INTRODUCTION

Besides the general temperature increase global change models predict more frequent and more severe extreme events such as drought periods, heat waves or flooding (Easterling et al., 2000; Schar et al., 2004; Fuhrer et al., 2006; Wehner et al., 2011; Mittal et al., 2014). These regional climatic extremes (Gilgen and Buchmann, 2009) are ecologically and economically relevant for agriculture and forestry (IPCC, 2012; Smith and Gregory, 2013; Nair, 2014). The susceptibility to abiotic stresses may differ considerably among species or varieties of a crop (Yordanov et al., 2000; Simova-Stoilova et al., 2009; Vassileva et al., 2011; Chen et al., 2012; Wishart et al., 2014). Therefore, the selection of suitable genotypes and breeding of less susceptible varieties could reduce negative effects of extreme climate events on plant productivity (Neumann, 2008; Mir et al., 2012; Jogaiah et al., 2013), which is particularly important for the annual crops.

The apparent significance of stress period for the crop productivity does not rule out the fact that subsequent recovery stages are equally crucial for a proper evaluation of the overall performance (Subramanian and Charest, 1998; Gallé and Feller, 2007; Gallé et al., 2007; Vassileva et al., 2011). The progression and duration of stress, plant developmental stage and other biotic and abiotic factors may influence the stress response. For example certain species may be affected at early developmental stage, but still be capable to recover and finally to survive. Others could cope with suboptimal conditions comparatively well at the beginning of the stress period remaining still quite productive. Later on their surviving potential could be exhausted leaving the plants irreversibly damaged. A comprehensive evaluation of plant stress response includes the overall characterization of plant physiological behavior and survival. Here we summarize some of the major physiological parameters which characterize stress response reactions and which could be implemented as tools for evaluation of stress effects.

The impact of drought and heat on physiological status and productivity of agronomically important plants will become even...
more relevant during the next decades since these two major stress factors are associated with the predicted extreme events in the course of the global climate change. Assimilatory processes in leaves, long-distance translocation of solutes via xylem and phloem, changes in protein patterns and free amino acids, as well as the physiological phenomena associated with induced leaf senescence are addressed.

**REGULATION OF STOMATAL OPENING BY DROUGHT AND HEAT**

Together with internal CO₂ concentration, light and hormone levels, leaf temperature is one of the important factors for the regulation of stomatal opening. The three parameters: leaf temperature, water status and stomatal conductance represent a so-called "magic triangle" (Valladares and Pearcy, 1997; Reynolds-Henne et al., 2010). Leaf temperature may increase throughout the day reaching values above 40°C during the late afternoon in a sunny day in summer (Figure 1). Temperature sensors which monitor leaf temperature are integrated in modern equipment for measuring CO₂-assimilation, fluorescence or stomatal conductance. However, the measuring equipment itself influences leaf temperature by affecting external conditions (e.g., air convection, local air temperature, local humidity or photon flux density) therefore the detected values can differ considerably from the real temperature on the surface of undisturbed leaves. Ergo such leaf temperature data must be interpreted with certain precaution. Additional measurements from undisturbed leaves taken with an infrared thermometer which does not enter in contact and does not shadow the leaf are therefore recommended in this context.

Temperature of fully sun-exposed leaves is often 5–10°C higher than the one of shady leaves from the same plant. The interactions between leaf temperature and stomatal conductance are illustrated for a series of plants in Figure 2.

CO₂ is a major player in the regulation of stomatal opening (Medlyn et al., 2001). Opened stomata facilitate CO₂ diffusion from the ambient air into the leaf, but at the same time this is accompanied with additional water loss via enhanced transpiration. Therefore, the continuous increase in CO₂ partial pressure in the context of Global Change should be regarded as an important environmental factor capable to influence stomatal regulation. Although the relevance of stomatal opening for CO₂ assimilation is obvious, it must be considered that non-stomatal limitations such as changes in mesophyll conductance for CO₂ or in metabolic processes can also occur under drought and/or elevated temperature (Rosati et al., 2006; Signarbieux and Feller, 2011). Oscillations of leaf temperature after transition from darkness to high light intensity were reported recently (Feller, 2006; Reynolds-Henne et al., 2010) and are illustrated in Figure 3. After the transfer from shadow to strong light leaf temperature rises immediately, while stomates react within several minutes which explains the delay in cooling via transpiration. Stomatal opening and transpiration result in decreased leaf temperature which may lead again to a partial closure of stomates.

