

## Journal Club

**Editor's Note:** These short, critical reviews of recent papers in the *Journal*, written exclusively by graduate students or postdoctoral fellows, are intended to summarize the important findings of the paper and provide additional insight and commentary. For more information on the format and purpose of the Journal Club, please see [http://www.jneurosci.org/misc/ifa\\_features.shtml](http://www.jneurosci.org/misc/ifa_features.shtml).

## Increased Resting State Network Connectivity in Synesthesia: Evidence for a Neural Basis of Synesthetic Consistency

Nicolas Rothen<sup>1</sup> and Devin Blair Terhune<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>School of Psychology and Sackler Centre for Consciousness Science, University of Sussex, Brighton, BN1 9QH, United Kingdom and <sup>2</sup>Department of Experimental Psychology, University of Oxford, Oxford, OX1 3UD, United Kingdom

Review of Doern et al.

Studying individual differences in conscious awareness can potentially lend fundamental insights into the neural bases of binding mechanisms and consciousness (Cohen Kadosh and Henik, 2007). Partly for this reason, considerable attention has been devoted to the neural mechanisms underlying grapheme–color synesthesia, a healthy condition involving atypical brain activation and the concurrent experience of color photisms in response to letters, numbers, and words. For instance, the letter C printed in black on a white background may elicit a yellow color photism that is perceived to be spatially colocalized with the inducing stimulus or internally in the “mind’s eye” as, for instance, a visual image. Synesthetic experiences are involuntary, idiosyncratic, and consistent over time (Rouw et al., 2011). To date, neuroimaging research on synesthesia has focused on brain areas activated during the experience of synesthesia and associated structural brain differences. However, activity patterns of the synesthetic brain at rest remain largely unexplored. Moreover, the neural correlates of synesthetic consistency, the hallmark

characteristic of synesthesia, remain elusive.

Functional imaging studies suggest that grapheme–color synesthesia is associated with activation of brain regions specific to relevant visual processes and binding processes (i.e., occipitotemporal, parietal, and frontal brain regions) (for review, see Rouw et al., 2011). A popular view is that grapheme–color synesthesia arises in the fusiform gyrus—more specifically, from cross-activation between the visual word form area and the color area V4 (for an overview, see Hubbard et al., 2011). A recent study refined this view and showed that V4 is activated via functional pathways from the superior parietal lobe for synesthetes who experience color photisms in the mind’s eye but from the letter shape area in the fusiform gyrus for those who experience color photisms as spatially colocalized with the inducing stimulus (van Leeuwen et al., 2011).

Structural imaging studies complement the functional studies and suggest morphometric differences in similar brain regions: synesthetes exhibit increased gray and white matter density in the fusiform gyrus (including V4) and parietal and primary visual cortices (Rouw et al., 2011). However, on the basis of functional and structural imaging results, Hupé and colleagues (2012) have questioned the role of regional activations and structural changes in the experience of synesthesia and proposed that synesthesia may arise from subtle and distributed

neural coding. This is in line with the recent finding that synesthesia is associated with marked differences in global structural brain networks (Hänggi et al., 2011).

In a recent article published in *The Journal of Neuroscience*, Doern and colleagues (2012) investigated intrinsic (resting) network connectivity and its relationship to color consistency in grapheme–color synesthesia. Using resting-state functional magnetic resonance imaging (rs-fMRI), they sought to identify network differences that would discriminate demographically matched groups of synesthetes and controls. Synesthetes exhibited strong consistency when retested on color associations for more than 120 items after a 6-month period. The rs-fMRI data were analyzed using independent component analysis (ICA). To identify relevant network connections at rest [i.e., intrinsic connectivity networks (ICNs)], independent components were regressed against brain templates containing synesthesia-specific regions of interest consisting of visual cortex, auditory cortex, and intraparietal cortex. Validation of the ICNs was obtained when ICAs were run separately in each group. Spatial brain maps obtained from the combined group ICA were then used to analyze group differences within ICNs. Finally, the authors calculated correlations between the time course of blood oxygenation level dependent (BOLD) signal changes of synesthesia-relevant ICNs to measure functional network connectivity (FNC).

Received July 26, 2012; revised Aug. 14, 2012; accepted Aug. 15, 2012.

This work was supported by the Holcim Foundation for the Advancement of Scientific Research and the Dr. Mortimer and Theresa Sackler Foundation (N.R.), and the Cogito Foundation (D.B.T.).

