Efficacy and Safety of a Novel Bioabsorbable Polymer-Coated, Everolimus-Eluting Coronary Stent The EVOLVE II Randomized Trial

Dean J. Kereiakes, MD; Ian T. Meredith, AM, MBBS, PhD; Stephan Windecker, MD;
R. Lee Jobe, MD; Shamir R. Mehta, MD; Ian J. Sarembock, MBChB, MD;
Robert L. Feldman, MD; Bernardo Stein, MD; Christophe Dubois, MD, PhD;
Timothy Grady, DO; Shigeru Saito, MD; Takeshi Kimura, MD; Thomas Christen, MD, PhD;
Dominic J. Allocco, MD; Keith D. Dawkins, MD

Background—Drug eluting stents with durable polymers may be associated with hypersensitivity, delayed healing, and incomplete endothelialization, which may contribute to late/very late stent thrombosis and the need for prolonged dual antiplatelet therapy. Bioabsorbable polymers may facilitate stent healing, thus enhancing clinical safety. The SYNERGY stent is a thin-strut, platinum chromium metal alloy platform with an ultrathin bioabsorbable Poly(D,L-lactide-co-glycolide) abluminal everolimus-eluting polymer. We performed a multicenter, randomized controlled trial for regulatory approval to determine noninferiority of the SYNERGY stent to the durable polymer PROMUS Element Plus everolimus-eluting stent.
 Methods and Results—Patients (n=1684) scheduled to undergo percutaneous coronary intervention for non–ST-segment–

elevation acute coronary syndrome or stable coronary artery disease were randomized to receive either the SYNERGY stent or the PROMUS Element Plus stent. The primary end point of 12-month target lesion failure was observed in 6.7% of SYNERGY and 6.5% PROMUS Element Plus treated subjects by intention-to-treat (P=0.83 for difference; P=0.0005 for noninferiority), and 6.4% in both the groups by per-protocol analysis (P=0.0003 for noninferiority). Clinically indicated revascularization of the target lesion or definite/probable stent thrombosis were observed in 2.6% versus 1.7% (P=0.21) and 0.4% versus 0.6% (P=0.50) of SYNERGY versus PROMUS Element Plus–treated subjects, respectively.

Conclusions—In this randomized trial, the SYNERGY bioabsorbable polymer everolimus-eluting stent was noninferior to the PROMUS Element Plus everolimus-eluting stent with respect to 1-year target lesion failure. These data support the relative safety and efficacy of SYNERGY in a broad range of patients undergoing percutaneous coronary intervention. *Clinical Trial Registration*—URL: http://www.clinicaltrials.gov. Unique identifier: NCT01665053.

(Circ Cardiovasc Interv. 2015;8:e002372. DOI: 10.1161/CIRCINTERVENTIONS.114.002372.)

Key Words: drug-eluting stent ■ percutaneous coronary intervention

Drug-eluting stents (DES) that deliver antiproliferative drugs from a durable polymer have significantly reduced restenosis compared with bare metal stents.¹ However, durable polymers may be associated with inflammation, delayed healing, and incomplete endothelialization, which may contribute to the risk of late (30 days to 1 year) and very late (>1 year) stent thrombosis compared with bare metal stents.² Whether metal alloy coronary stent platforms with bioresorbable polymers are associated with improved clinical outcomes when compared with newer durable polymer DES has been the subject of debate^{3,4} and may be influenced by additional factors, including stent strut thickness, polymer composition, distribution, and load.⁵ Although current American College of Cardiology/ American Heart of Association (ACC/AHA) clinical practice guidelines recommend at least 12 months of dual antiplatelet therapy (DAPT) after DES deployment in patients who are not at increased risk for bleeding,¹ recent studies suggest that even longer duration DAPT therapy (≥30 months) provides

© 2015 American Heart Association, Inc.

Circ Cardiovasc Interv is available at http://circinterventions.ahajournals.org

source: https://doi.org/10.7892/boris.75932 | downloaded: 26.4.2024

Received December 8, 2014; accepted March 12, 2015.

From the Heart and Vascular Center/The Lindner Research Center, Christ Hospital, Cincinnati, OH (D.J.K., I.J.S.); Department of Medicine, MonashHEART, Southern Health, Monash Medical Centre, Clayton, Victoria, Australia (I.T.M.); Department of Cardiology, Bern University Hospital, Bern, Switzerland (S.W.); Department of Invasive Cardiology, Wake Medical Center, Raleigh, NC (R.L.J.); Department of Medicine, Hamilton Health Sciences, McMaster University, Hamilton, Ontario, Canada (S.R.M.); Invasive/Interventional Cardiology, Mediquest Research at Munroe Regional Medical Center, Ocala, FL (R.L.F.); Interventional Cardiology, Morton Plant Mease Healthcare System, Clearwater, FL (B.S.); Department of Cardiology, University Hospital Leuven, Leuven, Belgium (C.D.); Research and Education, Aspirus Heart and Vascular Institute—Research and Education, Wausau, WI (T.G.); Division of Cardiology and Catherization Laboratories Heart Center, Shonan Kamakura General Hospital, Kanagawa, Japan (S.S.); Department of Cardiovascular Medicine, Kyoto University Hospital, Kyoto, Japan (T.K.); and Clinical Sciences, Boston Scientific Corporation, Marlborough, MA (T.C., D.J.A., K.D.D.).

The Data Supplement is available at http://circinterventions.ahajournals.org/lookup/suppl/doi:10.1161/CIRCINTERVENTIONS.114.002372//JC1. Correspondence to Dean J. Kereiakes, MD, The Christ Hospital Heart and Vascular Center/The Lindner Research Center, 2123 Auburn Ave, Suite 424 Cincinnati, OH 45219. E-mail lindner@thechristhospital.com

WHAT IS KNOWN

- Durable polymer on coronary drug-eluting stents may be associated with inflammation, neoatherosclerosis, and thrombosis.
- Bioabsorbable polymers may facilitate healing and enhanced clinical safety.

WHAT THE STUDY ADDS

- In a prospective, multicenter randomized singleblind trial, the Synergy stent, with a bioabsorbable polymer, proved noninferior to the PROMUS Element Plus stent for target lesion failure to 1 year.
- This study establishes comparable clinical safety and efficacy of everolimus elution from a bioabsorbable polymer thin strut platinum chromium metal platform in support of regulatory approval for this novel coronary stent device.

additional ischemic event reduction.⁶ The SYNERGY stent (Boston Scientific Corporation, Marlborough, MA) is a novel thin-strut platinum chromium (PtCr) metal alloy stent that elutes everolimus from an ultrathin bioabsorbable Poly(D,L-lactide-co-glycolide) polymer applied to the abluminal surface.

The EVOLVE randomized controlled trial (EVOLVE: A Prospective Randomized Multicenter Single-blind Noninferiority Trial to Assess the Safety and Performance of the Evolution Everolimus-Eluting Monorail Coronary Stent System [Evolution Stent System] for the Treatment of a De novo Atherosclerotic Lesion; NCT01135225) found SYNERGY to be noninferior to the durable polymer PROMUS Element everolimus-eluting stent (EES) for the angiographic end point of in-stent late lumen loss at 6 months,⁷ but lacked sufficient power to provide meaningful comparison(s) of clinical events.⁸ EVOLVE II represents the pivotal, randomized controlled clinical trial evaluating the clinical efficacy and safety of the SYNERGY stent for regulatory approval in a broad population of patients undergoing percutaneous coronary intervention (PCI).

Methods

EVOLVE II is a prospective, international, multicenter, randomized (1:1 SYNERGY versus PROMUS Element Plus), controlled, singleblind, noninferiority trial (EVOLVE RCT) conducted at 125 clinical sites. EVOLVE II also includes a concurrent, nonrandomized, single-arm, pharmacokinetic substudy (EVOLVE II PK), as well as a consecutively enrolled, nonrandomized, single-arm, diabetes mellitus substudy (EVOLVE II Diabetes; Figure 1), both of which will be reported separately. EVOLVE II was conducted in accordance with the US Food and Drug Administration's Guidance for Industry E6 Good Clinical Practice: Consolidated Guidance, the Declaration of Helsinki, the International Conference on Harmonisation, and all local regulations, as appropriate. Institutional Review Boards at each center approved the study protocol and all subjects provided written informed consent. The study is registered at www.clinicalTrials.gov under identifier NCT01665053.

