# Genetic background of Brugada syndrome is more complex than what we would like it to be!

## **Hugues Abriel\***

Department of Clinical Research, Ion Channel Research Group, University of Bern, Murtenstrasse 35, Bern 3010, Switzerland

Online publish-ahead-of-print 29 April 2015

This editorial refers to 'Role of common and rare variants in SCN10A: results from the Brugada syndrome QRS locus gene discovery collaborative study' by E.R. Behr et *al.*, pp. 520-529.

Everything must be taken into account. If the fact will not fit the theory—let the theory go.

-Agatha Christie, The Mysterious Affair at Styles

Brugada syndrome (BrS) has been named after the description of the disease made by the Brugada brothers in 1992.<sup>1</sup> BrS is clinically characterized by arrhythmic events, in particular ventricular fibrillation, resulting in syncope and sudden cardiac arrest mainly in middle-aged men. The ECG shows a peculiar down-sloping elevation of the ST segment in the right pre-cordial ECG leads with inversion of T-waves.<sup>2</sup> Since 1998, a genetic component to BrS has been demonstrated.<sup>3</sup> Over the past years, at least 20 genes have been proposed either to cause BrS or to be BrS-susceptibility genes.<sup>4</sup>

For several years, SCN5A, which encodes the 'cardiac sodium channel' Na<sub>v</sub>1.5, was presented as a gene 'causing' BrS in  $\sim$ 20% of the patients; however, this concept had to be revised due to recent findings. First, in some families where the probands were found to carry SCN5A rare variants, other family members diagnosed with BrS did not carry the supposedly pathogenic variant.<sup>5,6</sup> Second, a recent genome-wide association study (GWAS) led to the concept that BrS could no longer be considered a monogenic disease and it suggested a key role for the three genes: SCN10A, SCN5A, and HEY2.<sup>7</sup> Patients who accumulated more than four of the risk alleles in these genes had an odds ratio of >20 to have BrS. The two genes SCN5A and SCN10A, which encode two different voltage-gated sodium channels, were also implicated in other GWAS studies<sup>8</sup> in physiological cardiac conduction, assessed as ECG parameters. These findings motivated several groups to investigate the, thus far, unknown role of the SCN10A gene product, the sodium channel Na<sub>v</sub>1.8, in cardiac electrical activity as this channel was only thought to be important in the sensory nervous system.

As it sometimes happens in science, this has led to controversial results. The first unresolved question is the location of expression of Na<sub>v</sub>1.8 in cardiac tissues. Two hypotheses are currently debated. On the one hand, expression of Na<sub>v</sub>1.8 is proposed by one research group to be specific to intracardiac neurons,<sup>9</sup> while on the other hand,

expression in cardiac myocytes of the myocardium and of the conduction pathway was suggested by another group.<sup>10</sup> The second point of disagreement is the role of genetic variants that were found in the gene *SCN10A* in patients with cardiac arrhythmias, in particular BrS. Upon investigation of a population of 150 BrS probands and family members, a recent study by Hu *et al.*<sup>11</sup> came to the conclusion that *SCN10A* genetic variants may cause BrS in 16.7% of these probands, thus putting *SCN10A* as a major susceptibility gene of BrS.

In the current issue of Cardiovascular Research, Dr E.R. Behr presents a multi-centre collaborative study,<sup>12</sup> involving 156 SCN5A mutation negative BrS probands where 7 candidate genes, including SCN10A, were sequenced. Contrary to the previous study by Hu et al.,<sup>11</sup> while most of the rare genetic variants were found in SCN10A, no statistical association with these SCN10A variants and BrS was observed. However, many of these variants showed functional alterations, such as reduction in Na<sub>v</sub>1.8-mediated sodium current when studied by patch clamping. Behr et al.<sup>12</sup> did not investigate the functional consequences of the co-expression of the Na<sub>v</sub>1.8 with the Na<sub>v</sub>1.5 channel in the same cells as done by Hu et al.<sup>11</sup> Their rationale not to study it is based on the evidence that these two channels are not co-expressed in cardiomyocytes.<sup>9</sup> This guestion of co-expression still remains unsolved, but one can nevertheless note that proteomic studies<sup>13</sup> performed using mouse cardiac tissue only revealed significant amounts of Nav1.5 and Na<sub>v</sub>1.4 peptides and none from Na<sub>v</sub>1.8. These observations by Behr et al. suggest that, while these rare Nav1.8 variants and their functional effects are consistent with the observed role of this channel in cardiac conduction, they are not directly involved in the pathogenesis of BrS.

