# Children, Memory, and Family Identity in Roman Culture

Edited by
VÉRONIQUE DASEN
and
THOMAS SPÄTH

OXFORD
UNIVERSITY PRESS

OXFORD
UNIVERSITY PRESS

Great Clarendon Street, Oxford OX2 6DP

Oxford University Press is a department of the University of Oxford.
It furthers the University's objective of excellence in research, scholarship,
and education by publishing worldwide in

Oxford New York

Auckland Cape Town Dar es Salaam Hong Kong Karachi
Kuala Lumpur Madrid Melbourne Mexico City Nairobi
New Delhi Shanghai Taipei Toronto

With offices in

Argentina Austria Brazil Chile Czech Republic France Greece
Guatemala Hungary Italy Japan Poland Portugal Singapore
South Korea Switzerland Thailand Turkey Ukraine Vietnam

Oxford is a registered trade mark of Oxford University Press
in the UK and in certain other countries

Published in the United States
by Oxford University Press Inc., New York

© Oxford University Press 2010

The moral rights of the author have been asserted
Database right Oxford University Press (maker)

First published 2010

All rights reserved. No part of this publication may be reproduced,
stored in a retrieval system, or transmitted, in any form or by any means,
without the prior permission in writing of Oxford University Press,
or as expressly permitted by law, or under terms agreed with the appropriate
reprographics rights organization. Enquiries concerning reproduction
outside the scope of the above should be sent to the Rights Department,
Oxford University Press, at the address above

You must not circulate this book in any other binding or cover
and you must impose the same condition on any acquirer

British Library Cataloguing in Publication Data
Data available

Library of Congress Cataloging in Publication Data
Library of Congress Control Number: 2010933158

Typeset by SPI Publisher Services, Pondicherry, India
Printed in Great Britain
on acid-free paper by
MPG Books Group, Bodmin and King's Lynn

ISBN 978–0–19–958257–0

1 3 5 7 9 10 8 6 4 2

# *Preface and Acknowledgements*

This volume presents a selection of the papers delivered at the Fifth Roman Family Conference, 'Secret Families, Family Secrets', which took place in June 2007 in Fribourg (Switzerland). The conference, held for the first time in Europe, assembled specialists from different academic and cultural traditions: American, Australian, Belgian, Finnish, French, German, Italian, and Swiss.

Thanks are due to a number of institutions for their generous financial support which made the conference possible: the Swiss National Sciences Foundation, the Swiss Academy of Humanities and Social Sciences, and the Département des Sciences de l'Antiquité of the University of Fribourg. We are also very grateful for the editorial assistance of Oxford University Press, for the judicious comments of OUP's readers, and to Lukas Grossmann and Diana Valaperta who contributed to the preparation of the final version of the volume.

Our thanks go above all to Beryl Rawson, for the outstanding impulse she gave to Roman family studies and for her trust and continuous encouragement.

V.D. and T.S.

# *Contents*

*List of Contributors* ix
*List of Figures* x
*Abbreviations* xiii

Introduction 1
*Véronique Dasen and Thomas Späth*

I. FAMILY IDENTITIES AND TRADITIONS

1. Remembering one's Ancestors, following in their Footsteps, being like them: The Role and Forms of Family Memory in the Building of Identity 19
*Catherine Baroin*

2. Roman Patchwork Families: Surrogate Parenting, Socialization, and the Shaping of Tradition 49
*Ann-Cathrin Harders*

3. Children and the Transmission of Religious Knowledge 73
*Francesca Prescendi*

4. Women and Children in Ancient Landscape 95
*Michel E. Fuchs*

5. Wax and Plaster Memories: Children in Elite and non-Elite Strategies 109
*Véronique Dasen*

6. Cicero, Tullia, and Marcus: Gender-Specific Concerns for Family Tradition? 147
*Thomas Späth*

7. Children and the Memory of Parents in the Late Roman World 173
*Ville Vuolanto*

## II. CHILDREN ON THE MARGINS?

8. Degrees of Freedom: *Vernae* and Junian Latins in the Roman *familia* 195
*Beryl Rawson*

9. *Modestia* vs. *licentia*: Seneca on Childhood and Status in the Roman Family 223
*Francesca Mencacci*

10. *Delicia*-Children Revisited: The Evidence of Statius' *Silvae* 245
*Christian Laes*

11. The Sick Child in his Family: A Risk for the Family Tradition 273
*Danielle Gourevitch*

12. Hidden in Plain Sight: *Expositi* in the Community 293
*Judith Evans Grubbs*

13. Rome: The Invisible Children of Incest 311
*Philippe Moreau*

*References* 331
*General Index* 363
*Index of Ancient Authors and People* 367
*Index of Latin and Greek Terms* 372

# *List of Contributors*

**Catherine Baroin** is Maître de conférences of Latin Language and Literature at the University of Rouen (France).

**Véronique Dasen** is Professor in Classical Archaeology at the University of Fribourg (Switzerland).

**Judith Evans Grubbs** is Professor of Roman History at Emory University (USA).

**Michel Fuchs** is Professor in Roman Provincial Archaeology at the University of Lausanne (Switzerland).

**Danielle Gourevitch** is Directeur d'études at the École pratique des Hautes Études in Paris (France).

**Ann-Cathrin Harders** is Lecturer in Ancient History at the University of Münster (Germany).

**Christian Laes** is Assistant Professor of Ancient History at the University of Antwerp, and Assistant Professor of Latin and Ancient History at the Free University of Brussels (Belgium).

**Francesca Mencacci** is Professor of Classical Philology at the University of Siena (Italy).

**Philippe Moreau** is Professor of Latin Language and Literature at the University Paris Est Créteil (France).

**Francesca Prescendi** is Professor in History of Religions at the University of Geneva (Switzerland).

**Beryl Rawson** is Professor Emerita and Adjunct Professor in Classics at the Australian National University, Canberra (Australia).

**Thomas Späth** is Professor in Ancient Cultures and Constructions of Antiquity at the University of Bern (Switzerland).

**Ville Vuolanto** is Lecturer at the Department of History and Philosophy, University of Tampere (Finland).

# Introduction

*Véronique Dasen and Thomas Späth*

In 1986, the publication of the first *Roman Family Conference* by Beryl Rawson promised '*New Perspectives*' in the study of family life, defined as the household, including the conjugal family and non-kin dependants.[1] The following three *Roman Family Conferences* demonstrated that family studies had departed from a traditional prosopographic approach, centred on the study of upper-class marriage alliances and politics. Moreover, they promoted an in-depth transformation of the mode of inquiry into social history, and engaged other disciplines, such as demography, anthropology, and archaeology, which brought a new focus on social values and the inner workings of the family. The first volume[2] dealt with the acquisition and transmission of property by women, questioned the extent of the father's rights, and examined children of humble legal status, such as those born to slave and free parents, or illegitimates, or 'foster children', such as *alumni* and *vernae*. Published in 1991, the proceedings of the second conference[3] further expanded the themes, and issues concerned matrimonial strategies, attitudes towards divorce, remarriage and adoption, and marriages involving slaves or recently freed slaves. In particular, contributors confronted the sentimental ideal of family life with the frequency of reconstituted or blended families in the upper classes. From this emerged a dynamic field of

[1] Rawson 1986. For a definition, see also George 2005, 1–3.
[2] Rawson 1986.
[3] Rawson 1991.

research, which the next two conferences pursued and deepened.[4] Specifically, they explored the structure of Roman kinship, and endeavoured to reveal greater insights into the lives of families from the lower social strata. This research also drew increasingly on iconography in order to understand adult–child relationships. Contributions, moreover, discussed the physical setting of family life, and to what extent the space of the Roman house reflected the organization of kin and non-kin in the same household. In the fourth volume, edited by Michele George in 2005, investigations expanded beyond Italy to other provinces of the Roman Empire.

The present volume builds on these results and presents a selection of the papers delivered at the fifth *Roman Family Conference* in Fribourg (Switzerland) in summer 2007. This first *Roman Family Conference* to be held in Europe brought together scholars spanning Anglo-American and European research traditions and specializing in Roman family and childhood history, and continued the dialogue between these various approaches.[5] Based on the current international developments of the history of the family, the contributions gathered here focus on three much debated areas of Roman social history: the history of childhood, the concept of social memory, and the modes of construction and transmission of social identity.

Ancient childhood has recently become a significant issue. A wealth of studies has raised new methodological questions thanks to cross-disciplinary and comparative approaches over large geographical areas. The notion of childhood is as complex and challenging as that of the 'Roman family'. In *Constructions of Childhood in*

[4] Rawson and Weaver 1997; George 2005.

[5] See, for instance, the series entitled *Kindheit, Jugend, Familie* [Childhood, Youth, Family] published by the Centre for Historical Anthropology at the University of Freiburg im Breisgau (Germany): Müller 1985; Martin and Nitschke 1986; Martin and Zoepffel 1989. In 1986, a colloquium on the interrelations between the family and political practices was held in France, and its proceedings were published in 1990 (Andreau and Bruhns 1990). 1986 also saw the publication of the two-volume *Histoire de la famille* (Burguière *et al.* 1986), in whose first volume Y. Thomas and A. Rousselle published important contributions to the understanding of ancient Rome (Rousselle 1986; Thomas 1986). Since the 1980s, the Centre for the Study of Anthropology of the Ancient World, *Antropologia del mondo antico*, established by Maurizio Bettini at the University of Siena, has published the findings of numerous research projects on the Roman family and kinship—see, for instance, Beltrami 1998; Bettini 1986; Guastella 1985; Mencacci 1996.

*Ancient Greece and Italy*, Ada Cohen and Jeremy Rutter have underscored the instability of its definition, which depends not only on biological but also on shifting cultural criteria.[6] Among the new directions, many questions concerning the parent–child bond and the role of the child within the family are revisited. Thus, Philippe Ariès's thought-provoking *L'Enfant et la vie familiale sous l'Ancien Régime*,[7] which refuted both the notions of childhood as a separate stage of human life and of sentimental relationships between adults and children in pre-modern societies, was first widely acknowledged also by ancient historians.[8] Concurrently, however, Ariès's claims were critically debated in relation to ancient Rome, for instance in Judith Hallet's study of the close relationships between fathers and daughters in Roman elites, or in Jean-Pierre Néraudau's *Être enfant à Rome*. In their contributions to the second *Roman Family Conference*, Susan Dixon and Emiel Eyben opposed 'negative generalisations', and instead postulated the transfer of current notions of the family and of emotional bonds onto antiquity.[9] The most substantiated criticism of Ariès's claims concerned his interpretation of iconographic materials.[10] With regard to antiquity, it is thus especially archaeological evidence that has offered new insights into the value of children from birth onwards in both the upper and lower classes.[11] Funerary practices, for example, demonstrate that specific rituals were performed for dead newborn babies and infants. In the Roman world,

[6] Cohen and Rutter 2007. See also Dasen 2004; Mustakallio, Hanska, Sainio, and Vuolanto 2005. For a review of past scholarship, see also Dasen, Lett, Morel, and Rollet 2001; Backe-Dahmen 2006.

[7] Ariès 1960.

[8] See Backe-Dahmen (2006: 11) for references to the corresponding passages in Wiedemann 1989, Golden 1988, and Bakke 2005, who all date the emergence of the notion of childhood as a fully-fledged stage of life already to Christianity or before—and not only to the 17th cent. like Ariès. The latter's study is not concerned with antiquity; he had restricted his claims decidedly to the Middle Ages and Early Modern Age; see his preface to the new edition of his book (Ariès 1973: 18–19), where he sets apart the period of Imperial Rome by making reference to the numerous children's graves during the first four centuries, which attest to parents mourning the death of an infant aged a few months or of a young child a few years old.

[9] Hallett 1984; Néraudau 1984; Dixon 1991; Eyben 1991. See also Rawson 2003.

[10] See A. Cohen's introduction to Cohen and Rutter 2007: 6.

[11] Neils and Oakley 2004; Uzzi 2005; Backe-Dahmen 2006.

the under-representation of small children in traditional funerary spaces is now explained by their presence in other contexts, such as in settlements, or inside houses or along their walls. Similar observations have also begun to emerge about the Greek world.[12] Contrary to longstanding belief, while small children occupied a marginal status, in that they were too young to be fully recognized social beings, this did not imply that their parents treated them with indifference.

If recent studies have demonstrated that Ariès's ideas must be called into question with regard to ancient societies, the specific practices of love and affection in Roman culture remain open to discussion. The emotional involvement of parents did indeed interact with the construction of family traditions—*traditions* in quite a distinct sense from those unearthed by standard prosopographic studies, which have assumed since the nineteenth century that there was evidence for the existence of *political* constants in connection with specific gentilician names.[13] Today, historians of Roman family history inquire into tradition in terms of identity: how was family identity elaborated and transmitted in the everyday practices of family life, notwithstanding regular ruptures—divorces, remarriages, adoptions—which were part of daily life in ancient Rome? The notion of tradition implies the transmission of memory. Memory and identity, however, are individual facts,[14] and their collective meaning needs explanation. The present volume begins with exploring how children acquire personal memory within the family, which is as such anchored within the social group of its ancestors and in the interaction between the families constituting Roman society. The contributions thus propose answers to a question that has remained unanswered in the numerous historical investigations into *social memory* over the past decades: from the

[12] Coulon 1994; Duday, Laubenheimer' and Tillier 1995; Gourevitch, Moirin, and Rouquet 2003; Durand 2003; Alfonso and Blaizot 2004; Laubenheimer 2004; Baills-Talbi and Blanchard 2006; Dasen 2009b and 2010.

[13] See Hinnerk Bruhns's essay and the Introduction by H. Bruhns and J. Andreau in Andreau and Bruhns 1990.

[14] This is the object of F. Yates's study (1966) which rests on individual *art* of memory and of mnemotechnics without exploring the collective dimension of memory.

debate on collective memory which surged in the 1980s, starting with theoretical deliberations on the relationship between history and memory,[15] through the *lieux de mémoire* (sites of memory) of national histories,[16] to research on Roman practices of politically governed social memory.[17] Notwithstanding many new insights, these studies hardly considered the interdependence between individual and collective memory as a problem.

The new interest of historical research in the social phenomenon of memory amounts to the rediscovery of a research topic prevalent in the 1920s. Between 1924 and 1929, Aby Warburg devoted himself to his last scholarly work, the *Mnemosyne Atlas*, an inventory of pictures in which he set about tracing a European memory of images that he termed 'social memory'.[18] Warburg's reflections attained no theoretical substantiation beyond these marvellous plates, and thus remained an isolated project within the history of art, quite unlike the work of the French sociologist Maurice Halbwachs, which attracted widespread reception about half a century later, especially in Classics.[19] Halbwachs starts out from the postulate that the individual's integration into a collective symbolic order serves as a prerequisite for any recollection of past events, that is, that they can be situated within a social context and attributed meanings. Collective memory does not exist beyond individual organic memory, he further asserts, but collective and individual memory are instead interdependent. Individuals remember by adopting the viewpoint of the group; conversely, memory realizes and manifests itself

[15] For a representative example in medieval history research, see J. Le Goff's *Storia e memoria*; for ancient history scholarship, see G. S. Shrimpton's *History and Memory in Ancient Greece* (1997).

[16] Pierre Nora's pioneering study (1997) has been much emulated, for instance in Germany (François and Schulze 2001), Italy (Isnenghi 1996–8), but also in studies of the ancient world (Stein-Hölkeskamp 2006). For a discussion of the concept of memory in 20th-cent. historiography, see most recently Whitehead 2009.

[17] See C. Hedrick's study of *CIL* 6. 1783, the alleged *damnatio memoriae* of Nicomachus Flavianus and his rehabilitation in the year 431 CE (Hedrick 2000).

[18] Warburg 2008.

