

Molecular detection of *Bartonella* spp. in deer ked pupae, adult keds and moose blood in Finland

E. M. KORHONEN^{1†}, C. PÉREZ VERA^{1,2†*}, A. T. PULLIAINEN^{3,4},
T. SIRONEN¹, K. AALTONEN¹, R. KORTET⁵, L. HÄRKÖNEN⁶,
S. HÄRKÖNEN⁷, T. PAAKKONEN^{5,8}, P. NIEMINEN^{5,8}, A.-M. MUSTONEN^{5,8},
H. YLÖNEN⁹ AND O. VAPALAHTI^{1,10,11}

¹ University of Helsinki, Haartman Institute, Department of Virology, Helsinki, Finland; ² University of Bern, Department of Clinical Veterinary Studies, Vetsuisse Faculty, Bern, Switzerland; ³ Institute of Biomedicine, Medical Biochemistry and Genetics, University of Turku, Turku, Finland; ⁴ Department of Biosciences, Division of General Microbiology, University of Helsinki, Helsinki, Finland; ⁵ University of Eastern Finland, Department of Biology, Joensuu, Finland; ⁶ University of Oulu, Department of Biology, Oulu, Finland; ⁷ Finnish Forest Research Institute, Joensuu Research Unit, Joensuu, Finland; ⁸ University of Eastern Finland, Faculty of Health Sciences, School of Medicine, Institute of Biomedicine/Anatomy, Kuopio, Finland; ⁹ University of Jyväskylä, Department of Biological and Environmental Science, Konnevesi Research Station, Jyväskylä, Finland; ¹⁰ University of Helsinki, Department of Veterinary Biosciences, Division of Microbiology and Epidemiology, Helsinki, Finland; ¹¹ Helsinki University Central Hospital Laboratory, Department of Virology, HUS, Finland

Received 7 January 2014; Final revision 4 May 2014; Accepted 17 May 2014;
first published online 5 June 2014

SUMMARY

The deer ked (*Lipoptena cervi*) is a haematophagous ectoparasite of cervids that harbours haemotrophic *Bartonella*. A prerequisite for the vector competence of the deer ked is the vertical transmission of the pathogen from the mother to its progeny and transstadial transmission from pupa to winged adult. We screened 1154 pupae and 59 pools of winged adult deer keds from different areas in Finland for *Bartonella* DNA using PCR. Altogether 13 pupa samples and one winged adult deer ked were positive for the presence of *Bartonella* DNA. The amplified sequences were closely related to either *B. schoenbuchensis* or *B. bovis*. The same lineages were identified in eight blood samples collected from free-ranging moose. This is the first demonstration of *Bartonella* spp. DNA in a winged adult deer ked and, thus, evidence for potential transstadial transmission of *Bartonella* spp. in the species.

Key words: *Bartonella*, deer ked, *Lipoptena cervi*, moose, zoonosis.

INTRODUCTION

Bartonella spp. are Gram-negative facultative intracellular bacteria that are highly adapted to their mammalian reservoir hosts, in which they cause chronic

relapsing intraerythrocytic bacteraemia [1]. *Bartonella* spp. have been associated with an increasing variety of human diseases, such as trench fever, Carrion's disease, bacillary angiomatosis, endocarditis, cat scratch disease, meningitis and neuroretinitis [2]. Several *Bartonella* spp. have been identified as zoonotic or potentially zoonotic. A variety of mammalian species, such as rodents, ruminants and companion animals, serve as reservoirs for several zoonotic species of *Bartonella*. In dogs (*Canis familiaris*), at least eight

* Author for correspondence: Mrs C. Pérez Vera, Department of Clinical Veterinary Medicine, Vetsuisse Faculty, University of Bern, Länggassstrasse 120, CH-3012 Bern, Switzerland.
(Email: cristina.perez@vetsuisse.unibe.ch)

† These authors contributed equally.




Fig. 1. Schematic overview of the deer ked life cycle.

Bartonella species are known to be pathogenic [3]. Although primarily associated with non-clinical bacteremia in domestic cattle (*Bos taurus*) and wild ruminants, *Bartonella bovis* infection has been associated with endocarditis in cows in France and in the USA suggesting a role as an animal pathogen [4, 5]. Given its medical importance, much research has focused on known and suspected arthropod vectors. Blood-sucking arthropods, such as fleas (Siphonaptera), lice (Phthiraptera) and ticks (Acari), are potent vectors for the transmission of *Bartonella* spp. [6].