The authors of the present study thus concluded that 'rare variation in *SCN10*, particularly in *SCN5A* mutation negative cases, is unlikely to cause BrS'. Behr *et al.* discuss the possible origins of this discrepancy and propose that their studied BrS population is more focused (enriched), and that a more stringent 'mutation' definition had been used. They also mention that by looking at larger control variant databases, only 2% of the *SCN10A* variants reported by Hu *et al.*<sup>11</sup> should be classified as 'rare'. Here, one should also mention the recent study by Le Scouarnec *et al.*<sup>4</sup> from the Institut du Thorax in Nantes, where the burden of rare coding variants in 20 BrS genes was estimated. Using a 'burden test' for the exonic sequences of these genes from 167 BrS probands, a significant enrichment in rare variants [with a

Published on behalf of the European Society of Cardiology. All rights reserved. © The Author 2015. For permissions please email: journals.permissions@oup.com.

The opinions expressed in this article are not necessarily those of the Editors of Cardiovascular Research or of the European Society of Cardiology.

<sup>\*</sup> Corresponding author. Tel: +41 31 6320928; fax: +41 31 6320946, Email: hugues.abriel@dkf.unibe.ch

definition of the minor allele frequency (MAF) <0.1% in an ethnically matched control population] was only observed for *SCN5A*, but not for *SCN10A*. These results are in line with the ones of the current study of Behr *et al.* in this issue of *Cardiovascular Research.* Importantly, these authors discuss that if Hu *et al.*<sup>11</sup> would also have used such a stringent rare variant definition of MAF <0.1% (instead of <0.5%), the proportion of *SCN10A* carriers in BrS patients would have fallen to 7.3% instead of 16.7%. Thus, these two studies by Behr *et al.*<sup>12</sup> and Le Scouarnec *et al.*<sup>4</sup> do not support the concept that *SCN10A* is a major susceptibility gene in BrS and propose plausible methodological explanations for the discrepant results.

There is no doubt that controversies are intrinsic to the scientific process; this is most likely a positive thing! However, in this case one has to be extremely careful, since these findings may have important consequences, as they may be used for guiding the work-up of patients with BrS and their family members. It is therefore important to replicate similar studies in larger populations (and similarly sized control populations) as well as from other ethnic backgrounds, and use a cautious definition of 'rare variant' as proposed in study<sup>4</sup> to sort through the role of *SCN10A* in BrS and other genetic cardiac arrhythmias.

### Acknowledgements

D. Shy is thanked for her comments on this manuscript.

Conflict of interest: none declared.

### Funding

This research has received funding from the European Community's Seventh Framework Programme FP7/2007–2013 under grant agreement No. HEALTH-F2-2009-241526, EUTrigTreat (to H.A.). The group of H.A. is supported by a grant of the Swiss National Science Foundation 310030\_14060.

#### References

- Brugada P, Brugada J. Right bundle branch block, persistent ST segment elevation and sudden cardiac death: a distinct clinical and electrocardiographic syndrome. A multicenter report. J Am Coll Cardiol 1992;20:1391–1396.
- Mizusawa Y, Wilde AAM. Brugada syndrome. Circ Arrhythmia Electrophysiol 2012;5: 606–616.
- Chen Q, Kirsch GE, Zhang D, Brugada R, Brugada J, Brugada P, Potenza D, Moya A, Borggrefe M, Breithardt G, Ortiz-Lopez R, Wang Z, Antzelevitch C, O'Brien RE,

Schulze-Bahr E, Keating MT, Towbin JA, Wang Q. Genetic basis and molecular mechanism for idiopathic ventricular fibrillation. *Nature* 1998;**392**:293–296.