[19] Beyond the study mentioned here (Halbwachs 1994 [1925]), see especially the posthumously published *La Mémoire collective*, in which Halbwachs further pursued his reflections (Halbwachs 1968 [1950]).

in individual memories.[20] Halbwachs does not consider this collective memory to be an image or copy of the past, but claims instead that it is characterized by selectivity and reconstructivity. Thus, memory is shaped, selected, and reorganized according to social needs, which are themselves contingent on the ever-changing present. Halbwachs demonstrates this concept of memory as much in both small groups and the family, and subsequently expands his basic postulate to religious communities and the European aristocracy.[21] The question bound up with his expanded postulate, namely about the specific mechanisms of transmission and the formation of tradition over centuries, remained unanswered during Halbwachs's life and research, which came to an abrupt end with his murder by the Nazis in Buchenwald in 1945.

Halbwachs's deliberations served as a starting point for the research undertaken by Aleida and Jan Assmann since the mid-1980s amid the interdisciplinary exchange between English Studies and Egyptology. Their fundamental distinction is that between *communicative* and *cultural* memory. Communicative memory corresponds to the 'biographical recollection' of everyday communication, which comprises three generations and a period of eighty to a hundred years, and thus also includes the 'recent past' of *oral tradition* research. Unlike the 'floating gap',[22] firmly established since Jan Vansina advanced his hypotheses in the 1960s, Aleida and Jan Assmann posit that in the social practice of remembrance cultural memory

[20] Halbwachs 1994 [1925]: viii. See also the first chapter, 'Mémoire collective et mémoire individuelle', in Halbwachs 1968 [1950]: 1–34.

[21] In anticipation of the concept of 'sites of memory', Halbwachs published *Topographie légendaire des Évangiles en Terre Sainte* in 1941 (Halbwachs 1971 [1941]); an English translation containing excerpts from this study and from *Cadres sociaux de la mémoire* was published as *On Collective Memory* (ed., trans., and with an introd. by L. A. Coser, Chicago, 1992).

[22] Vansina 1985: 23–4. With regard to oral tradition, Vansina postulates a tripartite division of time, comprising first the highly informative stories about the most recent past, second the equally detailed accounts of the origins of a remote time, and third for the time between these periods the merely scant records, which he terms the 'floating gap'. In her trend-setting study of the construction of 'family tradition' in 5th- and 4th-cent. Athenian oral tradition, R. Thomas furnishes evidence precisely for this three-generational structure of memory handed down in (aristocratic) families, beyond which hardly anything is remembered up until a legendary eponymous ancestor becomes situated in a mythical past (Thomas 1989: esp. 123–31).

immediately follows on from everyday memory. Cultural memory recalls events and narrated persons, which both designate the origins of the cultural present and become consolidated in 'figures of remembrance'. Such figures of remembrance, moreover, are transmitted via specific media: by means of language, such as myths or epic song; by means of images, such as sculptures and buildings; and by means of performance, such as rituals and festivals. All media are constantly made new by designated 'specialists', such as rhapsodists, scribes, or priests, in forms rendered distinct from the everyday. Cultural memory thus preserves the identity of a culture, not only by conserving collective knowledge about origins and values, but also by continuously reactualizing such knowledge.[23]

Aleida and Jan Assmann's further developments of Halbwachs's approaches, as outlined here, present a set of conceptual tools able to conceive the transmission of the origin and foundation narratives in social groups. At the same time, however, these concepts are limited to the macro perspective of the grand narratives about the origins of overall cultures, and thus leave unanswered two fundamental issues: on the one hand, they neglect the memories of specific social groups within these overall cultures; on the other, their structuralist viewpoint fails to account for the pragmatic mechanisms about how everyday life conveys 'cultural memory' through commonplace actions and behaviour in the sphere of 'communicative memory'.[24]

Notwithstanding the evident limitations of such a perspective, the concept of 'cultural memory' has proved to be a highly stimulating approach for investigating the *invention of tradition*, also and

[23] For a definition of cultural memory, see especially Assmann and Assmann 1988: 29–33; J. Assmann 1988: 12–6 and 1997: 52–6.

[24] Aleida Assmann's work refers to 'communicative memory' as 'ephemeral and trivial' (Assmann 1991*b*: 14; see also Assmann 1991*a*: 187–91), while Jan Assmann notes its 'unshapedness, arbitrariness, disorganisation' (Assmann 1988: 10). The reasons justifying the exclusion of 'communicative memory' as a research topic coincide largely with the reasons that made Ferdinand de Saussure, the founder of structuralist linguistics, refute 'parole' (speech) as a suitable object of research, given that it was 'accessory' and 'accidental'; Saussure therefore studied the structures of 'langue', that is, of language as a system, declaring this to be the only object of a science known as linguistics. What 'langue' was for Saussure, 'cultural memory' is for A. and J. Assmann. For a more detailed substantiation of this classification, see Späth 1998: 42–3.

precisely in Roman culture. This approach has been conceptually further developed into the notion of *social memory*, thus rendering it capable of grasping the complex group-specific mnemonic processes within an overall culture.[25] In Roman society, the *mos maiorum* emerges as binding memory; such 'ancestral custom' also substantiates Roman aristocratic identity and allows us to observe its ceaseless transformation.[26] Especially German-speaking ancient historians have in recent years engaged in a broad debate on the social process of collective identity establishment.[27] In Republican and Imperial Rome, social values are conveyed not in abstract maxims, but instead in narratives about the exemplary deeds of 'great men'. These deeds are incessantly reactualized in Roman historiography, in the speeches delivered in the courts and in the Senate, and in the numerous commemorative statues and wall paintings in the squares, streets, and sacred sites of ancient Rome.[28] At the centre of these actualizations stands the aristocratic *domus*, which was understood as both the family's social group and as the concrete physical space in which its ancestors enjoyed visible and everyday presence as *imagines*, the wax busts and descriptions of their exemplary deeds. On the other hand, the *domus* ensured the constant renewal of remembrance—not only by way of the *pompa funebris*, but also through the endeavours of elite families to attain pictorial presence in urban space in the form of statues and paintings.

All investigations into Roman social memory point to the family as the pivotal point of the transmission of tradition and values. Compared to classical Athens, for which Rosalind Thomas's study of the construction of memory along the lines of the *oral tradition* has established a marked difference between family traditions and a significantly more complex 'polis tradition', the Roman construction of family traditions is characterized by ancestors being remembered not because of their individual characteristics but because of their exemplary fulfilment of the collective norms of the *mos maiorum*. Such a construction raises even more urgently the question how

[25] See Fentress and Wickham 1992. For an application of this concept to Roman processes of memory construction, see Horsfall 1996; Späth 1998: 45–6.

[26] Gehrke 1994; David 1998*a* and 1998*b*.

[27] See the three collections edited by Braun, Haltenhoff, and Mutschler 2000; Linke and Stemmler 2000; Haltenhoff, Heil, and Mutschler 2005.

[28] Hölkeskamp 1996; Späth 1998; Coudry and Späth 2001.

this complex process of bringing together collective memory with ancestral commemoration was conveyed to the Roman family on an everyday basis. The working assumption of the contributions to the fifth *Roman Family Conference* is that children were the key actors in this process.

This volume thus focuses on the role of children in the transmission of social memory as an instrument for the construction of social identities within the family. It draws together the perspectives of various disciplines: historical and epigraphic approaches combine with the perspectives that archaeology, legal history, religious studies, the history of medicine, gender history, and historical anthropology develop on Roman children living in different family and household circumstances, from the city of Rome to the Italian peninsula and from the first century BCE to Late Antiquity and the Christian period. Accordingly, the essays assembled here present a wide range of source materials, including not only textual sources written in diverse genres, but also visual and material evidence. The collection thus presents a wealth of evidence for future scholarship. All contributions attest to a growing interest in both relationships and practices, and in material and cultural contexts, rather than in institutions; thus, they reflect shifting concerns among a new generation of Roman family historians. The available source materials render obvious a discussion of those children whose legal and social status makes them the actual bearers of family tradition, not only the legitimate children of the elite *domus*, but also those of non-aristocratic families. Significantly, the contributions gathered here also point to the importance of examining those children living outside the legally determined succession of generations and in extraordinary circumstances, for instance on the edge of or beyond legimitacy.

The first part of this collection deals with family identities and traditions; it explores the notion of memory, and examines the role of children in its transmission in elite and non-elite circles.

Familial memory is not passive. The first four chapters examine the mechanisms of memory in the construction of gentilician identity, established by the transmission of names and genealogy and by the performance of religious rites and actions. In Republican Rome, it was a father's task to instruct his son and to turn him into a worthy

representative of his family, just as he himself had learned to be a Roman aristocrat by following his own father's *exemplum*. Catherine Baroin investigates the concept of imitation, based on the knowledge of past deeds; its active dimension is well illustrated by the metaphor 'following in the footsteps of our ancestors' used by various authors (Cicero, Seneca, Tacitus). More than physical resemblance, moral behaviour was expected to reflect the model of the father or of a prestigious ancestor.

Imitation was not restricted to agnates. Ann-Cathrin Harders argues that maternal relatives also had an influence on children, especially in the absence of fathers, on account of high mortality rates, divorce, and remarriage. Familial break-ups entailed 'patchwork families', that is, constructions that cannot be described in strict agnatic terms. The fragmentation of Roman families was countered by substitute parenting, often by cognate kin or by the single mother offering an alternative model. The ideal of *imitatio patris* was thus replaced with the practice of *imitatio cognati,* that is, the imitation of both paternal and maternal ancestors. A bilinear, cognatic tradition became more visible and prominent. Harders studies four cases of surrogate parenting in the second and first century bce, and closely documents the impact of alternatives to paternal socialization on the moulding of tradition.

Religious knowledge was also transmitted to children by imitation. Francesca Prescendi examines the mechanisms of this kind of rote memorization, where the child learns by repetition, without, however, being conscious of the process. The active participation of children in private and public rites was important, albeit with restrictions, as only children whose parents were alive (*patrimi matrimique*) were allowed to participate as servers in religious cults. Children were deliberately taught to perform the rites only on a few rare occasions. According to Statius, preceptors explained the history of religious colleges to the sons of prominent families who would one day enter these seats of learning. Michel Fuchs's chapter pursues these reflections through a discussion of relevant images and pictures: the landscapes depicted in the wall paintings discovered at Pompeii and in other villas contain realistic scenes of everyday family life in ancient Rome. The mother–daughter pair is a recurring motif in these images. Moreover, this pair is often shown in close

spatial proximity to a shrine or sanctuary—does this image motif perhaps suggest the iconic thematizing of a close mother–daughter relationship in cultural contexts?

One major element of family memory were the famous *imagines maiorum*, the wax portraits of office-holding ancestors kept in the home of the elite. No ancestor's *imago* has been archaeologically preserved, apart from the burnt wooden busts from *lararia* in Pompeii. Véronique Dasen has collected and discussed scattered iconographic documents. By contrast, a number of plaster masks of children, often very young, have been found in tombs of the imperial period in Rome and in the provinces. These artefacts come from non-elite families and raise a number of questions about commemorative practices and the status of children in lower social orders. Do they reflect aristocratic habits or other influences, such as Isiac beliefs? These unusual and little known funerary portraits allow us to revisit the need for memorials and the importance of *mimesis* in Roman society. They throw an unexpected light on the reworking of aristocratic imagery in freedmen's families.

The gendered dimension of the transmission of familial memory is addressed by Thomas Späth, whose essay pursues reflections raised by Susan Treggiari in the last Roman Family volume.[29] A series of Cicero's letters reveals his concern for his daughter Tullia and his son Marcus. In examining these letters in respect of a father's emotional attachment to his children, scholarship has focused particularly on Cicero's mourning the death of Tullia. Such emotional statements—often considered excessive—have been contrasted with his philosophical principles. Cicero's letters, however, cannot be interpreted as testimonies of ahistorical, universal paternal love. Instead, as the essay argues, they must be read as a specifically Roman expression of paternal affection: Cicero's concern for his personal prestige among the Senate aristocracy implied his concern for the prestige of his *domus* and family line. Revealing the gender-specific differences between Cicero's treatment of Tullia and Marcus thus yields further insights into the distinct social functions of daughters and sons also in the field of family memory.

[29] Treggiari 2005. See also Dixon 1991, Eyben 1991, Treggiari 2003.

The last contribution to the first part of this collection explores the importance of children for family continuity and for the survival of an individual's memory in the Late Roman world. Ville Vuolanto analyses the influence of Christianity on forms of commemoration in the light of the debate held by late fourth-and early fifth-century ecclesiastical authors in favour of asceticism and against married family life. Asceticism aimed at severing biological lineage by promoting spiritual fertility instead of earthly children. Notwithstanding these endeavours, and although the Church now replaced the family in the commemoration of the dead, tradition prevailed over the new faith. Children remained central to familial commemorative strategies and to bearing the family name and patrimony; they were also the principal actors in funerary rites and in the tendance of tombs.

The second part of the present volume deals with threats to familial memory, in terms of children deliberately or accidentally excluded from tradition. The chapters by Beryl Rawson, Francesca Mencacci, and Christan Laes are a focal point of this part. They reveal from different perspectives that children long believed to be invisible, such as *vernae*, that is, the children of slaves born at home, could indeed be integrated into the Roman family and into commemorative processes through being assigned specific functions and expected roles. Those children otherwise situated on the margins are the subject of the three following chapters, namely children outcast because of illness or their unusual status, such as *expositi*, or those born of incest.

In 'Degrees of Freedom', Beryl Rawson returns to a theme that has occupied her since 1966.[30] Surveying previous work on *vernae* confirms the commonly accepted definition of *verna* as 'a home-born slave'. *Vernae* might continue to have that term applied to them after manumission, in order to identify their primary bond. After manumission, *vernae* (like other slaves) normally took on the *nomen* of their former master or mistress, now their patron. But there are a few examples where the *nomen* differs. While they are by no means numerous, such irregularities compel us to consider more profoundly those aspects of society that might help explain them,

[30] Rawson 1966, 1989, 2003, 2005.

especially the flexibility and fluidity that allowed 'anomalies' to arise. The young ages of the anomalous *vernae* suggest that their status was that of *Junian Latins*. Their (informal) manumission in a household other than their final dedicator's suggests some degree of circulation of children between households. An examination of epigraphic, legal, iconographic, and literary evidence reveals various reasons for the transfer of dependants from one household to another, including loans, gifts, settlement of debts, transfer of property, death or divorce, and the abandonment (*expositio*) of infants, an aspect developed in Judith Evans Grubbs's contribution. Such diverse needs and motives could be accommodated in a society of considerable fluidity, especially due to considerable mortality rates and permeable status boundaries, and in a wide range of personal or familial relationships.

Francesca Mencacci discusses the emotional tension inherent in the status of a *verna* as both slave and surrogate son (or daughter). Many authors have suggested that among other reasons the *domini* of the imperial era were so fond of little slave children (*deliciae*) because of their verbal impudence and scurrilous jesting. Free speech and a certain kind of humour seem to have been encouraged in these children for the private entertainment of the *dominus* and his guests. Seneca explicitly observes that such verbal *licentia* was not permitted to the free children of the *domus*; the complete mastery of language was a mark of social distinction. By exploring the different shaping of speech habits of *ingenui* and slave children together with its social consequences, this contribution aims to identify the different ways in which free members of the Roman *familia* understood childhood and at the same time to define more precisely the nature of the relationship between *domini* and *deliciae*. Christian Laes pursues these reflections by raising interesting questions about Statius' four poems, which depict 'slave-pets', tokens of wealth, whose lower status may also be intentionally concealed. Affectionate relationships can develop, and *deliciae* designate cherished substitute or foster children.

The last three chapters deal with challenges to the transmission of familial memory. Sickness often leads to death in a society with high infant mortality. How is parental concern expressed in ancient sources? This issue mirrors family values and the importance of the survival of male progeny. Danielle Gourevitch builds on case

histories transmitted by Galen's reflections on the identity of those responsible for a child's health (nannies, physicians, mother, father, both parents); she underscores the dominant role of fathers, caring for their sick sons and hence for the continuity of gentilician and family tradition. Daughters and mothers are absent or secondary figures, but they may appear in other media.

