An updated synthesis of the observed and projected impacts of climate change on the chemical, physical and biological processes in the oceans

Ella L. Howes¹*, Fortunat Joos², C. Mark Eakin³ and Jean-Pierre Gattuso⁴,⁵,⁶

¹ Alfred Wegener Institute, Bremerhaven, Germany, ² Climate and Environmental Physics, Physics Institute and Oeschger Centre for Climate Change Research, University of Bern, Bern, Switzerland, ³ National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration, College Park, MD, USA, ⁴ CNRS-INSU, Laboratoire d’Océanographie de Villefranche, Villefranche-sur-mer, France, ⁵ Sorbonne Universités, UPMC Univ Paris 06, Observatoire Océanologique, Villefranche-sur-mer, France, ⁶ Institute for Sustainable Development and International Relations, Paris, France

The 5th Assessment Report (AR5) of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change (IPCC) states with very high certainty that anthropogenic emissions have caused measurable changes in the physical ocean environment. These changes are summarized with special focus on those that are predicted to have the strongest, most direct effects on ocean biological processes; namely, ocean warming and associated phenomena (including stratification and sea level rise) as well as deoxygenation and ocean acidification. The biological effects of these changes are then discussed for microbes (including phytoplankton), plants, animals, warm and cold-water corals, and ecosystems. The IPCC AR5 highlighted several areas related to both the physical and biological processes that required further research. As a rapidly developing field, there have been many pertinent studies published since the cut off dates for the AR5, which have increased our understanding of the processes at work. This study undertook an extensive review of recently published literature to update the findings of the AR5 and provide a synthesized review on the main issues facing future oceans. The level of detail provided in the AR5 and subsequent work provided a basis for constructing projections of the state of ocean ecosystems in 2100 under two the Representative Concentration Pathways RCP4.5 and 8.5. Finally the review highlights notable additions, clarifications and points of departure from AR5 provided by subsequent studies.
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Introduction

Working Groups I and II (WGI and WGII) of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change’s (IPCC’s) Fifth Assessment Report (AR5) synthesized research regarding observed and projected impacts of climate change on physical and biological processes in the oceans at both global and regional levels. The impacts of these changes on human health and socio-economics were also
discussed (reviewed by Cheung et al., submitted). Key findings on physical processes highlighted, with an extremely high certainty, that the upper ocean had warmed over the last 40 years and predicted with very high confidence that this trend would continue over the coming century (Ciais et al., 2013; Rhein et al., 2013). It was considered very likely that this increase in temperature contributed to significant global mean sea level rise (Rhein et al., 2013). High agreement amongst data provided evidence that stratification caused by increasing sea temperatures has caused declining seawater oxygen concentrations (Rhein et al., 2013). The report expressed high confidence that the oceans are absorbing anthropogenic carbon and that the resulting chemical reactions cause ocean acidification (Ciais et al., 2013; Rhein et al., 2013).

Key findings on biological processes highlighted the effect of ocean warming on the geographical distribution of organisms with observations lending evidence to poleward migrations of species (Poloczanska et al., 2014; Pörtner et al., 2014). Temperature has already affected the timing of life history events (phenology) such as reproduction and migration, and caused irreversible regime shifts in warm water coral reef and Arctic ecosystems (medium confidence; Field et al., 2014). Net primary productivity (NPP) was projected to decrease moderately by 2100 in the open ocean under both low- and high-emission scenarios, paralleled by an increase in NPP at high latitudes and a decrease in the tropics (Hoegh-Guldberg et al., 2014; Pörtner et al., 2014). Despite a lack of field observations, ocean acidification was predicted to have a significant effect on many aspects of organisms’ physiology, behavior, and population dynamics (Pörtner et al., 2014). There was medium confidence that expansion of suboxic zones would benefit anaerobic microbes and limit oxygen dependent species (Pörtner et al., 2014). There was high confidence that the various environmental drivers would act simultaneously on organisms causing complex, interacting effects (Pörtner et al., 2014).

AR5 also identified key uncertainties regarding potential climate-related impacts on biological and physical systems. In particular, it highlighted the need for an updated understanding regarding the following aspects:

(1) The extent of warming in deep water masses (below 700 m) and limited observational coverage of the ocean, hampering more robust estimates of ocean heat and carbon content.

(2) While acknowledged as a critical process influencing ecosystem productivity, the likelihood of climate-induced changes to major upwelling systems (i.e., increased or decreased upwelling) is still uncertain (Lluch-Cota et al., 2014).

(3) Ways in which climate-induced changes in the physiology and biogeography of an individual species may alter ecosystem structures, species interactions, and food webs (Pörtner et al., 2014).

(4) An improved understanding of climate sensitivity at the ecosystem level that considers multiple drivers (e.g., ocean warming, acidification, and hypoxia) and synergistic impacts (Pörtner et al., 2014; Wong et al., 2014).

(5) The degrees to which species can track changes in climate as well as the influence of multiple stressors on their capacities to respond remained uncertain (Poloczanska et al., 2014).

(6) The capacity for phenotypic and evolutionary adaptation over generations to respond to long-term climate change (Pörtner et al., 2014).

The objective of this review is to draw together the various sections of the AR5 that address the physical and biological impacts of climate change on the ocean. We focus on the physical processes that will have the greatest direct impacts on biota, namely warming, acidification, and deoxygenation. Papers published after the AR5 cutoff dates (15/03/2013 for working group I and 31/08/2013 for working group II) were then reviewed to update the understanding of the observed and projected impacts of climate change on physical and biological processes. The review endeavors to highlight key developments with respect to our scientific understanding of the relationships among different anthropogenic and climatic drivers on marine ecosystems. Lastly, the review calls attention to areas of agreement with, and points of departure from AR5. Throughout the text, where possible, the review strives to employ the same language of certainty as the AR5, summarized in Table 1 and Figure 1.

**Physical Science**

Since the start of the Industrial Era (1750 onwards), anthropogenic activities have resulted in increased concentrations of greenhouse gases and it is now virtually certain that atmospheric concentrations of greenhouse gases are at their highest in the last 800,000 years (Ciais et al., 2013). Concentrations of CO$_2$, CH$_4$ and N$_2$O rose by 40, 150, and 20%, respectively, between 1970 and 2011. Accumulation of these gases in the atmosphere act to increase radiative forcing and, of the three, CO$_2$ has the greatest effect (Ciais et al., 2013). This has resulted in a measureable increase in global air and sea temperatures (Figure 2) with the beginning of the 21st century having the warmest years on record since 1880 (IPCC, 2013; Table 2).

Over the last 800,000 years, concentrations of atmospheric CO$_2$ have been relatively stable, oscillating between 180 ppm during glacial periods and 300 ppm during interglacial periods (Ciais et al., 2013). Between 1750 and 2013, atmospheric CO$_2$ levels rose from 278 ppm to 395.31 ppm (Le Quéré et al., 2014), exceeding 400 ppm for much of 2014 (http://www.esrl.noaa.gov/gmd/ccgg/trends/). Total anthropogenic emissions between 1870 and 2014 amounted to 545 ± 55 Pg C (Le Quéré et al., 2014). The AR5 states with very high confidence that burning of fossil fuels and land use changes are the dominant cause of the increase in atmospheric CO$_2$ (Ciais et al., 2013), contributing 395 ± 20 Pg C (including emissions from cement production of 8 Pg C) and 185 ± 65 Pg C, respectively (Le Quéré et al., 2014).

The accumulation of greenhouse gases in the atmosphere and the oceans produces widespread, global, and long-lasting physical changes in the ocean environment. These changes are summarized below, focusing on those that are anticipated to
TABLE 1 | Breakdown of the IPCC AR5 confidence and likelihood terminology.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Confidence terminology</th>
<th>Likelihood terminology</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Very high confidence</td>
<td>Very certain</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>High confidence</td>
<td>Very likely</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Medium confidence</td>
<td>Likely</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Low confidence</td>
<td>About as likely as not</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Very low confidence</td>
<td>Unlikely</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Exceptionally unlikely</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Confidence terminology</th>
<th>Likelihood terminology</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Very high confidence</td>
<td>At least 9 out of 10 chance</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>High confidence</td>
<td>About 8 out of 10 chance</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Medium confidence</td>
<td>About 5 out of 10 chance</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Low confidence</td>
<td>About 2 out of 10 chance</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Very low confidence</td>
<td>Less than 1 out of 10 chance</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

TABLE 2 | List of the 10 warmest years between 1880 and 2014.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Rank 1 = warmest Year</th>
<th>Anomaly (°C)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>2014</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2 (tie)</td>
<td>2010</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3 (tie)</td>
<td>2005</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>1998</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5 (tie)</td>
<td>2013</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6 (tie)</td>
<td>2003</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>2002</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>2006</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9 (tie)</td>
<td>2009</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9 (tie)</td>
<td>2007</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Warming AR5 Summary

There is high confidence that 93% of the excess heat in Earth’s energy inventory from 1971 and 2010 ended up in the oceans (Rhein et al., 2013). The upper ocean has warmed between 1971 and 2010; globally averaged increases from 0 to 200 m between 1971 and 2010 are 0.25°C, accounting for approximately 64% of the total warming occurring in the ocean (Rhein et al., 2013).
Warming is most pronounced at the surface; between 1971 and 2010, temperatures in the upper 75 m are estimated to have increased by approximately 0.11°C decade⁻¹ (Rhein et al., 2013). It is also very likely that warming was occurring earlier, between the 1870’s and 1971 (Rhein et al., 2013). It is virtually certain that the heat content of the upper oceans has increased; estimates for the heating rate between 1971 and 2010 vary between 74 and 137 TW (Rhein et al., 2013). It is very likely that warming has increased the stratification of upper 200 m of the oceans by about 4% during the period 1971–2010; in turn there is medium confidence that this has reduced the dissolved oxygen concentration and decreased the availability of inorganic nutrients (Rhein et al., 2013).

Regionally, the average sea surface temperature (SST) of the Indian, Atlantic and Pacific Oceans has increased by 0.65, 0.41, and 0.31°C, respectively, between 1950 and 2009 (Hoegh-Guldberg et al., 2014). There is high confidence that sea surface temperatures in sub-tropical gyres of the Atlantic, Pacific, and Indian Oceans have increased (Hoegh-Guldberg et al., 2014). In the Indian Ocean, there is medium confidence that 90% of the warming trend can be attributed to external forcing. Average sea temperatures have increased between 1950 and 2009 by 0.43 and 0.54°C in the Pacific and Atlantic equatorial upwelling systems, respectively (Hoegh-Guldberg et al., 2014). It is likely that North Atlantic surface waters have warmed by 0.07°C decade⁻¹ between 1950 and 2009 (Hoegh-Guldberg et al., 2014), which has been suggested to be a contributing factor to the retreat of the Greenland ice sheet (Straneo and Heimbach, 2013).

