Managing Complications of Fillers: Rare and Not-So-Rare
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Abstract

Fillers belong to the most frequently used beautifying products. They are generally well tolerated, but any one of them may occasionally produce adverse side effects. Adverse effects usually last as long as the filler is in the skin, which means that short-lived fillers have short-term side effects and permanent fillers may induce life-long adverse effects. The main goal is to prevent them, however, this is not always possible. Utmost care has to be given to the prevention of infections and the injection technique has to be perfect. Treatment of adverse effects is often with hyaluronidase or steroid injections and in some cases together with 5-fluorouracil plus allopurinol orally. Histological examination of biopsy specimens often helps to identify the responsible filler allowing a specific treatment to be adapted.
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INTRODUCTION

Volumetric rejuvenation of the face with fillers has gained popularity as the least invasive intervention. However complications can occur. Some adverse effects are transient such as erythema and edema, whereas some may persist such as granulomas. The management of complications depends on several factors.

1. Severity of the adverse effect: Most of the immediate side effects are minor and disappear on their own. Moderate side effects require treatment that is usually conservative. Severe adverse effects may necessitate an immediate intervention.

2. Duration of the adverse effect: Generally, adverse effects last as long as the desired filler effects. This is true for most of the fillers. However, with collagen they may persist. A middle-aged woman was seen developing stone-hard swellings at each point where she was injected with bovine collagen, even at the test site, and despite all treatments these granulomas did not regress. Collagen injections have a risk of approximately 6% to produce granulomatous reactions and usually respond to corticosteroid injections within a few weeks. Adverse side effects to hyaluronic acid fillers were frequently seen at the beginning of their use, probably due to the protein moiety that is part of the biosynthetic production procedure. These swellings commonly remained at the site of injection and disappeared with adequate treatment; however they are now infrequent. Clumping of a filler causes lumps and bumps that usually have to be surgically removed. Permanent fillers cause permanent side effects.

3. Time course of adverse filler effects: The time course is divided into reversible or temporary (caused by collagen and hyaluronic acid); late and long-term (caused by poly-L-lactic acid (PLLA), calcium hydroxylapatite, alginate, and dextranomer beads n hyaluronic acid); and delayed and permanent
There is a general misconception that temporary fillers rarely provoke side effects; the frequency is comparable to that induced by long-term and permanent fillers but their duration is shorter and hence, they are less serious.

**COMPPLICATIONS**

Early side effects include erythema, edema, and bruising; they are in fact a normal physiological reaction to the injection of a foreign substance and can often be mitigated by cooling the injected area. Pain is reduced by slow injection and administration of local anesthetics in small volumes. Bruises are said to be reduced by using arnica, aloe vera, or vitamin K creams. Allergies may occur within hours in cases where the patient has been sensitized before. Lumps and bumps occur when an unsuitable filler is injected superficially or in the wrong location. These may occur immediately or develop from clumping of the substance due to muscle activity. Vascular compromise becomes evident within a day in case of arterial occlusion due to inadvertent intravascular injection or compression by the filler volume. Using a blunt cannula instead of a sharp injection needle prevents inadvertent intravascular injection.\[1\] This is particularly dangerous for the glabella, inner corner of the eye, and ala nasi, and is reported to cause skin necrosis and even blindness.\[2,3\] A review of the world literature on eye complications from filler injections identified 98 cases in English-language journals [Table 1]. Most cases were due to autologous fat (n=47), hyaluronic acid (n=23) and collagen (n=8), whereas all other substances used as fillers were below 5%. Fifty-nine cases were reported from South Korea where the data were collected from the major retinal centres.\[4\] Whenever blanching is seen during the injection, it must be stopped immediately.\[5\] Hyaluronidase is injected around the vessel in order to prevent the side effects caused by hyaluronic acid filler. Aggressive massage, warm compresses, and topical nitroglycerin are further supportive measures.\[6,7,8\]

Late complications are defined as those appearing after about 2-6 weeks. They comprise late allergic reactions, chronic inflammation and infection, granulomas, filler migration, loss of function, telangiectasia, and hypertrophic scars. A detailed history may disclose a potential allergy. To avoid infection, the injection site has to be thoroughly disinfected and defatted and the injection must not be done through makeup.\[9\] Infection should be immediately treated with antibiotics and usually responds quickly. Granuloma formation is unpredictable but depends on the material used. Particulate material is prone to induce a macrophagic reaction, particularly the permanent fillers, but also poly-L-lactic acid (PLLA) the particles of which have a crystalloid structure. The probability of granulomas increases with the surface-to-volume relation of the filler particles and their shape with sharp edges. Homogenous fillers rarely induce granulomas. Good quality hyaluronic acid fillers are virtually devoid of this effect. Silicone oil provokes a slightly different reaction called silicoma with lymphocytic infiltrates. Permanent fillers on the basis of acrylate gels appear homogenous but are, in fact, particulate.

