Human impacts and aridity differentially alter soil N availability in drylands worldwide
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Aim Although very likely to co-occur in the future, it is largely unknown how simultaneous
increases in aridity and anthropogenic disturbances will influence the N cycle in dryland soils, the largest terrestrial biome on the planet. Climate and human impacts are changing the inputs to, and losses from, the nitrogen in terrestrial ecosystems. However, our knowledge of how the interaction between these drivers will affect the concentration of available N for plants and microorganisms as well as the dominance of N forms is still scarce and no study has yet explored these interactive effects on the N cycle at global scale.

**Location** 224 dryland sites from all continents except Antarctica widely differing in their environmental conditions (from arid to dry-subhumid sites) and human influence (based on distance to towns and roads and population size).

**Methods** Using a standardized field survey, we measured the plant cover, aridity, human impacts (i.e., proxies of land uses and air pollution), key biophysical variables (i.e., pH, texture and plant cover) as well as six N cycle important variables: total N, organic and inorganic N and N mineralization rates. We use structural equation modeling to assess the direct and indirect effects of aridity and human impacts together with key biophysical variables on the N cycle.

**Results** Human impacts increased the concentration of total N, while aridity decreased it. The effects of aridity and human impacts on the N cycle were spatially disconnected, which may favor N scarcity in the most arid areas and promote N accumulation in the least arid areas. Both increasing aridity and human impacts will enhance the dominance of inorganic N forms.

**Main Conclusions** Our findings provide evidence that human impacts will promote the accumulation of N in dryland soils worldwide, while the opposite effect is observed from increasing aridity. Interestingly, we found that these two global change drivers are spatially disconnected in drylands, favoring N losses in the most arid, and accumulation in the least arid ecosystems. Our analyses suggest that both increasing aridity and human impacts will enhance the relative dominance of inorganic N in drylands soils which may negatively impact key ecosystem functions and services at the global scale.
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**Introduction**
Human activities such as grazing, fertilization, intensive agriculture and fossil fuel combustion are changing the inputs to, and losses from, the nitrogen (N) cycle in terrestrial ecosystems globally (Vitousek et al., 1997; Cui et al., 2013). Anthropogenic N inputs have already doubled the total amount of N fixed naturally by terrestrial and aquatic ecosystems. Current annual rates of both organic and inorganic N deposition are about 124 Tg N per year (Gruber & Galloway, 2008; Schlesinger, 2009; Cornell, 2011). Human pressure on the N cycle is expected to increase during this century because of the predicted increases in global population by 36% over the next 40 years (Charles et al., 2008) and the intensification of land use required to support their demand for food (OECD-FAO 2011), which is estimated to increase by 70-100% by 2050 (World Bank, 2008). For example, human impact such as N deposition derived from fossil fuel combustion and fertilizer production is increasing the availability of N (particularly in inorganic forms) in terrestrial ecosystems (Cui et al., 2013; Gruber & Galloway, 2008; Schlesinger, 2009).

Paralleling the increase of N inputs derived from human activities is an increase in aridity, predicted to increase the total area of drylands (arid, semi-arid and dry-subhumid ecosystems) globally by 10% by the end of this century (Feng & Fu, 2013). Increasing aridity has been predicted to reduce soil N availability in drylands globally and to reduce the pools of organic N in these ecosystems (Schlesinger et al., 1990; Delgado-Baquerizo et al., 2013). These changes are predicted to exacerbate processes leading to land degradation and desertification in drylands, which are estimated to affect more than 250 million people, mostly living in developing countries (Reynolds et al., 2007).