Device Description

The SYNERGY stent is a thin-strut (74–81 μ m), PtCr metal alloy platform with an ultrathin (4 μ mol/L) bioabsorbable Poly(D,L-lactide-co-glycolide) abluminal polymer, which elutes everolimus (100 μ g/cm²). SYNERGY has been compared with the durable polymer PROMUS Element EES as described previously^{9,10} (Table 1).

Study Design and Procedure

Eligible patients were aged ≥ 18 years and had either symptomatic coronary artery disease with objective evidence of ischemia or silent ischemia. Stents were implanted for treatment of ≤ 3 (maximum) discrete target lesions in ≤ 2 (maximum) 2 major epicardial vessels with lesion length ≤ 34 mm and reference vessel diameter ≥ 2.25 to ≤ 4.0 mm. Target stenoses were $\geq 50\%$ and <100% with thrombolysis in myocardial infarction flow >1. Subjects were required to have either target stenosis $\geq 70\%$ or a stenosis $\geq 50\%$ to <70% with abnormal fractional flow reserve, elevated cardiac biomarkers, or objective evidence of myocardial ischemia (abnormal stress or imaging stress test). Patients with recent ST-segment–elevation myocardial infarction, left main disease, chronic total occlusions, vein graft disease, or



Figure 1. Patient flow and disposition in the EVOLVE II Trial. CTO indicates chronic total occlusion; DS, diameter stenosis; ISR, in-stent restenosis target lesion; LM, left main; PK, pharmacokinetic; RVD, reference vessel diameter; STEMI, ST-segment-elevation myocardial infarction; and SVG, saphenous vein graft target lesion.

Downloaded from http://circinterventions.ahajournals.org/ by guest on January 29, 2016

Table 1. Specific Design Characteristics of the SYNERGY and PROMUS Element Plus Stents

| SYNERGY | PROMUS Element Plus |
|------------------------|---|
| Platinum chromium | Platinum chromium |
| 74–81 μm | 81–86 μm |
| 85:15 PLGA | PVDF-HFP PBMA |
| Biodegradable | Biostable |
| Abluminal | Conformal |
| 4 μm | 7.8 μm |
| Approximately 4 mo | Permanent |
| Everolimus | Everolimus |
| 45/55 | 17/83 |
| 100 µg/cm ² | 100 µg/cm ² |
| | SYNERGY Platinum chromium 74–81 μm 85:15 PLGA Biodegradable Abluminal 4 μm Approximately 4 mo Everolimus 45/55 |

PLGA indicates Poly(D,L-lactide-co-glycolide); and PVDF, polyvinylidene fluoride.

in-stent restenosis were excluded per-protocol. Subjects who satisfied study selection criteria were randomly assigned 1:1 (stratified by diabetic status and enrollment site) to receive treatment with either SYNERGY or PROMUS Element Plus stents. Random permuted blocks were used to ensure approximate balance of treatment allocation within each stratum. EVOLVE II RCT is a single blind trial; subjects were blinded to treatment assigned and treatment received and will remain blinded until after trial completion. Packaging of the investigational control and test devices was different, therefore, the investigator performing the procedure was not blinded to the assigned treatment arm or resulting treatment. Site personnel conducting clinical follow-up, core laboratory personnel and the Clinical Events Committee were blinded to patient treatment assignment during the trial.

DAPT with aspirin and a $P2Y_{12}$ inhibitor was prescribed after PCI for at least 6 months (12 months in patients not at high risk of bleeding). An independent core laboratory evaluated all baseline and repeat

Table 2. Baseline Characteristics of the Study Population

| - | SYNERGY | PROMUS Element Plus | |
|----------------------|----------------|---------------------|----------|
| Variable* | n=846 Patients | n=838 Patients | P Value† |
| Male | 70.6% | 72.7% | 0.34 |
| Age, y, ±SD | 63.5±10.4 | 63.9±10.5 | 0.40 |
| White | 77.4% | 79.2% | 0.37 |
| Smoking, ever | 61.7% | 62.8% | 0.63 |
| Current smoker | 21.8% | 22.4% | 0.76 |
| Diabetes mellitus‡ | 31.1% | 30.8% | 0.89 |
| Treated with insulin | 12.3% | 10.9% | 0.36 |
| Hyperlipidemia‡ | 74.0% | 74.5% | 0.82 |
| Hypertension‡ | 77.3% | 75.1% | 0.29 |
| Previous PCI | 35.8% | 37.3% | 0.52 |
| Previous CABG | 4.6% | 6.1% | 0.18 |
| History of CHF | 8.3% | 9.0% | 0.63 |
| Unstable angina | 33.9% | 34.8% | 0.69 |
| MI | 25.9% | 29.2% | 0.12 |

CABG indicates coronary artery bypass graft; MI, myocardial infarction; and PCI, percutaneous coronary intervention.

*Number (percent) based on an intent-to-treat analysis.

 $\dagger P$ values are 2-sided and from Student *t* test for continuous variables and the χ^2 .

‡Medically treated.

angiograms (Beth Israel Deaconess Medical Center, Boston, MA). Clinical follow-up was required in-hospital at 30 days, 6, 12, and 18 months after PCI then annually between 2 and 5 years. There was no protocol-specified coronary angiography in follow-up.

End Points

The primary end point for EVOLVE II was the rate of 12-month target lesion failure (TLF), defined as the composite occurrence of any ischemia-driven revascularization of the target lesion, myocardial infarction (MI) related to the target vessel, or any cardiac death. Secondary clinical end points included individual components of TLF; target vessel failure defined as the composite occurrence of ischemia-driven target vessel revascularization, MI related to the target vessel or cardiac death related to the target vessel), all-cause death, and stent thrombosis (defined by the Academic Research Consortium; ST).11 All major adverse events were adjudicated by a Clinical Events Committee and the decisions of the Clinical Events Committee superseded those of the investigational center in the event of a disparity. Spontaneous MI was defined as the rise and fall of cardiac biomarkers with ≥1 value >99th percentile of the upper reference limit with evidence of myocardial ischemia. The diagnosis of periprocedural MI required at least 1 of the following: (1) CK-MB >3× upper reference limit without clinical or imaging correlates, (2) new pathological Q waves, or (3) autopsy evidence of acute MI. MI was also independently assessed by Academic Research Consortium criteria as a secondary analysis.¹¹ Further details pertaining to the definition of MI are found in the Data Supplement document. Technical success was defined as successful delivery and deployment of the study stent to the target vessel, without balloon rupture or stent embolization with postprocedure diameter stenosis of <30% and thrombolysis in myocardial infarction 3 flow in the target lesion (as visually assessed by the treating

Table 3. Baseline Lesion Characteristics

| | SYNERGY n=1059 Lesions n=846 Patients | PROMUS Element Plus n=1043 Lesions n=838 Patients | <i>P</i> Value* |
|-------------------------|---|--|-----------------|
| Target lesions† | 1.25±0.50 | 1.24±0.49 | 0.77 |
| 2 lesions treated | 18.6% | 19.3% | 0.69 |
| 3 lesions treated | 3.3% | 2.4% | 0.26 |
| >3 lesions treated | 0.0% | 0.1% | 0.50 |
| Target lesion location‡ | | | |
| LAD | 41.3% | 41.5% | 0.91 |
| LCx | 25.0% | 26.4% | 0.48 |
| RCA | 33.7% | 32.0% | 0.41 |
| LM | 0.0% | 0.1% | 0.50§ |
| RVD, mm‡ | 2.62±0.49 | 2.63±0.50 | 0.63 |
| RVD <2.25 mm | 23.9% | 23.3% | 0.76 |
| MLD, mm‡ | 0.89 ± 0.35 | 0.89±0.36 | 0.99 |
| Diameter stenosis, %‡ | 66.02±12.03 | 66.26±11.75 | 0.65 |
| Lesion length, mm‡ | 14.09±7.50 | 13.67±7.00 | 0.18 |
| Length >20 mm | 19.2% | 16.7% | 0.14 |
| Modified AHA/ACC B2/C‡ | 76.8% | 74.3% | 0.19 |

ACC indicates American College of Cardiology; AHA, American Heart of Association; LM, left main; MLD, minimum lumen diameter; and RVD, reference vessel diameter.

