

Validation of Multiple-Breath Washout Equipment: From Bench to Clinic and Possible Pitfalls

Philipp Latzin^a Bruce Thompson^b

^aUniversity Children's Hospital Basel (UKBB), University of Basel, Basel, Switzerland; ^bAllergy, Immunology and Respiratory Medicine, Department of Medicine, The Alfred Hospital, Monash University, Melbourne, Vic., Australia

In recent years, there has been a renaissance of studies using multiple-breath washout (MBW) tests in different populations with varying lung diseases. To date, measures obtained from the MBW have followed two parallel paths. First, the lung clearance index (LCI) has been shown to be a sensitive marker of cystic fibrosis and applicable in routine clinical practice or as study outcome in a predominantly paediatric population [1–6]. Other outcomes, such as the slope of phase III parameters (S_{acin} and S_{cond}), have been found to predict disease course in asthmatic patients [7–10] and other respiratory conditions, but predominantly in adult populations [11–13].

An important outcome of this renaissance is the recently published ERS/ATS consensus statement on inert gas washout tests [14]. This document gives an overview on existing techniques, practical suggestions with regard to the measurement itself and clear advice for validating new equipment. Importantly, it highlights the need for further characterization and validation work with regard to the test procedure, equipment and analysis methods. This cannot be emphasized enough, as comparable validation studies were never done for basic tests that are

much more widely used, such as spirometry, body plethysmography or $T_L\text{CO}$. Before results of MBW can be compared between centres or even multi-centre trials can be performed, these kinds of validation studies are extremely important to guarantee comparability and identify sources of errors.

In this regard, Gonem et al. [15] are to be congratulated for the thorough validation study of the Innocor system published in this issue of *Respiration*. The authors do not hesitate to report openly the current drawbacks of the equipment and nicely show that functional residual capacity (FRC) underestimation at low lung volumes will result in a very relevant overestimation of LCI at those low lung volumes [15]. These results are surprising as they oppose the previous assumption that LCI might be more robust to measurement errors compared to FRC, as LCI is a ratio of lung volumes and possible measurement errors might cancel out. This is not the case, and the measured overestimation in LCI is clinically important. Moreover, this type of error may be problematic when using the same equipment in patients with a wide age range, leading to incorrect physiological conclusions based on possible technical issues [16, 17].

KARGER

E-Mail karger@karger.com
www.karger.com/res

© 2014 S. Karger AG, Basel
0025–7931/14/0876–0456\$39.50/0

Philipp Latzin
University Children's Hospital Basel (UKBB)
University of Basel
CH–4056 Basel (Switzerland)
E-Mail Philipp.Latzin@ukbb.ch

Despite some speculation in the discussion section, it remains unclear whether the error in FRC measurement is due to hardware settings or software algorithms. Besides the points mentioned by the authors, such as non-linearity of flow/volume measurements, other possible sources of error are (i) the exact method of measurement and subtraction of re-inspired sulphur hexafluoride; (ii) the flow gas delay (delay between the point where the volume and the gas concentration is measured), and (iii) the way of handling different rise times of analysers. It is interesting to note that a similar but less pronounced FRC measurement bias was observed in a preliminary study based on mass-spectrometric technology (AMIS 2000, Innovision) [18]. In the latter study, the same lung model, a flow metre, tracer gas (sulphur hexafluoride) and software package were used. In contrast, other MBW devices were less prone to FRC bias using the same lung models but different hardware set-ups and software packages [19, 20].

The influence of these sources of error on the final results is of course specific to each set-up. It will also differ for the different gases used, e.g. gas viscosity will influence flow gas delay [21]. From the results of the paper, it is also clear that the younger the patient (and the lower tidal volumes and residual volumes), the larger the influence of the above-mentioned points on the final results. Importantly, the relative effect of each source of error will be different depending on the MBW outcome. If for ex-

ample slope analysis (S_{cond} and S_{acin}) is performed (during expiration), flow gas delay should be ideally determined during expiration. If, however, LCI is calculated, flow gas delay during inspiration seems more important (to correctly measure re-inspired gas). Furthermore, response time of the analysers is critically important for S_{acin} and S_{cond} , however, less of a problem for LCI.

Other areas of the MBW, such as the breathing manoeuvre itself, require further work. Most validation studies have used constant tidal volumes. While this seems valid for adult patients, children breathe not only at lower tidal volumes but also with more variability than adults, with clear implications on MBW outcomes [22]. Whether this variable breathing needs to be implemented in future validation studies currently remains unclear. A lung simulator with built-in ventilation heterogeneity that can produce an alveolar plateau and a decay curve leading to a constant LCI and S_{cond} has not been created to date. Whether it is possible to create such a device is unclear; however, it would be incredibly useful in the validation of MBW tests.

Taken together, the increasing number of validation studies of different MBW devices and for different age and disease groups [19, 20, 23] clearly shows that we are moving in the right direction. The current study of Gönem et al. [15] is in this regard a very nice piece of work as the authors openly report limitations of the device and estimate their implications on outcome measures.

