Reliable LC3 and p62 autophagy marker detection in formalin fixed paraffin embedded human tissue by immunohistochemistry
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Abstract

Autophagy assures cellular homeostasis, and gains increasing importance in cancer, where it impacts on carcinogenesis, propagation of the malignant phenotype and development of resistance. To date, its tissue-based analysis by immunohistochemistry remains poorly standardized. Here we show the feasibility of specifically and reliably assessing the autophagy markers LC3B and p62 on formalin fixed and paraffin embedded human tissue by immunohistochemistry. Preceding functional experiments consisted of depleting LC3B and p62 in H1299 lung cancer cells with subsequent induction of autophagy. Western blot and immunofluorescence validated antibody specificity, knockdown efficiency and autophagy induction prior to fixation in formalin and embedding in paraffin. LC3B and p62 antibodies were validated on formalin fixed and paraffin embedded cell pellets of treated and control cells and finally applied on a tissue microarray with 80 human malignant and non-neoplastic lung and stomach formalin fixed and paraffin embedded tissue samples. Dot-like staining of various degrees was observed in cell pellets and 18/40 (LC3B) and 22/40 (p62) tumors, respectively. Seventeen tumors were double positive for LC3B and p62. P62 displayed additional significant cytoplasmic and nuclear staining of unknown significance. Interobserver agreement for grading of staining intensities and patterns was substantial to excellent (kappa values 0.60 - 0.83). In summary, we present a specific and reliable IHC staining of LC3B and p62 on formalin fixed and paraffin embedded human tissue. Our presented protocol is designed to aid reliable investigation of dysregulated autophagy in solid tumors and may be used on large tissue collectives.

Introduction

Under stress conditions such as nutrient-shortage cells activate an alternative source of energy that enables continuous cell survival. This process is known as macroautophagy (hereafter referred to as autophagy) and allows recycling of long-lived proteins and organelles to maintain cellular homeostasis. A hallmark of autophagy is the formation of double-membrane cytoplasmic vacuoles, the so-called autophagosomes, in which proteins and organelles are engulfed. Later, the autophagosomes fuse with lysosomes to degrade and recycle their content. The process of autophagy is regulated by a series of autophagy-related genes (ATGs). Two ubiquitin-like conjugation systems are crucial for autophagosome formation and cargo recruitment, namely the ATG12-ATG5-ATG16 and the ATG8 conjugation systems. The latter one is mediating the lipidation of ATG8 family members including the human homologues LC3A-C and GABARAPs. LC3B is the most widely used marker to monitor autophagy. Lipidated LC3B (LC3B-II) is incorporated into the outer and inner autophagosomal membrane and thereby allows for detection of different stages of autophagic vesicles. An increasing number of studies found that autophagy can be highly selective. Selectivity is achieved by autophagy receptors that on the one hand are able to recognize ligand bound cargo and on the other hand interact with the autophagy machinery. One of the best-studied autophagy receptors is p62, also known as sequestosome1 (SQSTM1), which is involved in autophagy-dependent elimination of many different cargos including ubiquitinated protein aggregates and bacteria. Because of its interaction with LC3, p62 is constantly degraded via autophagy and autophagy inhibition leads to the accumulation of p62 positive aggregates. Based on these properties monitoring degradation of p62 is used to measure autophagic flux under certain conditions. At a physiological level and due to its homeostatic function, autophagy is implicated in several diseases as e.g. neurodegeneration. In the context of cancer, autophagy is seen as a double-edged sword. Under normal conditions autophagy is tumor-suppressive due to its role in removal of damaged organelles and toxic protein aggregates. In this function autophagy prevents genome instability. In cancer cells, however, autophagy may promote tumorigenesis and resistance to therapy due to its pro-survival role under stress conditions. In the last years, knowledge about the functional regulation of autophagy has greatly increased. Unfortunately, investigation of autophagy in mammalian tissue apt to deliver additional information about the role of autophagy and its deregulation in diseases, is still hampered by lack of suitable and standardized methodology. A seminal study on the immunohistochemical analysis of autophagy in murine tissue of a conditional Atg7 knock-out mouse model by Martinet et al. concluded that LC3 expression is too low for reliable detection and that p62 also accumulated in autophagy-deficient liver tissue. Detection of autophagosomes by electron microscopy is still regarded as the gold standard to detect autophagy in tissue. Yet, this method is time and cost consuming and restricted to the application on non-formalin fixed and paraffin embedded (non-FFPE) tissue for best results. In contrast, most pathology archives - where human tissue would be available for disease specific studies - offer only FFPE tissue. Since immunohistochemistry (IHC) can be easily applied on FFPE tissue, a robust and reliable immunostaining technique for measuring autophagy would be a valuable tool for the investigation of this highly important cellular mechanism. Thus, we were aiming at establishing a reliable method for detecting autophagy markers in human tissue sections, particularly in tissue microarrays. Although several antibodies against
these proteins are available, which are now widely used for analysing human tissue, there is still ample discussion about the reliability of the immunoreactions, the specificity of the antibodies, and finally the correct interpretation of the staining results. Therefore, the aim of this work was to develop a robust and specific IHC staining protocol for the detection of LC3B and p62 in human FFPE tissue with special emphasis on its specificity for autophagy and the interpretation and the possibility of categorization of the staining results.

