Measurement of fecal elastase improves performance of newborn screening for cystic fibrosis
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Abstract

Background: The aim of newborn screening (NBS) for CF is to detect children with ‘classic’ CF where early treatment is possible and improves prognosis. Children with inconclusive CF diagnosis (CFSPID) should not be detected, as there is no evidence for improvement through early treatment. No algorithm in current NBS guidelines explains what to do when sweat test (ST) fails. This study compares the performance of three different algorithms for further diagnostic evaluations when first ST is unsuccessful, regarding the numbers of children detected with CF and CFSPID, and the time until a definite diagnosis.

Methods: In Switzerland, CF-NBS was introduced in January 2011 using an IRT-DNA-IRT algorithm followed by a ST. In children, in whom ST was not possible (no or insufficient sweat), 3 different protocols were applied between 2011 and 2014: in 2011, ST was repeated until it was successful (protocol A), in 2012 we proceeded directly to diagnostic DNA testing (protocol B), and 2013–2014, fecal elastase (FE) was measured in the stool, in order to determine a pancreas insufficiency needing immediate treatment (protocol C).

Results: The ratio CF:CFSPID was 7:1 (27/4) with protocol A, 2:1 (22/10) with protocol B, and 14:1 (54/4) with protocol C. The mean time to definite diagnosis was significantly shorter with protocol C (33 days) compared to protocol A or B (42 and 40 days; p = 0.014 compared to A, and p = 0.036 compared to B).

Conclusions: The algorithm for the diagnostic part of the newborn screening used in the CF centers is important and affects the performance of a CF-NBS program with regard to the ratio CF:CFSPID and the time until definite diagnosis. Our results suggest to include FE after initial sweat test failure in the CF-NBS guidelines to keep the proportion of CFSPID low and the time until definite diagnosis short.
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1. Introduction

Many countries have introduced national programs for newborn screening (NBS) for cystic fibrosis (CF) using a large variety of protocols [1,2]. In accordance with the WHO screening guidelines [3], the main aim of CF-NBS is to detect children with ‘classic’ CF [4] where early treatment is possible and improves prognosis. Children with equivocal CF diagnosis, now called CF screen positive inconclusive diagnosis (CFSPID) [5,6], should not be detected, as their prognosis is favorable and there is no evidence for improvement through early treatment. In this specific group, the harms of early detection with unnecessary medicalization and burden to the family outweigh potential benefits [7].

Sweat testing remains the gold standard in the diagnosis of CF [1,8]. However, sweat collection in infants is challenging with a failure rate of up to 40% below 3 months of age [9–13]. No algorithm in current NBS guidelines explains what to do when sweat tests fail. In Switzerland, CF-NBS was introduced in January 2011 using an IRT-DNA-IRT algorithm followed by a sweat test [14]. Initially, we repeated the sweat test after a few weeks or months until it was successful. This led to a long waiting time for definite diagnosis, and anxiety among families. After 1 year, we changed the algorithm and directly performed genetic testing after a sweat test failure. This led to more CFSPID and no reduction of the waiting time. We therefore changed the algorithm again and introduced the measurement of fecal elastase (FE) to reduce the number of CFSPID.

This study compares the performance of these three different algorithms for further diagnostic evaluations when first sweat test is unsuccessful. We compared: 1) the numbers of children detected with CF and CFSPID; and 2) the time until a definite diagnosis.

2. Methods

The CF-NBS in Switzerland has been described in detail elsewhere [15,16]. In short, the screening procedure in the laboratory (screening part) comprises the measurement of IRT in a heel prick test (Guthrie card) on day 4. If IRT is above the cut-off (99.2 percentile), a screening for the most common CFTR mutations is performed. The genetic kit included the seven most common CF mutations in Switzerland. Since 2013 the kit included 18 mutations (the 7 common ones, plus 11 rare mutations), because the components of the initial in-house kit were no longer available and a commercial kit was used. If no mutation is found, a second IRT is performed if the first IRT was ≥ 60 ng/ml. All children with a positive screening result are then referred to the nearest CF center for diagnostic evaluations (diagnostic part). Due to the law on human genetics, the screening laboratory is not allowed to report details of CFTR mutations, as the Swiss NBS program requires only an oral informed consent (with a possibility for parents to refuse) but not a written consent. The CF centers are only informed that none, one or two mutations were found, but not which mutations these are. All centers perform sweat tests (using Macroduct® sweat collection system) according to the current best practice guidelines [8,17–19]. If a sweat test is positive (chloride ≥ 60 mmol/l) or borderline (chloride 30–59 mmol/l) a diagnostic DNA analysis is performed. A CF diagnosis is based on two positive sweat tests or two CF-causing CFTR mutations (www.cftr2.org) [8]. A CFSPID diagnosis, according to the ECFS consensus, is based on a normal sweat chloride value (b 30 mmol/l) and two CFTR mutations, one of which has unclear phenotypic consequence or intermediate sweat chloride values (30–59 mmol/l) and one or no CFTR mutations [5].

In children, in whom sweat tests did not give a reliable result (no sweat, or less than 15 μl), 3 different protocols were applied between 2011 and 2014:

- **Protocol A (initial protocol; 2011):** We repeated the sweat test after a few weeks or months until it was successful.
- **Protocol B (2012):** We proceeded directly to diagnostic DNA testing.
- **Protocol C (2013–2014):** We proceeded to measure FE in the stool, in order to determine a pancreas insufficiency (PI) needing immediate treatment. If FE was low (b 200 μg/g) suggesting PI, we proceeded to a diagnostic DNA testing and started pancreatic enzyme replacement therapy (PERT). If FE was normal, we waited and repeated the sweat test when the child weighted ≥ 4000 g (Fig. 1).