Warming can be observed in all the sub-regions of coastal boundary systems (CBS), overall, CBS warmed by 0.14–0.80°C from 1950 to 2009 (Hoegh-Guldberg et al., 2014). Key sub-regions within the CBS such as the Coral Triangle and Western Indian Ocean warmed by 0.79 and 0.60°C, respectively, from 1950–2009 (Hoegh-Guldberg et al., 2014). It is very likely that the Gulf of Mexico and Caribbean Sea have warmed by 0.31 and 0.50°C, respectively from 1982 to 2006 (Hoegh-Guldberg et al., 2014).

Due to undersampling, uncertainties assessing warming in the deep ocean are much higher than for the surface waters; before 2005, the data are too sparse to produce reliable estimates. Studies confirm warming between 0 and 1500 m since 2005 and it is likely that waters between 700 and 2000 m have warmed, on average between 1957 and 2009 (Rhein et al., 2013). Conversely, it is likely that there has been no significant global warming trend between 2000 and 3000 m (1992–2005), although steep vertical gradients and water mass movements confound sparse sampling at mid-depths (Rhein et al., 2013). Globally, waters from 3000 m to the bottom are thought likely to have experienced a warming trend greater than zero (Rhein et al., 2013). Some regions are better sampled than others, allowing a more detailed assessment of temperature changes. It is very likely that N. Atlantic deep waters below 2000 m have a net cooling trend of -4 TW between 1955 and 2005 (Rhein et al., 2013). It is considered likely that waters of Antarctic origin have warmed below 3000 m by 0.01°C decade⁻¹ between 1992 and 2005 and that waters south of the Sub-Antarctic polar front have warmed by 0.03°C decade⁻¹ for the same period (Rhein et al., 2013).

For deep waters, warming of between 0.3°C (RCP2.6) to 0.6°C (RCP8.5) are projected (Figure 3; Ciais et al., 2013). Depending on the emission scenario, global ocean warming between 0.5°C (RCP2.6) and 1.5°C (RCP8.5) will reach a depth of about 1 km by the end of the century (Ciais et al., 2013). There is high confidence that the largest warming of deep waters will occur in the Southern Ocean (Ciais et al., 2013).

**Updates to AR5**

The 2000’s were the warmest decade on record and the 1990’s the second warmest (Trenberth and Fasullo, 2013; Goddard, 2014). Nonetheless, several estimates used in the AR5 reported that the increase in upper ocean heat content (OHC) has slowed between 2003 and 2010, compared to previous decades (Rhein et al., 2013). Work published since the AR5 suggested that there may be an impact of large scale natural climate variability, a reduced radiative forcing, or a smaller warming response to atmospheric CO₂ concentrations (Lewis, 2013). The central and eastern Pacific have exhibited the greatest slowing in warming trend (Trenberth et al., 2014) and it has been suggested that variations in both the Pacific Decadal Oscillation (PDO) and the Atlantic Multidecadal Oscillation may be responsible for this (Trenberth and Fasullo, 2013; Goddard, 2014; Trenberth et al., 2014; Steinman et al., 2015). Two recent studies suggest that the warming hiatus is caused by heat transported to deeper layers in ocean basins, although there is debate as to which basins are the main drivers (Chen and Tung, 2014; Trenberth et al., 2014).

Despite the recent hiatus in the global mean surface air temperature trend, the consensus is that this can be attributed to natural variability (Trenberth and Fasullo, 2013) and OHC continues to increase (Goddard, 2014; Huber and Knutti, 2014; Schmidt et al., 2014b; Trenberth et al., 2014). Data from Argo floats for the period 2006 to 2013 show no warming pause and estimate increases in OHC between 0 and 2000 m depth, at a rate of 0.4–0.6 W m⁻². The Argo data show that the heat is evenly distributed between the upper 500 m and 500–2000 m with the Southern Hemisphere gaining more heat than the Northern (Roemmich et al., 2015). At the same time, Steinman et al. (2015) combined climate observations and model simulations and found that a modest positive peak in the Atlantic multidecadal variability and a substantially negative-trending Pacific multidecadal variability are seen to produce a slowdown or “false pause” in warming of the past decade.

Durack et al. (2014) argue that the AR5 estimates for increases in OHC might be biased low due to limited sampling of the Southern Hemisphere compared to the Northern Hemisphere. Satellite observations of sea surface height were used as a model parameter to calculate changes in OHC, showing a more homogeneous warming, with larger magnitudes in the South Pacific and South Atlantic basins compared to IPCC estimates. The results yielded an increase in global upper OHC of 2.2 to 7.1 × 10²² J above existing estimates for 1970 to 2004.

Work published since the AR5 has found that the Arctic Ocean has exhibited one of the strongest (but variable) warming trends. In areas where the warming trend is high, a novel study using isotope proxy analysis found up to 3.7°C increase between 1920 and 2011. This is approximately 6 times higher than
the observed global warming trend and double the previously suggested rate of warming for the area (Brand et al., 2014). Conversely, SST measurements have decreased in the Southern Ocean, particularly around the sea ice margins, possibly due to runoff from melting shelf ice cooling and freshening surface waters in the surrounding areas (Bintanja et al., 2013).

A recent estimate suggested that, in the last decade, about 30% ocean warming has occurred below 700 m, contributing significantly to an acceleration of the warming trend (Balmaseda et al., 2013). Warming of deep waters is thought to be particularly strong in the Southern Ocean with a rate of up to 0.05°C decade$^{-1}$ (Patara and Böning, 2014). The warming of deep Antarctic waters, may, in turn, strengthen the large-scale meridional overturning of the Atlantic Ocean (Patara and Böning, 2014).

Results from recent studies agree with the AR5 in predicting high variability for future SSTs: the strongest warming trends are predicted in the Arctic Ocean, the tropics and the North Pacific with increases larger than 4°C in all 3 regions, under scenario RCP8.5 (Figure 3; Bopp et al., 2013). Model projections of surface ocean warming are projected to be $+2.73 \pm 0.72$, $+1.58 \pm 0.48$, $+1.28 \pm 0.56$ and $+0.71 \pm 0.45$°C for RCP8.5, RCP6.0, RCP4.5 and RCP2.6, respectively by the end of the 21st century (Figure 3; Bopp et al., 2013).

**Upwelling**

**AR5 Summary**

There is considerable debate as to whether climate change will drive a universal intensification of upwelling (Hoegh-Guldberg et al., 2014). There is robust evidence and medium agreement that the California Current has experienced a decrease in the number of upwelling events (23–40%), but high confidence that there has been an increase in the intensity of upwelling events between 1967 and 2010 (Hoegh-Guldberg et al., 2014). In the Canary Current, there is low agreement on whether the strength of the upwelling has intensified over the last 60 years (Hoegh-Guldberg et al., 2014).

It is considered very likely that continued warming would continue to increase thermal stratification (Ciais et al., 2013), potentially decreasing the availability of inorganic nutrients.
to surface waters. It is likely that the response will vary geographically, as complex systems govern these water mass movements (Ciais et al., 2013). Intensification of upwelling is predicted in the Southern Ocean, however, there is low confidence in the current understanding of how eastern upwelling systems will be altered under future climate change (Ciais et al., 2013). In the Benguela Current there is medium agreement and limited evidence, that upwelling will change as a result of climate change (Hoegh-Guldberg et al., 2014). It is considered likely that warming in the Atlantic equatorial upwelling systems will weaken upwelling (Hoegh-Guldberg et al., 2014).

**Updates to AR5**

Meta analysis of the last 60 years found intensification of upwelling favorable winds over the California, Benguela, and Humboldt upwelling systems (Sydeman et al., 2014). Jacox et al. (2014) reported an increase in nearshore (≤50 km from the shore) upwelling in the California Current System between 1988 and 2010 but a decrease in off shore (50–200 km) upwelling for the same period. The authors attribute these differences to large-scale climate mode fluctuations.

New model projections predict strong changes in in the intensity, timing and spatial heterogeneity of Eastern Boundary Upwelling Systems (EBUS) by 2100 (Wang et al., 2015). The projections show earlier onset and later end of the upwelling season, as well as an increase in upwelling intensity at higher latitudes. These predictions were consistent for the Benguela, Canary and Humboldt Current systems but not for the California Current System.

**Sea Level Rise**

**AR5 Summary**

Sea level varies relative to changes in temperature (thermosteric sea level rise) and fluxes of water between the oceans and the continents and ice sheets (mass sea level rise). Global mean sea level (GMSL) has risen by 0.19 ± 0.02 m over the period 1901–2010 (Rhein et al., 2013). It is very likely that the mean rate was 1.7 ± 0.2 mm yr⁻¹ between 1991 and 2010 and increased to 3.2 ± 0.4 mm yr⁻¹ between 1993 and 2010. Ocean thermal expansion and melting of glaciers have accounted for over 80% of the GMSL rise over the latter part of the time series (Church et al., 2013). Although records for the deep sea are sparser, it is possible to estimate that warming below 2000 m contributed 0.1 [0.0 to 0.2] mm yr⁻¹ to GMSL rise between about 1992 and 2005 (Rhein et al., 2013). The component of sea level rise that is attributed to changes in fluxes of water between the oceans and the continents and ice sheets has been increasing at a rate between 1 and 2 mm yr⁻¹ since 2002 (Rhein et al., 2013).

There is very high confidence that there is high regional variability in sea level rise, with relative sea level rise sometimes exceeding global mean sea level rise by an order of magnitude, reaching more than 10 cm yr⁻¹ (Church et al., 2013). This variation is partly due to fluctuations in ocean circulation, tectonic activity and interactions with climate mode variability such as the ENSO in the Pacific (Church et al., 2013). Anthropogenic activities such as oil and gas extraction and changes to the coastal sediment delivery via damming or consolidation from building work have also contributed to localized changes in relative sea level (Church et al., 2013). Sea level rise in coastal boundary systems is variable but, in some regions, has risen by up to 10 mm yr⁻¹ between 1950 and 2009 (Hoegh-Guldberg et al., 2014). In the Pacific, changes in sea level have been highly variable, in the warm pool of the western Pacific, rates of sea level rise are up to three times higher than the global average (Rhein et al., 2013), while the eastern equatorial Pacific has been declining by −10 mm yr⁻¹ (Hoegh-Guldberg et al., 2014).

It is virtually certain that sea level rise will continue beyond the 21st Century (Church et al., 2013). Future rates of GMSL rise over the 21st century are projected to exceed the observed rate for the period between 1971 and 2010 of 2.0 ± 0.3 mm yr⁻¹ (Church et al., 2013) for all RCP scenarios.