The water status of crop plants strongly depends on rainfall patterns and soil properties. Furthermore, agronomic practices influence soil water availability which affects plant water status (Lenssen et al., 2007; Sturny et al., 2007; Gan et al., 2010). Abscisic acid (ABA) produced in roots exposed to soil with a low water potential, reaches the leaves via transpiration stream and causes stomatal closure. It also has been observed that ABA shifts the heat-induced stomatal opening toward a higher temperature (Feller, 2006; Reynolds-Henne et al., 2010; Figure 4). Thus, heat and drought act in an opposite manner on stomates. Sustainable agronomic techniques focused on good soil structure may contribute to a better productivity under abiotic stress. This is documented by a comparison of till and no-till plots at the same location during a dry and hot summer (Figure 5).

Another physiological phenomenon which may affect stomatal conductance is the deposition of waxy substances on the leaf surface. The cuticle is situated at the interface between the plant and its atmospheric environment. It is continuously exposed to natural and anthropogenic influences (Percy and Baker, 1987). Air pollutants and other environmental stresses may induce deposition of cuticular waxes which results in morphological changes to epicuticular wax layers. This could provoke reduced transpiration (Sanchez et al., 2001; Gallé and Feller, 2007; Seo et al., 2011; Yang et al., 2011; Zhu et al., 2014). Such effects become relevant immediately, but are not (or are
FIGURE 2 | Leaf temperature (measured at the undisturbed leaf with an infrared thermometer) and stomatal conductance in plant species grown at the same farm. Total stomatal conductance of lower and upper leaf surfaces are shown as means + SD of 5 measurements during the time intervals indicated. The photon flux densities were 1300–1900 μmol m⁻² s⁻¹ for sun-exposed leaves and around 100 μmol m⁻² s⁻¹ for shadowed leaves. Values for leaves of *Trifolium pratense* could not be determined (n. d.) in the shadow. Sun-exposed leaves of different species with the same letter (a,b,c,d) and shadowed leaves with the same letter (A,B) in the same diagram are not significantly different at $P = 0.05$. Significant differences between sun-exposed and shadowed leaves of the same species at the $^* P = 0.05$, $^{**} P = 0.01$, and $^{***} P = 0.001$ are indicated above the column pair.

only partially) reversible, since the deposits remain after drought period.

On the cellular level, aquaporins—channels involved in water and CO₂ transport across membranes—are also integrated in drought and heat stress response by influencing the water flux from the xylem to the leaf surface and may indirectly influence stomatal opening (Prado and Maurel, 2013). Aquaporins serve in a double function facilitating water and CO₂ fluxes across
membranes and must be considered as important players in the response of plants to abiotic stresses (Uehlein et al., 2003; Katsuhara and Hanba, 2008).

### PHOTOSYNTHETIC CAPACITY DURING AND AFTER EXTREME EVENTS

Some drought and heat effects on photosynthesis are reversible and may even change repeatedly during 1 day, while other processes lead to irreversible damages. It is important to consider the reversibility of such effects on the organ and on the whole plant level when evaluating overall impacts. A reversible decrease of CO₂ fixation was observed in tree leaves and in grassland species (although less pronounced) at midday or in the afternoon under moderate drought (Haldimann et al., 2008; Bollig and Feller, 2014). An extended drought period may irreversibly damage leaves causing an anticipated and often atypical senescence
characterized by an incomplete nitrogen remobilization as a consequence of altered source/sink pattern (Feller and Fischer, 1994). The early loss of leaves reduces plant assimilatory capacity and prolonged drought period leads to plant death (Haldimann et al., 2008). Photosynthesis and plant productivity can be reversibly or irreversibly affected by extreme environmental conditions such as drought or heat (Haldimann and Feller, 2005; Sharkey, 2005; Signarbieux and Feller, 2012). Stomatal opening as well as non-stomatal limitations (e.g., effects on mesophyll conductance for CO2 or on metabolic processes) may influence CO2 assimilation in drought-stressed leaves (Signarbieux and Feller, 2011). Since photon flux density is often very high during drought periods or heat waves and the demand for ATP and reduction equivalents for assimilatory processes is decreased, the channeling of absorbed light energy becomes crucial to avoid detrimental effects of reactive oxygen species (ROS) often accumulating under abiotic stresses (Velikova and Loreto, 2005; Vickers et al., 2009). Particularly important in this regard is the antioxidant capacity of the plants comprising a system of enzymatic reactions as well as biosynthesis and accumulation of non-enzymatic low molecular metabolites, such as ascorbate, reduced glutathione, α-tocopherol, carotenoids, flavonoids and proline (reviewed by Gill and Tuteja, 2010).