Judith Evans Grubbs revisits the much debated custom of *expositio*, the 'putting out' of newborn infants. Using legal, papyrological, and literary sources from the Roman imperial period, she looks at the fate of abandoned infants. Most often, rescued *expositi* were raised as slaves, whereas under the law, they retained their original birth status. Moreover, a *pater familias* who had exposed his child retained *potestas* over it. In fact, not infrequently children were later reclaimed by the very parents who had abandoned them. Not surprisingly, this led to legal conflicts. Provincial governors regularly had to deal with claims for freedom brought before them on behalf of enslaved *expositi*. In some cases, the rescuers themselves presented the child to its parents, perhaps in the hope of reward. More often, recovery of an *expositus* spelled a legal conflict between exposer and rescuer. Such cases show that *expositio* was often a neighbourhood phenomenon. The parent abandoning a child might know (or suspect) the child's fate, and a rescuer might also know (or suspect) the child's identity. Parents might wait for circumstances to improve and then reclaim the child whose progress they had observed from a distance. Unlike the situations in ancient drama and novels, the identity of real-life *expositi* was often known. They were hidden in plain sight.

The final contribution to the present volume explores the much debated topic of the status of children born from incestuous relationships in the light of the late antique controversy. Philippe Moreau demonstrates that incest was not an issue for ancient Greeks and Romans. The birth of children from an incestuous relationship was nothing but a cognitive or sociological problem. The main question concerned the kinship term to be used for the children of a brother and sister, for instance: son or nephew? Emperors and their jurists, since Gaius, had had no doubt about the existence of such children and, dealing with them as a category, regarded them as mere illegitimate offspring, *spurii*, until Justinian's *Novellae* reduced their succession rights and forbade them from claiming *alimenta* from

their begetters. Since jurists would routinely cancel non-juridical data from such requests, we lack any sociological insight into children born from incestuous relationships—with one exception. In his *Libellus responsionum* to Augustine of Canterbury, Pope Saint Gregory the Great (601 CE) argued against marriages between close kin, because God prohibited such marriages, which furthermore could not procreate. It has been argued that this contention came from Augustine of Hippo, whereas in fact he disapproved of close-kin marriages in the *City of God* for another reason: marrying non-kin extends the social ties of *caritas*.

This collection reveals a highly diversified, multifaceted picture of the Roman family. The chapters prise open the normative model of the family and its agnatic kinship structure under the dominance of the *pater familias*: the ideal-typical family is confronted with the various family forms existing in actual practice. The daily life of Roman families is determined by manifoldly reconfigured household groups, resulting on the one hand from the extended functions of women as mothers or daughters, and, on the other, from the simultaneous presence of children with a different legal status. While these children are expected to behave in a clearly distinct manner, they are nevertheless an integrative part of the family. In such a diverse reality, memory becomes central to the construction of the family's group identity; children are required to step 'into the footsteps of their ancestors', a demand that appears even more pressing in patchwork families, in non-aristocratic circumstances, and in the lives of illegitimate children where ancestors lack clear definition and must be established contrary to fact as it were. The investigation of children conveying familial memory and identity thus reveals that the famous ancestors of the *mos maiorum* are social constructs made out of the present: the Roman concept of family identity is not only oriented towards the past and the ancestors, but also shaped by children and turned towards the future.

# *References*

Aasgaard, R. (2006), 'Children in Antiquity and Early Christianity: Research History and Central Issues', *Familia. Revista de ciencias y orientación familiar*, 33: 23–46.

Adriani, A. (1970), 'Ritratti dell'egitto greco-romano', *Mitteilungen des Deutschen Archäologischen Instituts, Römische Abteilung*, 77: 72–109.

Aldhouse Green, M. J. (1999), *Pilgrims in Stone: Stone Images from the Gallo-Roman Sanctuary of Fontes Sequanae*, Oxford.

Alfonso, G., and Blaizot, F. (eds.) (2004), *La villa gallo-romaine de Champ Madame à Beaumont (Puy-de-Dôme): Habitat et ensemble funéraire de nourrissons* (Documents d'Archéologie en Rhône-Alpes et en Auvergne 27), Lyon.

Allmer, A., and Dissard, P. (1885), *Trion, Antiquités découvertes en 1885, 1886 et antérieurement: Au quartier de Lyon dit de Trion*, Lyon.

Amerio, A. (1968), 'Galeno "puericultore"', *Pagine di storia della medicina*, 12/5: 24–7.

Andreau, J., and Bruhns, H. (eds.) (1990), *Parenté et stratégies familiales dans l'Antiquité romaine*. Actes de la table ronde des 2–4 octobre 1986, Paris (MSH), Rome.

Ankum, H. (2005), 'D.40.4.29 Scaevola L.23 Digestorum: Un drame matrimonial romain ayant des conséquences juridiques complexes', in Z. Słuzewska and J. Urbanik (eds.), *Marriage, Ideal—Law—Practice, Proceedings of a Conference Held in Memory of Henryk Kupiszewski in Warsaw on the 24th of April 2004*, Warsaw, 1–5.

Argento, R. (1972), 'Due epicedii di Papinio Statio. *Silvae* II.2, V.5', *Rivista di Studi Classici*, 20: 331–62.

Ariès, P. (1973 [1st edn. Paris 1960]), *L'Enfant et la vie familiale sous l'Ancien Régime*, Paris.

Arjava, A. (1996), *Women and Law in Late Antiquity*, Oxford.

Ascenzi, A. (1994), *La mummia romana di Grottarossa, Corso di perfezionamento in paleopatologia*, Pisa, private document for students.

——Bianco, P., Nicoletti, R., *et al.* (1996), 'The Roman Mummy of Grottarossa', in K. Spindler (ed.), *Human Mummies: A Global Survey of their Status and the Techniques of Conservation*, Vienna and New York, 205–18.

Assmann, A. (1991*a*), 'Fest und flüssig: Anmerkungen zu einer Denkfigur', in A. Assmann and D. Harth (eds.), *Kultur als Lebenswelt und Monument*, Frankfurt a.M., 181–99.

——(1991*b*), 'Kultur als Lebenswelt und Monument', in A. Assmann and D. Harth (eds.), *Kultur als Lebenswelt und Monument*, Frankfurt a.M., 11–25.

——and Assmann, J. (1988), 'Schrift, Tradition und Kultur', in W. Raible (ed.), *Zwischen Festtag und Alltag* (ScriptOralia 6), Tübingen, 25–49.

Assmann, J. (1988), 'Kollektives Gedächtnis und kulturelle Identität', in J. Assmann and T. Hölscher (eds.), *Kultur und Gedächtnis*, Frankfurt a, M., 9–19.

——(1997[2] [1st edn. 1992]), *Das kulturelle Gedächtnis: Schrift, Erinnerung und politische Identität in frühen Hochkulturen*, Munich.

Astin, A. E. (1967), *Scipio Aemilianus*, Oxford.

Atherton, C. (1998), 'Children, Animals, Slaves and Grammar', in Y. Lee Too and N. Livingstone (eds.), *Pedagogy and Power*, Cambridge, 214–44.

Aubert, M.-F., and Cortopassi, R. (2004), *Portraits funéraires de l'Egypte romaine*, I, *Masques en stuc*, Paris.

Auffarth, Ch. (2005), 'How to Sacrifice Correctly—without a Manual?', in R. Hägg and B. Alroth, *Greek Sacrificial Ritual, Olympian and Chthonian*, Stockholm, 11–21.

Backe-Dahmen, A. (2006), *Innocentissima aetas—Römische Kindheit im Spiegel literarischer, rechtlicher und archäologischer Quellen des 1. bis 4. Jahrhunderts n. Chr.*, Mainz.

Badel, C. (2005), *La Noblesse de l'Empire romain: Les Masques et la vertu*, Seyssel.

Badian, E. (1957), 'Caepio and Norbanus: Notes on the decade 100–90 B.C.', *Historia*, 6: 318–46.

——(1965), 'M. Porcius Cato and the Annexation and Early Administration of Cyprus', *JRS* 55: 110–21.

Bagnall, R. (1997), 'Missing Females in Roman Egypt', *Scripta Classica Israelica*, 16: 121–38.

——and Frier, B. (1994), *The Demography of Roman Egypt*, Cambridge.

Baills-Talbi, N., and Blanchard, P. (2006), 'Sépultures de nouveau-nés et de nourrissons du 1er âge de Fer au haut Moyen Âge découvertes hors des contextes funéraires traditionnels sur les territoires carnute, turon et bituriges cube: Inventaire, synthèse et interpretations', *Revue archéologique de Centre*, 157–205.

Bakke, O. M. (2005), *When Children Became People: The Birth of Childhood in Early Christianity*, Minneapolis.

Balch, D., and Osiek, L. (eds.) (2003), *Early Christian Families in Context: An Interdisciplinary Dialogue*, Cambridge and Grand Rapids.

Baldassarre, I., Pontrandolfo, A., Rouveret, A., and Salvadori, M. (2006), *La Peinture romaine de l'époque hellénistique à l'Antiquité tardive*, Arles and Milan.

Barbet, A., and Compoint, S. (1999), *Les Cités enfouies du Vésuve: Pompéi, Herculanum, Stabies et autres lieux*, Paris.

Barclay, W. (1959), *Educational Ideals in the Ancient World*, London.

Bardon, H. (1972), 'Le Concept de similitude à Rome', in *Aufstieg und Niedergang der römischen Welt*, I.2: 857–68.

Bargetzi, D. (2002), *Cicero und Tullia: Einblicke in eine Beziehung zwischen Vater und Tochter im alten Rom* (Seminararbeit, Seminar für Alte Geschichte Basel), Basel.

Bauman, R. (1992), *Women and Politics in Ancient Rome*, London.

Béal, J.-C. (1983), *Catalogue des objets de tabletterie du Musée de la civilisation gallo-romaine de Lyon*, Lyon and Paris.

Beard, M. (1993), 'Looking (Harder) for Roman Myth: Dumézil, Declamation and the Problems of Definition', in F. Graf (ed.), *Mythos in mythenloser Gesellschaft: Das Paradigma Roms*, Stuttgart–Leipzig, Teubner, 44–64 (trans. by B. Mezzadri, in *Mythe et mythologie dans l'Antiquité gréco-romaine*, *Europe* 904–905, 2004: 190–218).

Bellemore, J., and Rawson, B. (1990), '*Alumni*: The Italian Evidence', *Zeitschrift für Papyrologie und Epigraphik*, 83: 1–19.

Beltrami, L. (1998), *Il sangue degli antenati: Stirpe, adulterio e figli senza padre nella cultura romana*, Bari.

Berger, P. L., and Luckmann, T. (1967), *The Social Construction of Reality: A Treatise in the Sociology of Knowledge*, New York.

Bernstein, N. W. (2005), 'Mourning the *Puer Delicatus*: Status Inconsistency and the Ethical Value of Fostering in Statius, *Silvae* 2.1', *American Journal of Philology*, 126: 257–80.

——(2008), 'Each Man's Father Served as his Teacher: Constructing Relatedness in Pliny's Letters', *Classical Antiquity*, 27/2: 203–30.

Bertier, J. (1991), 'La Médecine des enfants dans l'Oribase latin', in P. Mudry and J. Pigeaud (eds.), *Les Écoles médicales à Rome*, Geneva, 269–84.

——(1996), 'La Médecine des enfants à l'époque impériale', in *Aufstieg und Niedergang der römischen Welt*, II.37.3: 2147–227.

Bettini, M. (1986), *Antropologia e cultura romana: Parentela, tempo, immagini dell'anima*, Rome.

Bettini, M. (1989), 'Le riscritture del mito', in G. Cavallo, P. Fedeli, and A. Giardina (eds.), *Lo spazio letterario di Roma antica*, I, *La produzione del testo*, Rome, 15–35.

——(1992), *Familie und Verwandtschaft im antiken Rom*, Frankfurt/M. and New York.

——(1999 [1st edn. 1986]), *Antropologia e cultura romana*, Rome (Eng. trans. Baltimore 1991).

——(2005), 'Death and its Double: *Imagines*, *Ridiculum* and *Honos* in the Aristocratic Funeral', in Mustakallio, Hanska, Sainio, and Vuolanto 2005, 191–202.

——(2008a), *Voci*, Turin.

——(2008b), 'Weighty Words, Suspect Speech: *fari* in Roman Culture', *Arethusa*, 41: 313–75.

Bianchi Bandinelli, R. (1980), *La pittura antica* (F. Coarelli and L. Franchi dell'Orto eds.), *Biblioteca di storia antica*, 11, Rome.

Biezunska-Malowist, I. M. (1971), 'Die *Expositio* von Kindern als Quelle der Sklavenbeschaffung im griechisch-römischen Aegypten', *Jahrbuch für Wirtschaftgeschichte*, 2: 129–33.

——(1977), *L'Esclavage dans l'Egypte gréco-romaine*, II, *Période romaine*, Wrocław.

Binder, G. (1985), '*Lusus Troiae*: L'Enéide de Virgile comme source archéologique', *Bulletin de l'Association Guillaume Budé*, 44: 349–56.

Biondi, B. (1954), *Il diritto romano cristiano*, III, *La famiglia: Rapporti patrimoniali: Diritto pubblico*, Milan.

Birley, A. (1997), *Hadrian: The Restless Emperor*, New York.

Blanc, N., and Martinez, J.-L. (1998), 'La Tombe de Patron à Rome', in N. Blanc (ed.), *Au royaume des ombres: La Peinture funéraire antique, Catalogue d'exposition*, Paris and Saint-Romain-en-Gal-Vienne, 82–95.

Blümner, H. (1879), *Technologie und Terminologie der Gewerbe und Künste bei Griechen und Römern*, Leipzig, II.

Bodel, J. (1999), 'Death on Display: Looking at Roman Funerals', in B. Bergmann and C. Kondoleon (eds.), *The Art of Ancient Spectacle* (Studies in the History of Art 56), Washington, DC, 259–81.

Boëls-Janssen, N. (1993), *La Vie religieuse des matrones dans la Rome archaïque*, Rome.

Boethius, A. (1942), 'On the Ancestral Masks of the Romans', *Acta Archaeologica*, 13: 226–35.

Bonnard, J.-B. (2006), 'Il paraît en effet que les fils ressemblent aux pères', in F. Prost and J. Wilgaux (eds.), *Penser et représenter le corps dans l'Antiquité*, Rennes, 307–18.

