

Infection Control & Hospital Epidemiology

<http://journals.cambridge.org/ICE>

Additional services for *Infection Control & Hospital Epidemiology*:

Email alerts: [Click here](#)

Subscriptions: [Click here](#)

Commercial reprints: [Click here](#)

Terms of use : [Click here](#)



Prevalence of Asymptomatic Bacteriuria in Hospitalized Patients

Sergio E. Trevino, Jeffrey P. Henderson, Jiami Wu, Candice Cass and Jonas Marschall

Infection Control & Hospital Epidemiology / Volume 37 / Issue 06 / June 2016, pp 749 - 751

DOI: 10.1017/ice.2016.56, Published online: 17 March 2016

Link to this article: http://journals.cambridge.org/abstract_S0899823X16000568

How to cite this article:

Sergio E. Trevino, Jeffrey P. Henderson, Jiami Wu, Candice Cass and Jonas Marschall (2016). Prevalence of Asymptomatic Bacteriuria in Hospitalized Patients. *Infection Control & Hospital Epidemiology*, 37, pp 749-751 doi:10.1017/ice.2016.56

Request Permissions : [Click here](#)

Although fosfomycin used to be primarily designated for urinary tract infection treatments, the lack of available antibiotics to treat carbapenemase producers has given fosfomycin an important adjuvant role, mainly in more severe infection cases. Despite that, according to results reported by Karageorgopoulos et al⁵ as well as this present study where the emergence of fosfomycin resistance was reported just shortly after its introduction in clinical practices (mid-2014), fosfomycin resistance has become a concern because the endemic level reached by the KPC-2-Kp is due to its great ability to adapt and survive,^{8,9} characteristics that came as an advantage mainly through antimicrobial selective pressure, strongly driven by the previous use, showing the need to establish a rigorous protocol for antimicrobial consumption.

The limitation of this study is due to the unknown genetic background information on which mechanism is involved to confer resistance to fosfomycin. So, further studies should be performed in order to detect possible genetic targets, such as *fosA3* gene, that encode for a specific enzyme and which have recently resulted in a high resistance level to fosfomycin among European KPC-producers.¹⁰

In conclusion, this study reports a significant emergence of fosfomycin resistance among KPC-2-Kp isolates in a relatively short period after the introduction of this antibiotic as an effective agent to treat KPC infections. Strict control practices are urgently required in order to avoid the resistance rate increase, regardless of the mechanism by which it occurs.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

Financial support. Conselho Nacional de Desenvolvimento Científico e Tecnológico, Brazil.

Potential conflicts of interest. The author reports no conflicts of interest relevant to this article.

Leandro Reus Rodrigues Perez, PhD

Affiliations: Microbiologia, Hospital Mãe de Deus, Porto Alegre, Brazil; and Laboratório de Pesquisa em Resistência Bacteriana, Centro de Pesquisa Experimental, Hospital de Clínicas de Porto Alegre, Porto Alegre, Brazil.

Address correspondence to Leandro Reus Rodrigues Perez, PhD, Laboratório de Pesquisa em Resistência Bacteriana, Centro de Pesquisa Experimental, Hospital de Clínicas de Porto Alegre, Ramiro Barcelos Street, 2350, Porto Alegre, RS, Brazil 90.035-003 (leandro.reus@gmail.com).

Infect Control Hosp Epidemiol 2016;37:748–749

© 2016 by The Society for Healthcare Epidemiology of America. All rights reserved. 0899-823X/2016/3706-0031. DOI: 10.1017/ice.2016.68

REFERENCES

1. Giske CG. Contemporary resistance trends and mechanisms for the old antibiotics colistin, temocillin, fosfomycin, mecillinam and nitrofurantoin. *Clin Microbiol Infect* 2015;21:899–905.
2. Michalopoulos A, Virtzili S, Rafailidis P, et al. Intravenous fosfomycin for the treatment of nosocomial infections caused by carbapenem-resistant *Klebsiella pneumoniae* in critically ill patients: a prospective evaluation. *Clin Microbiol Infect* 2010;16:184–186.