References

- Singer F, Kieninger E, Abbas C, Yammine S, et al: Practicability of nitrogen multiple-breath washout measurements in a pediatric cystic fibrosis outpatient setting. *Pediatr Pulmonol* 2013;48:739–746.
- Kieninger E, Singer F, Fuchs O, Abbas C, et al: Long-term course of lung clearance index between infancy and school-age in cystic fibrosis subjects. *J Cyst Fibros* 2011;10:487–490.
- Fuchs SI, Ellemunter H, Eder J, Mellies U, et al: Feasibility and variability of measuring the lung clearance index in a multi-center setting. *Pediatr Pulmonol* 2012;47:649–657.
- Vermeulen F, Proesmans M, Boon M, Havermans T, et al: Lung clearance index predicts pulmonary exacerbations in young patients with cystic fibrosis. *Thorax* 2014;69:39–45.
- Subbarao P, Stanojevic S, Brown M, Jensen R, et al: Lung clearance index as an outcome measure for clinical trials in young children with cystic fibrosis. A pilot study using inhaled hypertonic saline. *Am J Respir Crit Care Med* 2013;188:456–460.
- Owens CM, Aurora P, Stanojevic S, Bush A, et al: Lung clearance index and HRCT are complementary markers of lung abnormalities in young children with CF. *Thorax* 2011;66:481–488.
- Farah CS, King GG, Brown NJ, Downie SR, et al: The role of the small airways in the clinical expression of asthma in adults. *J Allergy Clin Immunol* 2012;129:381.e1–387.e1.
- Farah CS, King GG, Brown NJ, Peters MJ, et al: Ventilation heterogeneity predicts asthma control in adults following inhaled corticosteroid dose titration. *J Allergy Clin Immunol* 2012;130:61–78.
- Verbanck S, Schuermans D, Paiva M, Vincken W: The functional benefit of anti-inflammatory aerosols in the lung periphery. *J Allergy Clin Immunol* 2006;118:340–346.
- Thompson BR, Douglass JA, Ellis MJ, Kelly VJ, et al: Peripheral lung function in patients with stable and unstable asthma. *J Allergy Clin Immunol* 2013;131:1322–1328.
- Lahzami S, Schoeffel RE, Pechey V, Reid C, et al: Small airways function declines after allogeneic haematopoietic stem cell transplantation. *Eur Respir J* 2011;38:1180–1188.
- Verbanck S, Schuermans D, Paiva M, Meysman M, et al: Small airway function improvement after smoking cessation in smokers without airway obstruction. *Am J Respir Crit Care Med* 2006;174:853–857.
- Thompson BR, Hodgson YM, Kotsimbos T, Liakakos P, et al: Bronchiolitis obliterans syndrome leads to a functional deterioration of the acinus post lung transplant. *Thorax*, DOI: 10.1136/thoraxjnl-2013-204671.
- Robinson PD, Latzin P, Verbanck S, Hall GL, et al: Consensus statement for inert gas washout measurement using multiple- and single-breath tests. *Eur Respir J* 2013;41:507–522.
- Gönem S, Singer F, Corkill S, Singapuri A, Siddiqui S, Gustafsson P: Validation of a photoacoustic gas analyser for the measurement of functional residual capacity using multiple-breath inert gas washout. *Respiration* 2014;87:462–468.

- 16 Lum S, Stocks J, Stanojevic S, Wade A, et al: Age and height dependence of lung clearance index and functional residual capacity. *Eur Respir J* 2013;41:1371–1377.
- 17 Verbanck S, Thompson BR, Schuermans D, Kalsi H, et al: Ventilation heterogeneity in the acinar and conductive zones of the normal ageing lung. *Thorax* 2012;67:789–795.
- 18 Singer F, Houlitz B, Robinson P, Latzin P, et al: Bench test of a mass spectrometer based multiple-breath washout system using a realistic lung model. *Eur Respir J* 2012;40(suppl 56): P4602.
- 19 Schmidt A, Yammine S, Proietti E, Frey U, et al: Validation of multiple-breath washout equipment for infants and young children. *Pediatr Pulmonol*, DOI: 10.1002/ppul.23010.
- 20 Singer F, Houlitz B, Latzin P, Robinson P, et al: A realistic validation study of a new nitrogen multiple-breath washout system. *PLoS One* 2012;7:e36083.
- 21 Brunner JX, Wolff G, Cumming G, Langenstein H: Accurate measurement of N2 volumes during N2 washout requires dynamic adjustment of delay time. *J Appl Physiol* 1985; 59:1008–1012.
- 22 Yammine S, Singer F, Gustafsson P, Latzin P: Impact of different breathing protocols on multiple-breath washout outcomes in children. *J Cyst Fibros* 2014;13:190–197.
- 23 Stuart-Andrews CR, Kelly VJ, Sands SA, Lewis AJ, et al: Automated detection of the phase III slope during inert gas washout testing. *J Appl Physiol* (1985) 2012;112:1073–1081.