**ELECTRON TRANSPORT**

Plant ecophysiology under adverse environmental conditions such as reduced water availability or heat can be investigated by non-destructive in situ analyses of photosystem II functionality based on chlorophyll fluorescence measurements (Maxwell and Johnson, 2000). The ratio of variable fluorescence Fv to maximal fluorescence Fm in dark-adapted leaves is a measure of the maximum efficiency of photosystem II and in healthy leaves it is around 0.8 (Maxwell and Johnson, 2000). A decrease in this value is an indicator for irreversible damages and may be used to evaluate impacts of extreme events in field conditions. The different leaves of one and the same plant may be unequally affected by abiotic stress as demonstrated on Figure 6. Changes in non-photochemical quenching (which increases during abiotic stress) and in \( \phi_{PSII} \) (PSII quantum yield which decreases during abiotic stress) are at least initially reversible and serve as indicators for the actual status of the photosynthetic apparatus. More sophisticated analyses indicate that the thermostability of photosystem II is improved under drought stress (Oukarroum et al., 2009) and as well as after growth at moderately elevated temperature (Haldimann and Feller, 2005). Some studies have indicated that photosystem II and the thylakoid membrane can be considered as comparatively thermotolerant components of the photosynthetic apparatus (Sharkey, 2005).

**RUBISCO ACTIVASE**

Rubisco—the key enzyme for CO2 assimilation—is the most abundant protein on earth and it is quite heat-tolerant (Crafts-Brandner and Salvucci, 2000). Rubisco remains functional at temperatures above 50°C. However, high temperature causes a more rapid inactivation which is reverted in an ATP-dependent reaction (carbamylation) catalyzed by Rubisco activase (Crafts-Brandner and Salvucci, 2004; Kim and Portis, 2006). Since Rubisco activase is highly heat-sensitive, this enzyme becomes a key player for the rate of photosynthesis at elevated temperature (Feller et al., 1998; Salvucci et al., 2001; Yamori et al., 2012). Depending on the plant species, Rubisco activase activity is negatively affected by temperatures above 30°C (Salvucci and Crafts-Brandner, 2004). Rubisco activase is present under two forms which may be encoded by only one gene (the two forms originate by alternative splicing of the pre-mRNA) or by different genes (Salvucci et al., 2003). The slightly larger form contains two cysteine residues in the C-terminal extension allowing a redox regulation via the thioredoxin system (Portis et al., 2008). The heat sensitivity of photosynthesis was found to be due to thermal denaturation of Rubisco activase and not to the oxidation of the cysteine residues in the larger form (Salvucci et al., 2006). The complex regulation of Rubisco activase (and as a consequence of Rubisco) and CO2 fixation is not yet fully explored for all
FIGURE 5 | Leaf temperature and stomatal conductance in sugar beet leaves of till and no-till plots in the same field during sunny days of the exceptionally hot and dry summer 2003. Temperature of the undisturbed leaves were measured with an infrared thermometer in field plots near Bern (Switzerland). Stomatal conductances of the upper and lower leaf surface are shown separately. The columns represent means ± SD of 5 replicates. Significant differences between till and no-till at *P = 0.05 and **P = 0.01 are indicated.

ACCUMULATION AND DETOXIFICATION OF REACTIVE OXYGEN SPECIES

Plants which are exposed to stress cannot properly use ATP and reduction equivalents for biosynthetic processes and accumulate ROS. ROS are very reactive compounds with an obvious destructive potential, but they must be also regarded as signaling molecules (Suzuki and Mittler, 2006; Miller et al., 2007). ROS like superoxide anion radical, hydroxyl radical, and hydrogen peroxide are recognized to act as initiators and signals in programmed cell death (Mittler et al., 1999; Apel and Hirt, 2004; Locato et al., 2008; Van Breusegem et al., 2008). The promotion of ROS production (Lee et al., 2012) and the loss of antioxidant defenses (Munne-Bosch et al., 2001) may induce or accelerate senescence in plants subjected to abiotic stress.

The accumulation and detoxification of ROS become more important during drought (Miller et al., 2010) and during growth stages characterized with elevated ambient temperature (Wahid et al., 2007). A rapid removal of ROS is necessary to avoid deleterious effects such as lipid peroxidation and their negative influence over plant metabolism (Oberschall et al., 2000; Locato et al., 2009). The production/detoxification of ROS is important for several subcellular compartments and it is not restricted to chloroplasts (Noctor et al., 2002; Pastore et al., 2007). Antioxidant enzyme activities such as catalases, peroxidases and superoxide dismutases play important role in the detoxification of ROS (Selote et al., 2004; Pastore et al., 2007; Bian and Jiang, 2009). A study on cotton varieties differing in thermotolerance suggests that there is a potential to incorporate the knowledge regarding the role of antioxidant enzymes in stress response for breeding of tolerant varieties (Snider et al., 2010) by the enhancement of in vivo levels of antioxidant enzymes. The relevance of high constitutive activities of ROS-detoxifying enzymes and of their on-going increase during abiotic stress was reported by Turkan et al. (2005) for bean plants.
In addition to enzymatic ROS detoxification, hydrophilic and lipophilic antioxidant compounds contribute to the antioxidant response and may serve as radical scavengers (Fryer, 1992; Loreto et al., 2001; Larkindale and Huang, 2004; Pose et al., 2009). Increased levels of such compounds assist for a rapid detoxification of ROS and aid the protection of subcellular structures. Enzymes involved in the biosynthesis of antioxidant compounds, their expression before and during abiotic stress, their subcellular compartmentalization, as well as the regulation of their activity must be considered in the context of ROS detoxification.