Correspondence should be addressed to Nicolas Rothen, School of Psychology, Sackler Centre for Consciousness Science, University of Sussex, Falmer, Brighton, BN1 9QH, United Kingdom. E-mail: nicolas.rothen@gmail.com.

DOI:10.1523/JNEUROSCI.3577-12.2012

Copyright © 2012 the authors 0270-6474/12/3213641-03\$15.00/0

Dovern and colleagues (2012) identified seven synesthesia-relevant ICNs: medial visual, lateral visual, auditory, left frontoparietal, right frontoparietal, lateral parietal, and medial parietal networks. Synesthetes exhibited greater intranetwork connectivity than controls for regions in each network, whereas controls displayed greater connectivity only in regions of the left and right frontoparietal networks. Relative to controls, synesthetes also exhibited threefold more significant connections between the seven ICNs (including all connections found in nonsynesthetes). Moreover, the connections between the medial and lateral visual networks with the right frontoparietal network were stronger in grapheme–color synesthetes than in controls. Crucially, color consistency in synesthetes was correlated with connectivity between the lateral visual ICN and both the auditory and right frontoparietal ICNs.

Dovern and colleagues' (2012) results are broadly consistent with previous neuroimaging research on the functional and structural correlates of synesthesia as outlined above (Hubbard et al., 2011; Rouw et al., 2011; van Leeuwen et al., 2011), as well as with the speculation that synesthesia is more aptly regarded as arising from distributed neural coding rather than regional differences (Hänggi et al., 2011; Hupé et al., 2012). Furthermore, their results suggest that functional connectivity at rest may underlie the stability of synesthetic experiences, just as effective connectivity between the letter shape area and V4 in the fusiform gyrus and superior parietal lobe relates to individual differences in the experience of color photisms among synesthetes (van Leeuwen et al., 2011). However, it should be noted that, insofar as resting cognitive and perceptual states (e.g., visual imagery) were not sampled, group differences in task-independent thoughts (e.g., synesthetic experiences due to lexical imagery) might account for some of the observed effects.

Perhaps the most significant result of Dovern and colleagues' (2012) study was that consistency of synesthetic associations was related to FNC between the lateral visual network and the right frontoparietal network. This result points to the role of the right frontoparietal network in binding color to phonemes and graphemes (van Leeuwen et al., 2011). In the general nonsynesthetic population, frontoparietal connectivity has been hypothesized to be crucial for conscious processing in terms of a global platform for the integration of representations dis-

tributed across multiple brain regions (Naghavi and Nyberg, 2005). This is consistent with the idea that synesthesia is a strong and conscious form of binding that also exists in nonsynesthetes (e.g., letter– and music–color associations), but not to a sufficient degree to breach conscious awareness nor to achieve the level of consistency observed in synesthetes (Cohen Kadosh and Henik, 2007). Therefore, consistency may function as an indicator of the level of conscious synesthetic associations. In contrast, the finding that consistency of synesthetic associations covaried with connectivity in regions critical to the modality of inducing stimuli (auditory network and lateral visual network) and the modality of synesthetic concurrent experiences (lateral visual network) may simply reflect the fact that spoken stimuli (auditory network) elicit consistent color experiences (visual network). Hence, repeated, consistent synesthetic experiences may drive the strength of this connection over time.

A broad implication of Dovern and colleagues' (2012) results is that, compared with nonsynesthetes, synesthetes exhibit marked differences in connectivity when they are not experiencing synesthesia. These effects may underlie or contribute to enhanced abilities among synesthetes that are independent of the synesthetic experience, including visual processing (Barnett et al., 2008) and memory performance (Rothen et al., 2012). More precisely, Dovern and colleagues (2012) reported greater intrinsic network connectivity between medial and lateral visual networks, including fusiform and calcarine gyri, and right frontoparietal network in grapheme–color synesthetes relative to nonsynesthetes. This is in line with more general differences between synesthetes and nonsynesthetes related to responsiveness in the parvocellular system (Barnett et al., 2008). Thus, the findings of Dovern and colleagues (2012) support the idea that memory benefits in grapheme–color synesthesia arise from increased efficiency of visual processing that is specific to the parvocellular pathway (Rothen et al., 2012) and are compatible with contemporary theories of a perception–memory continuum (i.e., emergent memory account) (Graham et al., 2010). Crucially, Dovern and colleagues (2012) did not report differences between synesthetes and nonsynesthetes on an anatomical level or as a functional consequence of task performance but in spontaneously active networks at rest, thereby highlighting the potential of synesthesia, as a perceptual

condition, to affect higher cognitive functions such as memory in interaction with various tasks.