The deer ked (*Lipoptena cervi*) is a common haematophagous ectoparasite of cervids, especially moose (*Alces alces*) [7]. Since the deer ked invaded Finland 50 years ago, its distribution has rapidly spread northwards to the southern parts of Finnish Lapland [7, 8]. This rapid increase in the deer ked population appears to be correlated with high moose densities [7, 9]. When an adult deer ked attaches to a host, it drops its wings and remains usually for the rest of its life on the same host [10]. Following a blood meal, the female gives birth to larvae, which immediately pupate during the autumn and winter. In Finland, pupae drop to the ground or snow and hatch in the following late summer or early autumn. Emerged winged, unfed adults have a short flying

period to seek a suitable host during the late summer and autumn [7, 10, 11] (Fig. 1). A prerequisite for the deer ked to act as a successful *Bartonella* vector is vertical transmission from the mother to its progeny and transstadial transmission from the pupa to the adult. Deer keds in different developmental stages, except the winged adult stage, collected in Germany [12], France [13–15] the USA [16] and Norway [17] have been shown via PCR or culture to harbour *Bartonella* spp. However, the question whether the deer ked is a competent vector for the transmission of *Bartonella* spp. remains open. Although *Bartonella* spp. have been isolated or amplified from both pupae and adult wingless deer keds, transstadial transmission remains to be demonstrated.

Given that deer keds incidentally bite humans [18] there is a potential risk for occasional transmission of *B. schoenbuchensis*. In fact, *B. schoenbuchensis* has been considered a possible aetiological agent of deer ked dermatitis [12], which resembles cat scratch disease, usually a self-limiting febrile illness caused by *B. henselae*. In Finland, since the 1970s, there have been an increasing number of people suffering from recurrent and occasionally long-lasting dermatitis associated with deer ked bites [18]. Although *L. cervi* does not reproduce on humans, the infestation is a nuisance for people who participate

in outdoor activities during late summer and early autumn.

Based on the heavy deer ked parasitism on Finnish moose [9], we hypothesized that the same *Bartonella* spp. could be demonstrated in deer keds and moose in Finland. The aim of this study was threefold: first, to investigate whether Finnish deer keds carry bartonellae, and if they do, to determine the molecular diversity, prevalence and geographical distribution of the identified *Bartonella* spp.; second, to seek evidence of possible vertical and/or transstadial transmission of *Bartonella* spp. in deer keds; and third, to investigate whether Finnish moose and deer keds carry the same *Bartonella* spp., which would further support the role of the deer ked in the transmission of *Bartonella* spp.

METHODS

Sample collection

Deer ked pupae were collected from the surface of the snow on moose bedding sites in late winter 2007. A total of 156 pooled pupae samples representing 1154 pupae were screened: 54 pooled samples (2–40 pupae in each pool) and 102 individual pupae. The unfed winged adults (59 pools of 2 keds/pool; $n=118$) were collected in autumn 2008 by walking in the forest and capturing deer keds that attached to the investigators. The pupae and the adults were stored at -70°C and -20°C , respectively, until processing. Moose blood samples ($n=8$) were collected by Finnish hunters during the hunting season in 2012. After shooting the moose, 7–10 ml of whole blood from the jugular vein or thoracic cavity were collected from each moose into 10 ml EDTA tubes.

Homogenization and DNA extraction

The deer ked pupae and adults were homogenized in porcelain mortars with sterile sand in Dulbecco's phosphate buffered saline (DPBS) supplemented with 0.2% (w/v) of bovine serum albumin. For the pooled pupae, DPBS was supplemented with antibiotics (10 U/ml penicillin and 0.1 mg/ml streptomycin). The individual pupae and the adults were surface-sterilized before homogenization with 75% EtOH for 5 min with no antibiotics. The DNA of the pooled pupae was extracted with Tripure isolation reagent (Roche Diagnostics GmbH, Germany) according to

the manufacturer's instructions, except that DNA was eluted in Tris EDTA buffer (pH 8.0). DNA extraction from individual pupae and adults as well as moose blood was performed using DNeasy Blood & Tissue kits (Qiagen, Germany).