**P* values are 2-sided and from Student *t* test for continuous variables and the χ^2 unless indicated otherwise.

+Per patient number (percent) based on an intent-to-treat analysis. +Per lesion.

§Fisher exact test.

| | SYNERGY | PROMUS Element Plus n=1043 | |
|--|-----------------|-------------------------------|----------|
| | n=1059 Lesions | Lesions | |
| | n=846 Patients | n=838 Patients | |
| | n=1011 Stents | n=1079 Stents | P Value* |
| Technical success† | 98.3% | 96.9% | 0.04 |
| Clinical procedural | 94.9% | 94.3% | 0.56 |
| success‡ | | | |
| Stents per patient‡ | 1.31 ± 0.60 | 1.29±0.56 | 0.46 |
| Stents per target lesion+ | 1.05 ± 0.25 | 1.04±0.25 | 0.32 |
| Total stent length | 21.45±9.04 | 20.81±9.16 | 0.11 |
| implanted, mm† | | | |
| Predilatation, %† | 97.1% | 98.0% | 0.18 |
| Postdilatation, %† | 60.7% | 61.0% | 0.90 |
| Max pressure overall, atm ⁺ | 15.98±3.06 | 16.09±3.13 | 0.41 |
| Longitudinal stent deformation§ | 0.1% | 0.1% | >0.99 |

Table 4. Procedural Characteristics

*P values are 2-sided and from Student t test for continuous variables and the χ^2 . +Per lesion.

‡Per patient number (percent) based on an intent-to-treat analysis. \$Per stent.

|| Occurred in a PROMUS Element Plus stent used in a SYNERGY assigned patient.

physician). Clinical procedural success was defined as postprocedure diameter stenosis <30%, thrombolysis in myocardial infarction 3 flow in all target lesions and the absence of in-hospital MI, TVR, or cardiac death. All procedural and follow-up (through 12 months) angiograms were systematically evaluated for longitudinal stent deformation by the independent angiographic core laboratory.

Statistical Methods

The study primary end point, powered for noninferiority, was the rate of 12-month TLF. A 2-group Farrington–Manning test was used to test the 1-sided hypothesis of noninferiority in proportions. Specifically, if the *P* value from a 1-sided Farrington–Manning test was <0.025 in both the intention-to-treat and per-protocol patient populations, SYNERGY would be concluded to be noninferior

Table 5. Postprocedural Angiographic Characteristics

| | SYNERGY | PROMUS Element Plus | Р |
|----------------------------|-----------------|---------------------|--------|
| Per Lesion | n=1059 Lesions | n=1043 Lesions | Value* |
| MLD, in-stent, mm | 2.44±0.44 | 2.46±0.44 | 0.23 |
| MLD, in-segment, mm | 2.10±0.47 | 2.10±0.47 | 0.78 |
| %DS, in-stent, % | 7.19±9.16 | 6.55±9.71 | 0.12 |
| %DS, in-segment, % | 20.60±8.41 | 20.93±9.13 | 0.39 |
| Acute gain, in-stent, mm | 1.55 ± 0.45 | 1.57±0.45 | 0.33 |
| Acute gain, in-segment, mm | 1.22±0.48 | 1.21±0.47 | 0.72 |

DS indicates diameter stenosis; and MLD, minimum lumen diameter.

*P values are 2-sided and from Student's t test for continuous variables and the $\chi^2.$

to PROMUS Element Plus. This corresponds to the 1-sided upper 97.5% confidence bound for the difference in 12-month TLF rates (SYNERGY–PROMUS Element Plus) being less than the noninferiority margin. On the basis of an assumed event rate in the test (SYNERGY) and control (PROMUS Element Plus) groups of 8.0% and a noninferiority margin of 4.4%; 1684 randomized subjects (842 per group) were required (assuming a 5% attrition) to provide power (1- β) of 0.89. Continuous variables were estimated as mean±SD and compared with the Student *t* test. Discrete variables were reported as counts and percentages, and differences were assessed by means of the χ^2 or Fisher exact tests. Cumulative event rates were estimated by the Kaplan–Meier method.

Results

Patients and Enrollment

Between November 2012 and August 2013, 1684 patients were enrolled and randomized at 125 sites in North America, Europe, Australia, New Zealand, Japan, and Singapore. Of these, 846 were randomized to SYNERGY and 838 to PRO-MUS Element Plus (Figure 1). One-year follow-up was available in 831 (98.2%) SYNERGY and 806 (96.2%) PROMUS Element Plus stent-treated patients.



Figure 2. Primary end point of target lesion failure (TLF) at 1 year. One-year TLF in the SYNERGY (blue) and PROMUS Element Plus (red) cohorts in the intentto-treat (**A**) and per-protocol (**B**) patient populations are shown on the left. On the right, the plot shows the difference in TLF between SYNERGY and PROMUS Element Plus (black circle) with the 1-sided 97.5% Farrington–Manning upper confidence bound (UCB⁺) indicated by the error bar. The *P* values for noninferiority testing are 1-sided.



Figure 3. Time-to-event curve for the composite primary end point of target lesion failure (TLF) through 1 year. The event rates presented here were calculated by Kaplan–Meier methodology and compared with the log-rank test. Event rate±1.5 SE. HR indicates hazard ratio (95% confidence intervals).

Baseline patient clinical demographics and quantitative coronary angiographic characteristics were similar between treatment groups (Tables 2 and 3). The average age was 64 years, 31% of subjects had medically treated diabetes mellitus, more than a third had unstable angina, and more than quarter had MI diagnosed before the index PCI (Table 2). More than 20% of patients in each treatment group had multilesion (≥2 lesion) PCI and ≈75% of target lesions were classified as AHA/ACC B2/C lesion complexity (Table 3) by core laboratory adjudicated quantitative coronary angiography. Procedural characteristics (Table 4) and postprocedural angiographic results (Table 5) were similar between treatment groups with the exception that site-reported technical success was more frequent among SYNERGY-treated patients (98.3%) SYNERGY versus 96.9% PROMUS Element Plus; P=0.04). Two instances of longitudinal stent deformation were observed through 12 months, both of which involved PROMUS Element Plus Stents; 1 in the PROMUS Element Plus arm and 1 in the SYNERGY arm (occurring in a PROMUS Element Plus stent mistakenly used during the index procedure). Compliance with DAPT to 6 and 12 months was 97.7% and 89.7% for SYNERGY and 96.9% and 87.3% for PROMUS Element Plus with no significant differences between stent types.

Primary End Point Analyses

The trial primary end point of TLF analyzed by intentionto-treat was observed in 6.7% of SYNERGY and 6.5% of PROMUS Element Plus-treated patients (1-sided 97.5% Farrington-Manning upper confidence bound of 2.68%) P=0.0005 noninferiority (Figure 2). Per-protocol analysis demonstrated TLF to be 6.4% in each treatment group (upper confidence bound, 2.51%; P=0.0003 for noninferiority). Because both intention-to-treat and per-protocol analyses demonstrate P<0.025, the SYNERGY stent is determined to



Figure 4. Time-to-event curves for the components of target lesion failure through 1 year. Target lesion failure (primary end point) is a composite of any cardiac death (A), target vessel-related myocardial infarction (MI; B), and clinically indicated revascularization of the target lesion (TLR; C). Event rate±1.5 SE; *P* value from a log-rank test.