Delayed reactions are those that occur 6 weeks after the filler injection. They are caused mainly due to bacterial biofilms,\[10\] although this view has been vehemently disputed. They may induce granulomas as well as the so-called cold abscesses. As biofilms are mostly bacterial in nature, they should not be treated with steroid injections.\[11\]

Delayed side effects may develop months or years after the placement of the filler, and the patient often does not remember which filler he/she had got and whether other fillers had also been used. Nonphysician injectors are often ignorant of the side effects of the fillers they use, and they also neglect good clinical practices such as disinfection and wearing of gloves.

**ADVERSE EFFECTS ACCORDING TO TYPE OF FILLERS**

Most adverse effects are not specific to a particular filler. They may be the result of volume augmentation or due to technical faults such as wrong indication, placement site, wrong injection needle,\[12\] and infection caused by contaminated ice or water.\[13\] Infections are differentiated from other nodules and granulomas by radiolabeled leukocyte scintigraphy.\[14\] Inflammatory and immune-mediated adverse effects often occur late. Persistent edema, granulomas,\[15\] sarcoid-like reactions, and panniculitis are the
most common side effects. Systemic granulomatous, autoimmune diseases and acute hypersensitivity reactions are very rare.[16] Manipulation close to an injection site may lead to infection even years after the placement of the filler.[17]

**Autologous fat**

Adherence to key principles, such as sterile technique and low-volume injection throughout layers of tissue, is necessary for obtaining excellent results. Adverse outcomes are rare. However, early adoption of surgical procedures by those without a sound understanding of the underlying principles and techniques can have disastrous consequences. Furthermore, physicians operating on any patient must understand the potential for complications and be able to manage these appropriately when they occur.[18] Fat longevity is dependent upon methods of handling and preparation of the fat. Poor fat viability produces an inadequate result. This has thus to be considered as a complication.[19]

On the other hand, poor aesthetic results due to significant weight gain as a result of corticosteroids, oral contraception, and a change of lifestyle were seen in a patient with Romberg's syndrome.[20] Lipomodelling of the breast was performed in 880 cases, approximately 140 mL of filler was injected for a desired volume of 100 mL, which remained stable for 3-4 months. No radiological problems at mammography occurred after the procedure. Fat necrosis was observed in only 3% of the cases. Serious complications included one case of infection at the harvest site, six cases of infection at the injection site, and one case of intraoperative pneumothorax.[21] Abscess formation, life-threatening sepsis, and residual deformity have also been reported.[18]

A recent review of the English-language literature revealed 98 cases of vision change due to filler injections, of which 47 were due to autologous fat injection; one patient experienced necrosis of her left eye after 2 days and died after 4 days.[4] Neurological complications after fat injection comprised 2 patients with unilateral loss of vision after fat injection in glabella.[22] 2 patients with sudden loss of vision, aphasia and hemiparesis[23] and 1 patient with sensorimotor hemiparesis after infarction of the middle cerebral artery.[24] Three preconditions that predispose to intravascular fat embolism include well-vascularized tissue, a sudden local increase in pressure in the injected tissue and fragmentation of parenchyma.[25] It is assumed that fragments of fatty tissue reach ocular and cerebral arteries by reversed flow through branches of the carotid arteries from the facial vessels. Complication of fat embolism can appear either immediately after the fat injection or after a latency period. It may remain subclinical and may not be recognized, or the clinical features may be misinterpreted.[25] To minimize the risk of such a major complication, it is essential that fat injections should be performed slowly, with the least possible force. Routine funduscopic examination after fat injections into the face may be useful.[25]

**Human collagen**

Autologous human collagen is well-tolerated, both when derived from cultured fibroblasts and autologous injectable dermis.[26] Human allogeneic collagen was found to elicit acute-to-subacute inflammatory reactions.[27] but no serious long-term side effects were reported. The cosmetic effect lasts 4-7 months.