Bonner, S. F. (1977), *Education in Ancient Rome: From Cato the Elder to Pliny the Younger*, Berkeley and Los Angeles.
Booth, A. D. (1979), 'The Schooling of Slaves in First-Century Rome', *Transactions of the American Philological Association*, 109: 11–19.
Bordenache Battaglia, G. (1983), Corredi funerari di età imperiale e barbarica nel Museo nazionale romano, Rome.
Borgeaud, P. (ed.) (1988), *Mémoire des religions*, Geneva.
——(2004), 'L'Enfance au miel dans les récits antiques', in Dasen 2004, 113–26.
Boswell, J. (1988), *The Kindness of Strangers: The Abandonment of Children in Western Europe from Late Antiquity to the Renaissance*, New York.
Bourdieu, P. (1972), *Esquisse d'une théorie de la pratique*, Geneva.
——(1982), *Ce que parler veut dire: L'Économie des échanges linguistiques*, Paris.
Boyancé, P. (1944), 'L'Apothéose de Tullia', *Revue des Études Anciennes*, 46: 179–84.
Bradley, K. R. (1986*a*), 'Wet-Nursing at Rome: A Study in Social Relations', in Rawson 1986, 201–29
——(1986*b*), 'Seneca and Slavery', *Classica et Mediaevalia*, 37: 161–72.
——(1991*a*), *Discovering the Roman Family*, New York and Oxford.
——(1991*b*): 'Child Care at Rome—The Role of Men', in Bradley 1991*a*, 37–75.
——(1991*c*), 'Dislocation in the Roman family', in Bradley 1991*a*, 125–55.
——(1991*d*), 'Remarriage and the Structure of the Upper-Class Family at Rome', in Bradley 1991*a*, 156–76.
——(1991*e*), [Review of] 'Thomas Wiedemann, Adults and Children in the Roman Empire, London 1989', *Classical Philology*, 86: 258–63.
——(1998), 'The Roman Family at Dinner', in I. Nielsen and H. Sigismund Nielsen (eds.), *Meals in a Social Context: Aspects of the Communal Meal in the Hellenistic and Roman World*, Aarhus, 36–55.
——(2005), 'The Roman Child in Sickness and Health', in George 2005, 67–92.
Braun, M., Haltenhoff, A., and Mutschler, F.-H. (eds.) (2000), Moribus antiquis res stat Romana: *Römische Werte und römische Literatur im 3. und 2. Jh. v. Chr.*, Munich and Leipzig.
Brechter, S. (1941), *Die Quellen zur Angelsachsenmission Gregors des Grossen*, Münster.
Brelich, A. (1969), *Paides e partenoi*, Rome.
Bremmer, J. A. (1995), 'The Family and other Centres of Religious Learning in Antiquity', in J. W. Drijvers and A. A. MacDonald (eds.), *Centres of*

*Learning: Learning and Location in Pre-Modern Europe and the Near East*, Leiden and New York, 29–38.
——(1997), 'Jokes, Jokers and Jokebooks in Ancient Greece', in J. Bremmer and H. Roodenburg (eds.), *A Cultural History of Humour from Antiquity to the Present Day*, Cambridge, 11–28.
BRENNAN, T. C. (2000), *The Praetorship in the Roman Republic*, Oxford.
BRETIN-CHABROL, M. (2007), *La Naissance et l'origine: Métaphores végétales de la filiation dans les textes romains de Caton à Gaius* (stirps, propago, suboles, semen, satus, inserere), Doctoral Dissertation, under the supervision of P. Moreau, Université Paris XII.
BRISSON, L. (1994), *Platon, les mots et les mythes*, Paris.
BROMMER, F. (1953–4), 'Zu den römischen Ahnenbildern', Mitteilungen des Deutschen Archäologischen Instituts, Römische Abteilung, 60–1: 163–71.
BROWNING, R. (2000), 'Education in the Roman Empire', in A. Cameron et al. (eds.), *Cambridge Ancient History XIV. Late Antiquity: Empire and Successors, A.D. 425–600*, Cambridge, 855–83.
BRUHNS, H. (1990), 'Parenté et alliances politiques à la fin de la République romaine', in Andreau and Bruhns 1990, 571–94.
——(1994), 'Verwandtschaftsstrukturen, Geschlechterverhältnisse und Max Webers Theorie der alten Stadt', in C. Meier (ed.), *Die okzidentale Stadt nach Max Weber*, Munich, 59–94.
BRULÉ, P. (1987), *La Fille d'Athènes: La Religion des filles à Athènes à l'époque classique: Mythes, cultes et société*, Paris.
——(2007), *La Grèce d'à côté: Réel et imaginaire en miroir en Grèce antique*, Rennes.
BUCKLAND, W. W. (1908), *The Roman Law of Slavery*, Cambridge.
BÜLL, R. (1959), *Vom Wachs: Hoechster Beiträge zur Kenntnis der Wachse*, I, *Beiträge 10/11*, Frankfurt a.M.
——and MOSER, E. (1973), s.v. Wachs, *RE*, Suppl. Bd. XIII: 1347–416.
BURCKHARDT L. (2003), '"Zu Hause geht Alles, wie wir wünschen . . ."—Privates und Politisches in den Briefen Ciceros', *Klio*, 85: 94–113.
——and UNGERN-STERNBERG, J. VON (1994), 'Cornelia, Mutter der Gracchen', in M. H. Dettenhofer (ed.), *Reine Männersache? Frauen in den Männerdomänen der antiken Welt*, Cologne, Weimar, and Vienna, 97–132.
BURGUIÈRE, A., KLAPISCH-ZUBER, C., and SEGALEN, M., *et al.* (eds.) (1986), *Histoire de la famille*, I–II, Paris.
BUTLER, S. (1998), 'Notes on a "*membrum disiectum*"', in S. R. Joshel and S. Murnaghan (eds.), *Women and Slaves in Greco-Roman Culture: Differential Equations*, London and New York, 236–55.

Butrica, J. (2005), 'Some Myths and Anomalies in the Study of Roman Sexuality', *Journal of Homosexuality*, 49/3–4: 209–69.

Butterworth, A., and Laurence, R. (2005), *Pompeii: The Living* City, London.

Byl, S., and Bargibant, A. (1991), 'L'Enfant chez Galien', in J. A. López Férez (ed.), *Galeno: Obra, pensiamento e influencia*, Madrid, 107–17.

Calame, C. (1996 [1st edn. 1990]), *Thésée et l'imaginaire athénien*, Lausanne.

Cameron, A. (1939), '*Threptos* and Related Terms in the Inscriptions of Asia Minor', in W. M. Calder and J. Keil (eds.), *Anatolian Studies Presented to William Hepburn Butler*, Manchester, 27–62.

Cancik, H. (1973), 'Römischer Religionsunterricht in apostolischer Zeit: Ein pastoralgeschichtlicher Versuch zu Statius *Silvae* 5, 3, 176–184', in H. Feld and J. Nolte (eds.), *Wort Gottes in der Zeit*, Düsseldorf, 181–97.

Canfora, L. (2001), *Caesar: Der demokratische Diktator, Eine Biographie*, Munich.

Cantarella, E. (2003), 'Fathers and Sons in Rome', *Classical World*, 96: 281–98.

Capasso, L. (2000), 'Indoor Pollution and Respiratory Diseases in Ancient Rome', *The Lancet*, 356, Nov.: 1774.

Carp, T. (1981), 'Two Matrons of the Late Republic', in H. P. Foley (ed.), *Reflexions of Women in Antiquity*, New York, London, and Paris, 343–54 [1st publ. in *Women's Studies* 8, 1981: 189–200].

Catalano, P., *et al.* (2003), 'Studio del microclima per la conservazione della Mummia di Grottarossa', *Materiali e strutture: Problemi di conservazione*, 2: 135–61.

Cennini, C. (1933 [1st edn. 1390]), *Libro dell'Arte* (Eng. trans. by D. V. Thompson, *The Craftsman's Handbook: The Italian 'Il Libro dell' Arte'*, New York).

Cerulli Irelli, G., Aoyagi, M., De Caro, S., and Pappalardo, U. (eds.) (1993), *La Peinture de Pompéi: Témoignages de l'art romain dans la zone ensevelie par (le) Vésuve en 79 ap. J.-C.*, I–II, Paris.

Champeaux, J. (1982), *Fortuna: Recherches sur le culte de la Fortune à Rome et dans le monde romain des origines à la mort de César*, I, *Fortuna dans la religion archaïque*, Rome.

Champlin, E. (1991), Final Judgments: Duty and Emotion in Roman Wills 200 B.C.–A.D. 250, Berkeley.

Charles-Laforge M.O. (2007), '"Imagines Maiorum" et portraits d'ancêtres à Pompéi', in La Norme à Pompéi (Ier siècle avant – Ier siècle après J.-C.), Colloque organisé par M.-O. Charles-Laforge, Centre Jacob

Spon, Romanitas, Université Lyon 2—le 17 novembre 2004 (Studi della Soprintendenza archeologica di Pompei 21), Rome, 158–71.

Charlier, P. (2003), 'Les Maladies infantiles: Cas paléopathologiques en Gaule romaine', in Gourevitch, Moirin, and Rouquet 2003, 184–8.

Cichorius, C. (1921), 'Ein Heiratsprojekt im Hause Caesars', in *Festgabe Friedrich Bezold. Dargebracht zum 70. Geburtstag von seinen Schülern, Kollegen und Freunden*, Bonn and Leipzig, 59–80.

Claassen, J.-M. (1996), 'Documents of a Crumbling Marriage: The Case of Cicero and Terentia', *Phoenix*, 50: 208–32.

Clark, G. (1993), *Women in Late Antiquity: Pagan and Christian Lifestyles*, Oxford.

——(1994), 'The Fathers and the Children', in D. Wood (ed.), *The Church and Childhood* (Studies in Church History 31), Cambridge, 1–28.

Clark, P. A. (1991), 'Tullia and Crassipes', *Phoenix*, 45: 28–38.

Clarke, J. R. (1991), *The Houses of Roman Italy, 100 B.C.–A.D. 250: Ritual, Space, and Decoration*, Oxford.

——(1995), 'Landscape Paintings in the Villa of Oplontis', *Journal of Roman Archaeology*, 9: 81–107.

Coarelli, F. (1969), 'Le Tyrannoctone du Capitole et la mort de Tibérius Gracchus', *Mélanges de l'École française de Rome. Antiquité*, 81: 137–60.

Cohen, A., and Rutter, J. (eds.) (2007), *Constructions of Childhood in Ancient Greece and Italy* (Hesperia suppl.).

Colgrave, B., and Mynors, R. A. B. (1969), *Bede's Ecclesiastical History of the English People*, Oxford.

Collins, J. H. (1951), 'Tullia's Engagement and Marriage to Dolabella', *Classical Journal*, 47: 164–8.

Corbeaud, E. (1900), s.v. *imago*, in Daremberg and Saglio, III: 412–14.

Corbeill, T. (1996), *Controlling Laughter: Political Humour in the Late Republic*, Princeton.

——(2001), 'Education in the Roman Republic: Creating Traditions', in Y. L. Too (ed.), *Education in Greek and Roman Antiquity*, Leiden, Boston, and Cologne, 261–87.

Corbett, P. B. (1986), *The Scurra*, Edinburgh.

Corbier, M. (1987), 'Les Comportements familiaux de l'aristocratie romaine (IIème siècle avant J.-C. – IIIème siècle après J.-C.)', *Annales: Économies, sociétés, civilisations*, 42: 1267–85.

——(1991), 'Divorce and Adoption as Roman Familial Strategies', in Rawson 1991, 47–78.

——(1999), 'La Petite Enfance à Rome: Lois, normes, pratiques individuelles et collectives', *Annales: Histoire, sciences sociales*, 6: 1257–90.

——(2001), 'Child Exposure and Abandonment', in Dixon 2001*b*, 52–73.

——(2006), 'Parenté et alliance dans le monde romain (IIe siècle a.C. – VIe siècle p.C.)', in A. Bresson (ed.), *Parenté et société dans le monde grec de l'antiquité à l'âge moderne*, Bordeaux, 199–208.

Corcoran, S. (2000), 'The Sins of the Fathers: A Neglected Constitution of Diocletian on Incest', *Journal of Legal History*, 21: 1–34.

Coudry, M., and Späth, T. (2001), *L'Invention des grands hommes de la Rome antique/Die Konstruktion der grossen Männer Altroms. Actes du colloque du Collegium Beatus Rhenanus, Augst 16–18 septembre 1999* (Collections de l'Université Marc Bloch—Études d'archéologie et d'histoire ancienne), Paris.

Coulon, G. (1994), *L'Enfant en Gaule romaine*, Paris (2nd revised edn. 2004).

Croisille, J.-M. (2005), *La Peinture romaine*, Paris.

——(2010), Paysages dans la peinture romaine, Paris.

Crook, J. A. (1967), *Law and Life of Rome, 90 B.C. – A.D. 212*, Ithaca, NY.

D'Ambra, E. (2002), 'Acquiring an Ancestor: The Importance of Funerary Statuary among the Non-Élite Orders of Rome', in J. M. Hojte (ed.), *Images of Ancestors*, Aarhus, 223–46.

Damon, C. (1997), *The Mask of the Parasite: A Pathology of Roman Patronage*, Ann Arbor.

D'Arms, J. (1999), 'Performing Culture: Roman Spectacle and the Banquets of the Powerful', in B. Bergman and C. Kondoleon (eds.), *The Art of Ancient Spectacle*, New Haven, 301–19.

Dasen, V. (2003), 'L'Enfant malade', in Gourevitch, Moirin, and Rouquet 2003, 178–82.

——(ed.) (2004), *Naissance et petite enfance dans l'Antiquité, Actes du colloque de Fribourg, 28 novembre – 1er décembre 2001*, Fribourg and Göttingen.

——(2005), *Jumeaux, jumelles dans l'Antiquité grecque et romaine*, Kilchberg.

——(2006), 'La Mort des enfants à Rome: L'Impossible chagrin?', in *L'enfant*, *La Vouivre*, 15: 29–37.

——(2009*a*), 'Empreintes maternelles', in *La madre/The Mother*, *Micrologus* XVII, Florence, 35–54.

——(2009*b*), 'Roman Birth Rites of Passage Revisited', *Journal of Roman Archaeology*, 22: 199–214.

——(2010), 'Naissance et petite enfance, grec', in *ThesCRA* VI.

——Lett, D., Morel, M.-F., and Rollet, C. (2001), 'Dix ans de travaux sur l'enfance', in *Enfances: Bilan d'une décennie de recherche, Annales de démographie historique*, 2: 5–100.

Daut, R. (1975), *Imago: Untersuchungen zum Bildbegriff der Römer*, Heidelberg.

David, J.-M. (1980), '*Maiorum exempla sequi*: l'*exemplum* historique dans les discours judiciaires de Cicéron', *Mélanges de l'École française de Rome. Moyen-âge*, 92/1: 67–86.

——(1992), *Le Patronat judiciaire au dernier siècle de la République romaine*, Rome and Paris.

——(1998*a*), 'De l'action exemplaire à la mise en scène des actes à Rome aux deux derniers siècles avant notre ère', in *Travail sur la figure, travail de la mémoire*, Strasbourg, 5–16.

——(ed.) (1998*b*), *Valeurs et mémoire à Rome. Valère Maxime ou la vertu recomposée* (Coll. de l'Université des Sciences Humaines de Strasbourg. Études d'archéologie et d'histoire ancienne), Paris.

——and Dondin, M. (1980), 'Dion Cassius, XXXVI, 41, 1–2: Conduites symboliques et comportements exemplaires de Lucullus, Acilius Glabrio et Papirius Carbo (78 et 67 a. C.)', *Mélanges de l'École française de Rome. Antiquité*, 92/1: 199–213.

Deanesly, M., and Grosjean, P. A. (1959), 'The Canterbury Edition of the Answers of Pope Gregory I to St. Augustine', *Journal of Ecclesiastical History*, 10: 1–49.

Didi-Hubermann, G. (1994), 'Ressemblance mythifiée et ressemblance oubliée chez Vasari: La Légende du portrait "sur le vif"', *Mélanges de l'École française de Rome. Moyen-âge*, 106: 383–432.

——(1999), 'Wax Flesh, Vicious Circles', in *Encyclopaedia Anatomica: A Complete Collection of Anatomical Waxes*, Cologne, 64–74.

Dixon, S. (1984), 'Family Finances: Tullia and Terentia', *Antichthon*, 18: 78–101 [= (abridged) in Rawson 1986, 93–120].

——(1991), 'The Sentimental Ideal of the Roman Family', in Rawson 1991, 99–113.

——(1992), *The Roman Family*, Baltimore.

——(1999), 'The Circulation of Children in Roman Society', in M. Corbier (ed.), *Adoption et fosterage*, Paris, 217–30.

——(2001*a*), 'Rape in Roman Law and Myth', in S. Dixon (ed.), *Reading Roman Women: Sources, Genres and Real Life*, London, 45–55.

——(ed.) (2001*b*), *Childhood, Class and Kin in the Roman World*, London and New York.

——(2007), *Cornelia: Mother of the Gracchi*, London and New York.

Dolansky, F. (2008), '*Togam Virilem Sumere:* Coming of Age in the Roman World', in J. Edmondson and A. Keith (eds.), *Roman Dress and the Fabrics of Roman Culture*, Toronto, 47–70.

Donati, F. (2005), 'Pueri nell'arena: Fonti per una iconografia', *Archeologia classica*, 56, ns 6: 80–129.

Dondin-Payre, M. (1993), *Exercice du pouvoir et continuité gentilice: Les* Acilii Glabriones *du 3ème siècle ap. J.-C. au 5ème siècle ap. J.-C.*, Rome.