| | SYNERGY | PROMUS Element Plus | |
|------------------------|----------------|---------------------|----------|
| Variable* | n=846 Patients | n=838 Patients | P Value† |
| Death, % | 1.1% (9/832) | 1.1% (9/808) | 0.95 |
| Cardiac death, % | 0.5% (4/832) | 0.9% (7/808) | 0.34 |
| MI, % | 5.4% (45/832) | 5.0% (40/808) | 0.68 |
| Q-wave MI | 0.2% (2/832) | 0.2% (2/808) | >0.99‡ |
| Non–Q-wave MI | 5.2% (43/832) | 4.7% (38/808) | 0.66 |
| TVR, % | 3.8% (32/832) | 3.6% (29/808) | 0.78 |
| PCI, % | 3.0% (25/832) | 3.2% (26/808) | 0.80 |
| CABG, % | 0.8% (7/832) | 0.4% (3/808) | 0.34‡ |
| TLR, % | 2.6% (22/832) | 1.7% (14/808) | 0.21 |
| PCI, % | 2.0% (17/832) | 1.7% (14/808) | 0.64 |
| CABG, % | 0.6% (5/832) | 0.0% (0/808) | 0.06‡ |
| Non-TLR TVR, % | 1.8% (15/832) | 2.2% (18/808) | 0.54 |
| PCI, % | 1.4% (12/832) | 1.9% (15/808) | 0.51 |
| CABG, % | 0.4% (3/832) | 0.4% (3/808) | >0.99‡ |
| Stent thrombosis, $\%$ | | | |
| Definite/probable | 0.4% (3/832) | 0.6% (5/808) | 0.50‡ |
| Definite | 0.2% (2/832) | 0.2% (2/808) | >0.99‡ |
| Probable | 0.1% (1/832) | 0.4% (3/808) | 0.37‡ |

Table 6. Clinical End Points Through 12 Months

CABG indicates coronary artery bypass graft; MI, myocardial infarction; PCI, percutaneous coronary intervention; and TLR, revascularization of the target lesion. *Binary event rates. Number (percent) based on an intent-to-treat analysis.

+P value from χ^2 test unless otherwise noted.

 $\ddagger P$ value from Fisher exact test.

§Stent thrombosis adjudicated according to Academic Research Consortium definition.

be noninferior to the PROMUS Element Plus stent for TLF at 1 year. Kaplan–Meier curves for TLF event rate occurrence over time were similar for both the stents (Figure 3), as were the individual components of TLF (Figure 4). Revascularization event rates to 1 year were similar between stent platforms as well (Table 6). There were 3 definite/probable STs in the SYNERGY arm (0.4%) and 5 in the PROMUS Element Plus arm (0.6%; *P*=0.50). The 2 definite STs in the SYNERGY arm were acute (\leq 24 hours) and the 1 probable ST was subacute (6 days) postprocedure. One of the SYNERGY acute ST events involved a patient who was not treated with preprocedural aspirin. All the 5 definite/probable STs in the PROMUS Element Plus arm occurred subacutely (between 2 and 30 days; Figure 5).

Discussion

In this pivotal trial designed to support regulatory approval of the first bioabsorbable polymer DES available in the United States, the SYNERGY stent proved to be noninferior to the PROMUS Element Plus stent for TLF at 1 year. Furthermore, rates of target vessel MI, clinically indicated/ischemia-driven revascularization of the target lesion and stent thrombosis to 1 year were low and similar for both stents.

These clinical observations complement the finding of noninferiority of SYNERGY (versus PROMUS Element) for quantitative coronary angiographic late lumen loss (0.10 versus 0.15 mm, respectively) at 6 months reported from the EVOLVE randomized first human use trial, and provide adequate sample size from which to make more definitive conclusions about important clinical outcomes.^{7,8} In this regard, EVOLVE II supports the premise that the safety and efficacy of SYNERGY are at least comparable to the predicate PtCr durable polymer EES.

Although polymer provides a reservoir for programmed drug release, it has no function after drug release is complete and may affect the late/very late safety and efficacy of DES. First generation DES polymers (EVA-BMA [SurModics, Minneapolis, MN] and SIBS-translute [Boston Scientific]) were, at times, associated with inflammation, foreign body giant cell reaction, negative vessel remodeling, and late (acquired) stent malapposition with thrombus formation.^{2,12,13} Durable polymers may also contribute to delayed/incomplete endothelial coverage and impaired stent healing.^{2,14} Although newer durable polymers may have enhanced biocompatibility and seem to be associated with improved clinical outcomes, they have still been incriminated in the occurrence of inflammation, neoatherosclerosis, and thrombosis.15,16 Indeed, neoatherosclerosis occurs earlier and with increased prevalence after DES compared with bare metal stents and has been observed with similar frequency among both first as well as newer generation DES.17 Early randomized controlled clinical trials as well as meta-analyses suggested that biodegradable polymer DES were associated with lower rates of late/very late stent thrombosis when compared with either first generation DES or bare metal stents.4,18 Conversely, more recent network meta-analyses and observational studies have suggested that the newer generation cobalt chromium (CoCr) and PtCr durable polymer (polyvinylidene fluoride) EES are associated with even lower rates of stent thrombosis when compared with other durable polymer DES, early biodegradable polymer DES, and even bare metal stents.^{3,19–21} Furthermore, a randomized controlled clinical trial comparing the CoCr EES with its corresponding bare metal stent platform demonstrated lower rates of stent thrombosis at 1 and 2 years after primary PCI for ST-elevation MI in the CoCr EES group.²¹ These observations are consistent with bench and preclinical data, which suggest that the durable polyvinylidene fluoride polymer may be thromboprotective against stent thrombosis.²² Finally, a large-scale randomized controlled trial comparison of the CoCr EES versus the Nobori biodegradable polymer



Figure 5. Stent thrombosis through 12 months. Definite/probable stent thrombosis rates through 12 months. Timing is separated into acute (≤ 1 day; blue), early (2–30 days; green), and late (>30 days–1 year; orange). Binary event rate; *P* value from a χ^2 test.

DES demonstrated similar long-term outcomes for both the stents.²³ These apparent inconsistencies may, at least in part, be explained by differences in biodegradable polymer DES platform design.

Both the time course and extent of endothelial stent coverage, as well as the function and maturation of endothelial cells may be influenced by multiple factors, including metal alloy, stent strut thickness, polymer composition, and distribution as well as the time course for polymer bioresorption.^{5,24,25} In this regard, the SYNERGY stent was designed to enhance/expedite stent healing in hopes of improving clinical outcomes by incorporating thin (74 μ m) PtCr struts with an ultrathin (4 µm) Poly(D,L-lactide-co-glycolide) everolimus-eluting polymer applied only to the abluminal stent surface and which is resorbed within 4 months.^{7,26} The bare metal PtCr platform which remains after polymer resorption may be less proinflammatory in cell assay when compared with gold, CoCr, or cobalt nickel alloy platforms, and seems to both expedite endothelial cell stent coverage and reduce platelet adhesion when compared with PtCr covered by polyvinylidene fluoride durable polymer.25 Whether these putative preclinical attributes of the SYNERGY stent may translate into clinical benefit (reduction in stent related ischemic events or the relative treatment benefit recently observed for longer-term DAPT therapy⁶) will require further study.

Limitations

Several potential limitations to this study deserve mention. First, because the study design was single-blind (patient), physician operators were not blinded with respect to stent type deployed. Second, EVOLVE II is not adequately powered to evaluate the individual components of TLF. Third, specific complex patient and target lesion subsets were excluded from the study (ST-segment-elevation myocardial infarction, left main or saphenous vein graft target stenosis, chronic total occlusion, in-stent restenosis). Despite the lack of all-comers inclusion criteria the study population seems to reflect current clinical PCI practice with regard to the number of lesions/ vessels undergoing PCI, target lesion length and angiographic complexity.²⁷ Finally, current follow-up duration is limited to only 1 year. Indeed, longer follow-up in more complex patient/lesion subsets may better differentiate between stent platforms with different structural design or polymer-healing attributes. Previous studies comparing DES with bioresorbable and durable polymers have, at times, demonstrated progressive differences in clinical outcomes >1 year.¹⁸

Conclusions

The EVOLVE II randomized controlled trial demonstrates the SYNERGY coronary stent to be noninferior to the predicate PROMUS Element Plus stent for the occurrence of TLF at 1 year. Secondary end points, including ischemia-driven-revascularization of the target lesion and ST, were also similar between stents. EVOLVE II establishes comparable clinical safety and efficacy of everolimus elution from a bioresorbable polymer—PtCr metal platform when compared with the established PtCr durable polymer EES. The longer-term relative efficacy and safety of SYNERGY will be evaluated in 5-year follow-up.