PCR analyses

Detection of *Bartonella* spp. was performed using two different PCR strategies. All samples were initially screened with a nested PCR method targeting the 16S rRNA gene of *Bartonella* spp. as described previously [19]. To improve the sensitivity of the PCR method, nested primers were designed using Primer3 software (Whitehead Institute for Biomedical Research, MIT, USA) based on obtained sequences and those from the GenBank nucleotide sequence database. Amplification was performed in a 25 μl final volume reaction containing 2 mM MgCl_2 , 1x *Taq* buffer with KCl, 0.2 mM dNTP, 0.4 μM of each primer (16Si-F: 5'-CAG CTC GTG TCG TGA GAT GT-3' and 16Si-R: 5'-CAG AGT GCA ATC CGA ACT GA-3'), 2.5 U recombinant *Taq* polymerase (Fermentas, USA) and 2.5 μl DNA template. The primers amplified a 250 bp amplicon. The first round of the nested PCR was performed as described previously [19], with the exception that the number of the cycles was decreased from 45 to 40 and 2.5 U of TrueStart *Taq* polymerase (Fermentas) was used. The second round was performed with 1 μl of the first round product in a total volume of 50 μl , and the annealing temperature was set to 54°C with a total of 30 cycles. To avoid nested PCR contamination, sample preparation, DNA extraction, PCR preparation and nested PCR amplification and analysis were performed in separate rooms. For species identification of the positive samples, PCR was used to produce amplicons from the beta subunit of the RNA polymerase gene (*rpoB*). The following *rpoB* oligonucleotides prAPT0244 (5'-GATGTGCATC-CTACGCATTATGG-3') and prAPT0245 (5'-AATGGTGCCCTCAGCATATAAG-3') were used in a previously described protocol [20]. For the second round of nested PCR, 1 μl of PCR product of the first round was used as template. DNA from a Finnish human patient with *B. quintana*-associated endocarditis was used as a positive PCR control in both methods [21]. Distilled water was used as negative control. PCR products were analysed by 2% agarose gel electrophoresis under UV exposure. The sizes of the amplicons were determined by comparison with the

molecular weight of a standard marker (SM0323; Thermo Fisher Scientific, Germany).

Sequence and phylogenetic analyses

All PCR products were directly sequenced and unique sequences deposited into GenBank. The accession numbers for the 16S rRNA sequences of the pupae are JN542708–JN542712 and JN542713 for the winged adults. The accession numbers for the *rpoB* sequences are KJ739719–KJ739723 (two from pupae and three from moose). *Bartonella* spp. annotation was performed by comparing similarities with other sequences deposited in the GenBank database using the Basic Local Alignment Search Tool (BLAST v. 2.0, McAfee, USA). Obtained and selected sequences listed in the GenBank nucleotide sequence database were aligned with BioEdit (Ibis Biosciences, USA) [22] and the phylogenetic tree was constructed based on the *rpoB* gene using the DNAML program from the PHYLIP package [23].

RESULTS

We collected a total of 1154 pupae from 13 different locations within the deer ked distribution area and 118 adult winged keds from a single location (Pulkkila). All pupae samples were analysed either in pools (54 pools of 2–40) or individually (102 pupae). Fifty-nine pools (2 keds per pool) of adult, winged deer keds were screened. Using 16S rRNA *Bartonella* genus-specific primers, *Bartonella* DNA was successfully amplified from 12/156 (7.7%) deer ked pupae and 1/59 (1.7%) deer ked pools from eight different locations (Fig. 2). All locations where more than three pools of pupae were collected contained at least one sample that was positive for *Bartonella* (Table 1, Fig. 2). All samples from locations with three or less pools remained negative (Pörtom 0/1, Juva 0/2, Nilsä 0/1, Kontiolahti 0/2, Laukaa 0/1, Leppävirta–Heinävesi 0/3 samples). Pulkkila, the location with the positive winged adult, is close to the northernmost limit of the deer ked distribution area [24] (Fig. 2).

In addition, eight EDTA blood samples were collected from free-ranging moose for *Bartonella* testing. Five samples were collected in Liperi and three in Hyvinkää (Fig. 2). All eight moose samples were *Bartonella* PCR positive. The overall prevalence of *Bartonella* DNA-positive pupae in the pools was ~0.7% (7/1052), assuming there was at least one




Fig. 2. Map of Finland depicting the geographical locations of *Bartonella*-positive samples obtained from deer keds (1–8) and moose (*, #). 1, Siikainen; 2, Yläne; 3, Mynämäki; 4, Kuhmoinen; 5, Kitee; 6, Kuopio; 7, Lemi; 8, Pulkkila; * Liperi; # Hyvinkää.