3. Karageorgopoulos DE, Wang R, Yu XH, Falagas ME. Fosfomycin: evaluation of the published evidence on the emergence of antimicrobial resistance in gram-negative pathogens. *J Antimicrob Chemother* 2012;67:255–268.
4. Jiang Y, Shen P, Wei Z, et al. Dissemination of a clone carrying a *fosA3*-harbouring plasmid mediates high fosfomycin resistance rate of KPC-producing *Klebsiella pneumoniae* in China. *Int J Antimicrob Agents* 2015;45:66–70.
5. Karageorgopoulos DE, Miriagou V, Tzouveleki LS, Spyridopoulou K, Daikos GL. Emergence of resistance to fosfomycin used as adjunct therapy in KPC *Klebsiella pneumoniae* bacteraemia: report of three cases. *J Antimicrob Chemother* 2012;67:2777–2779.
6. Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute (CLSI). Performance standards for antimicrobial susceptibility testing: 25th informational supplement. CLSI document. Wayne, PA: CLSI; 2015: M100-S25.
7. Perez LR, Rodrigues D, Dias CG. Evaluation of phenotypic tests to detect carbapenem-resistant Enterobacteriaceae in colonized patients hospitalized in intensive care units. *Braz J Infect Dis* 2015;19:436–438.
8. Perez LR. Carbapenem-resistant Enterobacteriaceae: a major prevalence difference due to the high performance of carbapenemase producers when compared to the nonproducers. *Infect Control Hosp Epidemiol* 2015;36:1480–1482.
9. Perez LR, Dias CG. Emergence of infections due to a polymyxin B-resistant KPC-2-producing *Klebsiella pneumoniae* in critically ill patients: what is the role of a previous colonization? *Infect Control Hosp Epidemiol* 2016;37:240–241.
10. Mendes AC, Rodrigues C, Pires J, et al. Importation of fosfomycin resistance *fosA3* gene to Europe. *Emerg Infect Dis* 2016;22:346–348.

Prevalence of Asymptomatic Bacteriuria in Hospitalized Patients

To the Editor—The prevalence of asymptomatic bacteriuria (ASB) varies widely based on the studied population. Currently, the prevalence of ASB in patients hospitalized in acute care institutions is unknown. Awareness of the prevalence of ASB in this setting would be useful in both medical decision making as well as public reporting of hospital-acquired urinary tract infections. In this prevalence study, 200 randomly selected patients admitted in April/May 2013 to a tertiary care academic center had urine samples collected for culture within 24 hours of being admitted. Data from the medical records were collected during these hospitalizations up to 30 days post-enrollment. The objective was to determine the prevalence of ASB. Of the 200 patients, 17 were found to have ASB for a prevalence of 8.5%.

Because infections acquired during a hospital stay are not always reimbursed by insurers, knowing what conditions were present on admission can be relevant from the hospital's perspective. ASB, usually defined as 1 (in men) or 2 separate

(in women) urine samples with microbial growth above a certain threshold in the absence of typical urinary tract symptoms, is such a condition. While the prevalence of ASB in patients hospitalized in acute care institutions is currently unknown, it has been determined in other populations and ranges from 1% to 5% in healthy premenopausal women to 100% in long-term catheterized patients.¹ ASB should not routinely be screened for; however, if it is first detected during the hospital stay after a catheter is placed or during a fever, it can easily be misinterpreted as healthcare-associated.² ASB is not a treatment indication (with few exceptions) but inappropriate antibiotic administration for ASB is common and associated with higher occurrence of antibiotic-resistant bacteria generating a major opportunity for antimicrobial stewardship.^{3,4} Our objective was to determine the prevalence of ASB among patients admitted to an academic medical center.