Acknowledgments

We thank Kristine Roy, PhD (Boston Scientific Corporation), for assistance in article preparation and Songtao Jiang, MSc (Boston Scientific Corporation), for assistance with statistical analysis.

Sources of Funding

The EVOLVE II trial was sponsored and funded by Boston Scientific Corporation.

Disclosures

Dr Kereiakes received consultant fees from BSC, Abbott Vascular, and REVA Medical. Dr Meredith received consultant fees/honoraria/ proctor fees from BSC and Medtronic. Dr Windecker received research grants to his institution from Biotronik and St. Jude. Dr Jobe received consultant fees/honoraria/proctor fees from BSC. Dr Mehta received research grant support from BSC. Dr Feldman received research grants from BSC, Abbott, and Medtronic. Dr Stein received consultant fees/speaker's bureau fees from Abbott Vascular, Astra Zeneca, and Bristol Myers Squibb. Dr Dubois has received consultant fees from BSC, Edwards LifeSciences, and Biosensors International and Institutional Research Grants from BSC, Abbott Vascular, Biosensors International, and Medtronic. Drs Christen, Allocco, and Dawkins are full-time employees with equity in BSC. The other authors report no conflicts.

References

- Levine GN, Bates ER, Blankenship JC, Bailey SR, Bittl JA, Cercek B, Chambers CE, Ellis SG, Guyton RA, Hollenberg SM, Khot UN, Lange RA, Mauri L, Mehran R, Moussa ID, Mukherjee D, Nallamothu BK, Ting HH; American College of Cardiology Foundation; American Heart Association Task Force on Practice Guidelines; Society for Cardiovascular Angiography and Interventions. 2011 ACCF/AHA/SCAI Guideline for Percutaneous Coronary Intervention. A report of the American College of Cardiology Foundation/American Heart Association Task Force on Practice Guidelines and the Society for Cardiovascular Angiography and Interventions. J Am Coll Cardiol. 2011;58:e44–e122. doi: 10.1016/j. jacc.2011.08.007.
- Virmani R, Guagliumi G, Farb A, Musumeci G, Grieco N, Motta T, Mihalcsik L, Tespili M, Valsecchi O, Kolodgie FD. Localized hypersensitivity and late coronary thrombosis secondary to a sirolimus-eluting stent: should we be cautious? *Circulation*. 2004;109:701–705. doi: 10.1161/01. CIR.0000116202.41966.D4.
- Kang SH, Park KW, Kang DY, Lim WH, Park KT, Han JK, Kang HJ, Koo BK, Oh BH, Park YB, Kandzari DE, Cohen DJ, Hwang SS, Kim HS. Biodegradable-polymer drug-eluting stents vs. bare metal stents vs. durable-polymer drug-eluting stents: a systematic review and Bayesian approach network meta-analysis. *Eur Heart J*. 2014;35:1147–1158. doi: 10.1093/eurheartj/eht570.
- Navarese EP, Kubica J, Castriota F, Gibson CM, De Luca G, Buffon A, Bolognese L, Margheri M, Andreotti F, Di Mario C, De Servi S. Safety and efficacy of biodegradable vs. durable polymer drug-eluting stents: evidence from a meta-analysis of randomised trials. *EuroIntervention*. 2011;7:985–994. doi: 10.4244/EIJV7I8A155.
- Palmaz JC, Bailey S, Marton D, Sprague E. Influence of stent design and material composition on procedure outcome. *J Vasc Surg.* 2002;36:1031–1039.
- Mauri L, Kereiakes DJ, Yeh RW, Driscoll-Shempp P, Cutlip DE, Steg PG, Normand SL, Braunwald E, Wiviott SD, Cohen DJ, Holmes DR Jr, Krucoff MW, Hermiller J, Dauerman HL, Simon DI, Kandzari DE, Garratt KN, Lee DP, Pow TK, Lee PV, Rinaldi MJ, Massaro JM. Twelve or 30 months of dual antiplatelet therapy after drug-eluting stents. *New Engl J Med.* 2014;371:2155–2166. doi: 10.1056/NEJMoa1409312.
- Meredith IT, Verheye S, Dubois CL, Dens J, Fajadet J, Carrié D, Walsh S, Oldroyd KG, Varenne O, El-Jack S, Moreno R, Joshi AA, Allocco DJ, Dawkins KD. Primary endpoint results of the EVOLVE trial: a randomized evaluation of a novel bioabsorbable polymer-coated, everolimuseluting coronary stent. J Am Coll Cardiol. 2012;59:1362–1370. doi: 10.1016/j.jacc.2011.12.016.
- Meredith IT, Verheye S, Weissman NJ, Barragan P, Scott D, Valdés Chávarri M, West NE, Kelbæk H, Whitbourn R, Walters DL, Kubica J, Thuesen L, Masotti M, Banning A, Sjögren I, Stables RH, Allocco DJ,

Dawkins KD. Six-month IVUS and two-year clinical outcomes in the EVOLVE FHU trial: a randomised evaluation of a novel bioabsorbable polymer-coated, everolimus-eluting stent. *EuroIntervention*. 2013;9:308–315. doi: 10.4244/EIJV9I3A52.

- Menown IB, Noad R, Garcia EJ, Meredith I. The platinum chromium element stent platform: from alloy, to design, to clinical practice. *Adv Ther*. 2010;27:129–141. doi: 10.1007/s12325-010-0022-9.
- 10. Stone GW, Teirstein PS, Meredith IT, Farah B, Dubois CL, Feldman RL, Dens J, Hagiwara N, Allocco DJ, Dawkins KD; PLATINUM Trial Investigators. A prospective, randomized evaluation of a novel everolimus-eluting coronary stent: the PLATINUM (a Prospective, Randomized, Multicenter Trial to Assess an Everolimus-Eluting Coronary Stent System [PROMUS Element] for the Treatment of Up to Two de Novo Coronary Artery Lesions) trial. J Am Coll Cardiol. 2011;57:1700–1708. doi: 10.1016/j.jacc.2011.02.016.
- Cutlip DE, Windecker S, Mehran R, Boam A, Cohen DJ, van Es GA, Steg PG, Morel MA, Mauri L, Vranckx P, McFadden E, Lansky A, Hamon M, Krucoff MW, Serruys PW; Academic Research Consortium. Clinical end points in coronary stent trials: a case for standardized definitions. *Circulation*. 2007;115:2344–2351. doi: 10.1161/ CIRCULATIONAHA.106.685313.
- Finn AV, Joner M, Nakazawa G, Kolodgie F, Newell J, John MC, Gold HK, Virmani R. Pathological correlates of late drug-eluting stent thrombosis: strut coverage as a marker of endothelialization. *Circulation*. 2007;115:2435–2441. doi: 10.1161/CIRCULATIONAHA.107.693739.
- Vorpahl M, Finn AV, Nakano M, Virmani R. The bioabsorption process: tissue and cellular mechanisms and outcomes. *EuroIntervention*. 2009;5(suppl F):F28–F35. doi: 10.4244/EIJV5IFA5.
- Joner M, Finn AV, Farb A, Mont EK, Kolodgie FD, Ladich E, Kutys R, Skorija K, Gold HK, Virmani R. Pathology of drug-eluting stents in humans: delayed healing and late thrombotic risk. *J Am Coll Cardiol.* 2006;48:193–202. doi: 10.1016/j.jacc.2006.03.042.
- Nakazawa G, Nakano M, Otsuka F, Wilcox JN, Melder R, Pruitt S, Kolodgie FD, Virmani R. Evaluation of polymer-based comparator drug-eluting stents using a rabbit model of iliac artery atherosclerosis. *Circ Cardiovasc Interv*. 2011;4:38–46. doi: 10.1161/CIRCINTERVENTIONS.110.957654.
- Byrne RA, Joner M, Kastrati A. Polymer coatings and delayed arterial healing following drug-eluting stent implantation. *Minerva Cardioangiol.* 2009;57:567–584.
- Otsuka F, Pacheco E, Perkins LE, Lane JP, Wang Q, Kamberi M, Frie M, Wang J, Sakakura K, Yahagi K, Ladich E, Rapoza RJ, Kolodgie FD, Virmani R. Long-term safety of an everolimus-eluting bioresorbable vascular scaffold and the cobalt-chromium XIENCE V stent in a porcine coronary artery model. *Circ Cardiovasc Interv.* 2014;7:330–342. doi: 10.1161/CIRCINTERVENTIONS.113.000990.
- 18. Serruys PW, Farooq V, Kalesan B, de Vries T, Buszman P, Linke A, Ischinger T, Klauss V, Eberli F, Wijns W, Morice MC, Di Mario C, Corti R, Antoni D, Sohn HY, Eerdmans P, Rademaker-Havinga T, van Es GA, Meier B, Jüni P, Windecker S. Improved safety and reduction in stent thrombosis associated with biodegradable polymer-based biolimus-eluting stents versus durable polymer-based sirolimus-eluting stents with coronary artery disease: final 5-year report of the LEADERS (Limus Eluted From A Durable Versus ERodable Stent Coating) randomized, noninferiority trial. *JACC Cardiovasc Interv*. 2013;6:777–789. doi: 10.1016/j.jcin.2013.04.011.