Materials and Methods

Patient tissue samples

Cell lines, culture and treatment conditions

The human non-small cell lung cancer cell line H1299 was directly obtained from Leibniz-Institute DSMZ-German collection of Microorganisms and Cell Cultures and cultured in RPMI-1640 (Sigma-Aldrich, Buchs, Switzerland) supplemented with 10% FBS (Sigma-Aldrich, product number: F7524, Lot number: D12M336) and 1% antibiotics. For starvation experiments cells were seeded and the next day washed with PBS before the addition of EBSS (#E2888, Sigma-Aldrich). Cells were starved for 6 h and Bafilomycin A1 (BML-T352, LabForce, Nunningen, Switzerland) and antibiotics were added to the medium. After starvation, cells were washed in PBS, pretreated with 0.1% Triton X-100 for 5 min and then fixed in 4% paraformaldehyde for 15 seconds, permeabilized in ice-cold 100% methanol for 10 min at -20°C. Slides were air-dried and washed with PBS before incubation with the respective antibody. p62 and LC3B were diluted 1:1000 in PBS and incubated 3 h at RT. Data was acquired using the ChemiDoc™ MP system (BioRad).

Western blotting

Cells were washed in PBS before lysis in urea buffer (8 M urea, 0.5% tritonX) containing protease inhibitor (complete mini, Roche Diagnostics, Rotkreuz, Switzerland). After sonication samples were centrifuged at 14,000 rcf and the supernatant was transferred to a new tube. Protein concentration was determined using the Bradford protein assay (BioRad, Cressier, Switzerland). Thirty µg of total protein were denatured in Laemmle buffer at 95°C for 5 min (BioRad), loaded on a 4-20% pre-cast gel (BioRad) and transferred onto a PVDF membrane using the Trans-Blot® Turbo™ Transfer system (BioRad). For p62, GAPDH and LC3B membranes were blocked during 1 h at room temperature (RT) using 5% milk, before incubation with the respective antibody. p62 and LC3B were diluted 1:1000 whereas GAPDH was diluted 1:5000. Membranes were incubated overnight at 4°C. Secondary antibodies were DyLight®650 conjugated goat anti-rabbit or DyLight®550 conjugated goat anti-mouse (LabForce, Muttenz, Switzerland). Secondary antibodies were diluted 1:1000 in 5% milk and incubated 3 h at RT. Detection was performed using the Bond Polymer Refine Detection kit (Leica Biosystems) as instructed by the manufacturer.

Immunofluorescence

Cells were seeded onto coverslides the day before starting experiments. After treatment as described above, cells were washed in PBS, fixed in 4% paraformaldehyde for 15 seconds, fixed and permeabilized in ice-cold 100% methanol for 10 min at -20°C. Slides were air-dried and washed with PBS before incubation for 1 h at RT with the primary antibodies (anti-LC3B Cell Signaling 1:200 and anti-p62/Sigma 1:200 in PBS/0.4%BSA/0.1% Tween). Slides were washed twice with PBS 0.1% Tween and once with PBS and incubated for 1 h at RT with the respective secondary antibodies which were either FITC conjugated anti-rabbit and Cy3-conjugated anti-mouse (#115-166-003, Jackson Immunoresearch, Suffolk, UK). Secondary antibodies were diluted 1:130 in PBS/1% BSA/0.1% Tween. Images were taken on a confocal microscope Olympus FluoView1000 at 63x objective magnification. The number of LC3B and p62 positive dots was quantified using ImageJ software (1.64r; NIH, Bethesda, MD, USA). To count the dots a modified version of the plugin was used as already described.23