METHODS

We conducted a prevalence study from April 1 to May 31, 2013, and included 200 adult patients who were admitted to Barnes-Jewish Hospital, a 1,250-bed university-affiliated tertiary care center in St. Louis, Missouri, for a variety of reasons with the exception of a UTI diagnosis (or compatible symptoms). To apply inclusion and exclusion criteria, a convenience sample of 5–10 newly admitted patients were interviewed within 24 hours and asked for any urinary tract symptoms. Other exclusion criteria were fever $\geq 38^{\circ}\text{C}$ of unknown etiology (because UTI could be part of the differential diagnosis) and patients unable to communicate their symptoms. After obtaining informed consent, a mid-stream clean-catch urine sample was collected in the same 24-hour time window and was evaluated for urinalysis using a dipstick test and routine culture. A positive urine culture was defined as a single urine sample with microbial growth of $>10^5$ colony-forming units of a single organism.² Data from the medical record were collected during the patient's hospitalization, ending 30 days post-enrollment (if the patient was still admitted at that point). We considered a sequence of 200 enrolled patients in the order of their admission to the hospital (without prior sample size calculation). The results were not shared with the treating physicians. The Washington University Institutional Review Board approved the study.

RESULTS

Of the 200 included patients, 110 were women (55%). The mean age was 47.8 years (± 16.5). Most patients were white (112; 56%) or African-American (83; 41.5%). The admitting service was general medicine in 139 patients (69.5%) and neurology in 19 patients (9.5%), with comparatively fewer patients admitted to surgical services. In addition, 41 patients (20.5%) carried a diagnosis of diabetes mellitus. None of the patients had a urinary catheter in place on the day of admission.

TABLE 1. Urine Culture Results in 200 Patients Screened for Asymptomatic Bacteriuria Upon Admission

Urine Culture Results	No. (%)
Total samples	200 (100)
Clinically insignificant growth ($<10^5$ CFU)	102 (51.0)
Asymptomatic bacteriuria	17 (8.5)
Total organisms detected	18 (100)
<i>Escherichia coli</i>	4 (22)
<i>Klebsiella pneumoniae</i>	4 (22)
<i>Streptococcus</i> Group B	3 (17)
Coagulase-negative <i>Staphylococcus</i>	2 (11)
<i>Enterococcus</i> spp.	2 (11)
<i>Lactobacillus</i> spp.	1 (6)
<i>Providencia rettgeri</i>	1 (6)
Other Gram-negative bacilli	1 (6)

NOTE. CFU, colony-forming units.

Of the 200 patients, 17 (8.5%) were found to have ASB; all 17 were women. Another 102 (51%) patients had positive urine cultures but with insignificant growth according to the definition set forth above. The retrieved organisms are shown in Table 1. Comparing patients with ASB versus no ASB, there were no differences in age or race. Both the proportion of patients admitted to the ICU during their stay (1 patient of 17 patients [5.9%] with ASB vs 8 patients of 183 patients [4.4%] without ASB; $P = .7$) and the overall length of hospital stay (3 days [range, 2–10] vs 3 days [range, 2–34]; $P = .7$) were similar. Only 1 of the 200 patients was diagnosed with a UTI over the course of hospitalization, and 1 fatality occurred among the cohort; both of these occurred in the non-ASB group. During their hospital stays, 2 patients had a urinary catheter, and 14 of the 200 were receiving antimicrobials on admission (all in the non-ASB group). No ASB patient received therapy, as the culture results were not disclosed to treating physicians.

DISCUSSION

We found the prevalence of asymptomatic bacteriuria to be 8.5% in a general hospital population on the day of admission, with all affected patients being women and *Enterobacteriaceae* being the most common pathogen group. This rate is similar to data from other populations; however, to our knowledge, ASB prevalence has never been determined for acute care hospital admissions.^{5–7} The significance of the 51% samples with growth in urine cultures below the threshold is unclear; none of them developed a symptomatic UTI while being admitted. When obtaining urine cultures in patients admitted to an acute care hospital, providers should be aware that approximately 1 in 10 may arrive with ASB.

Our study had several limitations. It was a single-center study, and enrolled patients were middle-aged adults admitted mostly to lower acuity wards under general medicine or neurology services. Thus, the findings are difficult to generalize. Regardless, when working up a possible infection over the

hospital course that would qualify as hospital-acquired, the possibility of ASB that was present on admission should be given consideration.