**Updates to AR5**

The AR5 reports low agreement on the projected magnitude of sea level rise due to the use of different models, some of which take into account ice sheet dynamics (Church et al., 2013). The IPCC AR5 predicts with medium confidence that the mean sea level rise will be 0.44 m under RCP2.6, 0.53 m under RCP4.5, 0.55 m under RCP6.0, and 0.74 m under RCP8.5 (Church et al., 2013). Less conservative estimates of projected sea level rise have been published since and a more recent study suggested it very likely that GMSL would reach 0.5–1.2 m under RCP8.5 and 0.4–0.9 m under RCP4.5 (Kopp et al., 2014; Slangen et al., 2014).

**Oxygen**

**AR5 Summary**

Due to the solubility effect, warmer waters contain less dissolved oxygen. Increased stratification, as a result of warming, decreases ventilation of water masses, also causing decreased oxygen concentration. When [O₂] are below 60 µmol kg⁻¹ conditions become hypoxic, below 4.5 µmol kg⁻¹, waters are termed suboxic and waters without measurable dissolved oxygen are termed anoxic (Figure 4; Pörtner et al., 2014).

There is medium confidence that dissolved oxygen concentrations generally decreased since 1960 but with strong regional variations (Rhein et al., 2013). The mean annual global oxygen loss during 1970–1990 between 100 and 1000 m is calculated as 0.55 ± 0.13 × 10¹⁴ mol yr⁻¹ (Rhein et al., 2013). Stratification-induced reduced ventilation is thought to be the major cause of this decline, with solubility effect accounting for only 15% of the decrease (Rhein et al., 2013). Naturally occurring, periodic hypoxic events may be exacerbated by climate change (Rhein et al., 2013).

Decreases in [O₂] have been observed over the last 50 years in tropical basins (−2 to −3 µmol kg⁻¹ decade⁻¹), the subpolar North Pacific and below the thermocline in the southern Indian Ocean east of 75°E (Hoegh-Guldberg et al., 2014). Over the same period, [O₂] decreased in North Atlantic surface waters but increased in intermediate waters (Hoegh-Guldberg et al., 2014). Conversely, it increased in the thermocline in the Indian Ocean and South Pacific Oceans between the 1990s and 2000s (Hoegh-Guldberg et al., 2014). Results for the Southern
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FIGURE 4 | (A) Principal mechanisms underlying the formation of hypoxic conditions and their biological background. The buoyant, fresh input from rivers produces sharp density stratification at the base of the freshened layer (also valid for ice melt and high precipitation) near the surface and, hence, vertical mixing is greatly reduced. In consequence, the nutrient inputs from the river and the atmosphere accumulate in a narrow upper layer, leading to blooms of phytoplankton. The increased oxygen consumption due to aerobic decomposition of sinking particulate organic matter (POM) results in hypoxic conditions of benthic and mid-water oxygen minimum zones (OMZs).

Enrichment of nutrients (eutrophication) results in coastal dead zones. In the open oceans, heating of the upper layer increases stratification, while the wind-driven upwelling of hypoxic, nutrient-rich water from deeper layers adds to the formation of the OMZs. (B) Distribution of free-living marine organisms (microbes such as archaea, bacteria), in various water layers. Hypoxia tolerance is enhanced in small compared to large organisms, allowing unicellular species and small animals to thrive in extremely hypoxic habitats. Species richness and body size of animals decrease with falling O₂ levels. Source: Pörtner et al. (2014).

Ocean are contradictory depending on region and time period and require further clarification (Rhein et al., 2013). Along the continental shelf, large regions of the Eastern Pacific are low in dissolved oxygen and oxygen minimum zones (OMZ) are found at around 300 m depth (Hoegh-Guldberg et al., 2014).
The shoaling lysocline as a result of ocean acidification means that it is likely that upwelling waters will be both depleted in oxygen and acidified (Rhein et al., 2013). Coastal regions, particularly along the west coast of North America, have exhibited decreases in \( [O_2] \) due to increased stratification and it has been suggested that oxygen concentrations in coastal areas may be declining approximately 10 times faster than the open ocean, although more data are needed to confirm this hypothesis (Hoegh-Guldberg et al., 2014). Due to the greater solubility of \( O_2 \) in cold waters and the low microbial activity, deep sea waters are relatively well oxygenated (Hoegh-Guldberg et al., 2014). However, oxygen concentration is decreasing in the deep sea, with the largest decline at intermediate water depths (<1000 m), some deeper waters are also decreasing in \( O_2 \) (Hoegh-Guldberg et al., 2014).

Ocean warming will very likely lead to further declines in dissolved \( O_2 \), estimates of global decline range between 6 and 12 \( \mu \)mol kg\(^{-1} \) by 2100, depending on the RCP scenario (Ciais et al., 2013). The regions most affected by decreasing \( O_2 \) are the intermediate to deep waters of the North Atlantic, North Pacific and Southern Ocean with declines between 20 and 200 \( \mu \)mol kg\(^{-1} \) by 2100 (Hoegh-Guldberg et al., 2014).

**Updates to AR5**

Recent model projections agree with AR5, suggesting a decrease in oxygen concentration under every RCP scenario. The modeled mean reduction in global ocean \( [O_2] \) is \(-3.4, -2.5, -2.3,\) and \(-1.8\) by the end of the century relative to the 1990s, for RCP8.5, RCP6.0, RCP4.5, and RCP2.6, respectively (Bopp et al., 2013).

Models have been limited in their simulation of present day \( O_2 \) concentration (Cocco et al., 2013) and as a result there is uncertainty surrounding the expansion of hypoxic and suboxic zones, the AR5 report considers it as likely as it is unlikely that they will expand (Ciais et al., 2013). Cocco et al. (2013) used models representing the interactions between the physical climate system, biogeochemical cycles and ecosystems and predicted a decrease in total ocean dissolved oxygen inventory of 2–4% between 1870 and 2100. The authors found relatively small changes were projected in the volume of hypoxic and suboxic waters. Suboxic waters were projected to decrease in volume by \( \leq 10\% \). There were discrepancies between model results for more oxygenated waters; the majority of models predict an expansion of between 2 and 16% for regions with less than 80 mmol m\(^{-3} \) and a decrease in volume of between 0.4 and 4.5% for water with less than 50 mmol m\(^{-3} \).

**Ocean Acidification**

**AR5 Summary**

\( CO_2 \) absorbed by the ocean reacts with the seawater and causes a decrease in \( pH \), changing the relative abundances of the dissolved inorganic carbonate species: the concentration of bicarbonate ions (\( HCO_3^- \)) increases while the concentration of carbonate ions (\( CO_3^{2-} \)) decreases, lowering the saturation state (\( \Omega \)) of CaCO\(_3\). The carbonate system of seawater, including saturation state, is affected by temperature, salinity and pressure and, thus, varies regionally and with depth. The chemical response of the oceans to increasing \( CO_2 \) is well understood with very high confidence (Rhein et al., 2013). There is high confidence that surface ocean \( pH \) has declined by 0.1 \( pH \) units since the beginning of the Industrial Era, corresponding to a 26% increase in the concentrations of \( H^+ \) ions (Rhein et al., 2013). Direct measurements show that the rate of \( pH \) decrease is between \(-0.0014 \) and \(-0.0024 \) units yr\(^{-1} \) in surface waters (Rhein et al., 2013).

Regional estimates vary, with some areas better sampled than others. There is a paucity of time series measurements in the Southern Ocean surface waters; however, the available data suggest rates similar to those seen globally (Rhein et al., 2013). Anthropogenic \( CO_2 \) has reached at least 1000 m in all three ocean basins and deeper in the Atlantic (Hoegh-Guldberg et al., 2014). As the waters at the bottom of some oceans basins are very old, it will take many centuries for full equilibration of deep ocean waters to recent global warming and \( CO_2 \) perturbation (Cao et al., 2014; Hoegh-Guldberg et al., 2014). In upwelling areas, upwelled water is high in dissolved \( CO_2 \), exacerbating ocean acidification driven by anthropogenic activities (Hoegh-Guldberg et al., 2014).

It is virtually certain that the continued uptake of \( CO_2 \) by the oceans will increase ocean acidification (Ciais et al., 2013). Global decreases in seawater \( pH \) are projected to be 0.065 for RCP2.6, 0.145 for RCP4.5, 0.203 for RCP6.0 and 0.31 for RCP8.5 in 2081–2100 compared to 1986–2005 (Ciais et al., 2013). The extent of ocean acidification will vary regionally and seasonally with undersaturated conditions first reached in wintertime (Ciais et al., 2013). The largest decreases in the concentration of \( CO_3^{2-} \) will be in warmer low and mid-latitudes, as these areas are naturally high in \( CO_3^{2-} \) concentration but high latitudes and coastal upwelling areas are projected to be the first to become undersaturated (Ciais et al., 2013).

**Updates to AR5**

It has recently been demonstrated that anthropogenic trends in ocean acidification emerge quickly from the background noise of natural variability on the local-to-regional scale. Anthropogenic trends in surface ocean \( pH \) (and \( pCO_2 \)) emerge within roughly 12 years, for the majority of the global ocean area, compared to between 10 and 30 years for surface ocean DIC and 45–90 years for SST (Keller et al., 2014). This implies that anthropogenic carbon emissions have already forced surface \( pH \) values beyond the range of 20th century natural variability.

Baseline monitoring of the Western Arctic Ocean estimates that 20% of Canadian Basin surface waters are undersaturated with respect to aragonite (Robbins et al., 2013) and that the saturation horizon is shoaling; over 67 and 22% of the bottom water of Hudson Bay was undersaturated with respect to aragonite and calcite, respectively (Azetsu-Scott et al., 2014). In the Arctic, \( \Omega \) decreases during ice formation and increases during ice melt, resulting in a five times larger seasonal amplitude of the carbonate system in the upper 20 m compared to what is observed in sea ice free systems (Fransson et al., 2013). Calculated \( pH \) trends for the North Atlantic Gyre suggest a decrease of \(-0.0022 \pm 0.0004 \) units yr\(^{-1} \) over the period 1981–2007 (Lauvset and Gruber, 2014). In the North Pacific, a pH
decrease of $-0.0011 \pm 0.0004$ units yr$^{-1}$ from 1997 to 2011 has been calculated (Table 3; Wakita et al., 2013).

It is unlikely that more than 10% of global surface waters will maintain $\Omega_d$ higher than 3 if atmospheric CO$_2$ exceeds 550 ppm by 2100 (Steinacher et al., 2013). It is predicted that when atmospheric CO$_2$ reaches four times its pre-industrial level, global mean saturation state of aragonite ($\Omega_d$) horizon will shoal from the pre-industrial levels of 1288 m to 143 m (Cao et al., 2014).