**PHOTORESPIRATION**

As mentioned above, photosynthesis decreases under drought or heat, but the leaves are often exposed to a high photon flux density and a low CO₂ partial pressure in the leaf apoplast. Oxygenase activity (the starting point of the photorespiratory metabolism) is an inherent property of Rubisco and depends on CO₂ and O₂ partial pressure (Osmond and Grace, 1995). Modifications in the large subunit of Rubisco can alter the relative oxygenase/carboxylase activities (Whitney et al., 1999). Therefore, the large subunit of Rubisco which is encoded in the chloroplast DNA is considered for breeding strategies in the future in order to improve the assimilatory capacity of crops (Parry et al., 2011). Stomatal closure during drought periods may decrease the CO₂ partial pressure in the leaves and alter the relative oxygenase/carboxylase activities of Rubisco in favor of oxygenase. Protective effects of photorespiration in drought-exposed C₃ plants under high irradiance were studied by various research teams (Wingler et al., 1999; Haupt-Herting et al., 2001; Noctor et al., 2002; Guan et al., 2004; Bai et al., 2008). Increased transcript levels of enzymes involved in the photorespiratory carbon cycle were detected in tobacco under drought (Rivero et al., 2009).

Detailed studies with *Phaseolus vulgaris* brought to a conclusion that photorespiration, although stimulated under water deficit, does not play a major role in photoprotection of leaf cells under drought (Brestic et al., 1995). In contrast to C₃ plants, the rate of photorespiration remains low in C₄ plants exposed to drought (Carmo-Silva et al., 2008). Photorespiration and monoterpene production were considered as mechanisms involved in the thermotolerance of oak (Penuelas and Llusia, 2002). To summarize: heat and drought increase the rate of photorespiration in leaves of C₃ plants, but the question to which extent photorespiration plays a protective role in different crop species remains still open.

**NITROGEN METABOLISM**

Several stages of nitrogen metabolism could be affected by abiotic stress. One important step is the assimilation of nitrate into organic compounds. The activity of the first enzyme involved (nitrate reductase) is negatively influenced by abiotic stresses (Ferrario-Mery et al., 1998; Xu and Zhou, 2006). The adverse drought effect may be decreased by the improved availability of inorganic nitrogen (Krecek et al., 2008; Zhang et al., 2012). Nitrogen fixation in legume nodules is also severely reduced during drought periods (Larrainzar et al., 2009; Aranjuelo et al., 2011; Gil-Quintana et al., 2013). A negative effect of accumulated free amino acids on nitrogen fixation (N-feedback inhibition) and increased oxygen resistance in the nodules were among the

---

**FIGURE 6 | Intactness of photosystem II in different leaves of drought-stressed and control plants of Lolium perenne.** The water potential in nutrient medium was artificially decreased by addition of polyethylene glycol 6000. Leaves were numbered from 1 (oldest) to 9 (youngest). Fv/Fm in healthy and fully expanded leaves is close to 0.8. In young and not yet expanded leaves, the mean value may be lower and increase during further expansion, while a decrease in old leaves indicates irreversible damages (e.g., senescence). Means ± SD of 5 replicates are shown. A value of 0.0 was entered for missing leaves (relevant only for the youngest leaves).
proposed mechanisms for this below-ground drought impact (Aranjuelo et al., 2011; Gil-Quintana et al., 2013).

The balance between free and protein-bound amino acids is also affected by abiotic stresses. Under drought, the quantity of proteins usually declines, while free amino acids tend to accumulate being partially converted into compatible solutes (e.g., proline) as reported by several groups during the past decades (Yoshiba et al., 1997; Su and Wu, 2004; Gruszka Vendruscolo et al., 2007; Parida et al., 2008; Bowne et al., 2012). Proline accumulation under abiotic stresses was reviewed in detail by Verbruggen and Hermans (2008). The reversible accumulation of proline in drought-stressed clover is illustrated in Figure 7. A 10- to 100-fold increase in proline content can be observed during a stress phase. During a subsequent recovery proline levels in leaves decrease again and reach values similar to those of unstressed control plants.