**Nonhuman collagens**

Nonhuman collagens are foreign proteins that exhibit a risk of allergies and granulomas, particularly bovine collagen, although porcine collagen is better tolerated. The side effects are mostly temporary until all collagen has been degraded but one case was observed with stone-hard granulomas not disappearing with any treatment over more than a decade.[28] Most granulomas are palisaded around amorphous eosinophilic material representing bovine collagen. This is characterized by structureless deposits, lack of birefringence, and pale gray-violet staining with Masson's trichrome stain.[29] Whether the injection of collagenase[30] would be successful has not been examined. About 4-6% of the patients developed temporary granulomas at the injection site. Testing and double testing before treatment were recommended, and in both cases granulomas were found to occur.

Presently, collagen fillers are used less frequently, and as a result adverse effects are expected to be rare.

**Hyaluronic acid**
Hyaluronic acid (HA) is universally present in all animal species. It is not species-specific; however, hyaluronans are linked to proteins that are species-specific. Good preparations are (almost) free from foreign proteins. They have a low propensity to induce granulomas, but show a variety of transitory side effects such as rare granulomas and infection. Presently, approximately 200 HA preparations are on the market. To prevent untoward adverse effects, high-quality brands with a low rate of problems are preferred as their complication rate is much lower.

Untested cheap products should not be used at all.

HA is currently the most widely used filler. It has a longevity of approximately 6 months, but this depends on the molecular size, method of cross-linking, and the region of injection. There are differences among HA fillers concerning the size of the molecule, the protein content, the chemical bonding, the fluidity, whether they are monophasic or biphasic, injection pain, and longevity. A good preparation does not clump as this may give rise to granulomas. They were not rare in the beginning of the non-animal-derived synthetic HAs (NASHAs) but are now very rare, except for new, cheap, untested products, particularly bought online through the internet.

In the early period of HA filler use, reactions were assumed to be caused due to hypersensitivity. Erythematous multiform rashes and systemic anaphylactoid reactions are very rare side effects that occur after intra-articular injection of HA with native HA having a lower sensitizing potential.

Side effects due to the substance are usually short-lived and can instantaneously be treated by injecting hyaluronidase. The dose depends on the specific drug and also varies according to the HA used and its degree of cross-linking. Hyaluronidase cleaves both natural and cross-linked HA. Bovine, ovine, and recombinant hyaluronidase are available. As they are foreign proteins, they have the potential of causing an anaphylactic shock in sensitized individuals. It is, therefore, necessary to question the patient about possible allergies. Hyaluronidase can also be used to treat HA granulomas.

Technique-related side effects occur as with other fillers as well. HA injected too superficially may shine through with a bluish-grayish color, due to the Tyndall effect. It has to be used with care in the eyelids as it may cause swelling due to its ability to attract water. Accidental intracapillary injection may cause livedo reticularis.

The histopathology of grayish-glassy nodules after superficial injection shows HA deposits without any inflammatory changes. Granulomas exhibit a very dense lymphocytic infiltrate with abundant eosinophils and many foreign body giant cells containing basophilic amorphous material that corresponds to HA. Autoinjected diluted HA cream resulted in a dense inflammatory and granulomatous infiltrate to the abused cream vehicle. It was successfully treated with systemic antibiotics and intralesional steroid injections.

Alginate

In an attempt to develop a new biological filler with a longer duration, an alginate derived filler (Novabel®) was marketed. Very shortly after its launch, the side effects of granulomas were observed; hence, it had to be withdrawn from the market. The granulomas started with erythema and swelling until hard nodules formed 2-5 months after the injection. Ultrasound showed hypoechoic structures with a hyperechoic rim. Histopathology revealed spherical basophilic structures of 100-120 μm diameter surrounded by a rim of giant cells. Around the granulomas, a distinct hyaline collagen capsule was seen.

Hyaluronic acid plus dextranomer microspheres

Dextranomer beads were incorporated in HA to improve the longevity of the filler. They consist of cross-linked dextran molecules with a positive surface charge and a diameter of 80-120 μm. The beads attract macrophages that release tumor growth factor-β and interleukins stimulating collagen deposition around the dextranomer beads, maintaining the volume correction effect after the resorption of HA. The material is well tolerated with only three reports of a granulomas, one of which was suppurative and the other ones were rich in foreign body giant cells. The histopathology shows dark bluish or purplish dextranomer beads, or may exhibit empty spaces giving a “Swiss-cheese” aspect. Incision of the nodules and treatment with cephalixin and methylprednisolone acetate led to complete resolution in one case.
Poly-L-lactic acid (PLLA)