Under RCP8.5, pH reductions exceeding 0.2, units are projected in 23% of North Atlantic deep-sea canyons and ~8% of seamounts (Gehlen et al., 2014). Recent work has found intermediate waters to be twice as sensitive to increased carbon concentrations as surface waters and project higher rates ($-0.0008 \pm 0.0001$ units yr$^{-1}$, the depending on RCP) of acidification in intermediate waters than surface waters over centennial timescales (Resplandy et al., 2013).

Recent projections of future ocean acidification in Polar Regions estimate that increased ice melt in the Arctic would lead to enhanced oceanic uptake of inorganic carbon to the surface layer (Fransson et al., 2013; Reis dorph and Mathis, 2014). Within 10 years, 10% of its waters will be undersaturated with respect to aragonite, and by 2025, under all RCP scenarios, 10% of its waters are projected to be undersaturated with respect to calcite (Popova et al., 2014). It is considered likely that large regions of Antarctic and Sub-Antarctic surface waters will become undersaturated with respect to aragonite by 2030 (Mattsdotter Björk et al., 2014).

**Biological Impacts of Climate Change and Ocean Acidification**

In the following sections the main findings for the impacts of changes in temperature, dissolved oxygen and ocean acidification are summarized for microbes, plants, animals and ecosystems. Corals and coral reefs are treated separately as they represent a unique and important habitat that is particularly sensitive to the effects of climate change and ocean acidification.

**Impacts of Ocean Warming**

The AR5 states that all organisms have an optimum range of temperature at which physiological processes are most efficient. The impact of changing temperature depends on the organism’s specific window of thermal tolerance and ability to acclimate or adapt to changing conditions, both of which vary greatly among species. Exceeding these limits can have effects on a wide range of physiological processes (Poloczanska et al., 2014; Pörtner et al., 2014).

Organism-specific temperature ranges dictate the distribution of organisms. A common adaptation to changing temperature is the displacement of a species to areas of more favorable temperature (Poloczanska et al., 2014; Pörtner et al., 2014). Hence, under a warming scenario, poleward migrations are expected; however, possibilities for migration are limited for polar organisms. Organisms also respond to temperature-driven changes in the physical environment such as stratification, reduced sea-ice cover and freshening (Pörtner et al., 2014). Unfortunately, for many organisms, poleward movement may be limited by other factors that restrict migration (Pörtner et al., 2014).

According to the AR5 there is high confidence that polar and tropical species are most, and temperate species least vulnerable, to changes in temperature (Pörtner et al., 2014). As ocean temperatures are less variable in the Southern Hemisphere than the Northern Hemisphere, species from the polar regions of the Southern Hemisphere are predicted to be the most vulnerable to increasing temperature (Larsen et al., 2014) and more recent studies add weight to this statement, highlighting

**TABLE 3** Long-term trends of atmospheric (pcO$_2$ atm) and seawater carbonate chemistry (i.e., surface-water pcO$_2$, and corresponding calculated pH, CO$_3^{2-}$ and aragonite saturation state ($\Omega_d$) at four ocean time series in the North Atlantic and North Pacific oceans: (1) Bermuda Atlantic Time-series Study (BATS, 31°40’N, 64°10’W) and Hydrostation S (32°10’N, 64°30’W) from 1983 to present; (2) Hawaii Ocean Time series (HOT) at Station ALOHA (A Long-term Oligotrophic Habitat Assessment; 22°45’N, 158°00’W) from 1988 to the present; (3) European Station for Time series in the Ocean (ESTOC, 29°10’N, 15°30’W) from 1994 to the present; and (4) Iceland Sea (IS, 68.0°N, 12.67°W) from 1985 to 2006.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Site</th>
<th>Period</th>
<th>pCO$_2$ atm (µ, atm yr$^{-1}$)</th>
<th>pCO$_2$ sea (µ, atm yr$^{-1}$)</th>
<th>pH (yr$^{-1}$)</th>
<th>[CO$_3^{2-}$] (µ mol kg$^{-1}$ yr$^{-1}$)</th>
<th>$\Omega_d$ (yr$^{-1}$)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>BATS</td>
<td>1983–2009</td>
<td>1.66 ± 0.01</td>
<td>1.92 ± 0.08</td>
<td>-0.0019 ± 0.0001</td>
<td>-0.59 ± 0.04</td>
<td>-0.0091 ± 0.0006</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1985–2009</td>
<td>1.67 ± 0.01</td>
<td>2.02 ± 0.08</td>
<td>-0.0020 ± 0.0001</td>
<td>-0.68 ± 0.04</td>
<td>-0.0105 ± 0.0006</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1988–2009</td>
<td>1.73 ± 0.01</td>
<td>2.22 ± 0.11</td>
<td>-0.0022 ± 0.0001</td>
<td>-0.87 ± 0.05</td>
<td>-0.0135 ± 0.0008</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1995–2009</td>
<td>1.90 ± 0.01</td>
<td>2.16 ± 0.18</td>
<td>-0.0021 ± 0.0001</td>
<td>-0.80 ± 0.08</td>
<td>-0.0125 ± 0.0013</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ALOHA</td>
<td>1988–2009</td>
<td>1.73 ± 0.01</td>
<td>1.82 ± 0.07</td>
<td>-0.0018 ± 0.0001</td>
<td>-0.52 ± 0.04</td>
<td>-0.0083 ± 0.0007</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1995–2009</td>
<td>1.92 ± 0.01</td>
<td>1.58 ± 0.13</td>
<td>-0.0015 ± 0.0001</td>
<td>-0.40 ± 0.07</td>
<td>-0.0061 ± 0.0028</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ESTOC</td>
<td>1995–2009</td>
<td>1.88 ± 0.02</td>
<td>1.83 ± 0.15</td>
<td>-0.0017 ± 0.0001</td>
<td>-0.72 ± 0.05</td>
<td>-0.0123 ± 0.0015</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IS</td>
<td>1985–2009</td>
<td>1.75 ± 0.01</td>
<td>2.07 ± 0.15</td>
<td>-0.0024 ± 0.0002</td>
<td>-0.47 ± 0.04</td>
<td>-0.0071 ± 0.0006</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1988–2009</td>
<td>1.70 ± 0.01</td>
<td>1.96 ± 0.22</td>
<td>-0.0023 ± 0.0003</td>
<td>-0.48 ± 0.05</td>
<td>-0.0073 ± 0.0008</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1995–2009</td>
<td>1.90 ± 0.01</td>
<td>2.01 ± 0.37</td>
<td>-0.0022 ± 0.0004</td>
<td>-0.40 ± 0.08</td>
<td>-0.0062 ± 0.0012</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(Modified from Rhen et al. [2013].)
the vulnerability of Southern Hemisphere polar organisms to temperature changes (Chambers et al., 2013; Constable et al., 2014; McBride et al., 2014).

**Microbes**

**AR5 summary**

According to the AR5, warming will enhance microbial growth. As thermal tolerances are species-specific, continued warming causes changes in species compositions with warmer conditions favoring smaller sized species (Pörtner et al., 2014).

**Updates to AR5**

Recent work by De Senerpont Domis et al. (2014) supports the assertions of the AR5; showing warming to enhance phytoplankton growth rates (2014). However, several studies find that growth is strongly modulated by nutrient availability, suggesting that under more stratified conditions warming may have a net negative effect on phytoplankton growth (Chust et al., 2014; Lewandowska et al., 2014; Marañón et al., 2014). New model results predict that warming of 2.3°C leads to a 6% reduction in phytoplankton biomass (Chust et al., 2014). Recent work supports the IPCC findings that phytoplankton, including those that cause harmful algal blooms (HABs), are the taxon that has displayed the largest latitudinal range shifts concurrent with climate change, with average distribution shifts of over 400 km decade^{-1} (Gilbert et al., 2014; Poloczanska et al., 2014). In line with the findings of AR5, Calbet et al. (2014) observed shifts in microplankton species compositions, suggesting implications for the global carbon pump, as small size cells are likely to be re-mineralized to inorganic carbon at shallower depths. Recent work has shown that the coccolithophore *Emiliania huxleyi* is capable of evolutionary adaptation to ocean warming similar to that predicted under RCP8.5 (Benner et al., 2013; Schluter et al., 2014).

Rising temperature has been shown to influence host-pathogen interactions, increasing infectious disease outbreaks with pathogens moving polewards (Baker-Austin et al., 2013; Burge et al., 2014).

**Macroalgae and Seagrasses**

**AR5 summary**

There is high confidence that macrophytes are limited in thermal tolerance and, thus, sensitive to warming, especially in lower latitudes (Pörtner et al., 2014). Thermal stress has been shown to affect photosynthesis, growth, reproduction and survival, with subsequent consequences for macrophyte abundance, distribution, and productivity. Sea ice retreat in polar areas has allowed an expansion of macroalgal distribution, via increased habitat availability (Pörtner et al., 2014).

**Updates to AR5**

Recent publications support the assertions of the AR5, observing different distribution shifts and responses to warming in warm and cold-water species (Brodie et al., 2014; Komatsu et al., 2014). However, there are some inconsistencies in the literature as Brodie et al. (2014) predict, “seagrass will proliferate” in the North Atlantic under future warming. Recent publications add further evidence that, in temperate regions, kelp mortality, spore mortality and germination will be negatively affected by projected temperature increases under RCP8.5 (Brodie et al., 2014; Gaitán-Espitia et al., 2014). Warming has also been found to increase the incidence and/or severity of marine diseases affecting elgellor (Bockelmann et al., 2013). Increased warming increases the Mg levels in the calcite of crustose coralline algae although, no significant trend was observed in samples collected between 1850 and 2010 (Williamson et al., 2014).

**Animals**

**AR5 summary**

There is high confidence that surpassing species-specific heat tolerance limits during warming causes reduced abundance, mortality, shifts in the seasonal timing, and changes in individual growth, development, calcification and immunity (Poloczanska et al., 2014; Pörtner et al., 2014). Although laboratory studies commonly use temperature means, there is evidence that extreme temperatures events illicit greater species response than sustained temperature means, causing mortality and/or latitudinal/depth range distribution shifts that can cause localized extinctions (high confidence; Pörtner et al., 2014). During early life, owing to incomplete development, or as adult spawners, due to large body size, animals can become more sensitive to warming (Pörtner et al., 2014).