Drerup, H. (1980), 'Totenmaske und Ahnenbild bei den Römern', *Mitteilungen des Deutschen Archäologischen Instituts, Römische Abteilung*, 87: 81–129.

Duday, H., Laubenheimer F., and Tillier, A.-M. (1995), *Sallèles d'Aude: Nouveau-nés et nourrissons gallo-romains* (Centre de recherche d'histoire ancienne 144), Paris.

Dunand, F., and Lichtenberg, R. (1998), *Les Momies et la mort en Égypte*, Paris.

Dunbabin, K. M. D. (1999), *Mosaics of the Greek and Roman World*, Cambridge.

Dupont, F. (1998), '*Imago* identique et *imago* identitaire: Le Jeu du double dans la comédie romaine', in C. Auvray-Assayas (ed.), *Images romaines*, Paris, 247–60.

Durand, R. (2003), 'La Mortalité infantile en Gaule romaine'; 'Les sépultures d'enfants', in Gourevitch, Moirin, and Rouquet 2003, 94–97, 112–15.

Durling, R. J. (1993), A Dictionary of Medical Terms in Galen, Leiden.

Dwyer, E. J. (1982), *Pompeian Domestic Sculpture: A Study of Five Pompeian Houses and their Contents*, Rome.

Eck, W. (1986), 'Inschriften aus der Vatikanischen Nekropole unter St. Peter', *Zeitschrift für Papyrologie und Epigraphik*, 65: 245–93.

——(1993), 'Das s. c. de Cn. Pisone patre und seine Publikation in der Baetica', *Cahiers du Centre Glotz*, 54: 189–208.

Ehrhardt, W. (1998), *Casa di Paquius Proculus (I 7,1.20)* (Häuser in Pompeji 9), Munich.

Eijk, T. van (1972), 'Marriage and Virginity, Death and Immortality', in J. Fontaine and C. Kannengiesser (eds.), *Epektasis: Mélanges patristiques offerts au Cardinal Jean Daniélou*, Paris, 220–35.

Ermete, K. (2003), *Terentia und Tullia—Frauen der senatorischen Oberschicht*, Frankfurt a.M.

Estienne, S. (2005), 'Saliens', in *ThesCRA* V: 85–7.

——Prescendi, F., Scheid, J., and van Haeperen, F. (2005), 'Les Enfants (*pueri patrimi matrimique, camilli*)', in *ThesCRA* V: 116.

Evans Grubbs, J. (1993*a*), 'Constantine and Imperial Legislation on the Family', in J. Harries and I. Wood (eds.), *The Theodosian Code: Studies in the Imperial Law of Late Antiquity*, London and Ithaca, 120–42.

——(1993*b*), '"Marriage more Shameful than Adultery": Slave–Mistress Relationships, "Mixed Marriages", and Late Roman Law', *Phoenix*, 47: 125–54.

Evans Grubbs, J. (1995), *Law and Family in Late Antiquity: The Emperor Constantine's Marriage Legislation*, Oxford.
——(2000), 'The Slave who Avenged her Master's Death', *Ancient History Bulletin*, 14: 81–8.
——(2005), 'Parent–Child Conflict in the Roman Family: The Evidence of Justinian', in George 2005, 93–128.
——(2009), 'Church, State, and Children: Christian and Imperial Attitudes toward Infant Exposure in Late Antiquity', in A. Cain and N. Lenski (eds.), *The Power of Religion in Late Antiquity*, Aldershot, 119–31.
——(forthcoming), *Children without Fathers in Roman Imperial Law: Paternity, Legitimacy, and Freedom*, to be published by Oxford University Press.
Ewald, P., and Hartmann, L. E. (1899), *Gregorii I Papae registrum epistolarum*, II, Berlin.
Eyben, E. (1986), 'Sozialgeschichte des Kindes im römischen Altertum', in J. Martin and A. Nitschke (eds.), *Zur Sozialgeschichte des Kindes*, Freiburg and Munich, 317–64.
——(1991), 'Fathers and Sons', in Rawson 1991, 114–43.
——(1993), *RestlessYouth in Ancient Rome*, London and New York.
Fantham, E. (1999), 'Chironis Exemplum: On Teachers and Surrogate Fathers in Achilleid and Silvae', *Hermathena*, 167: 59–70.
Farmer, H. (1959), 'St Gregory's Answers to St Augustine of Canterbury', *Studia Monastica*, 1: 419–22.
Fayer, C. (1994), *La familia romana*, I, Rome.
Fehrle, R. (1983), *Cato Uticensis*, Darmstadt.
Fentress, J., and Wickham, C. (1992), *Social Memory* (New Perspectives on the Past), Oxford.
Feraudi-Gruénais, F. (2001), Ubi diutius nobis habitandum est: *Die Innendekoration der kaiserzeitlichen Gräber Roms*, Wiesbaden.
Ferrary, J.-L. (1988), *Philhellénisme et impérialisme: Aspects idéologiques de la conquête romaine du monde hellénistique, de la seconde guerre de Macédoine à la guerre contre Mithridate*, Rome.
Flaig, E. (1995), 'Die *Pompa Funebris*: Adlige Konkurrenz und annalistische Erinnerung in der Römischen Republik', in O. G. Oexle (ed.), *Memoria als Kultur*, Göttingen, 115–48.
Fless, F. (1995), Opferdiener und Kultmusiker auf stadtrömischen historischen Reliefs, Mainz.
Fleury, J. (1933), *Recherches sur les empêchements de parenté dans le mariage canonique des origines aux fausses décrétales*, Paris.

Flory, M. B. (1993), 'Livia and the History of Public Honorific Statues for Women in Rome', *Transactions of the American Philological Association*, 123: 287–308.

Flower, H. I. (1996), *Ancestor Masks and Aristocratic Power in Roman Culture*, Oxford.

——(2002), 'Were Women ever Ancestors in Republican Rome?', in J. M. Hojte (ed.), *Images of Ancestors*, Aarhus, 159–84.

Forbes, C. A. (1955), 'The Education and Training of Slaves in Antiquity', *Transactions of the American Philological Association*, 86: 321–360.

Fortgens, H. W. (1959), 'Publius Papinius Statius, de Latijnse dichter van het kinderleven', *Hermeneus*, 31/1: 52–9.

Fossati Vanzetti, M. B. (1983), 'Vendita ed esposizione degli infanti da Costantino a Giustiniano', *Studia et Documenta Historiae et Iuris*, 49: 179–224.

Franciosi, E. (1988), 'Il regime delle nozze incestuose nelle *Novelle* giustinianee', *Estudios en homenaje al Profesor Juan Iglesias*, II, Madrid, 727–46.

François, E., and Schulze, H. (2001), *Deutsche Erinnerungsorte*, I–III, Munich.

Fraschetti, A. ([1st Ital. edn. 1994] 1996), 'Jeunesses romaines', in G. Levi and J.-C. Schmitt (eds.), *Histoire des jeunes en Occident*, I, *De l'Antiquité à l'époque moderne*, Paris, 63–100.

Friesen, B. (2006), 'Answers and Echoes: The *Libellus Responsionum* and the Hagiography of North-Western European Mission', *Early Medieval Europe*, 4/2: 153–73.

Fuchs, M. (2007), 'Peintures de paysages au nord des Alpes romaines', in C. Robotti (ed.), *Disegni e progetti di città e paesaggi fortificati. Atti del Quinto Colloquio internazionale di Studi Castello di Carlo V, Capua 3–4 dicembre 2005*, Lecce, 188–99.

——(2008), 'Petite vieille ou noble dame: Portraits de femmes âgées sous l'Empire romain', in V. Dasen and J. Wilgaux (eds.), *Langages et métaphores du corps*, Rennes, 73–89.

Gaborit, J.-R., and Ligot, J. (eds.) (1987), *Sculptures en cire de l'ancienne Egypte à l'art abstrait*, Paris.

Gardner, J. (1986), *Women in Roman Law and Society*, London and Sydney.

——(1989), 'The Adoption of Roman Freedmen', *Phoenix*, 43: 236–57.

——(1998), *The Family and Familia in Roman Law and Life*, Oxford.

Garthwaite, J. (1984), 'Statius, Silvae 3.4: On the Fate of Earinus', *Aufstieg und Niedergang der römischen Welt*, II.32.1: 111–24.

Gaudemet, J. (1993), *Les Sources du droit canonique. VIIIe–XXe s.*, Paris.

GEHRKE, H.-J. (1994), 'Römischer mos und griechische Ethik: Überlegungen zum Zusammenhang von Akkulturation und politischer Ordnung im Hellenismus', *Historische Zeitschrift*, 258: 593–622.

GEIGER, J. (1972), 'Canidius or Caninius?', *Classical Quarterly*, 22: 130–4.

——(1973), 'The Last Servilii Caepiones of the Republic', *Ancient Society*, 4: 143–56.

GELZER, M. (1918), *s.v.* Iunius (Brutus) No. 53, *RE* X.1: 973–1020.

GENNEP, A. VAN (1981 [1st edn. 1909]), *Les Rites de passage*, Paris.

GEORGE, M. (ed.) (2005), *The Roman Family in the Empire: Rome, Italy and Beyond*, Oxford.

GIBSON, N. J. (2006), *Statius Silvae 5. Edited with Introduction, Translation and Commentary*, Oxford.

GIPPERT, J. (1997), *Index Galenicus: Wortformenindex zu den Schriften Galens*, Dettelbach, 2 vols.

GIULIANI, L. (1986), *Bildnis und Botschaft, Hermeneutische Untersuchungen zur Bildniskunst der römischen Republik*, Frankfurt a.M.

——(2008), '*Imagines maiorum*, öffentliche Bildnisstatuen und die Extensivierung der *res publica*', in M. Bernett, W. Nippel, and A. Winterling (eds.), *Christian Meier zur Diskussion*, Stuttgart, 143–59.

GLADIGOW, B. (1997), 'Erwerb religiöser Kompetenz: Kult und Öffentlichkeit in den klassischen Religionen', in G. Binder and K. Ehlich (eds.), *Religiöse Kommunikation: Formen und Praxis vor der Neuzeit*, Trier, 103–18.

GOETTE, H. R. (1989), 'Römische Kinderbildnisse mit Jugendlocken', *Mitteilungen des Deutschen Archäologischen Instituts, Athenische Abteilung*, 104: 203–17.

GOFFMAN, E. (1963), *Behavior in Public Places: Notes on the Social Organization of Gatherings*, Glencoe, Ill.

GOLDEN, M. (1988), 'Did the Ancients Care when their Children Died?', *Greece & Rome*, 35: 152–63.

—— (2004), 'Mortality, Mourning and Mothers', in Dasen 2004, 145–57.

GOMBRICH, E. H. (1972), 'The Mask and the Face: The Perception of Physiognomic Likeness in Life and Art', in E. H. Gombrich, J. Hochberg, and M. Black, *Art, Perception and Reality*, Baltimore and London, 1–46.

GONZENBACH, V. VON (1957), Untersuchungen zu den Knabenweihen im Isiskult der römischen Kaiserzeit, Bonn.

GOTTER, U. (2001), *Griechenland in Rom? Die römische Rede über Hellas und ihre Kontexte (3.–1. Jh. v.Chr.)* (unpub. Habilitation), Freiburg.

GOUREVITCH, D. (1975), 'A propos de la simulation dans l'Antiquité: Galien et sa monographie princeps, *Quomodo morbum simulantes sint deprehendendi libellus*', *Médecine légale et expertise médicale*: 13–18.
——(1982), 'La *gestatio* thérapeutique à Rome', *Centre Jean Palerne. Mémoires*, 3: 55–65.
——(1987), 'L'Esthétique médicale de Galien', *Les Études classiques*, 55: 267–90.
——(1988), 'Galien, médecin décideur', *Prospective et santé: La Clinique demain*, 46: 81–8.
——(1990), 'La Malade, sa maladie et ses thérapeutes dans la Rome impériale', *Actions et recherches sociales*, Mar. 1990: 42–7.
——(1991), 'Un enfant muet de naissance s'exprime par le dessin : A propos d'un cas rapporté par Pline l'Ancien', *L'Évolution psychiatrique*, 56: 889–93.
——(1992), 'Is it Beautiful, is it Ugly? Considerations on the Aesthetics of Newborn Babies during the Roman Empire', *Forum*, 2, *History of medicine*: 5–11.
——(1994), 'Comment rendre à sa véritable nature le petit monstre humain?', in P. J. van der Eijk, H. F. J. Horstmanshoff, and P. J. Schrijvers (eds.), *Ancient Medicine in its Socio-Cultural Context*, II, Amsterdam, 239–60.
——(1995), 'Les Noms de la maladie, continuités et nouveautés', in D. Gourevitch (ed.), *Histoire de la médecine: Leçons méthodologiques*, Paris, 52–7.
——(1998), 'Les Sociétés antiques connaissaient-elles l'addiction?', in *Les Passions dangereuses*, Paris, *Dossiers de l'IEPS*, 9–11.
——(2001), *I giovani pazienti di Galeno*, Rome and Bari.
——(2006), 'L'Enfant handicappé à Rome: Mise au point et perspectives', *Medicina nei Secoli*, 18/2: 459–77.
——and GOUREVITCH, M. (1983*a*), 'Chronique anachronique, XI, Un enfant boulimique?', *L'Évolution psychiatrique*, 48: 853–7.
————(1983*b*), 'Chronique anachronique, IX, Marc-Aurèle devint-il toxico-dépendant?', *L'Évolution psychiatrique*, 48: 253–6.
——and GRMEK, M. (1986), '*Medice, cura te ipsum*: Les Maladies de Galien', *Études de lettres*: 45–64.
——MOIRIN, A., and ROUQUET, N. (eds.) (2003), *Maternité et petite enfance dans l'Antiquité romaine. Catalogue de l'exposition, Bourges, Muséum d'histoire naturelle, 6 novembre 2003 – 28 mars 2004*, Bourges.
GOYON, J.-C. (ed.) (1972), *Rituels funéraires de l'ancienne Egypte: Le Rituel de l'embaumement, le rituel de l'ouverture de la bouche, les livres des respirations*, Paris.

GRIFFIN, M. T. (1976), *Seneca: A Philosopher in Politics*, Oxford.
GRIMM, G. (1974), *Die römischen Mumienmasken aus Ägypten*, Wiesbaden.
GRMEK, M. D. (1983), *Les Maladies à l'aube de la civilisation occidentale*, Paris (2nd edn. 1994; Eng. trans. Baltimore, 1989).
——and GOUREVITCH, D. (1998), *Les Maladies dans l'art antique*, Paris.
GRUNDMANN, M. (2006), *Sozialisation: Skizze einer allgemeinen Theorie*, Konstanz.
GUASTELLA, G. (1985), 'La rete del sangue: Simbologia delle relazioni e modelli dell'identità nella cultura romana', *Materiali e discussioni per l'analisi dei testi classici*, 15: 49–123.
GUITTARD, C. (1988), 'Naissance et développement d'une légende: Les "*Decii*"', in D. Porte and J.-P. Néraudau (eds.), *Hommages à H. Le Bonniec. Res sacrae* (Latomus 201), Brussels, 256–66.
GUROIAN, V. (2001), 'The Ecclesial Family: John Chrysostom on Parenthood', in M. J. Bunge (ed.), *The Child in Christian Thought*, Grand Rapids, 61–77.
GWYNN, A. (1964), *Roman Education from Cicero to Quintilian*, New York.
HAAKE, M. (2007), *Der Philosoph in der Stadt: Untersuchungen zur öffentlichen Rede über Philosophen und Philosophie in den hellenistischen Poleis*, Munich.
HACKWORTH PETERSEN, L. (2006), *The Freedman in Roman Art and History*, Cambridge.
HALBWACHS, M. (1968 [1st edn. 1950]), *La Mémoire collective*, Paris (Eng. trans. New York, 1980).
——(1971 [1st edn. 1941]), *La Topographie légendaire des Évangiles en Terre Sainte: Étude de mémoire collective*, Paris.
——(1994 [1st edn. 1925]), *Les Cadres sociaux de la mémoire*, Paris.
HALL, J. (2005), 'Cicero Fam. 16.21, Roman Politeness, and the Socialization of Marcus Cicero the Younger', in K. Welch and T. W. Hillard (eds.), *Roman Crossings: Theory and Practice in the Roman Republic*, Swansea, 259–78.
HALLETT, J. P. (1984), *Fathers and Daughters in Roman Society: Women and the Elite Family*, Princeton.
——(2004), 'Matriot Games? Cornelia, Mother of the Gracchi, and the Forging of Family-Oriented Political Values', in F. McHardy and E. Marshall (eds.), *Women's Influence on Classical Civilization*, London and New York, 26–39.
HALLIWELL, S. (1991), 'The Uses of Laughter in Greek Culture', *Classical Quarterly*, 41: 279–96.
——(2008), *Greek Laughter*, Cambridge.