This substance has been used for decades in medicine and surgery and is well-tolerated. In contrast, PLLA as a filler comes as a powder of crystalloid particles that has to be reconstituted before injection. Subcutaneous nodules are either fibrotic or granulomas. They can form because of insufficient time during reconstitution of the material, inadequate dilution, overcorrection, superficial injection techniques, or inappropriate concentration of PLLA molecules secondary to muscle movement. Granulomas are thought to be due to allergic or inflammatory host responses.[1] In the early years, a volume of 3 mL of filler was recommended: This was found to cause granulomas and it also clogged the injection needle. Now, most physicians use 10 mL or more of physiologic saline, often with some lidocaine. After injection, the water is resorbed and the PLLA particles induce a fibroblastic reaction lasting for 24 months or longer. This may cause fibrotic nodules around the eyes and in the hands,[46] and the substance may clump in the lips; these are adverse effects of a faulty technique. PLL granulomas are classical giant cell granulomas with many epithelioid cells and relatively few lymphocytes. The PLL particles are oval, fusiform, or spiky, and seen in epithelioid and giant cells as well as in between. They show birefringence in polarized light.[47] The granulomas last for at least 18 months, which approximately represents the time needed to hydrolyze the crystalloid particles.[48]

Calcium hydroxyl apatite

Calcium hydroxyl apatite (CAH) is an inorganic material that has been used successfully as bone cement for decades. The material currently used as a cosmetic filler is Radiesse® (Merz Pharmaceuticals, Frankfurt am Main, Germany). It consists of microspheres (30%) of 25–45 mm diameter suspended in carboxymethyl cellulose (70%) gel. It is biochemically inert and nonantigenic but stimulates collagen production. When injected as a suspension for soft tissue augmentation, it is very well-tolerated. Its filling effect lasts 9–12 months[49] and sometimes longer. Adverse effects are rare and caused mostly due to technical faults. Postinjection cellulitis was reported at a frequency of 1.7% in one study.[50] It must not be injected into the lips as it tends to clump and produce palpable nodules.[51] In elderly women, granulomas were observed that consist of tightly packed dark bluish microspheres of 25–40 µm diameter and giant cells.[52] The nodules were effectively treated by fractional CO₂ laser.[53] A grade 3 systemic reaction developed 30 min after the injection of cyclohexyladenosine (CHA) vocal cord filler prompting the authors to recommend a 30-min postprocedure observation period.[54]

Acrylic hydrogel

Suspension of ethyl methacrylate and hydroxyethylmethacrylate particles in hyaluronic acid was marketed as DermaLive® and DermaDeep®. Initial reports claimed good tolerance level[55] but soon it became evident that this biphasic filler caused late granulomas in a very high percentage of cases[56,57,58] and it had to be withdrawn from the market. However, granulomas still occur.[59] They present as palpable and then often visible nodules [Figures 6 and 7]. Fistulation may develop with time, and occasionally a keratoacanthoma-like appearance is seen.[60] The granulomas are well-delimited and easy to dissect surgically [Figure 8]; however, new granulomas develop until all material has been removed. Other treatment options are intralesional corticosteroids, allopurinol, and 5-fluorouracil. Antibiotics are given immediately if an infection is suspected.[61] The histopathology shows a dense granuloma with a fibrous pseudocapsule containing masses of crystalloid acrylate particles. The granulomas are made up of epithelioid and foreign body giant cells that engulf the particles. Some areas become necrotic and contain cholesterol clefts. Epidermal ridges may grow down and try to surround the foreign material giving rise to fistule formation. Some granulomas may become sclerotic with time [Figures 9–12]. Acrylic hydrogel is probably the product with the highest risk of complications.