**Updates to AR5**

More recent work continues to strongly support the findings of AR5 that many species are undergoing geographical and phenological shifts as a result of warming (Vehmaa et al., 2013; Goberville et al., 2014; Kamya et al., 2014; Mackenzie et al., 2014a; Church et al., 2013; Mackenzie et al., 2014a,b,c; Queiros et al., 2014; Rice et al., 2014). The AR5 found that zooplankton have exhibited some of the most extreme geographic range shifts of over 100 km decade^{-1} (Poloczanska et al., 2014). Subsequent work has observed significant distribution shifts of copepod species in the North Sea and North Atlantic in conjunction with warming between 1958 and 2009 (Beaugrand et al., 2014). Latitudinal range shifts have also been observed in benthic cnidarians, molluscs and crustaceans, non-bony fish and bony fish (Engelhard et al., 2014; Poloczanska et al., 2014; Potts et al., 2014).

Temperature also governs the distribution and abundance of large pelagic fish in the Indian, Pacific and Atlantic Oceans and the work of Mackenzie et al. (2014a) adds weight to the high confidence of the statement in the AR5 that temperature anomalies caused a major shift in tuna distribution in these areas. This may cause high vulnerability in seasonally spawning fish species (Crozier and Hutchings, 2014; Eleftheriou et al., 2014). There is medium confidence that warming also causes a decrease in the body size of some marine fishes (Rice et al., 2014).

Warming has been shown to increase disease incidence in many marine organisms (reviewed in Burge et al., 2014) and impair immune responses in host organisms, including shellfish (Travers et al., 2009), corals (Harvell et al., 2009), and finfish (reviewed in Bowden, 2008). Recent re-emergence of *Vibrio tubiashii*, a bacterial pathogen of larval Pacific oysters, was linked to warming and upwelling of low pH waters (Elston et al.,
In some cases, though, adaptation to warming may reduce disease, as heat-resistant Pacific oysters were more resistant to infection by a herpesvirus (Dégremont, 2011).

Recent work continues to highlight the effects of secondary drivers such as ocean acidification, hypoxia and food availability on the capacity of an organism to adapt to changing temperatures and increasing numbers of recent studies are highlighting the interactive effects of temperature and secondary drivers (Vehmaa et al., 2013; Ko et al., 2014; Mackenzie et al., 2014b,c; Madeira et al., 2014; Pope et al., 2014). A recent study has demonstrated that trans-generational plasticity can mediate the effects of warming in a fish species (Shama et al., 2014); however, there remains low confidence in our understanding of the potential evolutionary adaptation to warming.

Warm and Cold Water Coral Communities

AR5 summary

Thermal tolerance and recovery capacity of the coral host and the symbiotic dinoflagellates varies with geography and among species (Wong et al., 2014). Mass bleaching has more widespread during the last 20 years; 7% of the reef locations exhibited at least one bleaching event between 1985 and 1994 compared to 38% between 1995 and 2004 (Wong et al., 2014). There is very high confidence that bleaching has the potential to cause up to 50% mortality, resulting in declining coral abundance (Figure 5; Wong et al., 2014).

There is high confidence that mass coral bleaching events have occurred in all three sub-tropical gyre (STG) regions, in conjunction with warming (Hoegh-Guldberg et al., 2014). In the Pacific, there has been a steady decline in coral cover on coastal coral reef ecosystems ranging between 0.5 and 2.0% yr⁻¹ (Hoegh-Guldberg et al., 2014). High temperature in the Atlantic STG caused coral mortality in the eastern Caribbean (Eakin et al., 2010a,b), and in the Indian Ocean, coral cover declined by an average of 38% following the 1998 and 2010 temperature extremes (high confidence; Hoegh-Guldberg et al., 2014).

Studies of the thermal sensitivity of cold-water corals are scarce. One species, Lophelia pertusa, responds to 3°C warming with a three-fold increase in metabolic rate, indicating a narrow thermal window (Pörtner et al., 2014).

Updates to AR5

Studies continue to find that thermal tolerance and recovery capacity of the coral host and the symbionts varies geographically and among species (Alemu and Clement, 2014; Cantin and Lough, 2014; Comeau et al., 2014c; Falter et al., 2014; McClanahan and Muthiga, 2014). Nevertheless, mass bleaching events are becoming more predictable due to their relationship with sustained temperature anomalies of 1 to 2°C above the long-term summer maximum (Liu et al., 2014).

Several coral diseases and resultant mortalities have increased with ocean warming (see review in Burge et al., 2014). Population crashes of two key reef-building corals (Acropora cervicornis and Acropora palmata) in the Caribbean (Gladfelter, 1982; Aronson and Precht, 2001) have now been linked to ocean warming (Randall and Van Woesk, 2015) and have had drastic impacts on coral reef ecosystems throughout the region, leading to listing of the species as Threatened under the United States’ Endangered Species Act (Weijerman et al., 2014). Temperature increases of 6°C have also been observed to reduce the production of mucus, which may increase susceptibility to coral diseases (Pratte and Richardson, 2014). Dispersal of coral larva is also reduced under high temperatures, which will weaken connectivity among populations, thus potentially slowing recovery if local populations are severely affected (Figueiredo et al., 2014).

Some thermally tolerant species are able to divert cellular energy into mechanisms for survival and recovery of short-term heat stress but at the expense of growth and biomineralization (Maor-Landaw et al., 2014). Studies have shown that repeat bleaching may impact thermal tolerance; some species are able to rapidly acclimatize while others become more susceptible (Grottoli et al., 2014). Models also provide limited evidence that corals have some capacity to acclimatize to high temperatures but even considering possible adaptation, it is projected that under RCP4.5, two thirds of the world’s reefs will be subject to long-term degradation (Grottoli et al., 2014; Logan et al., 2014; Palumbi et al., 2014).

Recent work has also suggested that increased temperature and [CO₂] may cause cold-water corals to accumulate dimethylsulphonioipropionate (DMSP) from the water column. It has been suggested corals use DMSP in response to environmental challenges, implying an acclimation response to CO₂ stress (Burdett et al., 2014).

Ecosystems

AR5 summary

Ecosystems that are built around heat sensitive organisms such as coral reef or kelp forests, may be at increased risk from warming-induced habitat loss. Within ecosystems, different tolerances of individual species can cause changes in interspecific competition, trophic dynamics and species compositions. There is low confidence in predicting how ecosystems will react to immigration of animals as a result of climate change (Pörtner et al., 2014).

There is medium confidence that in most semi-enclosed seas, temperature induced faunal latitudinal range shifts have occurred and in the Mediterranean, Black, and Baltic Seas, increased temperatures have prompted colonization by invasive warmer water species (Hoegh-Guldberg et al., 2014). There is medium confidence that loss of summer sea ice and increased ocean temperature will enhance secondary pelagic production in some regions of the Arctic). There is high confidence that these changes will alter the species composition and carrying capacity with subsequent effects on fish and shellfish populations (medium confidence; Larsen et al., 2014).

Updates to AR5

New evidence continues to add to the high confidence level that observed changes in distribution, phenology and reproduction of plants and animals in marine ecosystems are, at least partially, temperature induced (Chambers et al., 2013; Beaugrand et al., 2014; Goberville et al., 2014; Hiddink et al., 2014; Jones and Cheung, 2014; Kim et al., 2014; Lambert et al., 2014; Montero-Serra et al., 2014; Rice et al., 2014). As different species and
groups have differential responses to warming, effects are seen at every trophic level and can also be amplified up the food chain (Pinsky et al., 2013; Chust et al., 2014). Changes in phenology are not synchronous among phyla and can cause predator-prey mismatches (Arula et al., 2014; Behrenfeld, 2014; Lewandowska et al., 2014), changes to species compositions (Albouy et al., 2014), alterations in food web body size (Gilbert and Delong, 2014) and changes in food web composition (Verges et al., 2014). Recent work has suggested that this maybe most pronounced in systems governed by seasonal blooms (Behrenfeld, 2014).

Changes in the frequency, intensity, and geographic distribution of marine diseases have had significant impacts on many ecosystems, particularly if they affect keystone species (Burge et al., 2014).

Sea Level Rise

AR5 Summary
Vegetated habitats such as mangroves, seagrass meadows, intertidal rocky reefs and wetlands are the natural systems most affected by changes in sea level. There is high confidence that these habitats are in decline with the loss estimated to release 0.04–0.28 PgC yr⁻¹ (Wong et al., 2014). Increasing sea levels as a result of warming have also caused reductions and range shifts in seagrass and mangrove systems in the Pacific region (Hoegh-Guldberg et al., 2014).

Updates to AR5
Recent research adds weight to the findings of AR5 by identifying vegetated habitats as being particularly vulnerable to sea level rise (Cazenave and Cozannet, 2014; Di Nitto et al., 2014; Murray et al., 2014b; Saintilan et al., 2014; Thorner et al., 2014). There is medium confidence that some coral reefs will be able to keep up with the projected rate of sea level rise (Hamlyton et al., 2014; Woodroffe and Webster, 2014). However, increasing evidence suggests increased erosion and export of land-based sediments and pollutants will exacerbate existing stress to nearshore corals (Storlazzi et al., 2011). Because even small populations of humans in close proximity to coral reefs can be deleterious (Knowlton and Jackson, 2008), evacuation of low-lying islands may reduce stress to some reefs.

Decreasing Dissolved Oxygen Concentration
Under hypoxic conditions, species with higher O₂ demands are lost and, if hypoxic conditions are sustained, communities that thrive in low O₂ environments replace them (Pörtner et al., 2014). Oxygen demand depends on species, body size, life stage, metabolic activity and temperature. In extreme temperatures, critical O₂ concentration is almost the same as fully O₂ saturated water, indicating increased sensitivity to hypoxia in increased temperatures (Pörtner et al., 2014).

Microbes

AR5 summary
OMZs form habitat for both anaerobic and aerobic microbes that can utilize very low (<1 μmol kg⁻¹) [O₂]. In OMZs, microbial respiration drives O₂ concentration down and maintains low concentrations. There is high confidence that microbial life will benefit from expanding OMZs (Pörtner et al., 2014).

Updates to AR5
Storch et al. (2014) observed communities shifting to smaller, multicellular Eukarya, Bacteria and Archaea under diminished O₂.

Animals and Plants

AR5 summary
Special adaptations to hypoxia and lower energy demand are present in animals that live permanently in OMZs but this is only possible for animals of small size (<1 mm), in cold temperatures (Pörtner et al., 2014). There is little information on the sensitivity of macrophytes to hypoxia; however, negative responses have been observed in eelgrasses so there is medium confidence that expanding benthic OMZs will constrain the distribution of macrophytes (Pörtner et al., 2014).