Human (i.e., air pollution and changes in land use) and climate change impacts are key drivers of ongoing global environmental change (Gruber & Galloway, 2008; Schlesinger, 2009; Canfield et al., 2010; Liu et al., 2010; Bai et al., 2013), and are interrelated in complex ways. These global change drivers may act in opposition, or interact to accelerate their effects on natural communities. The combined impacts derived from human activities and climate change may create a more arid environment that is also characterized by reduced biological control of the N cycle (as explained in Schlesinger et al., 1990). For instance, direct anthropogenic-driven disturbances (e.g. overgrazing) and increases in aridity may have negative impacts on plant growth in drylands (Gruber & Galloway, 2008; Delgado-Baquerizo et al., 2013), thereby reducing inputs of organic N in these ecosystems. The human impacts of N cycle have been largely studies at local scale. For example, Baker et al., (2001) concluded that in Phoenix, the urban and agricultural components of the ecosystem were an order of magnitude higher than inputs to the desert, increasing the amount of N in soil and groundwater pools and promoting losses to rivers. Similarly, nutrient enrichment derived from human activities has been also observed to locally enhance N mineralization in the
Sonora desert (Hall et al., 2011). However, little is known on how the interaction between increasing aridity and human impacts will affect the concentration of available N for plants and microorganisms as well as the dominance of N forms and no study has yet explored these interactive effects on the N cycle in global drylands.

Drylands form the largest terrestrial biome on Earth and support over 38% of its population (Reynolds et al., 2007; Schimel, 2010). Nitrogen is, after water, the most important factor limiting net primary production and organic matter decomposition in these areas (Robertson & Groffman, 2007; Schlesinger & Bernhardt, 2013). The N cycle is therefore crucial for ecosystem functioning and the provision of ecosystem services in these areas (Robertson & Groffman, 2007; Schlesinger & Bernhardt, 2013; Compton et al., 2011). Knowing how direct and indirect effects from climatic (i.e., aridity), biophysical (i.e., soil texture, pH and plant cover) and anthropogenic (i.e., human-induced climate change, air pollution and land use changes) drivers jointly impact the N cycle is crucial if we are to improve our ability to predict the ecological consequences of climate change for terrestrial ecosystems (Schlesinger et al., 1990, Gruber & Galloway, 2008; Chen et al., 2013).

We conducted a global mensurative study of 224 field sites from all continents except Antarctica to evaluate how aridity and human impacts, together with biotic (plant cover) and abiotic (soil texture and pH) factors, will affect total N, dissolved organic N, ammonium and nitrate concentrations, dissolved organic-to-inorganic N (DON:DIN) ratio and the potential net mineralization rate of dryland soils. These variables were selected because they are good proxies of N availability and dominance of N forms within soils (Schimel & Bennett, 2004; Delgado-Baquerizo & Gallardo, 2011). We hypothesized that: i) soil total N concentration would be enhanced by human impacts (estimated indirectly using proxies) and decline with aridity (Delgado-Baquerizo et al., 2013); and ii) aridity and human impacts will negatively affect the biological control of the N cycle (e.g., reducing plant cover), resulting in an increasing dominance of inorganic N forms and processes (i.e., mineralization) in dryland soils (Schlesinger et al., 1990).

**Material and Methods**

**Study area**

This study was restricted to dryland ecosystems, defined as regions with an aridity index (AI = precipitation/potential evapotranspiration) between 0.05 and 0.65 (UNEP 1992). Original field data were collected at 224 sites located in 16 countries from all continents except Antarctica. The sites surveyed encompass a wide variety of vegetation types typically found in drylands, including grasslands, shrublands, savannas, dry seasonal forests and open woodlands dominated by trees.
Mean annual precipitation and temperature of the study sites ranged from 66 to 1219 mm and from -1.8 to 27.8°C, respectively. See Maestre et al., (2012) for additional details on the study sites.

Climatic, abiotic, plant and nitrogen variables measured

Data collection was carried out between February 2006 and December 2010 according to a standardized sampling protocol. The cover of vascular plants at each site was measured using four 30-m transects and the line-intercept method, as described in Maestre et al., (2012). The coordinates of each plot were recorded in situ with a portable Global Positioning System, and were standardized to the WGS84 ellipsoid for visualization and analyses. Aridity (1-aridity index) was estimated using data from the Worldclim global database (Hijmans et al., 2005). Soils (0-7.5 cm depth) were sampled during the dry season under the canopy of the dominant perennial plants, and in open plant-free areas (10-15 samples were sampled per site, over 2600 samples in total). After field collection, the soil samples were taken to the laboratory, where they were sieved (2 mm mesh), air-dried for one month and stored in this condition until laboratory analyses. All the soil analyses in this study were carried out with air-dry samples for logistical reasons. Previous studies have shown that in drylands such as those we studied, air drying and further storage of soils does not appreciably alter the functions of interest in this study (Zornoza et al., 2006, 2009). It is also important to note that our sampled soils were collected when the soil was in this dry state. Thus, the potential bias induced by our drying treatment is expected to be minimal.