- 19. Navarese EP, Tandjung K, Claessen B, Andreotti F, Kowalewski M, Kandzari DE, Kereiakes DJ, Waksman R, Mauri L, Meredith IT, Finn AV, Kim HS, Kubica J, Suryapranata H, Aprami TM, Di Pasquale G, von Birgelen C, Kedhi E. Safety and efficacy outcomes of first and second generation durable polymer drug eluting stents and biodegradable polymer biolimus eluting stents in clinical practice: comprehensive network meta-analysis. *BMJ*. 2013;347:f6530.
- Palmerini T, Biondi-Zoccai G, Della Riva D, Stettler C, Sangiorgi D, D'Ascenzo F, Kimura T, Briguori C, Sabatè M, Kim HS, De Waha A, Kedhi E, Smits PC, Kaiser C, Sardella G, Marullo A, Kirtane AJ, Leon MB, Stone GW. Stent thrombosis with drug-eluting and bare-metal stents: evidence from a comprehensive network meta-analysis. *Lancet*. 2012;379:1393–1402. doi: 10.1016/S0140-6736(12)60324-9.
- 21. Sabaté M, Räber L, Heg D, Brugaletta S, Kelbaek H, Cequier A, Ostojic M, Iñiguez A, Tüller D, Serra A, Baumbach A, von Birgelen C, Hernandez-Antolin R, Roffi M, Mainar V, Valgimigli M, Serruys PW, Jüni P, Windecker S. Comparison of newer-generation drug-eluting with bare-metal stents in patients with acute ST-segment elevation myocardial infarction: a pooled analysis of the EXAMINATION (clinical Evaluation of the Xience-V stent in Acute Myocardial INfArcTION) and COMFORTABLE-AMI (Comparison of Biolimus Eluted From an Erodible Stent Coating With Bare Metal Stents in Acute ST-Elevation Myocardial Infarction) trials. *JACC Cardiovasc Interv*. 2014;7:55–63. doi: 10.1016/j.jcin.2013.07.012.
- Kolandaivelu K, Swaminathan R, Gibson WJ, Kolachalama VB, Nguyen-Ehrenreich KL, Giddings VL, Coleman L, Wong GK, Edelman ER. Stent thrombogenicity early in high-risk interventional settings is driven by stent design and deployment and protected by polymer-drug coatings. *Circulation*. 2011;123:1400–1409. doi: 10.1161/ CIRCULATIONAHA.110.003210.
- 23. Natsuaki M, Kozuma K, Morimoto T, Kadota K, Muramatsu T, Nakagawa Y, Akasaka T, Igarashi K, Tanabe K, Morino Y, Ishikawa T, Nishikawa H, Awata M, Abe M, Okada H, Takatsu Y, Ogata N, Kimura K, Urasawa K, Tarutani Y, Shiode N, Kimura T; NEXT Investigators. Biodegradable polymer biolimus-eluting stent versus durable polymer everolimus-eluting stent: a randomized, controlled, noninferiority trial. J Am Coll Cardiol. 2013;62:181–190. doi: 10.1016/j.jacc.2013.04.045.
- Eppihimer M. Impact of polymer type and location on stent thrombogenicity and endothelial cell coverage. *EuroIntervention*. 2013; EuroPCR Abstracts 2013: Abstract 341.
- Eppihimer MJ, Sushkova N, Grimsby JL, Efimova N, Kai W, Larson S, Forsyth B, Huibregtse BA, Dawkins KD, Wilson GJ, Granada JF. Impact of stent surface on thrombogenicity and vascular healing: a comparative analysis of metallic and polymeric surfaces. *Circ Cardiovasc Interv.* 2013;6:370–377. doi: 10.1161/CIRCINTERVENTIONS.113.000120.
- Wilson GJ, Huibregtse BA, Pennington DE, Dawkins KD. Comparison of the SYNERGY with the PROMUS (XIENCE V) and bare metal and polymer-only Element control stents in porcine coronary arteries. *EuroIntervention*. 2012;8:250–257. doi: 10.4244/EIJV8I2A39.
- 27. Yeh RW, Czarny MJ, Normand SL, Kereiakes DJ, Holmes DR Jr, Brindis RG, Weaver WD, Rumsfeld JS, Roe MT, Kim S, Driscoll-Shempp P, Mauri L. Evaluating the generalizability of a large streamlined cardiovascular trial: comparing hospitals and patients in the dual antiplatelet therapy study versus the National Cardiovascular Data Registry. *Circ Cardiovasc Qual Outcomes.* 2015;8:96–102. doi: 10.1161/CIRCOUTCOMES.114.001239.

SUPPLEMENTAL MATERIAL

Supplemental Methods

EVOLVE II Clinical Trial Support

Clinical Events Committee

- Joseph Kannam, MD (Chairperson, Needham Cardiology Beth Israel Deaconess Needham, Needham, MA, USA)
- Germano DiSciascio, MD (Università Campus Biomedico, Roma, Italy)
- Claude Hanet, MD (UCL Saint-Luc, Brussel, Belgium)
- Goran Stankovic, MD (Diagnostic and Catheterization Lab, Belgrade, Serbia)

Data Monitoring Committee

- W Douglas Weaver, MD (Chairperson, Henry Ford Heart and Vascular Institute, Detroit, MI, USA)
- David P. Faxon, MD (Brigham and Women's Hospital, Boston, MA, USA)
- Steven R. Bailey, MD (University of Texas, San Antonio, TX, USA)
- Jan G P Tijssen, PhD (DMC Statistician, University of Amsterdam, Amsterdam, The
- Netherlands)
- David Rizik, MD (Heart & Vascular Division at Scottsdale Healthcare Scottsdale, AZ, USA)

Core Laboratories (Angiogram Evaluation)

Harvard Medical Physicians Faculty at Beth Israel Deaconess Medical Center Dr. Jeffrey Popma, MD Director 375 Longwood Ave, 3rd Floor Boston, MA 02215

| Investigator | Clinical Site | State and Country | # Subjects Enrolled |
|-------------------|--|---------------------|---------------------------|
| R. Lee Jobe | Wake Medical Center | Raleigh, NC USA | 71 |
| Shamir Mehta | Hamilton General Hospital | Hamilton, ON Canada | 64 |
| Ian Sarembock | Lindner Center for Research and Education at Christ Hospital | Cincinnati, OH USA | 63 |
| Robert Feldman | Mediquest Research at Munroe Regional Medical Center | Ocala, FL USA | 47 |
| Bernardo Stein | Morton Plant Mease Healthcare System | Clearwater, FL USA | 44 |
| Christophe Dubois | University Hospital Leuven | Leuven, Belgium | 39 |
| Timothy Grady | Aspirus Heart and Vascular Institute – Research and Education | Wausau, WI USA | 37 |
| Shigeru Saito | Shonan Kamakura General Hospital | Kamakura, Japan | 30 |
| Ameer Kabour | Mercy St. Vincent Medical Center | Toledo, OH USA | 29 |
| Alain Bouchard | Baptist Medical Center Princeton | Birmingham, AL, USA | 27 |
| Annapoorna Kini | Mount Sinai Medical Center | New York, NY USA | 27 |