Updates to AR5
Few animals have developed strategies to temporarily cope with hypoxic conditions and recent research adds weight to the high confidence level that hypoxia-adapted life forms, such as the jumbo squid, will benefit from expanding OMZs (Stewart et al., 2014). Range expansion of hypoxia adapted squid has been observed (Stewart et al., 2014) and meta-analysis supported the
oxygen- and capacity-limited thermal tolerance (OCLTT) theory on the interactive effect of $O_2$ and temperature (Portner, 2010), limiting the depth distributions of non-hypoxia adapted fish and invertebrates (Brown and Thatje, 2014).

**Ecosystems**

**AR5 summary**

There is *medium confidence* that expansions of OMZs, will result in habitat and abundance losses for taxa with high $O_2$ demands (Pörtner et al., 2014). As many pelagic species migrate vertically on a daily and seasonal basis, the shoaling of OMZs will affect migrations and shift microbial and faunal compositions to organisms that can tolerate brief exposure to hypoxia (*medium confidence*, Pörtner et al., 2014). Larval stages are particularly sensitive, suggesting that the impacts could threaten population survival and affect higher trophic levels (Pörtner et al., 2014). There is *high confidence* that, as a result of increased energy demand, calcifiers are particularly sensitive to hypoxia (Pörtner et al., 2014). Expansions of OMZs are predicted to compress habitat depth for hypoxia-intolerant fish (Hoegh-Guldberg et al., 2014). Oxidation reactions in OMZs release nitrogen into the atmosphere meaning that less fixed nitrogen is available to primary producers as a result there is *medium confidence* expansion of OMZs will limit primary productivity (Pörtner et al., 2014).

**Updates to AR5**

Observed range changes in non-hypoxia tolerant halibut, adds weight to the findings of AR5 (Sadorus et al., 2014). The work of Cheung et al. (2014) supports the assertions of the AR5, finding high sensitivity in the larvae of benthic invertebrates. Decreases in coral photosynthesis observed under hypoxic conditions suggest that decreasing oxygen concentrations could have potential negative implications for reef ecosystems (Wijgerde et al., 2014).

**Ocean Acidification**

A wide range of organismal functions are affected by ocean acidification including: membrane transport, calcification, photosynthesis, neuronal processes, growth, reproductive success and survival. Due to the direct effect of decreased $\Omega$, calcifying species are thought to be at greatest risk from decreasing pH. Very little is known about the capacity for evolutionary adaptation as most experiments investigating the effects of ocean acidification are run over relatively short time periods (Pörtner et al., 2014). Here, the term ocean acidification primarily refers to the reduction in pH, the reduction in carbonate ion concentration $\Omega$, and the increase in bicarbonate ion concentration and dissolved CO$_2$ concentration in response to carbon dioxide uptake.

**Microbes**

**AR5 summary**

Due to insufficient field observations there is *limited evidence* and *low agreement* on how future conditions will affect microorganisms. Ocean acidification can drastically alter the species composition of phytoplankton assemblages, and has been linked to increased occurrences of harmful algal blooms (HAB) of diatoms and dinoflagellates. There is *low to medium confidence* on the effects of ocean acidification on nitrogen (N$_2$) fixing cyanobacteria due to the wide range of N$_2$ fixation responses observed in laboratory experiments. There is *medium to high confidence* that foraminiferal calcification is negatively affected by acidification (Pörtner et al., 2014).

**Updates to AR5**

New research suggests that ocean acidification might benefit bacterial communities by elevating growth (Endres et al., 2014) and increased benthic bacterial diversity has been observed along natural CO$_2$ gradients (Taylor et al., 2014). Other studies have found no detectable effects of ocean acidification on natural bacterial communities (Ahrendt et al., 2014; Gazeau et al., 2014). There is *low to medium confidence* on the effects of ocean acidification on nitrogen (N$_2$) fixing cyanobacteria. A wide range of N$_2$ fixation responses under RCP8.5 conditions have been observed in laboratory experiments (Böttjer et al., 2014; Eichner et al., 2014; Gradoville et al., 2014), possibly due to species-specific differences in the mechanisms of N$_2$ fixation (Eichner et al., 2014).

There is *medium evidence* and *low agreement* on how coccolithophore growth and calcification will be affected as they exhibit highly varied responses depending on species, strain and secondary environmental controls such as irradiance, bloom species composition and nutrient availability (Benner et al., 2013; Horigome et al., 2014; Muller and Nisbet, 2014; Poulton et al., 2014; Sett et al., 2014; Young et al., 2014). There is evidence that the coccolithophore, *Emiliania huxleyi*, has the capacity to evolve genetic adaptions to both warming and ocean acidification expected under RCP8.5 (Benner et al., 2013; Lohbeck et al., 2014; Schluter et al., 2014).

Khanna et al. (2013) adds to the *medium to high confidence* that foraminiferal calcification is negatively affected by acidification. The severity of effects on benthic foraminifera are species and symbionts-specific (Doo et al., 2014). Planktonic foraminifera are predicted to experience the greatest decrease in diversity and abundance in sub-polar and tropical areas, under RCP8.5 (Roy et al., 2014).

**Macroalgae and Seagrasses**

**AR5 summary**

Non-calcifying species generally exhibit positive growth responses to increasing [CO$_2$] (Pörtner et al., 2014). There is *high confidence* that conditions of 720–1800 µatm CO$_2$ stimulate increases in primary production, shoot density, reproductive output and below ground biomass (Pörtner et al., 2014). There is *medium confidence* that impacts on calcification and respiration are observed when species-specific pCO$_2$ thresholds are surpassed (Pörtner et al., 2014).

**Updates to AR5**

Non-calcifying species generally exhibit positive growth responses to increasing [CO$_2$] although these responses are season and species specific (Longphuirt et al., 2013), although...
these effects can be modulated by nutrient availability (Martínez-Crego et al., 2014). The work of Betancor et al. (2014) adds more evidence to the low confidence levels of the AR5 that ocean acidification can impair the production of grazer-deterrent substances.

Recent work continues to find complex and varied responses to ocean acidification in calcifying macrophytes (James et al., 2014; Johnson et al., 2014b; McMinn et al., 2014). Changes in species composition along natural CO₂ gradients have been observed (Ordoñez et al., 2014) but negative effects on calcification can be mediated by inter-specific interactions (Reyes-Nivia et al., 2014; Short et al., 2014) and acclimatization (Johnson et al., 2014a). There is medium confidence that significant dissolution of living and dead maerl beds will occur, both of which provide important habitat for associated fauna (Brodie et al., 2014). Crustose coralline algae display species specific increases in calcification up to 900 μatm and decreases after the species-specific threshold is reached (McCoy and Kamenos, 2015). Greater instances of dissolution and deformities have also been observed in crustose coralline algae populations at natural CO₂ vent sites (Brinkman and Smith, 2015).

Animals
AR5 summary
Species-specific responses to ocean acidification are mixed (Pörtner et al., 2014). Amongst benthic invertebrates, calcifying organisms are more sensitive than non-calcifying. There is medium confidence that increased calcification under lowered pH increases energetic costs at the expense of other physiological processes (Pörtner et al., 2014). Early life stages are thought to be more sensitive to ocean acidification drivers and there is high confidence in attributing fatalities at oyster farms to upwelling, CO₂ rich waters. Despite experimental observation of ocean acidification effects, the AR5 highlights the lack of field evidence of current ocean acidification effects in natural communities (Pörtner et al., 2014).

Updates to AR5
Recent studies continue to find reduced calcification, reduced rates of repair calcification and weakened calcified structures under acidified conditions (Bressan et al., 2014; Coleman et al., 2014; Fitzner et al., 2014; Pörtner et al., 2014; Wei et al., 2015). Some species have been observed to increase calcification rates at pCO₂ ranging from 600 to 900 μatm, before a decrease at higher pCO₂ (Collard et al., 2014; Dery et al., 2014; Langer et al., 2014).

More evidence of the negative effects of decreasing pH on fertilization success of invertebrates has been found (Sewell et al., 2013; Bögnér et al., 2014; Frieder, 2014; Scanes et al., 2014; Sung et al., 2014) and RCP8.5 acidification has been shown to have negative effects on clam larval dispersal (Clements and Hunt, 2014).

In the California Current System, pteropod shell dissolution due to undersaturated waters can already be observed (Bednarsk et al., 2014). Studies on non-calcifying zooplankton indicate a variety of sub-lethal effects under RCP8.5, including decreased egg production, lower hatch success and decreased survival of nauplii in copepods (Vehmaa et al., 2013; Zervoudaki et al., 2013; Pedersen et al., 2014). The AR5 has high confidence that embryos of Antarctic krill are vulnerable to increased seawater concentrations of CO₂ (Larsen et al., 2014) but Atlantic species have been found to be resilient (Sperfeld et al., 2014).

Fish, including some commercially important species such as cod and herring, have been shown to be reasonably resistant to the effects of ocean acidification (Jutfelt and Hedgärde, 2013; Chambers et al., 2014; Maneja et al., 2014). However, deformities in calcified structures (Pimentel et al., 2014) and damage to internal organs continue to be observed under conditions predicted for 2100 under RCP8.5 (Frommel et al., 2014). The strongest effects observed in fish are behavioral, lower pH has been observed to affect predator avoidance, prey detection, odor detection, retinal function, lateralization, boldness and swimming behaviors in fish (Caprio et al., 2014; Chung et al., 2014; Dixon et al., 2014; Domenici et al., 2014; Munday et al., 2014; Murray et al., 2014a; Welch et al., 2014).

Recently published reviews highlight the lack of long-term studies on ocean acidification and the lack of direct experimental evidence of evolutionary adaptation in animals (Reusch, 2014; Sunday et al., 2014). Nevertheless, evidence of a few examples of genetic variations are emerging and have been observed in sea urchins and polychaete worms (Calosi et al., 2013; Kelly et al., 2013; Pespeni et al., 2013), as well as genetic variations in fish that could illicit evolutionary adaptation (Malvezi et al., 2015). Acclimatization and trans-generational phenotypic plasticity over longer-term experiments have been observed in sea urchins (Dupont et al., 2013) and carry over effects of brief exposure to acidification on growth of oyster larvae (Hettinger et al., 2013).

Warm and Cold Water Corals
AR5 summary
There is high confidence that warm-water corals will be sensitive to future ocean acidification, although the magnitude of response is species specific (Figure 6; Wong et al., 2014). Some species of warm-water and cold-water corals may display resistance to lowered pH and can maintain positive net calcification under aragonite saturation states <1 (Pörtner et al., 2014).