Soil texture was measured in two to three composite samples per site, as preliminary analysis revealed that within-site variability was very low. One composite sample each per microsite (open areas or soil under the canopy of the dominant perennial plants) and site were analyzed for sand, clay and silt content according to Kettler et al., (2001). Soil pH was measured in all the soil samples with a pH metre, in a 1: 2.5 mass: volume soil and water suspension. We also measured multiple variables from the nitrogen (N) cycle (total N, mineralization rate, dissolved inorganic N [DIN; sum of NH$_4^+$ and NO$_3^-$] and DON) as described by Maestre et al., (2012). In brief, soil samples (2.5 gr of soil) were extracted with K$_2$SO$_4$ 0.5 M in a ratio 1:5. Soil extracts were shaken in an orbital shaker at 200 rpm for 1 h at 20°C and filtered to pass a 0.45-µm Millipore filter (Jones & Willett, 2006). The filtered extract was kept at 4°C until colorimetric analyses. Using the indophenol blue method (Sims et al., 1995), we estimated concentrations of ammonium and nitrate (colorimetrically) and available N (after potassium persulphate digestion in an autoclave at 121°C over 55 minutes; Sollins et al., 1999). DON was determined as the difference between available N and inorganic N (sum of ammonium and nitrate). The ratio DON:DIN was determined from these data. Regarding potential mineralization rate, air-dried soil samples were re-wetted to reach 80% of their water holding capacity and incubated in the laboratory for 14 days at 30º C (Allen et al., 1986). The potential net
N mineralization rate was estimated as the difference between initial and final inorganic N by following Delgado-Baquerizo & Gallardo (2011). Total N was obtained using a CN analyzer (LECO CHN628 Series, LECO Corporation, St Joseph, MI, USA). The N variables used here were selected because they are good proxies of N availability and dominance of N forms within soils (Schimel & Bennett 2004; Delgado-Baquerizo & Gallardo, 2011). All of these variables were then averaged to obtain site-level estimates by using the mean values observed in bare ground and vegetated areas, weighted by their respective cover at each site.

Assessing human impacts

Quantitative estimates of the magnitude of human impacts in natural ecosystems at global scales are difficult to obtain due to the lack of available data and the wide range of processes affected by human activities (e.g., N deposition, grazing, soil erosion), their different spatial scales, and the interactions among them (Beelen et al., 2013). We therefore estimated such impacts indirectly by measuring four variables at each study site: average proximity (in km) to the nearest northern, southern, eastern and western paved roads from each plot, average proximity (in km) to the four nearest towns/cities from each plot, average population of the four nearest towns/cities to each plot in the last census available (number of people; Table S1), and population density of the province or region of each plot in the most recent available census (number of people·km⁻²; Table S1). Due to the large distances between some of our study sites and the nearest towns/cities, we considered the four closest cities to our plots, as an average value of the local human impact. Distances to nearest roads, urban centres and human population are classic proxies of human perturbation on ecosystem health and services (Schlesinger & Harley, 1992; Gill et al., 1996; Drechsel et al., 2001; Liu et al., 2010; Beelen et al., 2013). We assumed that the size of the negative effects of humans on the N cycle, such N deposition and/or soil erosion, would be directly related to the distance of each site to the nearest city/town and paved road, or in densely populated areas(Drechsel et al., 2001; Gadsdon & Power, 2009; Gilbert et al., 2009; Liu et al., 2010; Beelen et al., 2013). Similarly, soil N depletion derived from land use changes have been observed to be linked to increasing local human population size (Drechsel et al., 2001; Canfield et al., 2010).