EVOLVE II Enrollment by Site

| Investigator | Clinical Site | State and Country | # Subjects Enrolled |
|----------------------|--|------------------------|---------------------------|
| Luc Janssens | Imelda Ziekenhuis | Bonheiden, Belgium | 27 |
| Michael Foster | Sisters of Charity Providence Hospital | Columbia, SC USA | 25 |
| Robert Stoler | Baylor Heart & Vascular Hospital | Dallas, TX USA | 24 |
| Thomas Stuckey | Moses H. Cone Memorial Hospital - LeBauer Cardiovascular Research Foundation | Greensboro, NC USA | 24 |
| Wayne Batchelor | Tallahassee Memorial Hospital | Tallahassee, FL USA | 24 |
| Josep Rodes- | Institut universitaire de Cardiologie et de | Sta Free Coursela | 24 |
| Cabau | Pneumologie de Quebec | Ste-Foy, Canada | 24 |
| Tommy Lee | Bakersfield Memorial Hospital | Bakersfield, CA USA | 24 |
| Arthur Reitman | Wellstar Kennestone Hospital | Marietta, GA USA | 24 |
| Andrejs Erglis | P. Stradins University Hospital | Riga, Latvia | 23 |
| Mark Dorogy | Medical Center of Central Georgia | Macon, GA USA | 23 |
| Barry Bertolet | North Mississippi Medical Center | Tupelo, MS USA | 22 |
| Louis Cannon | Northern Michigan Hospital | Petoskey, MI USA | 21 |
| Juhani Airaksinen | Turku University Hospital | Turku, Finland | 21 |
| Craig Siegel | St. David's Round Rock Medical Center | Round Rock, TX USA | 21 |
| Akil Loli | Banner Good Samaritan Regional Medical Center | Phoenix, AZ USA | 20 |
| David Mego | Arkansas Heart Hospital | Little Rock, AR USA | 20 |
| Kenji Ando | Kokura Memorial Hospital | Kitakyushu, Japan | 20 |
| Toshiya Muramatsu | Saiseikai Yokohama-City Eastern Hospital | Yokohama, Japan | 20 |
| Francis Stammen | H-Hartziekenhuis Roeselare-Menen vzw | Roeselare, Belgium | 20 |
| Michael Curtis | Foothills Medical Center | Calgary, Canada | 19 |
| Steffen Helqvist | Rigshospitalet Copenhagen | Copenhagen, Denmark | 19 |
| Michael Ball | St. Vincent's Hospital | Indianapolis, IN USA | 18 |
| Aaron Wong | National Heart Centre | Singapore | 18 |
| Patrizia Presbitero | Instituto Clinico Humanitas | Rozzano, MI Italy | 18 |
| Lee MacDonald | South Denver Cardiology Associates, PC | Littleton, CO USA | 18 |
| Andrew Taussig | Florida Hospital | Orlando, FL USA | 17 |
| Daniel Simon | University Hospitals of Cleveland | Cleveland, OH USA | 17 |
| Mark Meier | Northern Indiana Research Alliance - Lutheran Hospital | Ft. Wayne, IN USA | 16 |
| James Blankenship | Geisinger Medical Center | Danville, PA USA | 15 |
| Monica Masotti | Hospital Clínic de Barcelona | Barcelona, Spain | 15 |
| John Wang | Union Memorial Hospital | Baltimore, MD USA | 15 |
| Kartik Giri | Our Lady of Lourdes Medical Center | Haddon Heights, NJ USA | 15 |
| John Lasala | Washington University School of Medicine | St. Louis, MO USA | 15 |
| Henry Lui | Jackson-Madison County General Hospital | Jackson, TN USA | 15 |
| Junji Yajima | The Cardiovascular Institute Hospital | Minato, Japan | 15 |
| Laura Mauri | Brigham and Women's Hospital | Boston, MA USA | 14 |
| Joseph Dens | Ziekenhuis Oost Limburg | Genk, Belgium | 14 |
| Brian Price | King's Daughters Medical Center - Kentucky Heart Institute | Ashland, KY USA | 14 |
| Javier Escaned | Hospital Clinico San Carlos | Madrid, Spain | 13 |
| Olivier Varenne | Hôpital Cochin | Paris, France | 13 |
| Darren Walters | The Prince Charles Hospital | Brisbane, Australia | 13 |
| Wilson Ginete | St. Mary's Duluth Clinic Regional Heart Center | Duluth, MN USA | 12 |

| Investigator | Clinical Site | State and Country | # Subjects Enrolled |
|-------------------------|---|------------------------------|---------------------------|
| Bruno Farah | Clinique Pasteur | Toulouse, France | 12 |
| Paul Myers | Centennial Medical Center | Nashville, TN USA | 12 |
| Atsushi Hirayama | Nihon University Itabashi Hospital | Itabashi, Japan | 12 |
| Geoffrey Kunz | New Mexico Heart Institute, PA | Albuquerque, NM USA | 11 |
| Clemens Von Birgelen | Medisch Spectrum Twente | Enschede, Netherlands | 11 |
| Magdi Ghali | Mercy Hospital Medical Center | West Des Moines, IA USA | 11 |
| Maurice Buchbinder | Alvarado Hospital | San Diego, CA USA | 11 |
| Ronald Waksman | Washington Hospital Center | Washington, DC USA | 10 |
| Johannes de Swart | Medisch Centrum Alkmaar | Alkmaar, Netherlands | 10 |
| Raul Moreno | Hospital La Paz | Madrid, Spain | 10 |
| Ronald Jenkins | Kootenai Medical Center | Coeur d'Alene, ID USA | 10 |
| Nobuhisa Hagiwara | Tokyo Women's Medical University Hospital | Shinjuku, Japan | 10 |
| James Zidar | Rex Hospital | Raleigh, NC USA | 10 |
| Takafumi Ueno | Kurume University Hospital | Kurume, Japan | 10 |
| Thomas McGarry | Oklahoma Heart Hospital | Oklahoma City OK USA | 9 |
| Robert Whitbourn | St. Vincent's Hospital (Melbourne) | Fitzrov Australia | 9 |
| Huay Cheem Tan | National University Hospital | Singapore | 9 |
| Jacques Berland | Clinique Saint-Hilaire Rouen | Rouen France | 9 |
| Kari Niemelae | University Hospital Heart Centre | Tampere Finland | 9 |
| Masato Nakamura | Tobo University Obashi Medical Center | Meguro Japan | 9 |
| Nilesh Goswami | St John's Hospital | Springfield IL USA | 8 |
| Shing-Chiu Wong | New York Presbyterian Hospital | New York NY USA | 8 |
| Steven Laster | St Luke's Hospital of Kansas City | Kansas City MOUSA | 8 |
| Pamela Gordon | Kaiser Foundation Hospitals | Honolulu, HI USA | 8 |
| Takeshi Kimura | Kvoto University Hospital | Kvoto, Japan | 8 |
| Satoshi Yasuda | National Cerebral and Cardiovascular Center Hospital | Suita, Japan | 8 |
| Michele Voeltz | Henry Ford Hospital | Detroit, MI USA | 7 |
| Ian Meredith | Monash Medical Centre | Clayton, Australia | 7 |
| Vincent Pompili | Ohio State University Medical Center | Columbus, OH USA | 7 |
| Richard Kovach | Deborah Heart and Lung Center | Brown Mills, NJ USA | 7 |
| Douglas Scott | Middlemore Hospital | Otahuhu, New Zealand | 7 |
| Maciej Lesiak | Samodzielny Publiczny Szpital | Poznan, Poland | 7 |
| Yuji Hamazaki | Showa University Hospital | Shinagawa, Japan | 7 |
| R. Lee Jobe | Rex Hospital | Raleigh, NC USA | 7 |
| Warwick Jaffe | Ascot Angiography | Auckland, New Zealand | 6 |
| John Petersen | Swedish Medical Center | Seattle, WA USA | 6 |
| Alan Heldman | University of Miami Hospital | Miami, FL USA | 6 |
| Seif El-Jack | North Shore Hospital | Takapuna, New Zealand | 6 |
| Takaaki Isshiki | Teikyo University Hospital | Itabashi, Japan | 6 |
| Michael Kutcher | Wake Forest University School of Medicine | Winston-Salem, NC USA | 5 |
| Dougal McClean | Christchurch Hospital | Christchurch, New Zealand | 5 |
| Minh Bui | Henrico Doctors' Hospital | Richmond, VA USA | 5 |
| Eric Cohen | Sunnybrook Health Sciences Centre | Toronto, Canada | 4 |