Results

Specific LC3B and p62 staining in FFPE cell pellets

Several LC3 antibodies show cross-reactivity between LC3A or B isoforms, and we decided...
to use a highly specific LC3B antibody (Anti-LC3B, rabbit monoclonal, clone D11) and a cross-reactive LC3A/B antibody (rabbit polyclonal, #NB600-1384) for comparison. In order to test the specificity of the LC3B antibodies, H1299 lung cancer cells were transduced with lentiviral plasmids encoding shRNAs to target LC3B mRNA. Control and H1299 LC3B knockdown (shLC3B) cells were subjected to starvation and Bafilomycin A1 was added to assess autophagic flux. Knockdown efficiency and autophagy induction were determined by western blot analysis (Figure 1A). LC3B-II levels markedly increased in control cells upon starvation and were further enhanced after addition of Bafilomycin A1, indicating activated autophagic flux in the control cells (Figure 1A, left panel). In comparison, LC3B levels were significantly decreased in H1299 LC3B knockdown cells (Figure 1A, right panel). In line with the LC3B western blot data we found a significant increase in the number of LC3B positive dots under starvation as assessed by immunofluorescence microscopy. The number of LC3B dots further increased when blocking lysosome acidification with Bafilomycin A1, suggesting enhanced autophagic flux (Figure 1B,C). H1299 LC3B depleted cells (Figure 1C, lower panel) displayed significantly fewer dots under control as well as starvation conditions. In agreement with the results of the immunofluorescence and western blot analysis, IHC staining of FFPE control cells showed a weak cytoplasmic but also a distinct and differentiated punctuated staining with starved cells displaying an increased number of LC3B positive dots with both antibodies used. LC3B depleted cells showed only a very weak staining with slightly stronger staining when using the cross-reactive LC3 antibody, reinforcing the specificity of the antibody on FFPE tissue (Figure 1C, right panel).

p62 knockdown efficiency was lower than for LC3B as appreciated by western blot analysis, immunocyto- and immunohistochemistry (Figure 2A). In agreement with the notion that p62 is degraded via autophagy, total p62 levels slightly decreased under starvation and increased over basal levels when flux was blocked with Bafilomycin A1 (Figure 2A). In line with these results, immunofluorescence showed an increase of p62 positive aggregates in starved cells treated with Bafilomycin A1 (Figure 2B and C, left panel). Accumulation of p62 under Bafilomycin A1 treatment was also found in p62 knockdown cells, but to a lesser extent as shown by quantification of p62 dots (Figure 2B) and western blot analysis (Figure 2A). As with LC3B knockdown cells, H1299 p62 depleted cells were fixed and embedded in paraffin and stained for p62 using IHC. IHC staining applying two different p62 antibodies clearly showed an increase in p62 dot formation only in SHC002 control cells upon starvation and Bafilomycin A1 treatment; and not in H1299 p62 knockout cells (Figure 2C, right panel).

Application of LC3B and p62 staining on FFPE tissue

We applied our findings from FFPE cancer cell pellets to FFPE human tissue. Strong and partly dot-like LC3B staining was observed in peripheral nerves, perivascular smooth muscle cells and macrophages (Figure 3 A-C, G-I). Gastric zymogen granules stained faintly positive for LC3B/Cell Signaling and p62/MBL (Figure 3E). Nuclear p62 staining was present in the foveolar mucus neck cells, and extended towards the surface, particularly in samples hosting inflammation (Figure 3D-F). In lung, type II pneumocytes stained strongly for p62 (Figure 3C). Unequivocal LC3B staining was not present. All 5 cases of captured bronchial epithelium showed weak cytoplasmic staining and dot-like staining for LC3B and p62 in 5 to
50% of cells (Figure 3 B-C). In both lung and gastric carcinomas, we found varying dot-like staining ranging from absent or only very few dots to abundant, partly aggregate like dots in most of the cells (Figure 3 J-M). Faint background cytoplasmic staining was observed in 15 cases (25%) for LC3B, which was negligible for further analysis. In contrast, p62 showed cytoplasmic staining in all cases at various degrees and nuclear staining in half of the cases. Unambiguous evaluation of p62 dot-like staining was hindered in some cases by additional strong cytoplasmic staining.