HALTENHOFF, A., HEIL, A., and MUTSCHLER, F.-H. (eds.) (2005), *Römische Werte als Gegenstand der Altertumswissenschaft*, Munich.

HANDEL, G. (ed.) (2006 [1st edn. New York, 1988]), *Childhood Socialization*, New Brunswick and London.

HANKINSON, R. J. (ed.) (1998), *Galen on Antecedent Causes*, Cambridge.

HARDERS, A.-C. (2007*a*), 'Die verwandtschaftlichen Beziehungen der Servilia, Ehefrau des L. Licinius Lucullus: Schwester oder Nichte des Cato Uticensis?', *Historia*, 56: 453–61.

——(2007*b*), 'Die Identität der Iunia, *Dékmou thugátēr* und Ehefrau des P. Servilius Isauricus (cos. 48): Überlegungen zu BMusImp 3:3,1, PH 206 und IG XII Suppl. 60', *Klio*, 89: 403–16.

——(2008), *Suavissima Soror: Untersuchungen zu den Bruder-Schwester-Beziehungen in der römischen Republik*, Munich.

HARLOW, M., LAURENCE, R., and VUOLANTO, V. (2007), 'Past, Present and Future in the Study of Roman Childhood', in S. Crawford and G. Shepherd (eds.), *Children, Childhood and Society* (*IAA Interdisciplinary Series* 1/*BAR International Series* 1697), 1–14.

HARRIS, W. (1994), 'Child-Exposure in the Roman Empire', *Journal of Roman Studies*, 84: 1–22.

——(1999), 'Demography, Geography, and the Sources of Roman Slaves', *Journal of Roman Studies*, 89: 62–75.

HEDRICK, C. W. (2000), *History and Silence: Purge and Rehabilitation of Memory in Late Antiquity*, Austin.

HELBIG, W. (1963), *Führer durch die öffentlichen Sammlungen klassischer Altertümer in Rom*, I, *Die Päpstlichen Sammlungen im Vatikan und Lateran*, Tübingen.

HENRIKSÉN, C. (1997), 'Earinus: An Imperial Eunuch in the Light of the Poems of Martial and Statius', *Mnemosyne*, 50/3: 281–94.

HERRMANN-OTTO, E. (1994), Ex ancilla natus. Untersuchungen zu den 'hausgeborenen' Sklaven und Sklavinnen im Westen des römischen Kaiserreiches, Stuttgart.

HÖLKESKAMP, K.-J. (1996), '*Exempla und mos maiorum*: Überlegungen zum kollektiven Gedächtnis der Nobilität', in H.-J. Gehrke and A. Möller (eds.), *Vergangenheit und Lebenswelt: Soziale Kommunikation, Traditionsbildung und historisches Bewusstsein*, Tübingen, 302–38.

HÖLSCHER, T. (1978), 'Die Anfänge römischer Repräsentationskunst', *Mitteilungen des Deutschen Archäologischen Instituts, Römische Abteilung*, 85: 315–57.

HONORÉ, T. (1994; 1st edn. London, 1981), *Emperors and Lawyers*, Oxford.

HOPKINS, K. (1965), 'The Age of Roman Girls at Marriage', *Population Studies*, 19: 309–27.

Hopkins, K. (1983), *Death and Renewal*, Cambridge.

Horn, C. B. (2005), 'Children's Play as Social Ritual', in V. Burrus (ed.), *A People's History of Christianity*, II, *Christianity in the Roman World*, Minneapolis, 95–116.

——(2007), 'Children as Pilgrims and the Cult of Holy Children in the Early Syriac Tradition: The Cases of Theodoret of Cyrrhus and the Child-Martyrs Behnām, Sarah, and Cyriacus', *ARAM Periodical*, 19/1–2: 439–62.

Horsfall, N. (1989), 'Virgil and Marcellus' Education', *Classical Quarterly*, 39: 266–7.

——(1996), *La cultura della plebs romana*, Barcelona.

Hübner, S. R., and Ratzan, D. M. (eds.) (2009*a*), *Growing up Fatherless in Antiquity*, Cambridge.

———— (2009*b*), 'Fatherless Antiquity? Perspectives on "Fatherlessness" in the Ancient Mediterranean', in Hübner and Ratzan 2009*a*, 3–28.

Huet, V., and Wyler, S. (2005), '"Copies romaines d'un original grec", ou les arts grecs revisités par les Romains', *Mètis*, ns 3: 151–77.

Humphreys, S. C. (1993 [1st edn. 1983]), *The Family, Women and Death: Comparative Studies*, Ann Arbor.

Hunecke, V. (1994), 'The Abandonment of Legitimate Children in Nineteenth-Century Milan and the European Context', in J. Henderson and R. Wall (eds.), *Poor Women and Children in the European Past*, London and New York, 117–35.

Hunziker, E. (1887), '*Camilli, camillae*', in Daremberg and Saglio, I.2: 858–9.

Huys, M. (1995), 'The Spartan Practice of Selective Infanticide and its Parallels in Ancient Utopian Tradition', *Ancient Society*, 27: 47–74.

Ilberg, J. (1905), 'Aus Galens Praxis: Ein Kulturbild aus der römischen Kaiserzeit', *Neue Jahrbücher für das klassische Altertum*, 15: 276–312 (republished in H. Flashar (ed.), *Antike Medizin*, Darmstadt 1971, 361–416).

Ioannatou, M. (2006), *Affaires d'argent dans la correspondance de Cicéron: L'Aristocratie sénatoriale face à ses dettes*, Paris.

Iskandar, A. Z. (1988), *De optimo medico cognoscendo: On Examinations by which the Best Physicians are Recognized*, edn. of the Arabic version, with English translation and commentary (CMG Suppl. Or. IV), Berlin.

Isnenghi, M. (ed.) (1996–8), *I luoghi della memoria*, I–III, Rome.

Jacques, F. (1987), 'L'Éthique et la statistique à propos du renouvellement du sénat romain (Ier–IIIe siècles de l'Empire)', *Annales: Économies, sociétés, civilisations*, 42: 1287–1303.

Kasten, H. (1997[5]), *Marcus Tullius Cicero, An seine Freunde*, lt.–Dt., Düsseldorf and Zurich.

Kaster, R. A. (1988), *Guardians of Language: The Grammarian and Society in Late Antiquity*, Berkeley, Los Angeles, and London.

——(1995), *C. Suetonius Tranquillus De Grammaticis et Rhetoribus*, Oxford.

——(2001), 'Controlling Reason: Declamation in Rhetorical Education at Rome', in Y. L. Too (ed.), *Education in Greek and Roman Antiquity*, Leiden, 317–37.

——(2005), *Emotion, Restraint and Community in Ancient Rome*, Oxford.

Kertzer, D. (1993), *Sacrificed for Honor: Italian Infant Abandonment and the Politics of Reproductive Control*, Boston.

Kierner, C. (2004), *Scandal at Bizarre: Rumor and Reputation in Jefferson's America*, Charlottesville.

King, M. (2000), 'Commemoration of Infants on Roman Funerary Inscriptions', in G. J. Oliver (ed.), *The Epigraphy of Death*, Liverpool, 117–54.

Koch, C. (1949), '*Patrimi et matrimi*', in *RE* XVIII.4: 2250–2.

Kotila, H. (1992), Memoria mortuorum: *Commemoration of the Departed in Augustine* (Studia Ephemeridis Augustinianum 38), Rome.

Krasser, H. (2002), 'Poeten, Papageien und Patrone: Statius Silve 2, 4 als Beispiel einer kulturwissenschaftlichen Textinterpretation', in J. P. Schwindt (ed.), *Klassische Philologie inter disciplinas: Aktuelle Konzepte zu Gegenstand und Methode eines Grundlagenfaches*, Heidelberg, 151–68.

Kunst, C. (2005), *Römische Adoption: Zur Strategie einer Familienorganisation*, Hennef.

La Monaca, V. (2007), 'Festius: Un caso emblematico di *delicatus*?', *Epigraphica*, 69: 169–80.

——(2008), 'I *Delicati* nella Cisalpina', in P. Basso, A. Buonopane, A. Cavarzere, and S. Pesavento Mattioli (eds.), *Est enim ille Italiae flos . . . Vita economica e sociale nella Cisalpina romana. Atti delle giornate di studio in onore di Ezio Buchi, Verona 30 novembre – 1 dicembre 2006*, Verona, 211–19.

Laes, C. (2003), 'Desperately Different? Delicia Children in the Roman Household', in Balch and Osiek 2003, 298–326.

——(2004*a*), 'High Hopes, Bitter Grief: Children in Latin Literary Inscriptions', in G. Partoens, G. Roskam, and T. Van Houdt (eds.), *Virtutis Imago. Studies on the Conceptualisation and Transformation of an Ancient Ideal*, Leuven, Paris, and Dudley, Mass., 43–77.

——(2004*b*), 'Romeinse "paedagogi" tussen minachting en waardering: Een voorbeeld van "differential equations"', *Handelingen van de Koninklijke Zuid-Nederlandse Maatschappij voor Taal-en Letterkunde en Geschiedenis*, 58: 161–80.

LAES, C. (2004*c*), 'Children and Accidents in the Roman Empire', *Ancient Society*, 34: 153–70.

——(2006), *Kinderen bij de Romeinen: Zes eeuwen dagelijks leven*, Leuven.

——(2010*a*), 'When Classicists Need to Speak Up: Antiquity and Present Day Pedophilia', in V. Sofronievski (ed.), *Aeternitas Antiquitas. Proceedings of the Symposium Held in Skopje (Ziva Antika)*, Skopje, 30–59.

——(2010*b*, forthcoming), 'The Educated Midwife in the Roman Empire: An Example of Differential Equations', in H. F. J. Horsmanshoff (ed.), *Hippocrates and Medical Education. Selected Papers read at the XIIth International Hippocrates Colloquium, Universiteit Leiden, 24–26 August 2005*, Leiden.

LAGUNA, G. (1992), *Estacio, Silvas III. Introduccion, edicion critica, traduccion e commentario*, Madrid.

LAHUSEN, G. (1982), '*Statuae* et *imagines*', in B. von Freytag *et al.* (eds.), *Praestant Interna. Festschrift für Ulrich Hausman*, Tübingen, 101–9.

——(1985), 'Zur Funktion und Rezeption des römischen Ahnenbildes', *Mitteilungen des Deutschen Archäologischen Instituts, Römische Abteilung*, 92: 261–89.

——(1995), 'Sur l'origine et la terminologie des portraits romains', in *Le Regard de Rome: Portraits romains des musées de Mérida, Toulouse et Tarragona*, Toulouse, 246–59.

LAIRD, M. L. (2008), 'Interpreting Children in Roman Imperial Art' [Review of J. D. Uzzi, *Children in the Visual Arts of Imperial Rome*, Cambridge 2005], *Journal of Roman Archaeology*, 21: 509–14.

LANCIANI, R. (1892), *Pagan and Christian Rome*, Boston and New York.

LASTEYRIE, R. DE (1878), 'Notice sur un cimetière romain', *Revue Archéologique*, 19/35: 371–83.

LAUBENHEIMER, F. (2004), 'La Mort des tout petits dans l'Occident romain', in Dasen 2004, 293–315.

LAVAGNE, H., BALANDA, E. DE, and URIBE ECHEVERRIA, A. (eds.) (2001), *Jeunesse de la beauté: La Peinture romaine antique*, Paris.

LAWRENCE, R., and PATERSON, J. (1999), 'Power and Laughter: Imperial Dicta', *Papers of the British School at Rome*, 67: 183–97.

LAZZARONI, S. (1991), Sepulcra familiaria: *Un'indagine epigrafico-giuridica*, Padua.

LEACH, E. W. (1988), *The Rhetoric of Space, Literary and Artistic Representations of Landscape in Republican and Augustan Rome*, Princeton.

——(1990), 'The Politics of Self-Presentation: Pliny's Letters and Roman Portrait Sculpture', *Classical Antiquity*, 9: 14–37.

Le Goff, J. (1986), *Storia e memoria*, Turin [1st published in *Enciclopedia Einaudi*, Turin, 1977; French edn.: Paris, 1986; German trans. Berlin, 1999].

Lehnherr, Y., and Schuster Cordone, C. (eds.) (2003), *Au-delà du visible: Reliquaires et travaux de couvents*, Fribourg.

Leith, D. (2008), 'The Diatritus and Therapy in Graceo-Roman Medicine', *Classical Quarterly*, 58: 581–600.

Lelis, A. A., Percy, W. A., and Verstraete, B. C. (2003), *The Age of Marriage in Ancient Rome*, Lewiston [etc.].

Lentano, M. (1995), '*Nupta verba—Praetextata verba*: Considerazioni sul frammento 68 Lindsay di Plauto', *Aufidus*, 25: 7–17.

Leo, F. (1901), *Die griechisch-römische Biographie nach ihrer literarischen Form*, Leipzig.

Lévi-Strauss, C. (1967), *Les Structures élémentaires de la parenté*, Paris.

Leyerle, B. (1997), 'Appealing to Children', *Journal of Early Christian Studies*, 5/2: 243–70.

Lifton, R. J. (1977 [1st edn. 1973]), 'The Sense of Immortality: On Death and the Continuity of Life', in H. Feifel (ed.), *New Meanings of Death*, New York, 273–90.

Ling, R. (1977), 'Studius and the Beginnings of Roman Landscape Painting', *Journal of Roman Studies*, 67: 1–16.

——(1991), *Roman Painting*, Cambridge.

——(1993), 'The Paintings of the Columbarium of Villa Doria Pamphili in Rome', in E. M. Moormann (ed.), *Functional and Spatial Analysis of Wall Painting. Proceedings of the Fifth International Congress on Ancient Wall Painting (Amsterdam, 8–12 September 1992)* (Babesch Suppl. 3), Leiden, 127–35.

——(1998), 'Le Columbarium de la villa Doria Pamphili à Rome', in N. Blanc (ed.), *Au royaume des ombres: La Peinture funéraire antique, Catalogue d'exposition*, Paris, 45–7.

Linke, B., and Stemmler, M. (eds.) (2000), Mos Maiorum: *Untersuchungen zu den Formen der Identitätsstiftung und Stabilisierung in der römischen Republik*, Stuttgart.

Lintott, A. (2008), *Cicero as Evidence: A Historian's Companion*, Oxford.

McDonnell, M. (2006), *Roman Manliness: Virtus and the Roman Republic*, Cambridge.

Maiuri, A. (1933), *La casa del Menandro e il suo tesoro di argenteria*, Rome.

Malaise, M. (1972), *Les Conditions de pénétration et de diffusion des cultes égyptiens en Italie*, Leiden.

Manca Masciadri, M., and Montevecchi, O. (1984), *I contratti di baliatico* (Corpus Papyrorum Graecorum 1), Milan.

MANTLE, I. C. (2002), 'The Roles of Children in Roman Religion', *Greece & Rome*, 49: 85–106.

MARGANNE, M.-H. (1991), 'L'Égypte médicale de Pline l'Ancien', in *Le Latin médical* (Centre Jean Palerne, Mémoires X), Saint-Étienne, Université de Saint-Étienne, 155–71.