An important question related to abilities in synesthesia that are independent of the synesthetic experience itself is whether brain differences among synesthetes extend beyond the synesthetic phenotype to influence other cognitive and perceptual functions. The Dovern et al. (2012) study, as well as that by Hänggi and colleagues (2011), suggests broader differences in functional and structural network connectivity. Thus, it remains unclear whether these connectivity differences support synesthesia in terms of excessive connectivity at an earlier developmental stage, or support other cognitive or perceptual functions, of which synesthesia is a byproduct. Dovern and colleagues (2012) acknowledge the difficulty of discriminating between network connectivity as a causal antecedent of synesthesia or a direct consequence of synesthesia. It is equally plausible that increased structural and functional connectivity produces the experience of synesthesia and that the repeated experience of grapheme–color binding will elicit these changes over time. As Dovern and colleagues (2012) suggest, a rigorous way of discriminating between these proposals will be longitudinal studies in children. Alternatively, synesthesia could be induced in nonsynesthetes through suggestion (Cohen Kadosh et al., 2009), which has effectively replicated not only the behavioral markers of synesthesia, but also associated phenomenological reports. Such studies may be necessary to elucidate the causal relationship between synesthetic experience and network connectivity. More broadly, they are also likely to have important implications for our understanding of the functional and structural networks that enable visual consciousness.

## References

- Barnett KJ, Foxe JJ, Molholm S, Kelly SP, Shalgi S, Mitchell KJ, Newell FN (2008) Differences in early sensory-perceptual processing in synesthesia: a visual evoked potential study. *Neuroimage* 43:605–613. [CrossRef Medline](#)
- Cohen Kadosh R, Henik A (2007) Can synesthesia research inform cognitive science? *Trends Cogn Sci* 11:177–184. [CrossRef Medline](#)
- Cohen Kadosh R, Henik A, Catena A, Walsh V, Fuentes LJ (2009) Induced cross-modal synesthetic experience without abnormal neuronal connections. *Psychol Sci* 20:258–265. [CrossRef Medline](#)
- Dovern A, Fink GR, Fromme AC, Wohlschläger AM, Weiss PH, Riedl V (2012) Intrinsic network connectivity reflects consistency of synesthetic experiences. *J Neurosci* 32:7614–7621. [CrossRef Medline](#)
- Graham KS, Barense MD, Lee AC (2010) Going

- beyond LTM in the MTL: a synthesis of neuropsychological and neuroimaging findings on the role of the medial temporal lobe in memory and perception. *Neuropsychologia* 48:831–853. [CrossRef Medline](#)
- Hänggi J, Wotruba D, Jäncke L (2011) Globally altered structural brain network topology in grapheme-color synesthesia. *J Neurosci* 31:5816–5828. [CrossRef Medline](#)
- Hubbard EM, Brang D, Ramachandran VS (2011) The cross-activation theory at 10. *J Neuropsychol* 5:152–177. [CrossRef Medline](#)
- Hupé JM, Bordier C, Dojat M (2012) The neural bases of grapheme–color synesthesia are not localized in real color-sensitive areas. *Cereb Cortex* 22:1622–1633. [CrossRef Medline](#)
- Naghavi HR, Nyberg L (2005) Common fronto-parietal activity in attention, memory, and consciousness: shared demands on integration? *Conscious Cogn* 14:390–425. [CrossRef Medline](#)
- Rothen N, Meier B, Ward J (2012) Enhanced memory ability: insights from synaesthesia. *Neurosci Biobehav Rev* 36:1952–1963. [CrossRef Medline](#)
- Rouw R, Scholte HS, Colizoli O (2011) Brain areas involved in synaesthesia: a review. *J Neuropsychol* 5:214–242. [CrossRef Medline](#)
- van Leeuwen TM, den Ouden HE, Hagoort P (2011) Effective connectivity determines the nature of subjective experience in grapheme-color synesthesia. *J Neurosci* 31:9879–9884. [CrossRef Medline](#)