- 4. Le Scouarnec S, Karakachoff M, Gourraud JB, Lindenbaum P, Bonnaud S, Portero V, Duboscq-Bidot L, Daumy X, Simonet F, Teusan R, Baron E, Violleau J, Persyn E, Bellanger L, Barc J, Chatel S, Martins R, Mabo P, Sacher F, Haissaguerre M, Kyndt F, Schmitt S, Bezieau S, Le MH, Dina C, Schott JJ, Probst V, Redon R. Testing the burden of rare variation in arrhythmia-susceptibility genes provides new insights into molecular diagnosis for Brugada syndrome. *Hum Mol Genet* 2015;**24**:2757–2763.
- Probst V, Wilde AAM, Barc J, Sacher F, Babuty D, Mabo P, Mansourati J, Le Scouarnec S, Kyndt F, Le Caignec C, Guicheney P, Gouas L, Albuisson J, Meregalli PG, Le Marec H, Tan HL, Schott JJ. SCN5A mutations and the role of genetic background in the pathophysiology of Brugada syndrome. *Circ Cardiovasc Genet* 2009;**2**:552–557.
- Saber S, Amarouch MY, Fazelifar AF, Haghjoo M, Emkanjoo Z, Alizadeh A, Houshmand M, Gavrilenko AV, Abriel H, Zaklyazminskaya EV. Complex genetic background in a large family with Brugada syndrome. *Physiol Rep* 2015;3:e12256.
- 7. Bezzina CR, Barc J, Mizusawa Y, Remme CA, Gourraud JB, Simonet F, Verkerk AO, Schwartz PJ, Crotti L, Dagradi F, Guicheney P, Fressart V, Leenhardt A, Antzelevitch C, Bartkowiak S, Schulze-Bahr E, Zumhagen S, Behr ER, Bastiaenen R, Tfelt-Hansen J, Olesen MS, Kaab S, Beckmann BM, Weeke P, Watanabe H, Endo N, Minamino T, Horie M, Ohno S, Hasegawa K, Makita N, Nogami A, Shimizu W, Aiba T, Froguel P, Balkau B, Lantieri O, Torchio M, Wiese C, Weber D, Wolswinkel R, Coronel R, Boukens BJ, Bezieau S, Charpentier E, Chatel S, Despres A, Gros F, Kyndt F, Lecointe S, Lindenbaum P, Portero V, Violleau J, Gessler M, Tan HL, Roden DM, Christoffels VM, Marec HL, Wilde AA, Probst V, Schott JJ, Dina C, Redon R. Common variants at SCN5A-SCN10A and HEY2 are associated with Brugada syndrome, a rare disease with high risk of sudden cardiac death. *Nat Genet* 2013;**45**:1044–1049.
- van den Boogaard M, Barnett P, Christoffels VM. From GWAS to function: genetic variation in sodium channel gene enhancer influences electrical patterning. *Trends Cardiovasc Med* 2014;24:99–104.
- Verkerk AO, Remme CA, Schumacher CA, Scicluna BP, Wolswinkel R, de JB, Bezzina CR, Veldkamp MW. Functional Nav1.8 channels in intracardiac neurons: the link between SCN10A and cardiac electrophysiology. *Circ Res* 2012;**111**:333–343.
- Yang T, Atack TC, Stroud DM, Zhang W, Hall L, Roden DM. Blocking SCN10A channels in heart reduces late sodium current and is antiarrhythmic. *Circ Res* 2012; 111:322–332.
- Hu D, Barajas-Martinez H, Pfeiffer R, Dezi F, Pfeiffer J, Buch T, Betzenhauser MJ, Belardinelli L, Kahlig KM, Rajamani S, DeAntonio HJ, Myerburg RJ, Ito H, Deshmukh P, Marieb M, Nam GB, Bhatia A, Hasdemir C, Haissaguerre M, Veltmann C, Schimpf R, Borggrefe M, Viskin S, Antzelevitch C. Mutations in SCN10A are responsible for a large fraction of cases of Brugada syndrome. J Am Coll Cardiol 2014;64:66–79.
- 12. Behr ER, Savio-Galimberti E, Barc J, Holst AG, Petropoulou E, Prins BP, Jabbari J, Torchio M, Berthet M, Mizusawa Y, Yang T, Nannenberg EA, Dagradi F, Weeke P, Bastiaenan R, Ackerman MJ, Haunso S, Leenhardt A, Kaab S, Probst V, Redon R, Sharma S, Wilde A, Tfelt-Hansen J, Schwartz P, Roden DM, Bezzina CR, Olesen M, Darbar D, Guicheney P, Crotti L, Jamshidi Y. Role of common and rare variants in SCN10A: results from the Brugada syndrome QRS locus gene discovery collaborative study. *Cardiovasc Res* 2015;**106**:520–529.
- Marionneau C, Lichti CF, Lindenbaum P, Charpentier F, Nerbonne JM, Townsend RR et al. Mass spectrometry-based identification of native cardiac Nav1.5 channel alpha subunit phosphorylation sites. J Proteome Res 2012;11:5994–6007.