According to our observations we divided the dot like staining patterns into an intensity score from 0-3 for quantitative evaluation as follows: score 0, no dots or barely visible dots in <5% of the cells; score 1, detectable dots in 5-25% of cells; score 2, readily detectable dots in 25-75% of cells; score 3, dots in >75% of cells. Diffuse cytoplasmic staining was assessed as absent or presenting only faint background staining (score 0), weak (score 1) or strong (score 2). Nuclear staining was reported as present (>10% of nuclei) or absent. The immunohistochemical stainings were independently scored by two pathologists (SB and RL) on a Zeiss Axioskop microscope at 40x objective magnification. Interobserver agreement for the evaluation of dot like LC3B staining had a kappa value of 0.74 using the Cell signaling antibody (substantial agreement), and 0.60 for the Novus antibody (moderate to substantial agreement). For the p62/Sigma antibody the kappa values were as follows: dot like staining 0.65 (substantial agreement); cytoplasmic staining 0.83 (excellent) and nuclear 0.75 (substantial). The kappa values for the MBL antibody were only fair to moderate (0.3, 0.42 and 0.56, respectively). We selected the antibodies with the better interobserver agreements for further studies, presuming staining results to have a greater potential for unambiguous and reproducible evaluation. In a second round, discrepancies were discussed on a multihead microscope and final scores were determined. Using this approach for LC3B (Cell Signaling) dot-like staining, 22 cases (37.3%) were classified as score 0, 8 cases (13.6%) as score 1 and 5 cases each (8.5%) as score 2 and 3. The results for p62 (Sigma) were as follows: score 0, 12 cases (20.3%); score 1, 8 cases (13.6%); score 2, 4 cases (6.8%) and score 3 (including also the cases with strong cytoplasmic staining), 16 cases (27.1%) (Table 1).

Eleven cases were both LC3B and p62 negative, and 17 cases had detectable dots for both LC3B and p62 (Fisher’s exact test = 0.004; Table 2). There was no association between p62 nuclear and dot-like/cytoplasmic staining, and no significant difference between gastric and lung cancers with regard to the distribution of staining patterns.

Table 1. Correlation between LC3B and p62 ($\chi^2=0.057$).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Score</th>
<th>LC3B</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Score 0</td>
<td>Score 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>p62</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Score 0</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Score 1</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Score 2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Score 3*</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Including strong cytoplasmic staining.

**Figure 2.** Validation of immunohistochemical staining for p62. Autophagy was induced by starvation in EBSS media, and autophagic flux blocked using the late autophagy inhibitor Bafilomycin A1. A) p62 western blot analysis of H1299 p62 knockdown (shp62) compared to control (shCTRL) cells; GAPDH is shown as a loading control; band quantification was done using ImageJ software. B) Quantification of p62 positive dots from immunofluorescent staining; quantification was performed as described in materials and methods; the non-parametric Mann-Whitney-U was used to compare different groups: *P<0.05. C) Immunofluorescent staining (left panel) and immunohistochemistry (right panel) of p62 in H1299 control and p62 depleted cells treated as described above; for immunofluorescence analysis the p62/Sigma antibody was used, and nuclei were counterstained using DAPI; two different p62 antibodies (Sigma and MBL) were used for immunohistochemical staining. Scale bars: 20 µm.
Discussion

The application of LC3B and p62 IHC for monitoring autophagy has generally been regarded to represent a possible tool for studying autophagy.\textsuperscript{18,23} However, the appropriate usage of IHC on tissue has been a topic of discussion in recent papers, especially in view of numerous studies, which had been using this technique without caution.\textsuperscript{24-26} Consistent with our observations on cell lines, where autophagy was experimentally induced, Martinet et al.\textsuperscript{17} pointed out that granular cytoplasmic staining without detectable puncta or dots may not truly reflect autophagy. They also discuss the limitation of IHC for the usage of monitoring autophagy at basal or low levels,\textsuperscript{17} reflected in our study by generally lower quantities of dots in tissue compared to starved and Bafilomycin A1 treated cells. In line with our results, others have reported LC3B and partly also p62 positive dot like staining in tissue samples featuring chloroquine induced myopathic muscle fibers,\textsuperscript{27,28} hepatic steatosis\textsuperscript{29} or various cancer types.\textsuperscript{30} In the latter paper, a detailed protocol for IHC is provided that is comparable to our approach. Similarly, Holt et al.\textsuperscript{31} present another protocol for LC3B IHC, but both groups describe an \textit{in-house} approach, which may not be feasible in other laboratories. Our aim was to design a valid staining protocol and scoring system with special emphasis on reproducibility and applicability on scoring large tumor collectives of FFPE tissue. For that reason we took advantage of an automatic immunostainer routinely used in pathology laboratories and opted to set specific thresholds for evaluation of dot-like staining to assure reproducibility and feasibility evaluating large tissue collectives.