As the four surrogates of human impacts considered were highly correlated, we conducted a principal component analysis (PCA) to reduce them to independent components. Before conducting the PCA, all the human impact proxies were log-transformed to normalize them. We retained the two first components from the PCA for further analyses. These had an eigenvalue higher than 1, and together explained 80.5% of the variance in the PCA. The first component of the PCA (HC1) was highly related to the average distance to the four nearest towns/cities from each plot (Pearson’s r = 0.96), average distance to the nearest northern, southern, eastern and western paved roads from each
plot (Pearson’s $r = 0.76$) and population density of the province of each plot in the most recent available census (Pearson’s $r = 0.71$). The HC1 was positively related to other indexes of human influence (Fig. S1a) and footprint (Fig. S1b). In addition, our HC1 was positively related to estimates of inorganic N deposition (Fig. S2a), and fertilizer application (Fig. S2b), and to the amount of N in livestock manure production (Fig. S2c). Similarly, our HC1 was positively related to the percentage land areas used as cropland (Fig. S3a) and to estimates of soil degradation (Fig. S4a). The second component of the PCA (HC2) was highly related to the average population size of the four nearest towns/cities during the most recent census (Pearson’s $r = 0.90$). This component was positively related to the previous human influence and footprint indexes (Fig. S1b). In addition, our HC2 was positively related to estimates of N in manure production (Fig. S2c), soil degradation (Fig. S4a) and infiltration of water, determined at our study sites (Fig. S4b). We acknowledge that variables such as fire frequency (Durán et al., 2009), N deposition (Ochoa-Hueso et al., 2011) and/or grazing intensity (Qiu et al., 2013) at each study site would have provided better estimates of human impacts on the N cycle. However, these data were not available for most countries, as the available historical archives do not have the resolution required to obtain such data at the spatial scale of the sampled plots. Geographic distances were obtained with Google Earth® (www.google.com/earth/index.html), while population data were gathered from official statistics of each country (see Table S1).

Statistical analyses

We used structural equation modeling (SEM) to determine the relative importance of human impacts (HC1 and HC2), aridity, pH, sand content, plant cover and the spatial influence (distance from equator and longitude) on the different N variables evaluated. We first established an a priori model (Fig. S5), based on the known effects and relationships among the drivers of the N cycle (Supplementary Methods S1). Total N, concentrations of ammonium, nitrate and DON, DON:DIN ratios, and pH were log-transformed to improve linearity in the relationships between the variables in our SEM models. Similarly, plant total cover and sand content were square root transformed. We found that all N metrics, sand content and HC1 showed unimodal relationships with aridity. To introduce these second-order polynomial relationships into our SEM model, we calculated the square of aridity and introduced it into our model using a composite variable (Fig. S5). Similarly, the human impact and spatial influence metrics were also included as composite variables. The use of composite variables does not alter the underlying SEM model, but collapses the effects of multiple conceptually-related variables into a single composite effect, aiding interpretation of model results (Grace, 2006). We also examined the distributions of all of our endogenous variables (those with arrows pointing to them within the a priori model structure), and tested their normality.
Because some of the variables introduced were not normally distributed, the probability that a path coefficient differs from zero was tested using bootstrap tests (Schermelleh-Engel et al., 2003). Our a priori model structure satisfactorily fitted to our data, as suggested by non-significant $\chi^2$ values ($\chi^2 = 4.740; P = 0.315; d.o.f = 4$ in all cases), non-parametric Bootstrap $P = 0.302$ and by values of RMSEA = 0.029 with a $P = 0.569$.

To aid final interpretation in light of this ability of SEM, we calculated the standardized total effects (direct plus indirect effects from the structural equation model) of human impacts (HC1 and HC2), aridity, pH, sand content, plant cover and spatial influence (longitude and distance from equator) on the selected N metrics (Grace, 2006). The net influence that one variable had upon another was calculated by summing all direct and indirect pathways between two variables. All the SEM analyses were conducted using the software AMOS 20 (IBM SPSS Inc, Chicago, IL, USA).