Given that a substantial number of patients receive unnecessary antibiotics while hospitalized, these results serve as a reminder that the clinical picture must not be forgotten when interpreting laboratory findings. This is particularly relevant for positive urine cultures, a common justification for starting antibiotics irrespective of symptoms.^{8–10} Raising the awareness of ASB and its potential misinterpretation as nosocomial bacteriuria can lead to lower antibiotic consumption and thus decrease the development of antimicrobial resistance.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

Financial support: This work was supported by the National Institutes of Health (grant nos. KL2RR024994 and KL2TR000450 to J.M.), the Burroughs-Wellcome Fund Career Award for Medical Scientists (to J.P.H.), the National Institutes of Health (grant no. R01DK099534 to J.P.H.), the CDC Prevention Epicenters Program (grant no. 5U54CK000162 to J.M.). In addition, J.M. was supported by the Barnes-Jewish Hospital Patient Safety and Quality Fellowship Program.

Potential conflicts of interest: All authors report no conflicts of interest relevant to this article.

Sergio E. Trevino, MD,^{1,3}
Jeffrey P. Henderson, MD, PhD,¹
Jiami Wu, MPH,¹
Candice Cass,¹
Jonas Marschall, MD^{1,2}

Affiliations: 1. Division of Infectious Diseases, Washington University School of Medicine, St. Louis, Missouri, United States; 2. Department of Infectious Diseases, Inselspital, Bern University Hospital, Bern, Switzerland; 3. Department Critical Care Medicine, Essentia Health Fargo, Fargo, North Dakota, United States.

Address correspondence to Sergio E. Trevino, Critical Care Medicine, Essentia Health-Fargo, 3000 32nd Ave, Fargo, ND 58078, USA (Sergio.trevino@essentiahealth.org).

Infect Control Hosp Epidemiol 2016;37:749–751

© 2016 by The Society for Healthcare Epidemiology of America. All rights reserved. 0899-823X/2016/3706-0032. DOI: 10.1017/ice.2016.56

REFERENCES

- Colgan R, Nicolle LE, McGlone A, Hooton TM. Asymptomatic bacteriuria in adults. *Am Fam Physician* 2006;74:985–990.
- Force USPST. Screening for asymptomatic bacteriuria in adults: U.S. Preventive Services Task Force reaffirmation recommendation statement. *Ann Intern Med* 2008;149:43–47.
- Trautner BW. Asymptomatic bacteriuria: when the treatment is worse than the disease. *Nat Rev Urol* 2012;9:85–93.
- Cai T, Nesi G, Mazzoli S, et al. Asymptomatic bacteriuria treatment is associated with a higher prevalence of antibiotic resistant strains in women with urinary tract infections. *Clin Infect Dis* 2015;Aug 12, (Epub ahead of print).
- Nicolle LE. Urinary tract infections in special populations: diabetes, renal transplant, HIV infection, and spinal cord injury. *Infect Dis Clin North Am* 2014;28:91–104.
- Hooton TM, Bradley SF, Cardenas DD, et al. Diagnosis, prevention, and treatment of catheter-associated urinary tract infection in adults: 2009 International Clinical Practice Guidelines from the Infectious Diseases Society of America. *Clin Infect Dis* 2010;50:625–663.
- Iwalokun BA, Iwalokun SO, Hodonu SO, Aina OA, Agomo PU. Evaluation of microalbuminuria in relation to asymptomatic bacteriuria in Nigerian patients with sickle cell anemia. *Saudi J Kidney Dis Transpl* 2012;23:1320–1330.
- Lin E, Bhusal Y, Horwitz D, Shelburne SA 3rd, Trautner BW. Overtreatment of enterococcal bacteriuria. *Arch Intern Med* 2012;172:33–38.
- Silver SA, Baillie L, Simor AE. Positive urine cultures: a major cause of inappropriate antimicrobial use in hospitals? *Can J Infect Dis Med Microbiol* 2009;20:107–111.
- Gandhi T, Flanders SA, Markovitz E, Saint S, Kaul DR. Importance of urinary tract infection to antibiotic use among hospitalized patients. *Infect Control Hosp Epidemiol* 2009;30:193–195.