MARINESCU, C. A., COX, S. E., and WACHTER, R. (2005), 'Walking and Talking among Us: Personifications in a Group of Late Antique Mosaics', in H. Morlier (ed.), *La Mosaïque gréco-romaine IX*, II, Rome, 1269–77.

——————— (2007), 'Paideia's Children: Childhood Education on a Group of Late Antique Mosaics', in Cohen and Rutter 2007, 101–14.

MARROU, H.-I. (1957), *Geschichte der Erziehung im klassischen Altertum*, Freiburg and Munich.

MARTIN, J. (1984), 'Zur Stellung des Vaters in antiken Gesellschaften', in H. Süssmuth (ed.), *Historische Anthropologie: Der Mensch in der Geschichte*, Göttingen, 84–109.

——(1997), 'Zwei Alte Geschichten: Vergleichende historisch-anthropologische Betrachtungen zu Griechenland und Rom', *Saeculum*, 48: 1–20.

——(2002), 'Familie, Verwandtschaft und Staat in der römischen Republik', in J. Spielvogel (ed.), *Res Publica reperta: Zur Verfassung und Gesellschaft der römischen Republik und des frühen Prinzipats, Festschrift für Jochen Bleicken zum 75. Geburtstag*, Stuttgart, 13–24.

——and NITSCHKE, A. (eds.) (1986), *Zur Sozialgeschichte der Kindheit* (Kindheit, Jugend, Familie 2), Freiburg and Munich.

——and ZOEPFFEL, R. (eds.) (1989), *Aufgaben, Rollen und Räume von Frau und Mann*, I–II (Kindheit, Jugend, Familie 3), Freiburg and Munich.

MARTINEZ-PINNA, J. (1995), 'L'Oenoché de Tragliatella: Considérations sur la société étrusque archaïque', *Studi Etruschi*, 60: 79–92.

MAVROUDIS, A. D. (1986), 'Critical Notes on a Medical Papyrus (PSI III 252)', *Aristotelian University of Thessalonica*, *Annual of the Faculty of Medicine*, 16: 187–209.

MENCACCI, F. (1996), *I fratelli amici: La rappresentazione dei gemelli nella cultura romana*, Venice.

——(2001), 'Genealogia metaforica e *maiores* colletivi (prospettive anthropologiche sulla costruzione dei *Viri illustres*)', in Coudry and Späth 2001, 421–37.

——(2005), *Parentela e quasi parentela: Pedagoghi, nutrici e altri ruoli 'vicari' nella letteratura latina*, Pisa.

MEYVAERT, P. (1959), 'Les *Responsiones* de S. Grégoire le Grand à S. Augustin de Cantorbéry', *Revue d'Histoire Ecclésiastique*, 54: 879–94.

——(1971), 'Bede's Text of the *Libellus responsionum* of Gregory the Great to Augustine of Canterbury', in *England before the Conquest: Studies in Primary Sources presented to Dorothy Whitelock*, Cambridge, 15–33.

——(1986), 'Le *Libellus responsionum* à Augustin de Cantorbéry: Une œuvre authentique de saint Grégoire le Grand', in J. Fontaine, R. Gillet, and S. Pellistrandi (eds.), *Grégoire le Grand*, Paris, 543–50.

Michel, D. (1990), *Casa dei Cei (I 6, 15) (Häuser in Pompeji 3)*, Munich.

Mielsch, H. (1975), *Roemische Stuckreliefs*, Heidelberg.

——(2001), *Römische Wandmalerei*, Darmstadt.

——and von Hesberg, H. (1995), *Die heidnische Nekropole unter St. Peter in Rom, die Mausoleen E-I und Z-PSI*, Rome.

Minard, P. (1991), *Grégoire le Grand: Registre des lettres*, I (Livres I et II), Paris.

Momigliano, A. (1971), 'La libertà di parola nel mondo antico', *Rivista storica italiana*, 83: 499–544.

——(1973), 'Freedom of Speech in Antiquity', in *Dictionary of the History of Ideas*, II, New York, 252–63.

Moreau, P. (1990), 'Adfinitas: La Parenté par alliance dans la société romaine (Ier siècle av. J.-C. – IIe siècle ap. J.-C.)', in Andreau and Bruhns 1990, 3–26.

——(2002), Incestus *et* prohibitae nuptiae: *Conception romaine de l'inceste et histoire des prohibitions matrimoniales pour cause de parenté dans la Rome antique*, Paris.

Morgan, T. (1998), *Literate Education in the Hellenistic and Roman Worlds*, Cambridge.

Motomura, R. (1988), 'The Practice of Exposing Infants and its Effects on the Development of Slavery in the Ancient World', in T. Yuge and M. Doi (eds.), *Forms of Control and Subordination in Antiquity*, Leiden, 410–15.

Mudry, P. (2004), '*Non pueri sicut viri:* Petit apercu de pédiatrie romaine', in Dasen 2004, 451–62.

Müller, E. W. (ed.) (1985), *Geschlechtsreife und Legitimation zur Zeugung* (Kindheit, Jugend, Familie 1), Freiburg and Munich.

Müller, R. J. (1993), 'Tradierung religiösen Wissen in den Mysterienkulten am Beispiel von Andania', in W. Kullmann and J. Althoff (eds.), *Vermittlung und Tradierung von Wissen in der griechischen Kultur*, Tübingen, 307–16.

Münzer, F. (1918*a*), *s.v.* Iunius (Brutus) No. 52, *RE* X.1: 972–3.

——(1918*b*), *s.v.* Iunius (Silanus) No. 163, *RE* X.1: 1090–1.

——(1920), *Römische Adelsparteien und Adelsfamilien*, Stuttgart.

Mustakallio, K., Hanska, J., Sainio, H.-L., and Vuolanto, V. (eds.) (2005), *Hoping for Continuity: Childhood, Education and Death in Antiquity and the Middle Ages*, Rome.

Nagle, B. R. (2004), *The* Silvae *of Statius. Translated with Notes and Introduction*, Bloomington, Indianapolis.

Nani, T. G. (1943–4), '*Threptoi*', *Epigraphica*, 5–6: 45–84.

Nathan, G. (2000), *The Family in Late Antiquity: The Rise of Christianity and the Endurance of Tradition*, London and New York.

Nauta, R. R. (2002), *Poetry for Patrons: Literary Communication in the Age of Domitian*, Leyden.

Nava, M. L., Paris, R., and Friggeri, R. (eds.) (2007), *Rosso Pompeiano: La decorazione pittorica nelle collezioni del Museo di Napoli e a Pompei*, Milan.

Neils, J., and Oakley, J. (eds.) (2004), *Coming of Age in Ancient Greece: Images of Childhood from the Classical Past*, Hanover and New Haven.

Néraudau, J.-P. (2008 [1st edn. 1984]), *Être enfant à Rome*, Paris.

Newlands, C. (2002), *Statius' Silvae and the Poetics of Empire*, Cambridge.

Nilsson, M. P. (1955 [1st edn. 1941]), *Geschichte der griechischen Religion*, Munich.

Nora, P. (1997 [1st edn. 1984–92]), *Les Lieux de mémoire*, I–III, Paris.

Norberg, D. (1982), *S. Gregorii Magni registrum epistularum. Libri I–VII et Libri VIII–XIV*, I–II, Turnhout (*Corpus Christianorum. Series Latina*, CXL et CXL A).

Nutton, V. (1990), 'The Patient's Choice: A New Treatise by Galen', *Classical Quarterly*, 40: 236–57.

—— (1997), 'Galen on Theriac: Problems of Authenticity', in A. Debru (ed.), *Galen on Pharmacology: Philosophy, History and Medicine*, Leiden, 133–51.

Oepke, A. (1934), 'Amphithaleis in griechischen und hellenistischen Kult', *Archiv für Religionswissenschaft*, 31: 42–56.

Oost, S. I. (1955), 'Cato Uticensis and the Annexation of Cyprus', *CPh* 50: 98–112.

O'Roark, D. (1999), 'Parenthood in Late Antiquity: The Evidence of Chrysostom', *Greek, Roman and Byzantine Studies*, 40: 53–81.

Parker, R. (1983), *Miasma: Pollution and Purification in Early Greek Religion*, Oxford.

Patterson, C. (1985), '"Not Worth the Rearing": The Causes of Infant Exposure in Ancient Greece', *Transactions of the American Philological Association*, 115: 103–23.

Pederzani, O. (1995), *Il talamo, l'albero e lo specchio: Saggio di commento a Stat. Silv.* I, 2, II 3, III 4, Bari.

Pedicini, R., and Pedicini, L. (eds.) (1986), *Le Collezioni del Museo Nazionale di* Napoli, Naples.

Pelling, C. B. J. (1980), 'Plutarch's Adaptation of his Source Material', *Journal of Hellenic Studies*, 100: 127–40.

Pertz, G. H. (1837), *Monumenta Germaniae historica. Legum* II, *Pars altera. Capitularia spuria. Canones ecclesiastici. Bullae pontificum*, Hanovre.

Peters, W. J. T. (1963), *Landscape in Romano-Campanian Mural Painting*, Assen.

——(1993), *La Casa di Marcus Lucretius Fronto a Pompei e le sue pitture. Scrinium V*, Amsterdam.

Petersen, J. M. (1994), 'The Education of Girls in Fourth-Century Rome', in D. Wood (ed.), *The Church and Childhood* (Studies in Church History 31), Cambridge, 29–38.

Petersen, L. H. (2006), *The Freedman in Roman Art and Art History*, Cambridge.

Pigeaud, J. (1978), 'Du rythme dans le corps: Quelques notes sur l'interprétation du pouls par le médecin Hérophile', *Bulletin de l'Association Guillaume Budé*, 258–67.

Poly, J.-P. (2003), *Le Chemin des amours barbares: Genèse médiévale de la sexualité européenne*, Paris.

Pomeroy, A. G. (1992), 'Trimalchio as *Deliciae*', *Phoenix*, 46: 45–53.

Pomeroy, S. B. (1976), 'The Relationship of the Married Woman to her Blood Relatives in Rome', *Ancient Society*, 7: 215–27.

Prescendi, F. (1996), 'Il lutto dei padri nella cultura romana', in F. Hinard (ed.), *La Mort au quotidien dans le monde romain, Actes du colloque organisé par l'Université de Paris IV (Paris, Sorbonne 7–9 octobre 1993)*, Paris, 147–54.

Prosperi Valenti, G. (1985), 'Attori-bambini del mondo romano attraverso le testimonianze epigrafiche', Epigraphica, 47: 71–82.

Puliatti, S. (2001), *Incesti crimina: Regime giuridico da Augusto a Giustiniano*, Milan.

Rawson, B. (1966), 'Family Life among the Lower Classes at Rome in the First Two Centuries of the Empire', Classical Philology, 61: 71–83.

——(1986) (ed.), *The Family in Ancient Rome: New Perspectives*, London and Sydney.

——(1989), 'Spurii and the Roman View of Illegitimacy', *Antichthon*, 23: 10–41.

——(1991) (ed.), *Marriage, Divorce and Children in Ancient Rome*, Oxford.

——(1997a), 'Representations of Roman Children and Childhood', *Antichthon*, 31: 74–95.

——(1997b), 'The Iconography of Roman Childhood', in Rawson and Weaver 1997, 205–32.

Rawson, (1999), 'Education, the Romans and Us', Antichthon, 33: 81–98.
——(2003), *Children and Childhood in Roman Italy*, Oxford.
——(2005), 'Circulation of Staff between Roman Households', *Zeitschrift für Papyrologie und Epigraphik*, 151: 223–5.
——and Weaver, P. (eds.) (1997), *The Roman Family in Italy: Status, Sentiment, Space*, Oxford and New York.
Rawson, E. (1979), *Cicero: A Portrait*, London.
Richlin, A. (1992), *The Gardens of Priapus: Sexuality and Aggression in Roman Humor*, New York and Oxford.
Rieth, A. (1973), 'Antike Goldmasken', *Antike Welt*, 4: 28–34.
Riposati, B. (1939), *M. Terenti Varronis: De vita populi Romani. Fonti, esegesi, edizione critica dei frammenti*, Milan.
Roller, M. B. (2006), *Dining Postures in Ancient Rome*, Princeton.
Romano, G. (2005), 'Luperques', *ThesCRA* V: 89–91.
Rosenbaum, E. (1960), *A Catalogue of Cyrenaican Portrait Sculpture*, London.
Rossini, O. (2006), *Ara Pacis*, Roma, Musei in Comune, Milan.
Rostowzew, M. (1904), 'Pompejanische Landschaften und römische Villen', *Jahrbuch des Deutschen Archäologischen Instituts*, 19: 103–26.
——(1911), 'Die hellenistisch-römische Architekturlandschaft', *Mitteilungen des Deutschen Archäologischen Instituts, Römische Abteilung*, 26: 1–186.
Rousselle, A. (1986), 'Gestes et signes de la famille dans l'Empire romain', in Burguière *et al.* 1986, 231–69.
Rudhardt, J. (1988), 'Mnémosyne et les Muses', in P. Borgeaud (ed.), *Mémoire des religions*, Geneva, 37–62.
Rühl, M. (2003), '*Confer gemitus pariterque fleamus!* Die Epikedien in den *Silven* des Statius', *Hyperboreus*, 9/1: 114–26.
——(2006), *Literatur gewordener Augenblick: Die Silven des Statius im Kontext literarischer und sozialer Bedingungen*, Berlin and New York.
Saglio, E. (1887), s.v. *cera*, in Daremberg and Saglio, I: 1019–20.
Saller, R. P. (1984), '*Familia*, *Domus*, and the Roman Conception of the Family', *Phoenix*, 38: 336–55.
——(1994), *Patriarchy, Property and Death in the Roman Family*, Cambridge.
——(1997), 'Roman Kinship: Structure and Sentiment', in Rawson and Weaver 1997, 7–34.
Salzman, M. R. (2000), 'Elite Realities and *Mentalités*: The Making of a Western Christian Aristocracy', *Arethusa*, 33: 347–62.
——(2001), 'Competing Claims to *Nobilitas* in the Western Empire of the Fourth and Fifth Centuries', *Journal of Early Christian Studies*, 9/3: 359–85.

Scamuzzi, U. (1964), 'Studio sulla mummia di bambina cosidetta "Mummia di Grottarossa" rinvenuta a Roma, sulla via Cassia, il 5–2–1964', *Rivista di studi classici*, 12: 264–80.

——(1965), 'La "Mummia di Grottarossa"', *Aegyptus*, 45: 74–83.

Scarpat, G. (1964), *Parrhesia: Storia del termine e delle sue traduzioni in latino*, Brescia.

Schäfer, T. (1989), Imperii insignia: Sella curulis *und* Fasces: *zur Repräsentation römischer Magistrate*, Mainz.

Scheid, J. (1990), *Romulus et ses frères: Le Collège des frères arvales, modèle du culte public dans la Rome des empereurs*, Rome.

——(1998), *La Religion des Romains*, Paris.

——(2003), 'Religion romaine et spiritualité', *Archiv für Religionsgeschichte*, 5: 198–209.

——(2007), 'Le Sens des rites: L'Exemple romain', in J. Scheid (ed.), *Rites et croyances dans les religions du monde romain* (Entretiens sur l'Antiquité classique, Fondation Hardt 53), 39–63.

——and Svenbro, J. (2003), *Le Métier de Zeus: Mythe du tissage et du tissu dans le monde gréco-romain*, Paris.

Scheidel, W. (1997), 'Quantifying the Sources of Slaves in the Early Roman Empire', *Journal of Roman Studies*, 87: 156–89.

——(2005), 'Human Mobility in Roman Italy, II: The Slave Population', *Journal of Roman Studies*, 95: 64–79.

——(2009), 'The Demographic Background', in Hübner and Ratzan 2009, 31–40.

Schlange-Schöningen, H. (2003), *Die römische Gesellschaft bei Galen: Biographie und Sozialgeschichte*, Berlin and New York.