Finally, we explored the relationship between the different N variables and human impacts (HC1 and HC2) within each of the studied dryland ecosystems: arid, semiarid and dry-subhumid. By doing this, we wanted to check what dryland ecosystems suffer the highest impact on N cycle derived from human activities. Because our data were not normal, we determined our cross-validate R$^2$ (CV R$^2$; percent of squared error explained by the model compared to the null model) and P-values using the A3 package from R (Fortmann-Roe et al. 2013).

**Results**

Sand content, pH and total plant cover in our study ranged from 5.36 to 97.94%, 4.13 to 9.21 and 2.83 to 82.88% respectively (Table S2). Similarly, for the studied N variables, total N ranged from 0.01 to 0.45%, ammonium from 0.82 to 55.86 mg N kg$^{-1}$ soil, nitrate from 0.00 to 92.07 mg N kg$^{-1}$ soil, DON from 1.24 to 43.31 mg N kg$^{-1}$ soil and potential mineralization rate from -2.13 to 5.01 mg N kg$^{-1}$ soil day$^{-1}$ (Table S2).

Aridity was directly and negatively related to soil total N whereas human impacts (HC1 and HC2) were directly positively related to the latter (Fig. 1a). Interestingly, HC1 was negatively related to aridity (Fig 1; Fig. 2), however, aridity and HC2 were unrelated (Fig. 2). Aridity and human impacts, together with sand content, were the most important factors controlling soil total N as shown by the size of their total effects (Fig. 3a). Moreover, the total (direct plus indirect) effect of distance to towns and roads (HC1) and population size (HC2) showed opposite effects on soil total N (Fig. 3a). In absolute terms, however, the impact of HC1 was higher than that of HC2, resulting in a net total positive effect of human impacts on this variable (Fig. 3a).

Increases in both aridity and human impacts were associated to decreases in the DON:DIN ratio (Figs. 1b, 2b), and increases on potential net mineralization rates (Figs. 1c, 2c). Our different
surrogates of anthropogenic disturbances (HC1 and HC2) rendered different and opposite relationships with DON and soil nitrate, although both were associated to increasing ammonium concentrations (Fig. 3e). HC1 showed a positive relationship with the concentrations of DON and soil nitrate whereas HC2 was negatively associated with those N variables.

Dry-submid were the dryland ecosystem with the highest positive and negative relationship between HC1 and total N and HC1 and DON:DIN ratio, respectively (Fig. 4). However, the opposite effect was observed from HC1 on total N in dry-subhumid ecosystems (Fig. S6). In addition, the dry-submid ecosystems showed the highest positive relationship between HC1 and potential mineralization and nitrate concentration (Fig. 4). Again, the opposite effect was observed from HC2 on nitrate and mineralization for dry-subhumid ecosystems (Fig. S6).

Discussion

Global change impacts on soil total N

Although human activity should increase the N budget worldwide (Galloway et al., 2008), our results suggest that the increases in aridity forecasted for large areas of the planet will counteract such increment in total N. Of particular interest was the observed negative relationship between aridity and human impacts in our models. This is likely derived from the constraints that aridity, and hence shortage in water availability, generally impose on human activities and urban development (Whitford, 2002; Schwinning & Sala, 2004). In particular, we found a quadratic negative relationship between aridity and HC1. This result suggests that there is a current spatial disconnect between the impacts of aridity, which may favour N losses, and those of human activities, which may favor N accumulation, in different dryland regions (Liu et al., 2012). Thus, at the global scale, the driest regions will tend to become more N limited, but N enhancement due to human activities in the least arid drylands may counteract any trend towards greater N limitation. In addition, aridity and HC2 were unrelated, suggesting that increasing aridity is related to more scattered urban areas (HC1), but do not population density in general (HC2; Mainguet, 1999). We stress that the spatial distribution of our plots did not cover areas where this pattern may not hold, such as large, rapidly - growing desert urban areas (e.g. Phoenix or Las Vegas in USA; Kane 2014) or semi-arid areas with intensive agricultural activities (e.g. Almeria in SE Spain; Aznar-Sánchez & Galdeano-Gómez, 2011). We also would like to acknowledge the limitations of the observational approach followed, however we believe that our study provide a good snapshot of the status of N cycle at a global scale, and show from an integrative point of view how interactive effects derived from aridity and human impacts can globally affect N concentrations and dominance of relative N forms.
Inorganic N accumulation derived from global change