**LEAF SURVIVAL AND ALTERED TIMING OF SENESCENCE**

Senescence is a complex process (Hörtensteiner and Feller, 2002). The number and the area of active leaves per plant is important for the overall performance of a plant (Munne-Bosch and Alegre, 2004). The formation and expansion of young leaves and senescence of old leaves are equally important in this context (Lefè et al., 2004; Simova-Stoilova et al., 2010; Mahdid et al., 2011; Gilgen and Feller, 2014). The catabolism of proteins in older leaves allows a redistribution of nitrogen from senescing tissues to other plant parts (Feller and Fischer, 1994), while the small percentage of nitrogen present in chlorophyll remains in modified form in the vacuoles of senescing or senesced cells (Hörtensteiner, 2006). Chlorophyll in intact chloroplasts is present in photosystems I and II together with chlorophyll-binding proteins in well-organized structures. During senescence the photosystems are degraded. Chlorophyll outside these structures would have detrimental physiological consequences (Hörtensteiner, 2006). Chlorophyll catabolism prevents such negative effects on one hand and allows the remobilization of chlorophyll-binding proteins on the other (Hörtensteiner and Feller, 2002).

Besides phytohormones and ROS source/sink interactions and C/N ratios must be also considered as endogenous senescence-regulating factors (Feller and Fischer, 1994; Thoenen et al., 2007; Luquet et al., 2008). Sink capacities may be strongly reduced under drought and heat. This may lead to an abnormal type of senescence accompanied by accumulation of free amino acids which could be partially converted into osmoprotectants in source leaves (Bowne et al., 2012). This process is initially reversible, but when prolonged it may turn into senescence finally leading to organ death.

An interesting observation concerning interactions between leaf senescence and drought tolerance was reported by Rivero et al. (2007). In their studies they compared wild-type plants and transgenic plants with a delayed drought-induced senescence. The latter were characterized with an excellent drought tolerance and maintained a high physiological potential. Considerable differences in the drought response in relation to senescence were reported also for various genotypes of maize (Messmer et al., 2011), millet (Dai et al., 2011), wheat (Hafsi et al., 2000; Verma et al., 2004), and alfalfa (Erice et al., 2011). The relevance of the recovery phase after an extended drought period was emphasized by several groups (Merewitz et al., 2010; Vassileva et al., 2011; Yao et al., 2012).

**ACCUMULATION OF STRESS-RELATED PROTEINS**

Drought and high temperatures, together with other environmental factors like chemical pollutants, cold and high salt concentrations have similar effects on plants. They damage plant cell and lead to osmotic and oxidative stress (Reddy et al., 2004; Foyer and Noctor, 2009). Changes in expression and post-translational modification of proteins are an important part of perception and response to abiotic stress (Hashiguchi et al., 2010). Drought and high temperature involve, as a common feature, increased numbers of inactive proteins—denatured, aggregated or oxidatively damaged. Protein homeostasis under stress is maintained via different biochemical mechanisms that regulate their biosynthesis, folding, trafficking and degradation (Gottesman et al., 1997; Chen et al., 2011). Plants respond to dehydration stress by synthesis of protective proteins such as dehydrins and chaperones and by degradation of irreversibly damaged proteins by proteases (reviewed in Vaseva et al., 2011). Protein breakdown has been recognized as one of the important mechanisms for the adaptation of plants to environmental conditions (Vierstra, 1996). Proteolysis is performed by an impressive number of proteases—approximately 2% of the genes code for proteolytic enzymes (Rawlings et al., 2004). Proteases vary significantly in size and molecular structure and could be composed of single molecules with small size of approximately 20 kDa as well as they could be represented by big proteolytic complexes with molecular mass around 6 MDa. Some proteases are able to act as chaperones under specific conditions. They are called chaperonines and comprise complex elements of regulated proteolysis participating in the fine-tuning of gene expression (Sakamoto, 2006).
Chaperones have essential function in protein homeostasis under normal condition and are highly responsive to various stresses (Wang et al., 2004). Their main physiological role is to maintain proteins in a functional conformation and to prevent aggregation of non-native proteins. Chaperones also participate in refolding of denatured proteins to their native conformation and in removal of non-functional and potentially harmful polypeptides. Heat-shock proteins (HSPs) belong to the group of stress-related proteins with chaperone function. Plant HSPs comprise five classes according to their approximate molecular weight: Hsp100, Hsp90, Hsp70, Hsp60, and small heat-shock proteins (sHsps) (Kotak et al., 2007). Transcription of heat-shock protein genes is controlled by regulatory proteins called heat stress transcription factors (Hsfs). Arabidopsis genome contains 21 genes encoding Hsfs (Scharf et al., 2012).