Schlosser, J. von (1910–11), 'Tote Blicke: Geschichte der Porträtbildnerei in Wachs: ein Versuch', *Jahrbuch der Kunsthistorischen Sammlungen des Allerhöchsten Kaiserhauses*, 29: 171–258 (French trans. *Histoire du portrait en cire*, Paris, 1997).

Schmitz, T. A. (2002), *Moderne Literaturtheorie und antike Texte: Eine Einführung*, Darmstadt.

Schnegg-Köhler, B. (2002), *Die augusteichen Säkularspiele*, Munich.

Scholz, P. (2004), 'Der Senat und die Intellektualisierung der Politik—Einige Bemerkungen zur Krise der traditionellen Erziehung in der späten römischen Republik', in C. Kretschmann, H. Pahl, and P. Scholz (eds.), *Wissen in der Krise: Institutionen des Wissens im gesellschaftlichen Wandel*, Berlin, 17–27.

——(2005), 'Zur öffentlichen Repräsentation römischer Senatoren und Magistrate: Einige Überlegungen zur (verlorenen) materiellen Kultur

der republikanischen Senatsaristokratie', in T. L. Kienlin (ed.), *Die Dinge als Zeichen: Kulturelles Wissen und materielle Kultur*, Bonn, 409–31.

——(2006), '*Imitatio Patris* statt griechischer Pädagogik: Überlegungen zur Sozialisation und Erziehung der republikanischen Senatsaristokratie', *Jahrbuch des Historischen Kollegs 2005*: 121–48.

——(2007), 'Senatorisches Mäzenatentum: Überlegungen zum Verhältnis von Dichtern, Gelehrten und römischen *nobiles* in republikanischer Zeit', in U. Oevermann, J. Süßmann, and C. Tauber (eds.), *Die Kunst der Mächtigen und die Macht der Kunst: Untersuchungen zu Mäzenatentum und Kulturpatronage*, Berlin, 25–46.

Scholz, U. (1992), 'Von der Bildung eines römischen Politikers', *Humanistische Bildung*, 16: 25–37.

Shackleton Bailey, D. R. (1965–70), *Cicero's Letters to Atticus*, Lat.–Engl., 7 vols. (Cambridge Classical Texts and Commentaries 3–9), Cambridge.

——(1968), *Cicero's Letters to Atticus*, III, CUP, Cambridge.

——(1980), *Epistulae ad Quintum fratrem et M. Brutum*, Lat.–Eng., (Cambridge Classical Texts and Commentaries 22), Cambridge.

——(1991 [1st edn. 1976]), *Two Studies in Roman Nomenclature*, New York.

Shaw, B. D. (1987*a*), 'The Family in Late Antiquity: The Experience of Augustine', *Past and Present*, 115: 3–51.

——(1987*b*), 'The Age of Roman Girls at Marriage: Some Reconsiderations', *Journal of Roman Studies*, 77: 30–46.

——(2001), 'Raising and Killing Children: Two Roman Myths', *Mnemosyne*, 54: 31–77.

Sherwin-White, A. N. (1966), *The Letters of Pliny: A Historical and Social Commentary*, Oxford.

Shrimpton, G. S. (1997), *History and Memory in Ancient Greece*, Montreal.

Sigismund Nielsen, H. (1990), '*Delicia* in Roman Literature and in the Urban Inscriptions', *Analecta Romana Instituti Danici*, 19: 79–88.

——(1991), '*Ditis examen domus?* On the Use of the Term "*Verna*" in the Roman Epigraphical and Literary Sources', *Classica et Mediaevalia*, 42: 221–40.

——(1998), 'Roman Children at Mealtimes', in I. Nielsen and H. Sigismund Nielsen, *Meals in a Social Context: Aspects of the Communal Meal in the Hellenistic and Roman World*, Aarhus, 56–66.

Slater, W. J. (1974), '*Pueri, turba minuta*', *Bulletin of the Institute of Classical Studies*, 21: 133–40.

Slim, H. (1976), 'Masques mortuaires d'El-Jem (Thysdrus)', *Antiquités Africaines*, 10: 79–92.

——(1996), *El Jem: L'Antique Thysdrus*, Tunis.

——(2001), *La Tunisie antique: De Hannibal à Augustin*, Paris.

Sluiter, I., and Rosen, R. M. (2004), *Free Speech in Classical Antiquity*, Leiden.

Smith, C. J. (2006), *The Roman Clan. The Gens from Ancient Ideology to Modern Anthropology*, Cambridge.

Smith, R. R. R. (1981), 'Greeks, Foreigners and Roman Republican Portraits', *Journal of Roman Studies*, 71: 24–38.

Späth, T. (1998), 'Faits de mots et d'images: Les Grands Hommes de la Rome ancienne', *traverse*, 5/1: 35–56.

——(2006), 'Geschlechter—Texte—Wirklichkeiten', in C. Ulf and R. Rollinger (eds.), *Frauen und Geschlechter: Bilder—Rollen—Realitäten in den Texten antiker Autoren der römischen Kaiserzeit*, Vienna, 39–76.

——(2007), 'Blick auf Helden statt Blick auf Rom: Plutarchs Rezepte für ein globales Bankett der Moral', in M.-L. Freyburger and D. Meyer (eds.), *Visions grecques de Rome/Griechische Blicke auf Rom*, Paris, 143–70.

Spinazzola, V. (1953), *Pompei alla luce degli scavi nuovi di Via dell'Abbondanza*, I, Rome.

Spitzbart, G. (1997), *Beda der Ehrwürdige: Kirchengeschichte des englischen Volkes*, Darmstadt.

Stein-Hölkeskamp, E. (ed.) (2006), *Erinnerungsorte der Antike: Die römische Welt*, Munich.

Stinchcomb, J. (1932/3), 'The Two Younger Tullii', *Classical Journal*, 28: 441–8.

Stockton, D. (1979), *The Gracchi*, Oxford.

Stone, L. (1990), *Road to Divorce: England 1530–1987*, Oxford.

Stuveras, R. (1969), *Le putto dans l'art romain*, Brussels.

Syme, R. (1939), *The Roman Revolution*, Oxford.

——(1960), 'Bastards in the Roman Aristocracy', *Proceedings of the American Philosophical Society*, 104: 323–7 (= *Roman Papers*, II, Oxford 1979, 510–17).

——(1980), 'No Son for Caesar?', *Historia*, 29: 422–37.

——(1986), *The Roman Aristocracy*, Oxford.

Tanner, J. (2000), 'Portraits, Power, and Patronage in the Late Roman Republic', *Journal of Roman Studies*, 90: 18–50.

Taylor, L. R. (1960), *The Voting Districts of the Roman Republic: The Thirty-Five Urban and Rural Tribes*, Rome.

Temkin, O. (1934), 'Galen's Advice for an Epileptic Boy', *Bulletin of the History of Medicine*, 2: 179–89.

——(1971 [1st edn. 1945]), *The Falling Sickness: A History of Epilepsy from the Greeks to the Beginnings of Modern Neurology*, Baltimore.

Thomas, R. (1989), *Oral Tradition and Written Record in Classical Athens* (Cambridge Studies in Oral and Literate Culture 18), Cambridge.

THOMAS, Y. (1983), 'Paura dei padri e violenza dei figli: Immagini retoriche e norme di diritto', in E. Pellizer and N. Zorzetti (eds.), *La paura dei padri nella società antica e medievale*, Rome and Bari, 113–40.

——(1984*a*), '*Vitae Necisque Potestas: Le Père, la cité, la mort*', in *Du châtiment dans la Cité: Supplices corporels et peine de mort dans le monde antique. Table ronde organisée par l'École française de Rome avec le concours du Centre national de la recherche scientifique (Rome 9.–11. Novembre 1982)*, Rome, 499–548.

——(1984*b*), 'Se venger au Forum. Solidarité familiale et procès criminel à Rome', in R. Verdier and J.-P. Ply (eds.), *La vengeance. Vengeance, pouvoirs et idéologies dans quelques civilisations de l'Antiquité*, Paris, 65–100.

——(1986), 'Rome: A Rome, pères citoyens et cité des pères (IIe siècle avant J.-C. – IIe siècle après J.-C.)', in Burguière *et al.* 1986, 195–229.

——(1988), 'Le Droit romain: Le Traité des computs du juristeconsulte Paul', in P. Legendre, *Leçons IV, Le Dossier occidental de la parenté: Textes juridiques indésirables sur la généalogie*, Paris, 27–119.

TILLY, L., FUCHS, R., KERTZER, D., and RANSEL, D. (1992), 'Child Abandonment in European History: A Symposium', *Journal of Family History*, 17: 1–23.

TIMMER, J. (2008), *Altersgrenzen politischer Partizipation in antiken Gesellschaften*, Berlin.

TREGGIARI, S. (1969), *Roman Freedmen during the Late Republic*, Oxford.

——(1975), 'Family Life among the Staff of the Volusii', *Transactions of the American Philological Association*, 105: 393–401.

——(1979), 'Questions on Women Domestics in the Roman West', in *Schiavitù, manomissione e classi dipendenti nel mondo antico* (pubblicazioni dell'Istituto di storia antica, Università degli studi di Podova 13), Rome, 185–201.

——(1984), '*Digna condicio*: Betrothals in the Roman Upper Class', *Échos du Monde Classique*, 3: 419–51.

——(1991), *Roman Marriage: Iusti Coniuges from the Time of Cicero to the Time of Ulpian*, Oxford.

——(1998), 'Home and Forum: Cicero between "Public" and "Private"', *Transactions of the American Philological Association*, 128: 1–23.

——(2003), 'Ancestral Virtues and Vices: Cicero on Nature, Nurture and Presentation', in D. Braund and C. Gill (eds.), *Myth, History and Culture in Republican Rome: Studies in Honour of T. P. Wiseman*, Exeter, 139–64.

——(2005), 'Putting the Family Across: Cicero on Natural Affection', in George 2005, 9–35.

——(2007), *Terentia, Tullia and Publilia: The Women of Cicero's Family*, London.

Turcan, R. (1995), *L'Art romain dans l'histoire: Six siècles d'expressions de la romanité*, Paris.

Tyrrell, R. Y., and Purser, L. C. (1969 [1st edn. Dublin and London, 1914]), *The Correspondence of M. Tullius Cicero*, III, Hildesheim.

Uzzi, J. D. (2005), *Children in the Visual Arts of Imperial Rome*, Cambridge.

Valette-Cagnac, E. (2003), 'Être enfant à Rome: Le Dur Apprentissage de la vie civique', *Terrain*, 40: 49–64.

——(2004), 'La Figure de la *reticentia* dans les traités de rhétorique', in L. Louvel and C. Rannoux (eds.), *La Réticence*, Rennes, 101–21.

Van Dam, H.-J. (1984), *Papinius Statius. Silvae Book II. A Commentary*, Leiden.

Vansina, J. (1985), *Oral Tradition as History*, Madison [= revised edn. of *De la tradition orale*, Tervuren 1961].

Vattuone, R. (2004), *Il mostro e il sapiente: Studi sull' erotica greca*, Bologna.

Verstraete, B. (1989), 'Panegyric and Candour in Statius, *Silvae*, 3, 4', in C. Deroux (ed.), *Studies in Latin Literature and Roman History*, Brussels, 405–13.

Vessberg, O. (1941), *Studien zur Kunstgeschichte der römischen Republik*, Lund and Leipzig.

Veyne, P. (1991), *La Société romaine*, Paris.

Viazzo, P. P. (1994), 'Family Structures and the Early Phase in the Individual Life Cycle: A Southern European Perspective', in J. Henderson and R. Wall (eds.), *Poor Women and Children in the European Past*, London and New York, 32–50.

Vollmer, F. (1898), *P. Papinii Statii, Silvarum libri: herausgegeben und erklärt*, Leipzig.

Volterra, E. (1939), 'L'efficacia delle costituzioni imperiali emanate per le provincie e l'instituto dell'*expositio*', in *Studi di storia e diritto in onore di Enrico Besta*, Milan, 449–77.

Vössing, K. (2004), Mensa Regia: Das Bankett beim hellenistischen König und beim römischen Kaiser, Munich and Leipzig.

Vout, C. (2007), *Power and Eroticism in Imperial Rome*, Cambridge.

Vuolanto, V. (2002), 'Women and the Property of Fatherless Children in the Roman Empire', in P. Setälä *et al.* (eds.), *Women, Wealth and Power in the Roman Empire*, Rome, 203–43.

——(2003), 'Selling a Freeborn Child: Rhetoric and Social Realities in the Late Roman World', *Ancient Society*, 33: 169–207.

——(2009), 'Choosing Asceticism: Children and Parents, Vows and Conflicts', in C. Horn and R. Phenix (eds.), *Children in Late Ancient Christianity* (Studien und Texte zu Antike und Christentum 58), Tübingen, 255–91.

Walker, S. (ed.) (2000), *Ancient Faces: Mummy Portraits from Roman Egypt*, London.

Walter, U. (2002), 'Geschichte als Lebensmacht im republikanischen Rom', *Geschichte in Wissenschaft und Unterricht*, 53: 326–39.

——(2003), 'ahn macht sinn: Familientradition und Familienprofil im republikanischen Rom', in K.-J. Hölkeskamp *et al.* (eds.), *Sinn (in) der Antike: Orientierungssysteme, Leitbilder und Wertkonzepte im Altertum*, Mainz, 255–75.

——(2004), 'Ein Ebenbild des Vaters: Familiale Wiederholungen in der historiographischen Traditionsbildung der römischen Republik', *Hermes*, 132: 406–25.

Warburg, A. M. (2008$^{3}$ [1st edn. 2000]), *Der Bilderatlas Mnemosyne* (Gesammelte Schriften, II.1, M. Warnke and C. Brink, eds.), Berlin.

Watson, A. (1985), trans., *The Digest of Justinian*, Philadelphia, 4 vols.

Watson, P. (1992), '*Erotion: Puella delicata?*', *Classical Quaterly*, 42: 253–68.

Weaver, P. R. C. (1972), *Familia Caesaris*, Cambridge.

——(1990), 'Where Have All the Junian Latins Gone? Nomenclature and Status in the Roman Empire', *Chiron*, 20: 275–305.

——(2001), 'Reconstructing Lower-Class Roman Families', in Dixon 2001*b*, 101–14.

Whitehead, A. (2009), *Memory*, London.

Wiedemann, T. (1989), *Adults and Children in the Roman Empire*, London.

Williams, W. (1990), *Pliny the Younger: Correspondence with Trajan from Bithynia (Epistles X). Translation and Commentary*, Warminster.

Wlosok, A. (1978), 'Vater und Vatervorstellungen in der römischen Kultur', in H. Tellenbach (ed.), *Das Vaterbild im Abendland*, I, *Rom, frühes Christentum, Mittelalter, Neuzeit*, Stuttgart, Berlin, and Cologne, 18–54.

Wrede, H. (1981), Consecratio in formam deorum: *Vergöttlichte Privatpersonen in der römischen Kaiserzeit*, Mainz am Rhein.

Yates, F. A. (1966), *The Art of Memory*, London.

Zadoks-Josephus Jitta, A. N. (1932), *Ancestral Portraiture in Rome and the Art of the Last Century of the Republic*, Amsterdam.

Zambon, S. (2005), *Una voce tu sei e null'altro: L'usignolo nella tradizione culturale del mondo antico* (Tesi di dottorato), Siena.

Zanker, P. (1975), 'Grabreliefs römischer Freigelassener', *Jahrbuch des Deutschen Archäologischen Instituts*, 90: 267–315.

Ziolkowski, J. M. (1998), 'Obscenity in the Latin Grammatical and Rhetorical Tradition', in J. M. Ziolkowski (ed.), *Obscenity: Social Control and Artistic Creation in the European Middle Ages*, Leiden, Boston, and Cologne.

Zoz, M. G. (1970), 'In tema di obbligazioni alimentari', *Bullettino dell'Istituto di Diritto Romano* '*Vittorio Scialoja*', 73: 323–55.