Increasing human impacts and aridity resulted in direct and total negative impacts on the DON:DIN ratio, and a positive direct effect on potential net mineralization rates. Thus, any increase in human impacts and aridity derived from global change will lead to a greater dominance of inorganic N forms. This scenario is compatible with both the observed loss of biological control on N cycle derived from climate change suggested by Schlesinger et al., (1990) and Delgado-Baquerizo et al., (2013), and the trend to an inorganic N saturation stage predicted by models in terrestrial ecosystems as a consequence of anthropogenic N deposition (Fig. S2a; Gruber & Galloway 2008; Schlesinger, 2009; Chen et al., 2013). An increase in aridity has been suggested to result in a world with a lower net depolymerization rate (DON production) in the most arid areas, likely linked to the low precipitation and plant cover of these environments (Schlesinger et al., 1990), which would increase the dominance of inorganic N forms. This was supported by the direct negative relationship between aridity and DON:DIN found. However, this direct negative effect was counteracted by the indirect positive effects mediated through sand content and pH, both increasing the ratio DON:DIN (Fig. 1b). As a consequence of the interplay between direct negative and indirect positive effects, the total effect of aridity on the dominance of dissolved organic versus inorganic N forms was negligible (Fig. 2b). Conversely, proximity to human populations (HC1) was the most important factor controlling the DON:DIN ratio as shown by its total effect size, which was greater than for any other factors evaluated (Fig. 2b). This decrease in the DON:DIN ratio with increasing human impact may be driven by the increase of inorganic N inputs linked to human activities such as fertilizer production, accumulation of livestock wastes and fossil fuel combustion in the vicinity of our sites (Dentener et al., 2006; Cornell, 2011). An increase in inorganic N in soils may have a negative impact on the functioning and services provided by drylands worldwide. For example, Delgado-Baquerizo et al., (2013b) found that inorganic N inputs were negatively linked to microbial functional diversity and N depolymerization (production of DON), and may also reduce the organic N uptake by plants and microorganisms in these ecosystems (Warren, 2009).

Shifts in the different N forms derived from human impacts

The relatively strong total positive relationship between HC1 and DON concentrations may suggest that atmospheric deposition of organic N, which has rarely been considered a significant source of atmospheric N (Cornell et al., 2011), may be affecting DON concentration in dryland soils. In addition, HC1 was positively related to the concentrations of soil nitrate and ammonium, suggesting the importance of both reduced and oxidized N deposition in global drylands. Because our sites are not located in agricultural areas, the effect of highly populated towns surrounding our plots (HC2) should be related more to the use of these drylands for grazing and wood harvesting than to more
intensive human uses. Overgrazing can lead to losses of soil organic matter and nutrients through
the conversion of semiarid grasslands to arid shrublands (Schlesinger et al., 1990). However, HC2
was positively related to N in manure production at the global scale (Fig. S2c). This constitutes one
of the most important sources of reduced N to the atmosphere (Bouwman et al., 2011), and may
explain why the observed negative effect of HC2 on DON and nitrate by intensive agriculture is not
found with ammonium. Intensive land management may result in DON and nitrate leaching into
streams and the groundwater, which may pollute them (Gruber & Galloway 2008; Schlesinger
2009; Chen et al., 2013). However, both HC1 and HC2 were positively related to the concentration
of ammonium in soil (Fig. 2e). Ammonium is one of the most common N sources associated with
human activities, as intensive agriculture and livestock are significant sources (Anderson et al.,
2003; Clarisse et al., 2009; Canfield et al., 2010). Increases in the concentration of soil ammonium
with increasing human impacts in this study suggest that at least a part of the ammonium present in
dryland soils may come from human-derived activities. Overall, this increase in soil ammonium
concentrations may increase the potential of N to cross ecosystem boundaries by ammonia
volatilization or through ammonium conversion to nitrate followed by leaching from soil, all of
which are common phenomena in drylands and may cause eutrophication and reduce water quality
(Schlesinger et al., 1990; Schlesinger & Harley, 1992; Robertson & Groffman, 2007; Ravishankara
et al., 2009). For example, as processes such as nitrification usually require small amounts of water
(Schwinning & Sala 2004; Delgado-Baquerizo et al., 2013c), the accumulation of ammonium in the
less arid drylands may quickly promote its conversion to nitrate after even small rainfall events
(Schwinning & Sala, 2004). Our study supports this, as we observed an increase in the potential net
nitrification rate in our soils with increasing ammonium ($P < 0.001$; Fig. S7). The overall
dominance of inorganic forms of N resulting from increasing aridity and human impacts may
enhance nitrification and denitrification rates in drylands, (e.g. releasing N2O; Schlesinger et al.,
2009; Canfield et al., 2010), potentially enhancing the emission of greenhouse gases from these
ecosystems.

