviour, while oxygen consumption did not show any signs of thermal compensation. There are two exceptions to this. In experiment 1, summer, autumn and winter U. tumidus did not compensate their burrowing rate, however, spring U. tumidus compensated with a 2.2, 5.9 and 1.4 times higher, respectively, than resting oxygen consumption (Kruskal–Wallis: \( H\text{ }_{\text{spring}}=3.44, p=0.18 \)). This appears to be due largely to an elevated resting oxygen consumption rate.

Factors responsible for the lower than thermodynamically predicted burrowing rate may include: inter-annual effects, i.e. the fact that this study was carried out over 2 years may have potentially impacted upon behaviour and oxygen consumption rates, however, the temperature logs indicate that the season changes were very similar; structural changes at a molecular and cellular level; a simple behavioural response; or an energetic limitation due to depleted substrate stores after winter, although the oxygen consumption data do not lend support this final proposition. Similarly, the enhanced valve closure activity observed in the autumn mussels observed in experiment 2 may be an energy saving mechanism, as has previously been seen in the Antarctic mud clam Laternula elliptica in times of food scarcity (Peck, 1998). In addition to this, late autumn may be the time of a pause in the reproductive cycle, as seen in Unio terminalis (Çek and Şerellilişan, 2006) and Elliptio complanata (Amyot and Downing, 1997), resulting in decreased valve activity.

Reproduction, most notably gametogenesis, is known to impact significantly upon oxygen consumption rates and energy budgets in marine bivalves (Jansen et al., 2007; Sukholtin, 1992; Urutla et al., 1999; Widdows, 1978). In a previous study, the oxygen consumption in mature U. tumidus changed in direct accordance with temperature (Tudorancea and Florescu, 1968), similar to what we report here, and elsewhere for A. anatina from the same area (Lurman et al., 2014). Valve opening and closing behaviour is also known to be affected by reproduction, with one North American freshwater bivalve, Pyganodon cataracta, displaying increased “valve activity” in winter due to glochidial brooding (Tankersley and Dimock, 1993). Due to the endangered nature of U. tumidus, we did not make efforts to determine the gonado-somatic index, nor determine whether females were gravid. As such, we cannot rule out the possibility that the reproductive state may have influenced behaviour and oxygen consumption, although
we see no evidence indicating that it did.

While evidence of compensation of oxygen consumption has previously been reported in intertidal bivalves, these data represent a different situation to the one observed here for *U. tumidus*. For example, standard and active oxygen consumption in *Mytilus edulis* show partial thermal compensation (Q_10 of 1 to 2.1) immediately following an acute temperature change, yet 21 days of acclimation at the same temperatures resulted in a very similar degree of metabolic compensation (Q_10 of 1.3 to 1.7) (Widdows, 1973). Thus, the compensation of oxygen consumption occurred immediately upon temperature change and not as a result of acclimation. Data from other marine and freshwater bivalves such as *Perna perna* (Resgalla et al., 2007), *Dreissena polymorpha* (Alexander and McMahon, 2004), *Ambelma sp.* (Baker and Hombach, 2001), clearly indicate that oxygen consumption can be partially compensated following acclimation/acclimatization to intermediate temperatures, but not to extreme temperatures. For example, the freshwater zebra mussel *D. polymorpha* shows partial compensation between 15 and 25 °C (Q_10=1.6), but not between 15 and 5 °C (Q_10=2.7) (Alexander and McMahon, 2004), while Q_10 values for *Ambelma plicata* were 1.5 and 1.6 at 11–20 °C and 11–25 °C, respectively, but outside of this range, e.g. 5–11 °C or 20–25 °C, Q_10 were 2 or greater (Baker and Hombach, 2001). This correlates with our behavioural observation of significant and substantial increases in the proportion of time *U. tumidus* spent closed which coincided with the most extreme temperatures, i.e. in February (2–3 °C) and Summer (26–27 °C). Thus, increased valve closure in freshwater bivalves is a protection mechanism during times of stress, as previously seen when exposed to acutely elevated temperatures (Rodland et al., 2009), or adverse conditions such as low oxygen concentrations (Chen et al., 2001), or low pH (Pynnönen and Huebner, 1995).