Bartonella DNA-positive pupa per one positive pool. In addition, 5/102 (4.9%) individually processed pupae collected from three locations were positive and 1/59 (1.7%) of the adult winged deer keds harboured *Bartonella* DNA; likewise, if assumed that only one ked in a positive pool of two carried *Bartonella*, 1/118 (0.8%) of the adults were positive (Table 1).

The amplified product ranged from 200–250 bp in length. Sequence analysis confirmed the presence of *Bartonella* DNA in the screened deer ked and moose samples but identification at the species level was not possible due to high level of sequence conservation. However, two distinct PCR sequence groups, identical either to *B. bovis* or *B. schoenbuchensis*, were identified, based on one signature nucleotide substitution. Five deer keds (from which DNA samples were available) and all moose samples that were *Bartonella*-positive using the 16S rRNA PCR were subsequently tested for the *rpoB* gene, of which

Table 1. *Geographical origin of the Lipoptena cervi samples and the prevalence of Bartonella infection based on PCR and DNA sequencing*

Location	Number of positive samples/ total number of pooled samples (%)	Total amount of pupae/keds†
Siikainen (1)*	2/40 (5)	230
Yläne (2)	3/73 (4.1)	228
Mynämäki (3)	1/3 (33.3)	96
Kuhmoinen (4)	3/9 (33.3)	144
Kitee (5)	2/2 (100)	62
Kuopio (6)	1/2 (50)	29
Lemi (7)	1/17 (5.9)	134
Pulkkila (8)†	1/59 (1.7)	118

* Numbers in parentheses in the first column indicate numbering of locations as in Figure 2.

† All winged adult keds were collected at one location, Pulkkila.

4/5 and 8/8 were positive. A maximum-likelihood phylogenetic tree was generated from the alignment of the *rpoB* fragments from all deer ked and moose sequences described as well as representatives of *Bartonella* isolates and *Bartonella* spp. deposited in Genbank (Fig. 3). The phylogeny demonstrated that there are two *Bartonella* lineages in deer keds in Finland: the majority of the sequences from deer keds (10/13, 76.9%) and moose (5/8, 62.5%) clustered with *B. schoenbuchensis*, whereas 23% (3/13) of the sequences from deer keds and 37.5% (3/8) from moose clustered within another clade more closely related to *B. bovis*. Sequence analysis demonstrated no geographical clustering of either of the clades.

DISCUSSION

In the present study, we provide the first molecular evidence for *Bartonella* spp. DNA in an unfed winged adult deer ked, indicating that this organism or at least its DNA could survive in the deer ked from one life stage to another (transstadial transmission).

Bartonella spp. are Gram-negative bacteria that infect erythrocytes and endothelial cells [1]. Because of the ability of this organism to reside within red blood cells of diverse hosts, there is a considerable opportunity for the uptake of these bacteria by several haematophagous arthropods. *Bartonella* spp. are transmitted by lice, fleas, sandflies (Phlebotominae) and ticks [6]. However, *Bartonella* spp. have also

been detected by PCR or culture from several other arthropods, such as deer keds [12, 17]. Previously, *B. schoenbuchensis*-like bacteria were detected in deer keds collected from cervids. However, all these keds had dropped their wings and therefore were likely to have started consuming blood [12, 13, 16, 25]. On the other hand, *B. bovis* was previously reported in the USA [26, 27] and in France [5]. Recently, researchers in Norway investigated the presence of *Bartonella* spp. in pools of winged unfed deer ked imagines [17]. However, *Bartonella* DNA was not successfully amplified nor could the bacteria be cultured. Thus, our data show for the first time preliminary evidence for transstadial transmission of *Bartonella* spp. in the deer ked. These results need to be confirmed with larger studies and isolation of viable bacteria.

Based on DNA sequencing, the same two species were identified in both deer keds and moose with practically exact sequences. In a recent study in Norway, two different *Bartonella* clades were found in deer keds and moose after PCR and sequencing [17]. One lineage was similar to *B. schoenbuchensis*, *B. chomelii* and *B. capreoli*, and a distinct lineage of *Bartonella* was observed both inside and outside the deer ked range. We identified one *Bartonella* lineage similar to the corresponding sequences from *B. schoenbuchensis*, and a different lineage, closely related to *B. bovis*, both within the deer ked distribution range.