**ENZYMES INVOLVED IN THE DETOXIFICATION OF REACTIVE OXYGEN SPECIES (ROS)**

Plants have developed efficient non-enzymatic and enzymatic detoxification mechanisms to scavenge ROS. Superoxide dismutase (EC 1.15.1.1), catalase (CAT; EC 1.11.1.6), ascorbate peroxidase (APX; EC 1.11.1.11), and glutathione peroxidase (EC 1.11.1.7) are the major enzymes involved in oxidative stress response in plants (Mittler, 2002; Apel and Hirt, 2004). The regulation of ROS levels and fine-tuning of ROS homeostasis is performed at several biochemical steps. The three types of plant superoxide dismutases have different functional metals and subcellular localization (Bowler et al., 1994; Alscher et al., 2002). Cu/Zn-superoxide dismutases localized mainly in the cytosol, but have also been detected in peroxisomes and chloroplasts. Fe-superoxide dismutase is a chloroplast enzyme, while Mn-superoxide dismutases has been found in the mitochondrial matrix and peroxisomes (Bowler et al., 1994). Initially superoxide dismutase converts superoxide to H₂O₂ which can be further metabolized by catalase or ascorbate peroxidase to oxygen and water—processes mainly localized in peroxisomes. Most probably the better tolerance toward oxidative stress, often assigned to higher superoxide dismutase, ascorbate peroxidase or catalase levels, is a result of a complex interplay between these antioxidant enzymes (Xu et al., 2013).

**D-1-PYRROLINE-5-CARBOXYLATE SYNTHETASE (P5CS)**

Proline acts as an osmoprotectant in response to osmotic stress and its accumulation has been recognized as a marker for tolerance toward drought and high salt concentrations (Hmida-Sayari et al., 2005; Kishor et al., 2005; Deng et al., 2013). It has been proved to be a very effective singlet oxygen quencher (Alia et al., 2001). The first two steps of proline biosynthesis in plants are catalyzed by the bifunctional enzyme D-1-pyrroline-5-carboxylate synthetase (P5CS, EC not assigned) that encompasses both γ-glutamyl kinase and glutamic-γ-semialdehyde dehydrogenase activities (Pérez-Arellano et al., 2010). P5CS plays a key role in plant intracellular accumulation of proline and is subjected to feedback inhibition by proline, controlling the level of the free imino acid under both normal and stress conditions (Hong et al., 2000). It has been confirmed that D-1-pyrroline-5-carboxylate synthetase is encoded by two differentially regulated genes in different plant species (Turchetto-Zolet et al., 2009). Usually one of the P5CS isoforms is osmo-regulated and the other is associated with developmentally governed processes (Hur et al., 2004; Székely et al., 2008; Pérez-Arellano et al., 2010).

**DEHYDRINS**

Dehydrins belong to the group of Late Embryogenesis-Abundant (LEA) proteins which are expressed in late stages of seed maturation and/or upon water stress conditions in plants (Rorat, 2006). They constitute a highly divergent group of thermostable intrinsically disordered proteins that can be classified into different types according to the presence of distinct, short sequence motifs. All dehydrins have at least one conserved, lysine-rich 15-amino acid domain, EKKGIMDKIKEKLPG, named the K-segment (Close, 1997). In addition dehydrin molecule could contain a track of serine residues (the S-segment) and/or a consensus motif, T/VDEYGNP (the Y-segment) which is usually located near the N-terminus. The less conserved regions of dehydrins are characterized by a high polar amino acid content and usually are referred to as Φ-segments. The number and order of the Y-, S-, and K-segments define the different dehydrin sub-classes: YnSKn, YnKn, SkKn, Kn, and KnS, which may possess a specific function and tissue distribution (Close, 1997). As intrinsically disordered proteins dehydrins are characterized by high flexibility, structural adaptability, and extended conformational states (Tompa, 2009) which most probably contributes to conferring plant desiccation stress tolerance via various possible biochemical mechanisms—sequestering ions, stabilizing membranes, or acting as chaperones (Danyluk et al., 1998; Rorat, 2006; Tompa, 2009). Arabidopsis dehydrins ERD10 and ERD14 fulfill protective functions acting as potent chaperones of broad substrate specificity and they also have membrane-binding capacity (Kovacs et al., 2008). It was also reported that both ERD10 and ERD14 can be phosphorylated at various sites, which promotes the binding of divalent metal ions, and this might be related to their ion-sequestering activity (Rorat, 2006).

Drought tolerance is assessed as the ability of plants to maintain a certain level of production under water shortage, which is relevant for most economically important crops (Volaires and Lelièvre, 2001). Accumulation of dehydrins in leaves under drought is a quite general phenomenon, but the dehydrin patterns may differ considerably between species subjected to the same drought treatment which makes them suitable as diagnostic tools (Close, 1997; Vaseva et al., 2014). Immunodetection of strong dehydrin accumulation in four plant species (Trifolium repens, Helianthus annuus, Dactylis glomerata, and Lolium perenne) subjected to uniform dehydration is represented on Figure 8. Immunosignals are revealed with antibodies against both the K- and the Y-dehydrin segments. The analyzed plants are important forage crops, often used in pasture seed mixes. The considerable differences among immunosignal spectra of the tested species (Figure 8) indicate that these drought-stress markers are highly specific for the different plants and a universal assessment approach is not applicable for dehydrins.