At the same time however, there is evidence from *M. edulis* that clearance rates are not thermally compensated (Kittner and Riißgård, 2005), indicating that different physiological functions may be affected differently by temperature changes. Thus, although the body of evidence presented here for *U. tumidus* shows little evidence of thermal compensation, compensation of other functions or compensation at a cellular or molecular level cannot be ruled out. Indeed, there is a large body of work that has explored cellular and molecular thermal compensation mechanisms in aquatic species (see Angilletta, 2009; Pörtner, 2010; Tattersall et al., 2012 for reviews), most notably fishes (Godøksen and Jessen, 2002; Guderley, 2004b; Hochachka and Somero, 1984; Johnston et al., 1998; Kearn and Farrell, 1994; McArdle and Johnston, 1982; Rome, 1990; Sidell, 1983). Combined, these factors help maintain swimming performance in the face of thermal variation, and thus maintain the ability to catch prey or out-swim predators. Although these phenomena have not been as well explored in aquatic invertebrates, changes are known to occur in mitochondrial structure (Lurman et al., 2010a,b) and the membrane lipid composition (Pernet et al., 2007) as a result of acclimatization. While at the whole animal level, this can result in changes in (scalloping) swimming mechanics (Bailey and Johnston, 2005) and recovery duration from swimming (Guderley, 2004a), or the acute thermal tolerance limits in freshwater mussels (Galbraith et al., 2012).

4.2 Ecological **Significance**

The question remains, however, why is it that these mussels show only very limited signs of thermal compensation given the significant thermal variability of Lake Murten. Indeed, current theories would predict that such variability provides ideal conditions for such plasticity to evolve (Angilletta, 2009; Gabriel and Lynch, 1992). The short answer may be that there is simply no selective pressure to thermally compensate, or the flip-side, there may be selective pressure to not acclimate. With respect to being prey, there is no evidence to suggest that *U. tumidus* is preyed upon in Lake Murten, thus there is no need for them to “out-run” a predator. Indeed, this could hardly be expected of an animal whose top speed is less than 1 cm min⁻¹. The most effective strategy to avoid predation is to simply close the valves and wait. Any increase in oxygen consumption as a result of thermal compensation would be of obvious disadvantage. Thus, if there is any selective pressure, it would be to keep oxygen consumption as low as possible.

Furthermore, the ability of *U. tumidus* to keep their valves closed for very long periods is a prerequisite for their ability to remain completely buried for equally long periods. In late autumn *E. complanata* burrow completely and it is thought that they remain buried until spring (Aymot and Downing, 1997). This may be to avoid freezing in the shallows where ice can extend to the lake bottom. In the shallows of Lake Murten, ice cover reaches the lake bottom on occasion, and we have regularly recorded slightly warmer temperatures (~2–3 °C) in the sediment than the water column in winter. Thus, the lake bottom may act as a thermal retreat for *U. tumidus* in winter. This is also supported by the fact that it is extremely rare to find *U. tumidus* protruding from the lake sediment in winter, with most buried completely. Similar to our previous study of *A. anodonta* (Lurman et al., 2014), we conclude that maintaining an oxygen consumption rate as low as possible would be of obvious advantage to *U. tumidus*, as it would minimize the frequency and/or duration with which they would need to open their valves to respire. Thus, in many respects the costs of thermal compensation, i.e. acclimation/acclimatization, must outweigh the benefits in this low-energy species.
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Highlights

- The freshwater mussel Unio tumidus experiences significant seasonal temperature variation.
- Burrowing rate and valve closure behaviour vary directly with temperature.
- Oxygen consumption also varies directly with temperature.
- There is little evidence of thermal compensation in Unio tumidus.
- The costs of compensation in a low-energy species like Unio tumidus must outweigh the benefits.
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