Although the number of blood samples was low, our data suggest a high prevalence of *Bartonella* infection in moose in Finland. Similarly, high prevalence of *Bartonella* spp. was previously reported in moose blood (70%) collected in Norway [17]. In that study, the prevalence of *Bartonella* infection in moose in the deer ked zone was higher than in moose from deer ked-free areas (70% vs. 37%), which suggested that the deer ked infestation may have been responsible for the high prevalence of *Bartonella* infection. In our study, no geographical cluster was observed in the sequence analysis. However, we were unfortunately not able to test blood samples from moose outside the deer ked zone.

Recently, the prevalence and distribution of *Bartonella* infection were investigated in cattle in five different countries (Kenya, Thailand, Japan, Georgia, Guatemala) and in buffaloes (*Bubalus bubalis*) from Thailand [28]. The authors reported that the prevalence of *Bartonella* infection varied extremely across the regions studied, from 0% to 90%. Future epidemiological studies with a larger number of




Fig. 3. Maximum-likelihood phylogenetic tree based on partial nucleotide sequences of the *rpoB* gene, estimated using the DNAML program from PHYLIP. Bootstrap support values are given for the major nodes including sequences derived in this study. Clustering pattern of additional samples, from which 16S sequences were derived, is indicated in the boxes. The 16S signature is A at position 16 of the 182 nt fragment for lineage I, and C for lineage II. The scale bar indicates evolutionary distance of 0.03 nt per position in the sequence.

moose blood samples are necessary to determine if geographical differences in *Bartonella* prevalence in moose also occur in Finland.

Since its first association with endocarditis in 1993, *Bartonella* infection has become an important cause of culture-negative endocarditis in humans [2]. Endocarditis has also been reported in cats (*Felis catus*) due to *B. henselae* as well as in dogs due to *B. vinsonii* subsp. *berkhoffii* and *B. koehlerae*. To date, it is not known whether chronic bacteremia with *B. bovis* and *B. schoenbuchensis* has any impact on the health of the moose. In Finland, up to 17 000 keds can be found on adult moose bulls while counts are lower on cows and calves [9]. Based on a Finnish

study, moose in deer ked-free areas did not show better indices of health compared to infested animals [29]. Although ruminant-infecting *Bartonella* are assumed to be of minimal pathogenicity, *B. bovis* has been detected in diseased heart valves of cows by PCR [5] and also in a cow diagnosed with *B. bovis* endocarditis by PCR and DNA sequencing, serology and culture [4]. Given the close association between the deer ked and its ruminant host, as well as the incidental infestation of humans with this arthropod, the deer ked may serve as a competent vector for the transmission of *Bartonella* within ruminants and to people.

In conclusion, our data support the potential of the deer ked for vector competence of *Bartonella*

spp. The present study also demonstrates that adult winged deer keds may harbour bartonellae. Two different lineages, *B. bovis* and *B. schoenbuchensis*, were detected widely throughout the distribution range of deer keds and in moose. It remains to be determined if the geographically widespread distribution of deer keds is responsible for the *Bartonella* bacteraemia detected in moose in Finland.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

We thank the numerous hunters and other volunteers for their assistance in collecting the moose samples, pupae and adult deer ked specimens for screening. We thank Tytti Manni for technical assistance and the Hospital District of Helsinki and Uusimaa (HUS-EVO TYH2008309 and TYH2011305) for financial support.

DECLARATION OF INTEREST

None.