A recent study on Trifolium repens dehydrins revealed complex structure of dehydrin-coding sequences, which could be a prerequisite for high variability among the transcripts originating...
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FIGURE 8 | Dehydrin patterns in drought-stressed and control leaves of *Trifolium repens* (*T. r.*), *Helianthus uniflorus* (*H. u.*), *Dactylis glomerata* (*D. g.*), and *Lolium perenne* (*L. p.*). The various plant species were grown in the same containers to ensure identical conditions. Controls (C) were incubated on standard nutrient medium, while polyethylene glycol 6000 was added to this medium for incubations under artificial drought (D). Crude extract was analyzed by SDS-PAGE electrophoresis followed by staining with Coomassie Brilliant Blue (loading control). The supernatant of heat-treated and then centrifuged crude extract was used for immunoblots with specific antibodies against the well conserved dehydrin K- (Deh K) and Y-segments (Deh Y).

from a single gene (Vaseva et al., 2014). For some dehydrins, natural antisense transcripts have been identified (Vaseva and Feller, 2013). It has been suggested that natural antisense RNAs hold potential to regulate the expression of their sense partner(s) at either transcriptional or post-transcriptional level (Sunkar et al., 2007), which remains to be experimentally verified for dehydrins.

**AQUAPORINS**

Aquaporins represent a group of membrane proteins facilitating the transport of water across a membrane (Lovisolo et al., 2007; Prado and Maurel, 2013; Li et al., 2014). Although aquaporins were initially identified as membrane intrinsic proteins facilitating water transport, it is well accepted now that they play also an important role in CO₂ transport across plant membranes (Uehlein et al., 2003; Katsu hara and Hanba, 2008; Secchi and Zwieniecki, 2013; Kaldenhoff et al., 2014). Both functions are highly relevant under abiotic stresses, especially for the regulation of leaf hydraulics under drought stress (Prado and Maurel, 2013). The expression of aquaporins under various environmental conditions is well regulated (Chaumont and Tyerman, 2014). Previous studies have reported that environmental stresses, among which these with dehydration element, regulate the expression of aquaporins (Maurel et al., 2002; Suga et al., 2002; Vera-Estrella et al., 2004; Ayadi et al., 2011; Mirzaei et al., 2012).

Aquaporins are present in plants under various forms and they may differ considerably in their properties (Lovisolo et al., 2007). Aquaporins are not only important in various shoot parts, but may also play a key role in regulating the hydraulic conductance in roots (Perrone et al., 2012). However, these authors concluded that a root-specific aquaporin is more important in the regulation of water flow from the roots to the shoot in well-watered than in drought-stressed plants.

**LONG-DISTANCE TRANSPORT VIA XYLEM AND PHLOEM**

Solute transport via the two long-distance transport systems xylem and phloem are highly important for the supply of various organs with nutrients and assimilates (Bahrun et al., 2002; Sevanto, 2014). The transport network is strongly affected by abiotic stresses. This provokes changes in the translocation of nutrients and assimilates (including phytohormones) via the xylem from the roots to the shoot under adverse conditions. Redistribution processes via the phloem within the shoot or from the shoot to the roots is also strongly affected by stress.

**SOLUTE ALLOCATION VIA THE XYLEM**

Root development and root metabolism are both influenced by drought (Mori and Inagaki, 2012; Comas et al., 2013). The transport in the xylem is driven by the water potential difference between the soil and the atmosphere (transpiration) and strongly depends on stomatal conductance (Miyashita et al., 2005; Bollig and Feller, 2014). The relative transpiration rates of various shoot organs determine the distribution of solutes present in the xylem sap. Besides the quantity of xylem sap transported from the roots to the shoot, the composition of the xylem sap may be affected by drought as a consequence of altered root physiology (Bahrun et al., 2002; Comas et al., 2013). For example abscisic acid which is involved in decreasing stomatal conductance is synthesized in roots and it is a well-known signaling molecule in the xylem sap of drought-stressed plants (Ismail et al., 1994; Hansen and Dorfling, 1999; Alvarez et al., 2008). Air embolism caused by a fall in hydraulic conductivity in the xylem of vascular plants may become an issue under severe drought (Kolb and Davis, 1994; Cochard, 2002; Kaufmann et al., 2009). A partial repair of embolism during the recovery was reported for grapevine (Lovisolo et al., 2008). Abscisic acid may accumulate in the roots during the drought period, reach after rehydration the leaves via the xylem, cause stomatal closure and improve as a consequence the water potential in various shoot parts facilitating the repair
of embolism (Lovisolo et al., 2008). More recently Secchi and Zwieniecki (2014) reported a strong up-regulation of aquaporin gene expression when xylem embolism was formed. Furthermore, these authors concluded from experiments with transgenic poplar plants that the expression of aquaporin genes is important for the recovery from embolism.