| Investigator | Clinical Site | State and Country | # Subjects Enrolled |
|-------------------|---|-------------------------|---------------------------|
| David Dobies | Genesys Regional Medical Center | Grand Blanc, MI USA | 4 |
| Bernard Rensing | St. Antonius Ziekenhuis | Nieuwegein, Netherlands | 4 |
| Martine Gilard | CHU de Brest-Hopital de la Cavale Blanche | Brest, France | 4 |
| Simon Elhadad | CH Lagny-Marne-la-Vallee | Jossigny, France | 4 |
| Alan Whelan | Fremantle Hospital | Fremantle, Australia | 4 |
| David Leeman | Beth Israel Deaconess Medical Center | Boston, MA USA | 3 |
| Mirle Kellett | Maine Medical Center | Portland, ME USA | 3 |
| Philippe L'Allier | Institut de Cardiologie de Montreal | Montreal, Canada | 3 |
| Ivan Chavez | Abbott Northwestern Hospital | Minneapolis, MN USA | 3 |
| Reginald Low | University of California, Davis Medical Center | Sacramento, CA USA | 3 |
| Abram Rabinowitz | Methodist Texsan Hospital | San Antonio, TX USA | 3 |
| Brian O'Murchu | Temple University Hospital | Philadelphia, PA USA | 3 |
| Robert Hodson | Providence Portland Medical Center | Portland, OR USA | 3 |
| Jon Robken | Genesis Medical Center | Davenport, IA USA | 2 |
| Uta Hoppe | Univ. Klinik für Herzchirurgie Landeskliniken | Salzburg, Austria | 2 |
| Michael Isaac | Medical City Dallas Hospital | Dallas, TX USA | 2 |
| Gennaro Sardella | Ospedale Umberto I - Roma | Roma, Italy | 2 |
| Manish Parikh | Columbia University Medical Center | New York, NY USA | 1 |
| Barry Cohen | Morristown Memorial Hospital | Morristown, NJ USA | 1 |
| Sergio Waxman | Lahey Clinic Hospital | Burlington, MA USA | 1 |
| Irene Lang | Allgemeines Krankenhaus AKH | Vienna, Austria | 1 |
| Didier Carrie | Centre Hôpital Universitaire Rangueil | Toulouse, France | 1 |
| Adam Witkowski | National Institute of Cardiology | Warsaw, Poland | 1 |
| Carey Kimmelstiel | Tufts Medical Center | Boston, MA USA | 1 |
| Daniel McCormick | Pennsylvania Hospital | Philadelphia, PA USA | 1 |
| Dariusz Dudek | SP ZOZ Szpital Uniwersytecki w Krakowie | Krakow, Poland | 1 |
| David Roberts | Sutter Memorial Hospital | Sacramento, CA USA | 1 |
| Maurizio D'Amico | Azienda Ospedaliera San Giovanni Battista | Turin, Italy | 1 |
| James Beckmann | North Colorado Medical Center | Greeley, CO USA | 1 |

Supplemental Methods

Definition of MI

In the EVOLVE II trial, MI will be defined according to the EVOLVE II definition and the Universal definition provided below. The EVOLVE II definition for MI will be used for the primary endpoint.

EVOLVE II Definition

Spontaneous MI: Detection of rise and/or fall of cardiac biomarkers (CK-MB or troponin) with at least one value above the 99th percentile of the upper reference limit (URL) together with evidence of myocardial ischemia with at least one of the following:

- Symptoms of ischemia;
- ECG changes indicative of new ischemia (new ST-T changes or new left bundle branch block [LBBB]);
- Development of pathological Q waves in the ECG;
- Imaging evidence of new loss of viable myocardium or new regional wall motion abnormality.

Percutaneous Coronary Intervention-Related Myocardial Infarction

Peri-PCI MI is defined by any of the following criteria. Symptoms of cardiac ischemia are not required.

- i. Biomarker elevations within 48 hours of PCI:
 - CK-MB > 3X URL or
 - CK-MB not measured and CK > 2X URL or
 - Neither CK-MB nor CK measured and troponin > 3X URL

and no evidence that cardiac biomarkers were elevated prior to the procedure OR both of the following must be true:

- \geq 50% increase in cardiac biomarker result
- Evidence that cardiac biomarker values were decreasing (e.g., two samples 3-6 hours apart) prior to the suspected MI
- ii. New pathological Q waves
- iii. Autopsy evidence of acute MI

Coronary Artery Bypass Grafting-Related Myocardial Infarction

Peri-CABG MI is defined by the following criteria. Symptoms of cardiac ischemia are not required.

- i. Biomarker elevations within 72 hours of CABG:
 - Troponin or CK-MB (preferred) > 5X URL <u>and</u>

- No evidence that cardiac biomarkers were elevated prior to the procedure OR both of the following must be true:
 - \geq 50% increase in the cardiac biomarker result
 - Evidence that cardiac biomarker values were decreasing (e.g., two samples 3-6 hours apart) prior to the suspected MI.

AND

- One of the following:
 - o New pathological Q-waves persistent through 30 days
 - o New persistent non-rate-related LBBB
 - Angiographically documented new graft or native coronary artery occlusion
 - o Other complication in the operating room resulting in loss of myocardium
 - o Imaging evidence of new loss of viable myocardium

<u>OR</u>

ii. Autopsy evidence of acute MI





Efficacy and Safety of a Novel Bioabsorbable Polymer-Coated, Everolimus-Eluting Coronary Stent: The EVOLVE II Randomized Trial

Dean J. Kereiakes, Ian T. Meredith, Stephan Windecker, R. Lee Jobe, Shamir R. Mehta, Ian J. Sarembock, Robert L. Feldman, Bernardo Stein, Christophe Dubois, Timothy Grady, Shigeru Saito, Takeshi Kimura, Thomas Christen, Dominic J. Allocco and Keith D. Dawkins

Circ Cardiovasc Interv. 2015;8: doi: 10.1161/CIRCINTERVENTIONS.114.002372 Circulation: Cardiovascular Interventions is published by the American Heart Association, 7272 Greenville Avenue, Dallas, TX 75231 Copyright © 2015 American Heart Association, Inc. All rights reserved. Print ISSN: 1941-7640. Online ISSN: 1941-7632

The online version of this article, along with updated information and services, is located on the World Wide Web at:

http://circinterventions.ahajournals.org/content/8/4/e002372

Data Supplement (unedited) at:

http://circinterventions.ahajournals.org/content/suppl/2015/04/08/CIRCINTERVENTIONS.114.002372.DC1.html

Permissions: Requests for permissions to reproduce figures, tables, or portions of articles originally published in *Circulation: Cardiovascular Interventions* can be obtained via RightsLink, a service of the Copyright Clearance Center, not the Editorial Office. Once the online version of the published article for which permission is being requested is located, click Request Permissions in the middle column of the Web page under Services. Further information about this process is available in the Permissions and Rights Question and Answer document.

Reprints: Information about reprints can be found online at: http://www.lww.com/reprints

Subscriptions: Information about subscribing to *Circulation: Cardiovascular Interventions* is online at: http://circinterventions.ahajournals.org//subscriptions/