Updates to AR5
Observations of reductions in calcification continue to demonstrate a species-specific response in both cold and warm water species (Comeau et al., 2014d; Movilla et al., 2014), with fast calcifiers affected more than slow calcifiers (Comeau et al., 2014d). Holcomb et al. (2014) find that response is affected by the internal pH of the calcifying fluid. Despite inter-specific differences in pH tolerance, reef ecosystems may be disproportionately affected if key species are lost (Alvarez-Filip et al., 2013). The magnitude of calcification response to acidification is also highly geographically specific, suggesting that secondary factors such as irradiance, nutrient availability and interactions with other ecosystems, such as mangroves, can mediate the effects on calcification (Comeau et al., 2014a,c; Enochs et al., 2014; Gibbin et al., 2014; Tanaka et al., 2014; Wendel, 2014; Yates et al., 2014).

Ocean acidification has been shown to have significant effects on dissolution of warm-water corals, with up to 59% lower
net community calcification (Comeau et al., 2014b; Silbiger and Donahue, 2014) observed under RCP8.5 conditions and already resulting in enhanced erosion of reef framework carbonates (Silbiger and Donahue, 2014). There is also evidence that acidified conditions can reduce fertilization and settling success of larvae (Uthicke et al., 2013).

Ecosystems

AR5 summary

As the effects of ocean acidification are complex and species specific, it is difficult to accurately assess the impacts at the ecosystem level. There is high confidence that ocean acidification will have a wide range of direct and indirect effects on ecosystems (Pörtner et al., 2014). There is medium confidence that naturally high CO₂ environments are associated with species compositions that favor non-calcifying species, suggesting that calcifiers are outcompeted once pH reaches 7.8–7.7 (Pörtner et al., 2014). There is high confidence that areas prone to low Ω, such as upwelling systems and the polar seas, will be strongly affected by ocean acidification. There is high confidence that Arctic ecosystems are also at high risk due to the exacerbating effects of freshwater from melting sea ice. There is high confidence that elevated CO₂ causes losses in diversity, biomass and trophic complexity of benthic marine communities (Pörtner et al., 2014).

Effects of Multiple Drivers

There is high confidence that the effects of climate change will act on organisms and ecosystems as a suite of simultaneous environmental drivers which will interact with each other to have synergistic or antagonistic effects on the fitness of the individual or ecosystem. There is also growing evidence that the interactions of other environmental factors such as irradiance, nutrient availability geographic location and species community composition can strongly modulate the biological effects of warming, ocean acidification and hypoxia (Ko et al., 2014; Comeau et al., 2014a,c; Poulton et al., 2014; Pörtner et al., 2014).

Microbes

AR5 summary

Experiments and models show mixed responses to multiple drivers on microbial biota in the surface ocean. The effect of RCP8.5 levels of CO₂ on growth is species specific and these differences can alter bloom species compositions, with potential impacts on predator-prey interactions. Shifts to different phytoplankton species compositions can alter the sinking rates of particles, as bacteria decompose these particles, it can alter the levels of dissolved oxygen, potentially expanding OMZs (Pörtner et al., 2014).

Updates to AR5

A variety of recent publications further demonstrate that the severity and the magnitude of effects will vary with the type of ecosystem, geographical location, species composition and the influence of secondary environmental drivers (Garrard et al., 2014; Hendriks et al., 2014; Martinez-Grego et al., 2014; Park et al., 2014; Richier et al., 2014). There is added confidence that ecosystems built around heavily calcified structures such as coral and vermetid reefs or maerl beds are at high risk from ocean acidification (Alvarez-Filip et al., 2013; Brodie et al., 2014; Comeau et al., 2014b; Milazzo et al., 2014).

The Arctic food web is relatively simple, with pteropods acting as an important trophic link (AMAP, 2014). A recent assessment adds to the medium confidence of the AR5 that negative effects on pteropods will have wider consequences for the Arctic ecosystem, potentially affecting important fisheries (AMAP, 2014).

Updates to AR5

Emiliania huxleyi has demonstrated the ability to adapt to concurrent warming and acidification (Lohbeck et al., 2014; Schluter et al., 2014). Recent work highlights that the effects of RCP8.5 levels of CO₂ on growth is species specific with and can be strongly modulated by nutrient availability (Hoppe et al., 2013; Marañón et al., 2014), light conditions and temperature (Errera et al., 2014; Sett et al., 2014).

Plants and Animals

AR5 summary

There is high confidence that the interaction among warming, acidification and hypoxia predicted for 2100 under RCP8.5 can have synergistic negative effects on organisms. There is high confidence that warming acts synergistically with CO₂ to decrease calcification and increase sensitivity to bleaching in warm-water corals (Comeau et al., 2014a; Pörtner et al., 2014).
2014). Combined warming and ocean acidification in mesocosms following approximately RCP4.5 and RCP8.5 scenarios caused losses of symbionts and corals, and a nocturnal decalcification of the reef community in summer (Pörtner et al., 2014). Hypoxia reduces heat tolerance and vice versa and there is high confidence that warming will expand the area of ecosystems affected by hypoxia, even if oxygen concentrations remain unchanged. This is likely to restrict geographic and depth ranges, particularly in upwelling areas (Pörtner et al., 2014).

Updates to AR5
It has been observed that increasing temperature increases the levels of Mg incorporated into crustose coralline algae calcite, with potentially synergistic negative effects with acidification as high Mg calcite dissolves more readily (Williamson et al., 2014). The combination of warming and high irradiance has been shown to increase bleaching in crustose coralline algae but recovery is possible if favorable conditions resume (McCoy and Kamenos, 2015).

Results continue to show interaction among warming, acidification and hypoxia effects under conditions predicted in 2100 under RCP8.5. Synergistic negative effects have been observed on the growth, survival, fitness, calcification and development of organisms (Padilla-Gamino et al., 2013; Vehmaa et al., 2013; Gaitán-Espitia et al., 2014; Gobler et al., 2014; Hyun et al., 2014; Mackenzie et al., 2014b; Madeira et al., 2014; Maugendre et al., 2014, Rastrick et al., 2014; Roy et al., 2014; Schram et al., 2014; Rosa et al., 2014a,b; Schmidt et al., 2014a). In some cases, hypoxic conditions have been observed to mediate the negative effects of ocean acidification (Mukherjee et al., 2013).

Ecosystems
AR5 summary
There is high confidence that the effects of climate change are already causing changes to the physical and chemical characteristics of habitats and altering food webs (Pörtner et al., 2014). There is high confidence that the indirect effects of climate change, such as shifts in stratification and productivity, expanding OMZs, and the changing composition and biomass of food are exacerbating the effects on ecosystems (Pörtner et al., 2014).

There is high confidence that the combined effects of increased temperature, hypoxia, and ocean acidification will have significant impacts on organisms that act as ecosystem engineers, such as coral or kelp (Dove et al., 2013; Pörtner et al., 2014). Thus, climate change could drastically alter ecosystems by reducing habitat quality and extent.

There is high confidence that species’ range distributions and phenologies will be affected by climate change, altering the trophic interactions in a given area (Pörtner et al., 2014). It is likely that some of these changes may be irreversible as the effects of changes at the base of the food web can be amplified up trophic levels and drive the ecosystem to a new regime that may become permanent (Figure 7; Pörtner et al., 2014).

Updates to AR5
Recent studies have modeled the effects of multiple drivers on ecosystems highlighted implications for plankton biomass and trophic functioning (Chust et al., 2014; Guénette et al., 2014). Chust et al. (2014) predicted a global reduction in phytoplankton and zooplankton biomass by 6 and 11%, respectively, with negative tropic amplification of climate change effects for 47% of the ocean. A study focusing on the Scotian Shelf ecosystem found that the effects of climate change could be enhanced or ameliorated by predator–prey interactions and predicted a reduction in biomass of 19–29% by 2100 under RCP8.5 (Guénette et al., 2014).

Future Projections
Due to the relative lack of knowledge on the interacting effects of environmental drivers and the complexity of the marine trophic web, it is difficult to make ecosystem wide projections. It is likely that under both RCP4.5 and 8.5 there will be significant poleward migrations and phenological shifts in many groups of organisms (Pörtner et al., 2014). It is very likely that these effects will be amplified under RCP8.5 compared to RCP4.5 (Figure 8; Pörtner et al., 2014). It has also been suggested that there will be losses in biodiversity, particularly in the tropics (Pörtner et al., 2014). There may also be shifts to smaller body sizes due to thermal stress, exacerbated by interactions with other drivers (Figure 8; Pörtner et al., 2014).

Possible expansion of OMZs will likely affect trophic interactions, species distribution, migration and composition. Small-bodied (<1 mm) hypoxia-tolerant animals and microbes will benefit at the cost of large bodied, active animals with high metabolic oxygen demands. There will be a loss of biodiversity as communities shift to specialists that are adapted to tolerate hypoxic conditions (Pörtner et al., 2014). Due to the specialized nature of animals living in hypoxic conditions, there is high confidence that expansion of OMZs will decrease biodiversity (Pörtner et al., 2014).

Microbes
New research from Storch et al. (2014) provide evidence that small, less complex eukarya, bacteria and archaea will benefit and proliferate under climate change as they evolve rapidly and can survive anaerobic conditions and higher temperatures (Figure 8).

There is medium to low confidence that the levels of ocean acidification projected under RCP8.5 will be beneficial for most non-calciﬁng phytoplankton and cyanobacteria (Figure 8; Pörtner et al., 2014). There is medium confidence that coccolithophores are vulnerable to projected end of century pH but new evidence from natural populations found in high CO2 waters and long-term laboratory experiments lends medium confidence that they will be able to adapt to future conditions (Benner et al., 2013; Lohbeck et al., 2014; Pörtner et al., 2014).

Average global primary production is projected to decrease under RCP8.5 due to a lack of nutrients as the result of stratification (Pörtner et al., 2014); however, in high latitude spring blooms systems, photosynthesis is projected to increase.
There is high confidence (with added weight from recent studies) that warming is also driving changes in phenology of plankton groups and will continue to do so under RCP8.5 (Behrenfeld, 2014; Hoegh-Guldberg et al., 2014; Poloczanska et al., 2014). From a global perspective, net primary productivity under RCP4.5 will show similar patterns to those projected under RCP8.5, decreasing moderately overall by 2100 but with increases in high latitude systems (Pörtner et al., 2014).

**Macrophytes**

There is low confidence that seagrasses and non-calcifying macroalgae will benefit under RCP8.5 conditions. As fleshy macroalgae are cool water adapted, it is predicted that under RCP8.5 warming, they will undergo significant changes in their distribution (Figure 8; Brodie et al., 2014). Seagrasses are thought to be more tolerant of increasing temperatures and may proliferate, particularly if they are able to colonize ecological niches provided by the decline of less tolerant groups such as crustose coralline algae (Figure 8; Brodie et al., 2014; Pörtner et al., 2014).