We observed similar patterns for both autophagy markers, LC3B and p62, as recently described in oral squamous cell carcinomas.\textsuperscript{32} Yet, it is highly important that distinct LC3B and p62 staining can also be observed in normal nerves and macrophages, which can serve as internal positive controls, but also seriously confound staining results. Careful histopathologic examination is therefore mandatory to elude misinterpretation. The observed strong diffuse cytoplasmic staining for p62 might hamper evaluation of fine cytoplasmic dots. The significance of diffuse cytoplasmic and nuclear p62 staining for assessment of autophagy is not clear. While others interpret both staining patterns as surrogates for autophagy,\textsuperscript{24} we prefer to restrict autophagy assessment to dot-like staining patterns, analogous to LC3B, based on our preceding cell line experiments. Another critical issue is the interpretation of the biological significance of LC3B and p62 positive dots. Dot like staining

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 2. Correlation of the presence of dots with LC3B and p62 staining (Fisher’s exact test = 0.004).</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P62 dots</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Including strong cytoplasmic staining.

Figure 3. Staining of LC3B and p62 in neoplastic and non-neoplastic lung and gastric tissue. A-C) Non-neoplastic lung tissue: hematoxylin and eosin stain (A); cytoplasmic and dot-like staining of macrophages and bronchial epithelium for LC3B/Cell Signaling (B) and p62/Sigma (40x) (C). D-F) Non-neoplastic gastric tissue: nuclear staining of p62/Sigma in the foveolar mucous neck region (D) and accentuated in inflamed tissue (F). E) additional staining of zymogen granula by p62/MBL (10x). G-I) LC3B positivity of nerve tissue (arrow), adjacent to a negative gastric cancer infiltrate: hematoxylin and eosin (G); LC3B/Cell Signaling (H); LC3B/Novus (40x) (I). Dot like staining of lung carcinoma for LC3B/Cell Signaling (J), LC3B/Novus (K), p62/MBL (L) and p62/Sigma (100x) (M). Inserts show magnification of positive cells (score 3 according to Table 1). Images were taken on a Zeiss Axioskop microscope and corrected for brightness. Objective magnifications are reported. Scale bars: 100 µm.
patterns do not necessarily indicate high levels of active, ongoing autophagy. Autophagosomes, visualized as dots, may accumulate due to induction of autophagy itself, or to inhibition of autophagy and the resulting lack of autophagosome degradation upon fusion with lysosomes. Thus, autophagosome accumulation due to a defective autophagy pathway may account for some positive cases and would warrant the application of additional markers in order to achieve a more comprehensive dataset of the expression of autophagy related biomarkers. In fact, although there was a positive correlation between LC3B and p62 staining, some cases showed single positivity for LC3B or p62. LC3B can be incorporated into protein aggregates independently of functional autophagy under certain stress stimuli. Thus, some LC3B positive structures might not reflect autophagosomes. Accordingly, although p62 is a well-known autophagy cargo, the levels of p62 are controlled transcriptionally by various non-autophagic stimuli that may lead to a misinterpretation of autophagic flux. On the other hand, our functional autophagy experiments in cancer cells strongly support the relation between autophagy and dot like staining of the two markers. Specificity of antibodies should always be taken into account. IHC staining patterns using two commercially available LC3B antibodies were highly comparable in our cell lines. Of note though, LC3B antibody from Cell Signaling is specific for LC3B, whereas the antibody purchased from Novus Biologicals cross-reacts with LC3A.

At present, data about the expression of other human ATG8 homologues, i.e., GATE16/GABARAP in human tissue are scarce. Only one study has addressed the expression of GABARAP in colon cancer. Data from the human protein atlas (http://www.proteinatlas.org) show expression of GABARAP1 and GABARAP2/GATE16 in samples of neoplastic and non-neoplastic human tissue in various levels. Descriptive or comparative studies about these proteins on larger case collections, however, are lacking. Therefore, despite all caveats and problematic issues, staining for LC3B and p62 may be the best way to assess differences in the autophagy pathway in large human tissue collections, where electron microscopy is not feasible, as long as we lack other more precise markers to monitor autophagic flux in tissue. Combining functional cell line experiments with LC3B and p62 knockdown and subsequent induction of autophagy followed by Western blot, immunofluorescence and immunohistochemistry analyses of the cell pellets indicate that not dot-like staining patterns should be regarded as autophagy-related. The specificity of the antibodies for LC3B and p62 was tested by Western blot as a prerequisite for a reliable immunohistochemical staining protocol. The reproducibility of the staining and the proposed evaluation system were confirmed by a high degree of interobserver accordance between two pathologists. Application of IHC staining was performed on a tissue microarray with human malignant and non-neoplastic lung and stomach tissue samples. Distinct dot like staining patterns for LC3B and p62 were observed within the tumors in various degrees, but in general in higher levels compared to the corresponding non-neoplastic tissue. Applying LC3B and p62 IHC on single tissue samples and tissue collections may be a valuable tool for determining the impact of autophagy on human diseases where tissue based analyses are demanded.
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