Conclusions
Our findings provide evidence that human impacts promote the accumulation of N in dryland soils
worldwide, but that these effects are offset by increases in aridity. We also found that these two
global change drivers are spatially disconnected in drylands, favoring N losses in the most arid, and
accumulation in the least arid ecosystems. Our analyses indicate that both increasing aridity and
human impacts linked to the intensity of anthropogenic disturbance will enhance the inorganic
control of the N cycle in drylands soils. This increase in inorganic N dominance in dryland soils
may have negative effects on key ecosystem functions (e.g. microbial functionality) and services (e.g. quality of water and air) at the global scale, and may enhance the emission of important greenhouse gases such as N₂O.
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Figure S6. Relationships between aridity and our human impacts in this study.

Figure S7. Relationship between ammonium concentration and the potential net nitrification rate.

Table S1. Information about the population data used to estimate human impacts at our study sites.

Figure legends

Figure 1. Effects of aridity (blue arrows), human impacts (red arrows), pH, sand content, plant cover and spatial influence (grey arrows) on: total N (a), DON:DIN ratio (b), mineralization rate (c), DON (d), NH₄⁺ (e) and NO₃⁻ (f). Numbers adjacent to arrows indicative of the effect size of the relationship. Continuous and dashed arrows indicate positive and negative relationships, respectively. R² denotes the proportion of variance explained. For graphical simplicity, factors influencing human impacts are: a. Spatial → HC1 = 0.13, Spatial → HC2 = -0.35***; b. Sand →
HC1 = -0.05, Sand → HC2 = -0.16**; c. pH → HC1 = 0.34, pH → HC2 = -0.37**; d. Composite aridity → HC1 = -0.43***, Aridity → HC2 = 0.28**. Significance levels are as follows: *P < 0.05, ** P < 0.01 and *** P < 0.001.

Figure 2. Relationships between aridity (1 - aridity index) and the first (a; HC1) and second (b; HC2) components of a principal component analysis from four proxies of human impacts: proximity to urban areas, paved roads, population density and population size. The fitted lines correspond to quadratic (a) and (b) linear models. Because our data were not normal, we determined our cross-validate R2 (CV R2; percent of squared error explained by the model compared to the null model) and P-values using the A3 package from R (Fortmann-Roe et al. 2013).

Figure 3. Standardized total effects (direct plus indirect effects) derived from the structural equation modeling, including the effects of aridity (Aridity), percentage of sand (sand), pH, plant cover (Plant), distance from equator (DE) and longitude (LON) and human impact (HC1 and HC2) on the total N (a), DON:DIN ratio (b), potential mineralization rate (c), DON (d) NH4+ (e) and NO3- (f).

Figure 4. Relationships between the HC1 component and the different N variables: total N (a), DON:DIN ratio (b), potential net mineralization (c), DON (d), ammonium (e) and nitrate (f) for each of the studied dryland ecosystems: arid (n = 53), semiarid (n = 142) and dry-subhumid (n = 29).
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