**Animals**

Temperature increase will lead to poleward migrations and phenological shifts with potential predator prey mismatches. Reduced ocean productivity will reduce the energy available to higher trophic levels and so fishery catches are projected to decrease in temperate and equatorial biomes by 38 and 15%, respectively under RCP8.5 (Figure 8; Pörtner et al., 2014).

Non-calcifying zooplankton are thought to be reasonably resilient to the effects of ocean acidification but sensitive to change in SST (Pörtner et al., 2014). The AR5 and more recent studies find it likely that zooplankton will continue to display large geographic and phenological shifts under increasing temperatures (Figure 8; Chambers et al., 2013; Poloczanska et al., 2014).
New research adds to the high to medium confidence that calcifying invertebrates, including commercially important species such as oysters, mussels and clams, will be vulnerable to end of century ocean acidification (Clements and Hunt, 2014; Scanes et al., 2014). There remains low confidence that crustaceans will be able to tolerate the projected pH decrease (Figure 8; Harms et al., 2014; Pörtner et al., 2014). These projections have profound implications for future trophic interactions and ecosystem function, as it is likely that many calcifiers will be out-competed by non-calcifying species. There is high confidence that elevated CO₂ will cause losses of diversity, biomass and trophic complexity in benthic marine communities.
(Pörtner et al., 2014). The fossil record shows that ocean acidification events in geological history are marked by mass extinctions of calcifying taxa. It is likely that species extinctions will occur under RCP8.5.

Fish are also projected to be vulnerable under RCP8.5 conditions and there is low confidence from model predictions that ocean acidification will generally reduce fish biomass and catch (Pörtner et al., 2014). New projections support the AR5 statement that warming of Arctic waters under RCP8.5 will allow significant distributional shifts and potential interchange of fish species between the North Pacific and the North Atlantic, causing changes to fisheries, trophic dynamics and ecosystem functioning (Jones and Cheung, 2014; Wisz et al., 2015).

There remains low confidence that certain groups of calcifying invertebrates will be tolerant to RCP4.5 conditions, including foraminifers and some pelagic molluscs and medium confidence that crustaceans will also be able to tolerate these conditions (Pörtner et al., 2014). There is also low confidence that many fish species will be resistant under RCP4.5 (Pörtner et al., 2014).

Corals
There is very high confidence that, as warming progresses, coral bleaching and mortality will increase in frequency and magnitude over the next decade. Under A1B emission scenario (approximately RCP6.0), 99% of the reef locations will experience at least one severe bleaching event between 2090 and 2099 (Wong et al., 2014). New studies that take into account potential coral acclimation still predict that more than 50% will experience high frequency bleaching under RCP8.5 (Logan et al., 2014), causing long-term degradation by 2020 (Figure 8; Pörtner et al., 2014). There remains limited evidence and low agreement as to whether corals will be able to acclimate or adapt to increasing temperatures enough to limit bleaching events (Wong et al., 2014). There is high confidence that bleaching events will negatively impact coral community structure and diversity, with medium confidence of bleaching affecting the abundance and species composition of fish communities (Pörtner et al., 2014). New models that account for coral acclimation, predict that fewer than 50% of corals, globally, may experience high frequency bleaching under RCP4.5 throughout the 21st century (Logan et al., 2014), however, there is considerable question as to whether coral adaptive responses can truly keep pace with expected warming. Additionally, while some corals may find geographic refuge due to differential spatial patterns of 21st century warming (Van Hooidonk et al., 2013), opposing spatial gradients in ocean acidification may render them unable to keep pace with erosion as their calcification declines (Van Hooidonk et al., 2014).

There is high confidence that warm-water corals will be vulnerable to decreasing pH under RCP8.5 with widespread dissolution of reefs (medium confidence) and reduced larval dispersal and settlement (low confidence) occurring by 2100 (Wong et al., 2014). This is very likely to reduce habitat and refugia for associated fauna. There is high confidence that calcifying algae, which are associated with coral reefs are also vulnerable under RCP8.5 scenarios, many species are important for reef stability and function, therefore, losses may further impact coral reef health. There is medium confidence that an atmospheric CO2 concentration expected under RCP4.5 (560 ppm) is the threshold at which global dissolution of reefs will occur (Wong et al., 2014). The habitat loss associated with reef dissolution under RCP4.5 will be less severe than for RCP8.5. There is low confidence as to whether RCP4.5 conditions will alter distribution of cold corals (Pörtner et al., 2014).

Ecosystems
It is virtually certain that climate change will cause major changes to ecosystems in high latitude spring bloom systems; warming is a primary driver of ecosystem changes in the North Atlantic (Goberville et al., 2014; Hoegh-Guldberg et al., 2014). There is high confidence that expansion and contraction of faunal ranges have been observed, with an associated increase in diversity as warmer water species colonize new areas (Figure 8; Hoegh-Guldberg et al., 2014; Poloczanska et al., 2014).

There is medium confidence that export of organic matter to the deep sea is controlled by temperature and that warming and changes to primary productivity in the upper ocean will reduce the export of organic matter to the deep sea. This has the potential to affect the distribution, abundance and composition of faunal communities in the deep sea (Hoegh-Guldberg et al., 2014). The effects of warming will be less severe under the conditions predicted by the end of the century under emissions RCP4.5 than for RCP8.5. Nonetheless, many plants and animals will still be severely affected; however, species distributions and phenological shifts are likely to be less extreme with fewer negative implications on trophic interactions (Pörtner et al., 2014).

Discussion
Research published following AR5 endeavored to address many of the key uncertainties highlighted by the IPCC. Physical and biogeochemical advances include an improved understanding of variability and a growing observational coverage in key environmental drivers such as temperature, carbon and pH. New studies show that ocean heat content continues to increase and anthropogenic climate change continues at high speed. Ecological advances included studies assessing climate-related impacts to different developmental stages of various taxa, as well as an improved understanding of impacts arising from ocean acidification. In most cases the studies published since AR5 agree or add further weight to a growing body of evidence and, therefore, do not significantly alter the projections of future impacts.

The start of this review lists six key uncertainties, identified by the AR5, regarding potential climate-related impacts on biological and physical systems. In light of the new research detailed in this review, these points are revisited and reassessed.

1. The extent of warming in deep water masses (below 700 m) and limited observational coverage of the ocean, hampering more robust estimates of ocean heat and carbon content.

New studies suggest that the warming hiatus at SST may be caused by heat sequestration in deep ocean basins and
natural variability associated with Atlantic and Pacific multi-decadal oscillations. Improved estimates of warming in the deep ocean and southern hemisphere have been proposed (see section Warming).

(2) The likelihood of climate-induced changes to major upwelling systems (i.e., increased or decreased upwelling) is still uncertain (Lluch-Cota et al., 2014).

Models have improved assessment of changes to upwelling systems that have that already occurred and added weight to the growing body of evidence that predicts regional intensification of upwelling (see section Upwelling).

(3) Ways in which climate-induced changes in the physiology and biogeography of an individual species may alter ecosystem structures, species interactions, and food webs.

Recent studies have identified additional evidence of changes in community size structure (Gibert and Delong, 2014) and food web composition (Chust et al., 2014; Verges et al., 2014), with observed examples of community phase shifts across polar, temperate and tropical marine ecosystems (Beaugrand et al., 2014; Engelhard et al., 2014; Potts et al., 2014; Verges et al., 2014).

(4) An improved understanding of climate sensitivity at the ecosystem level that considers multiple drivers (e.g., ocean warming, acidification, and hypoxia) and synergistic impacts.

Studies have recently begun to investigate ecosystem-level responses to climatic stressors (Chust et al., 2014; Comeau et al., 2014b; Guénette et al., 2014; Silverman et al., 2014; Hendriks et al., 2015), although there is still a paucity of ecosystem level studies that address the impacts of multiple drivers (see section Effects of Multiple Drivers).

(5) The degrees to which species can track changes in climate as well as the influence of multiple stressors on their capacities to respond remained uncertain.

Empirical and theoretical evidence continues to support the role of climate velocity in influencing species range shifts (Pinsky et al., 2013; Hiddink et al., 2014). Shifts in nutrient availability are a key driver for phytoplankton growth, possibly negating the positive growth effects of warming. Increasing numbers of studies examine the impact of multiple stressors on single species (see section Plants and Animals).

(6) The capacity for phenotypic and evolutionary adaptation over generations to respond to long-term climate change.

Increasing numbers of studies have begun to address this question. Trans-generational phenotypic plasticity is observed in response to warming and acidification (see sections Ocean Acidification and Impacts of Ocean Warming), suggesting the potential for genetic adaptation. Two recent studies find evidence of coccolithophores demonstrating evolutionary adaptation under ocean warming and acidification (Lohbeck et al., 2014; Schluter et al., 2014). Despite recent work, there is still a distinct paucity of studies addressing the long-term evolutionary response to climate change stressors, particularly in animals.

Conclusions

Anthropogenic emissions have caused measurable physical changes in the oceans. The oceans absorb 93% of the excess energy produced by global warming and approximately 28% anthropogenic CO2, buffering the effects of climate change (Rhein et al., 2013). This buffering is not without cost and changes in ocean temperature, pH, and oxygen content have been observed over the second half of the 20th century (Rhein et al., 2013). Increasing atmospheric CO2 will always lead to an increase in ocean carbon storage, all other things being held constant (Ciais et al., 2013), thus 21st century emissions will determine the condition of the world's oceans for centuries to come (Ciais et al., 2013). Under both RCP4.5 and 8.5, physical changes are projected to continue but with greater severity under RCP8.5 (Bopp et al., 2013; Ciais et al., 2013).

Impacts of warming on biological processes can already be observed (Poloczanska et al., 2014; Pörtner et al., 2014) as organisms shift their distributions polewards (Poloczanska et al., 2014), alter phenology (Pörtner et al., 2014) and instances of coral bleaching increase in frequency (Wong et al., 2014). Experimental evidence has shown many organisms to be sensitive to projected future levels of ocean acidification, with calcifiers showing the strongest responses. However, observations of the effects of ocean acidification on natural populations are scarce, except in areas with naturally high concentrations of dissolved CO2 (Bednaršek et al., 2014). Changes in dissolved oxygen concentrations are highly variable among regions, but it is predicted that expanding OMZs will favor bacteria and hypoxic specialists whilst reducing habitat for organisms with higher oxygen demands (Pörtner et al., 2014).

The overarching findings of both the AR5, and much of the work published since, is that the effects of anthropogenically produced climate change on organisms are highly species specific. Impacts also varying regionally in response to local drivers and synchronously with other climate change drivers in unpredictable ways. As a result, there is limited ability to project the future for marine ecosystems.
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