To evaluate these 3 protocols, we compared the following parameters over all 3 protocols and between each protocol separately (A vs. B; A vs. C; and B vs. C). First, we compared the proportion of final diagnoses (CF, CFSPID, no CF) between protocols, using chi-square tests. Second, we calculated the proportion and 95% confidence interval (CI) of CFSPID among all positive diagnoses (CF + CFSPID) for each protocol and compared them between protocols. Third, we calculated the mean time to definite (genetically confirmed) diagnosis by protocol and compared them using t-tests. Finally, we described the proportion and 95% CI of children with N 2 months waiting time until definite diagnosis by protocol, and compared them using chi-square statistics.
3. Results

From January 2011 until December 2014, 339,685 children were screened for CF in Switzerland. Of these, 368 newborns were referred to a pediatric CF center for further investigations, 87 during protocol A (2011), 85 during protocol B (2012) and 193 during protocol C (2013/2014). The change of the DNA screening kit in 2013 (18 instead of 7 CFTR mutations) led to 4 additional referrals, of whom two were then diagnosed with CF, and two were healthy carriers, none had CFSPID. Overall, 103 children were diagnosed with CF, 18 with CFSPID and 244 children were CF negative (3 children were without follow-up of whom 2 had died). The final diagnoses differed significantly between the 3 protocols \(p = 0.015\). When making pair-wise comparisons, only the difference between B and C was statistically significant (Table 1).

The ratio CF:CFSPID was 7:1 (27/4) with protocol A, 2:1 (22/10) with protocol B, and 14:1 (54/4) with protocol C. The proportion of CFSPID among all positive diagnoses (CF + CFSPID) increased from protocol A (13%; 95% CI 5–31%) to protocol B (31%; CI 17–50%) and decreased again with protocol C (7%; CI −17%; Fig. 2). The mean time to definite diagnosis was significantly shorter with the third protocol. It was 42 days (range 13–208) for protocol A, 40 days (range 14–104) for protocol B \(p = 0.580\) and 33 days (range 10–152) for protocol C \(p = 0.014\) compared to A, and \(p = 0.036\) compared to B. The proportion of families with N2 months waiting time until diagnosis followed the same pattern with 17%, 15% and 7% during protocol A, B and C, respectively (Fig. 2). The median age of the children at unsuccessful sweat test was 22, 22, and 19 days in protocol A, B, and C respectively.

4. Discussion

In the Swiss Newborn Screening for CF, the introduction of genetic tests directly after sweat test failure did not reduce the time to diagnosis, but increased the proportion of CFSPID among all positive diagnoses. The introduction of FE reduced both time to diagnosis and proportion of CFSPID, although the latter was not statistically significant because of low numbers. A normal FE (N 200 μg/g) in the first year of life does not exclude CF as FE can fluctuate in the first year [20]. Therefore repeated sweat chloride test is mandatory for all children with normal FE, as reflected in our most recent algorithm (Fig. 1). We are aware that FE results are not everywhere available within a few days, as many laboratories perform the test only once a week. Overall, the Swiss CF-NBS had a good
Table 1
Referrals and final diagnoses in the Swiss NBS for the 3 different protocols.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CF</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>54</td>
<td>0.015</td>
<td>0.208</td>
<td>0.405</td>
<td>0.003</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CFSPID</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.015</td>
<td>0.208</td>
<td>0.405</td>
<td>0.003</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No CF</td>
<td>56</td>
<td>53</td>
<td>135</td>
<td>0.015</td>
<td>0.208</td>
<td>0.405</td>
<td>0.003</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total referrals</td>
<td>87</td>
<td>85</td>
<td>193</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Abbreviations: CF, cystic fibrosis; CFSPID, CF screen positive inconclusive diagnosis; N, number.

a p-value calculated from chi-square statistics comparing all 3 protocols.

b p-value calculated from chi-square statistics comparing protocol A with B, A with C and B with C, respectively.

' Three children without follow-up in this protocol period.

The performance when comparing with ECFS standards of care best practice guidelines[2].

The major limitations of our study are the small sample size and short duration of our observation period. The latter two explain why not all differences were statistically significant. The change of the number of screened CFTR mutations in 2013 did not affect the results much: it led to four referrals, of which two were diagnosed with CF, but none as CFSPID. Their initial IRT-1 was very high (124 and 89, respectively), so they would probably have been detected via the safety loop. But if not, the ratio CF:CFSPID would only have changed from 13.5 (54/4) to 13.0 (52/4), which is not significant. Since it started, the Swiss NBS program aimed to avoid detecting children with CFSPID, as it is unclear how these should be monitored and treated. A diagnosis of CFSPID leads often to unnecessary medicalization and anxiety among parents, and exposes the children to infections in CF clinics when they are followed [7]. The ratio CF:CFSPID of the final protocol (14:1) was in the aimed range (N 10:1), while the first two protocols (7:1 and 2:1 respectively) were not. Neither were the ratios, reported from Canada or Italy (1.4:1–2.9:1) [6].

In summary, this study shows that the algorithm for the diagnostic part of the newborn screening used in the CF centers is important and affects the performance of a CF-NBS program with regard to the ratio CF:CFSPID and the time until definite diagnosis. Our results suggest to include FE after initial sweat test failure in the CF-NBS guidelines to keep the proportion of CFSPID low and the time until definite diagnosis short.
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