**REDISTRIBUTION PROCESSES VIA THE PHLOEM**

The export of nutrients and assimilates from source leaves to sink organs is important for the development of vegetative and reproductive organs and for the overall performance of crop plants (Van Bel, 2003). Possible mechanisms for drought effects on phloem transport were reviewed recently by Sevanto (2014). The accumulation of dehydrins in the phloem of Solanaceae plants under drought stress were reported and discussed in the context of protecting sieve tubes and companion cells under abiotic stresses (Szabala et al., 2014). Besides the mass flow in the sieve tubes, the composition of the phloem sap represents another key issue. Strong influences of soil drought on the source/sink network must be expected (Gilgen and Feller, 2013). The allocation of solutes, traced by $^{134}$Cs label of control and drought-stressed plants from leaf 3 (third-oldest leaf) to roots, older leaves (leaves 1 and 2) and younger leaves of wheat during vegetative growth is illustrated in Figure 9. Lower solute content, as evident from the measured label signal, was transported under drought from leaf 3 to the roots, while the supply of the other plant parts was not significantly influenced by artificial drought (polyethylene glycol 6000). Root development and productivity under drought may differ between wheat genotypes indicating that there might be some potential for novel breeding strategies in the future (Mori and Inagaki, 2012). Soil may not suffer water deprivation uniformly during a drought period. Such conditions were simulated in an experiment with a split root system of white clover (Figure 10) where the allocation of $^{134}$Cs from a leaf to other plant parts was monitored. The low water potential in the environment of some roots caused a decreased solute supply via the phloem presumably as a consequence of a reduced sink capacity in these roots. This demonstrates that root growth and development in rapidly drying soil regions are more severely affected. This could result in highly asymmetrical root systems which on the other hand may obstruct the recovery after re-watering. The unequal root distribution in the soil would not allow an optimal use of resources at the beginning of recovery phase. Later, after re-watering new roots may be formed and this will allow the exploration of previously inaccessible soil regions.

**FIGURE 9** Allocation of $^{134}$Cs from leaf 3 to other parts of control and drought-stressed wheat. The plants were grown on standard nutrient medium for 17 d before starting the experiment (day 0). The water potential in the nutrient medium was decreased by addition of polyethylene glycol 6000 (PEG; 100 g PEG plus 1 liter standard nutrient medium at the beginning). The label was introduced via a flap into the lamina of leaf 3 at day 4 according to Schenk and Feller (1990). The label signal was detected by gamma spectrometry. Means + SD of 4 replicates are shown. Significant differences between roots of drought-stressed and control plants of the same age at **P = 0.01** are indicated. No significant differences were detected in leaves.

**FIGURE 10** Allocation of $^{134}$Cs from a leaf to other plant parts of white clover in a split-root system with one part of the root system in standard medium and the other part in medium containing polyethylene glycol 6000 (PEG; 100 g PEG plus 1 liter standard nutrient medium to lower the water potential). The plants were grown with both parts of the split roots in standard nutrient medium for 52 d before starting the experiment (day 0), then the nutrient medium was replaced by new standard medium for one part of the root system and with standard medium containing PEG for the other part. The label was introduced at day 4 (collected at day 11) and at day 11 (collected at day 18) via a petiole flap into the largest fully expanded trifoliate. “Leaves” represent all leaves with petioles except the labeled leaf. Means + SD of 6 replicates are shown. Significant differences between roots in PEG and control roots at *P = 0.05* are indicated.
CONCLUSIONS
Climate change is a challenge for plant breeders, physiologists, agronomists and decision makers (Ingram et al., 2008). Various species differ in their drought and heat tolerance. Furthermore, a moderate temperature increase may be beneficial for certain crops (e.g., maize) which are cold-sensitive (Klein et al., 2013). The identification of key processes on the whole plant level is important for genotype selection and organizing breeding programs in the future (Gornall et al., 2010). Organ development, assimilatory processes, morphological adaptations, long-distance transport, senescence and seed maturation may contribute to the overall response. Our knowledge in this field is still quite limited. Not only species, but also genotypes of the same species may differ considerably in their tolerance to abiotic stresses such as drought or heat. The performance during stress and subsequent recovery phases must be considered in this context (Walter et al., 2011). A plant with a poor performance during the stress phase may survive longer and recover more efficiently than a plant which initially remains productive under unfavorable conditions. In monocultures breeding, genotype selection and agronomic practices represent challenges to cope with climatic changes including more frequent extreme events during the next decades as predicted from regional climate models.

In mixed cultures (e.g., grasslands) the competition between species must be taken additionally into account. Stress periods may affect various plants differently and cause a shift in the species spectrum (Jentsch et al., 2011) negatively influencing the competition between the cultivated plants and certain weeds (Gilgen et al., 2010). Accordingly such interactions not only result in decrease yield but they require extra measures in weed control management.
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