REFERENCES

1. Pulliainen AT, Dehio C. Persistence of *Bartonella* spp. stealth pathogens: from subclinical infections to vasoproliferative tumor formation. *FEMS Microbiology Review* 2012; **36**: 563–599.
2. Chomel BB, Boulouis HJ, Breitschwerdt EB. Cat scratch disease and other zoonotic *Bartonella* infections. *Journal of the American Veterinary Medical Association* 2004; **224**: 1270–1279.
3. Perez C, et al. Molecular and serological diagnosis of *Bartonella* infection in 61 dogs from the United States. *Journal of Veterinary Internal Medicine* 2011; **25**: 805–810.
4. Erol E, et al. *Bartonella bovis* isolated from a cow with endocarditis. *Journal of Veterinary Diagnostic Investigation* 2013; **25**: 288–290.
5. Maillard R, et al. Endocarditis in cattle caused by *Bartonella bovis*. *Emerging Infectious Diseases* 2007; **13**: 1383–1385.
6. Reis C, et al. Vector competence of the tick *Ixodes ricinus* for transmission of *Bartonella birtlesii*. *PLoS Neglected Tropical Diseases* 2011; **5**: e1186.
7. Kaitala A, et al. Deer ked, an ectoparasite of moose in Finland: a brief review of its biology and invasion. *Alces* 2009; **45**: 85–88.
8. Valimäki P, et al. Fennoscandian distribution of an important parasite of cervids, the deer ked (*Lipoptena cervi*), revisited. *Parasitology Research* 2010; **107**: 117–125.
9. Paakkonen T, et al. Parasitism of the deer ked, *Lipoptena cervi*, on the moose, *Alces alces*, in eastern Finland. *Medical and Veterinary Entomology* 2010; **24**: 411–417.
10. Haarlov N. Life cycle and distribution pattern of *Lipoptena cervi* (Dipt. Hippobosc) on Danish Deer. *Oikos* 1964; **1**: 93–129.
11. Hackman W. A parasitic lousefly of moose and its spread in Finland [in Finnish]. *Luonnon Tutkija* 1977; **3**: 75–77.
12. Dehio C, Sauder U, Hiestand R. Isolation of *Bartonella schoenbuchensis* from *Lipoptena cervi*, a blood-sucking arthropod causing deer ked dermatitis. *Journal of Clinical Microbiology* 2004; **42**: 5320–5323.
13. Halos L, et al. Role of Hippoboscidae flies as potential vectors of *Bartonella* spp. infecting wild and domestic ruminants. *Applied and Environmental Microbiology* 2004; **70**: 6302–6305.
14. Maillard R, et al. *Bartonella chomelii* sp. nov., isolated from French domestic cattle (*Bos taurus*). *International Journal of Systematic and Evolutionary Microbiology* 2004; **54**: 215–220.
15. Rolain JM, et al. *Bartonella schoenbuchensis* isolated from the blood of a French cow. *Annals of the New York Academy of Sciences* 2003; **990**: 236–238.
16. Reeves WK, et al. *Bartonella* spp. in deer keds, *Lipoptena mazamae* (Diptera: Hippoboscidae), from Georgia and South Carolina, USA. *Journal of Wildlife Diseases* 2006; **42**: 391–396.
17. Duodu S, et al. *Bartonella* infections in deer keds (*Lipoptena cervi*) and moose (*Alces alces*) in Norway. *Applied Environmental Microbiology* 2013; **79**: 322–327.
18. Härkönen S, et al. Deer ked (*Lipoptena cervi*) dermatitis in humans – an increasing nuisance in Finland. *Alces* 2009; **45**: 73–79.
19. Garcia-Esteban C, et al. Molecular method for *Bartonella* species identification in clinical and environmental samples. *Journal of Clinical Microbiology* 2008; **46**: 776–779.
20. Oksi J, et al. Cat scratch disease caused by *Bartonella grahamii* in an immunocompromised patient. *Journal of Clinical Microbiology* 2013; **8**: 2781–2784.
21. Vento A, et al. *Bartonella quintana* and *Bartonella pediococcus* infection after aortic valve replacement. *Heart Surgery Forum* 2008; **11**: E94–E95.
22. Hall TA. BioEdit: a user-friendly biological sequence alignment editor and analysis program for Windows 95/98/NT. *Nucleic Acids Symposium* 1999; **41**: 95–98.
23. Felsenstein J. PHYLIP – Phylogeny Inference Package (version 3.2). *Cladistics* 1989; **5**: 164–166.
24. Kaunisto S, et al. Northward invasion of the parasitic deer ked (*Lipoptena cervi*), is there geographical variation in pupal size and development duration? *Parasitology* 2011; **138**: 354–363.
25. Matsumoto K, et al. Molecular detection of *Bartonella schoenbuchensis* from ectoparasites of deer in Massachusetts. *Vector Borne and Zoonotic Diseases* 2008; **8**: 549–554.
26. Chang CC, et al. *Bartonella* spp. isolated from wild and domestic ruminants in North America. *Emerging Infectious Diseases* 2000; **6**: 306–311.

27. **Cherry NA, et al.** PCR detection of *Bartonella bovis* and *Bartonella henselae* in the blood of beef cattle. *Veterinary Microbiology* 2009; **135**: 308–312.
28. **Bai Y, et al.** Global distribution of *Bartonella* infections in domestic bovine and characterization of *Bartonella bovis* strains using multi-locus sequence typing. *PLoS One* 2013; **8**: e80894.
29. **Paakkonen T, et al.** The effects of an abundant ectoparasite, the deer ked (*Lipoptena cervi*), on the health of moose (*Alces alces*) in Finland. *Parasitology Research* 2012; **111**: 1223–1232.