Polyacrylamide gel

Polyacrylamide gel (PAAG) is a suspension of 2.5–5% PAAG in sterile water. It is a large volume filler and can hold 300 to 400 times its weight in water. It is marketed under many different names: PAAG (Sincos Eastcos, Hong Kong, China); Amazing gel, Aqualift, Aquamid (Contura International, Søborg, Capital Region of Denmark, Denmark); Argiform, Bioformacryl, Formacryl, and Outline, each of which contain slightly different additional components.[1] The material is widely resistant to enzymatic degradation and phagocytosis and hence, it is considered a permanent filler. The particles can harbor bacteria on their
surface and give rise to late infections, biofilms, and abscesses.[62] It does not induce allergic reactions. It is mainly used in breast augmentation surgeries, especially in Eastern Europe and Asia. Although the results are immediate, overcorrection is necessary. It remains soft and pliable after injection, which is a major advantage of this filler over other substances.[63] PAAG should not be injected over other products. It is generally well-tolerated; however, severe adverse effects, such as swelling, lumps, abscesses, facial disfigurement, gel dislocation, and respiratory distress, have been known to occur.[64] Other series reported breast deformity, lumpiness, intermittent swelling, pain, and gel extrusion.[65,66,67] The gel is exceedingly biocompatible, making it an excellent medium for bacteria.[68] The main risk is infection that often develops after 8-12 months or even later. Cultures frequently remain negative and only PCR can identify bacteria, such as Propionibacterium acnes, Streptococcus oralis, Streptococcus mitis, and Staphylococcus aureus, which are normally not pathogenic and some atypical mycobacteria hinting at the importance of preinjection site disinfection. Histopathology shows neutrophils, karyorrhexis, numerous macrophages, and foreign body giant cells around a gel that appears to be morphologically similar to hyaluronic acid. Giant cells often contain vesicles full of PAAG, and the material frequently shows small blebs in the material are seen both when it is in giant cells as well as in large lakes in the tissue. PAAG is not birefringent but positive with Alcian blue.

Polyalkylimide gel
Polyalkylimide gel 4% in water (Bio-Alcamid, Polymelion, Milan, Lombardy, Italy) is also a large-volume filler. It is injected into the deep dermis or hypodermis. It develops a thin collagen capsule around the site of injection preventing migration and keeping it apart from the surrounding tissue. An advantage is that aspiration or punching a small hole over it permits its removal. Side effects are edema, bruising, nodules, infections, severe inflammatory reactions, unsatisfactory appearance, and late-appearing abscesses. Migration despite the fibrosis around it is a rare event.[69] Histopathology shows basophilic amorphous material surrounded by neutrophils and erythrocytes. Gam stain may reveal bacteria. Infections are very difficult to treat and require long-term high-dose antibiotics, incision, drainage, and irrigation.[70,71]

Polyvinylhydroxide microspheres in polyacrylamide gel
It is a suspension of 6% polyvinyl hydroxide microspheres in 25% PAAG hydrogel (Evolution®, ProCyteh SA location details not given). It is reportedly well-tolerated but is not used very often.[53,72]

Polymethylmethacrylate (PMMA)
Polymethylmethacrylate (PMMA) beads suspended in bovine collagen were marketed as Artecoll®, later Artefill® and Artesense®. The products require testing of bovine collagen before use to avoid an immune reaction. Patients with keloids should not be treated with this.[73] Around 3 weeks after injection, collagen is deposited around the microspheres virtually encapsulating them. Overcorrection is not performed.

Artefill® polishes microbeads and attracts fewer impurities with less risk of inducing granulomas.[74] Metacril and Metrex are also PMMA particles but they are not round and polished. Granulomas are a rare side effect with an incidence rate of 0.01% [75,76] but are difficult to treat.[77] Lumps are frequent, particularly in the lips but most are only palpable and not visible. Granulomas develop even several years after the injection[78] and occur after treatment with interferon for hepatitis C[79] or after laser skin resurfacing (D Vochelle, personal communication). Sudden onset of induration, swelling, tenderness, and erythema indicates granuloma. The histopathology reveals a typical granuloma with round empty-appearing clear spaces in a fibrotic tissue. Therapy constitutes intralesional corticosteroids and 5-fluorouracil[80] as well as allopurinol and surgery. Metacril granulomas are melted with high frequency endoacoagulation that leaves a residue of burnt plastic with a characteristic smell.[81] Intralesional laser treatment is another option.

Mineral oils, paraffin, and other lipid derivatives
Vaseline was the first substance to replace a testicle more than 120 years ago. Other crude substances such as paraffin, lanolin, cod liver oil, or beeswax were also used in the late nineteenth and early twentieth centuries. The initial results were satisfying but the long-term results were appalling with skin hardening, swelling, granuloma formation, ulceration and fistulation, infections, abscesses, and even cancer development.[1]
The side effects of paraffin are irreversible and hence, it is no longer legally used as a filler. Highly inflammatory granulomas after fraudulent use of paraffin or other oils containing vitamin E, sometimes also D and A, are still seen.\[82\] Injection of paraffin into the penis caused sclerosing lipogranuloma characterized by fibrosis and deformation.\[83\] Vaseline and other mineral oils cause a very similar reaction.\[84, 85, 86, 87\]

Histopathologically the deep reticular dermis and subcutaneous fat are involved with a predominantly lobular panniculitis with a Swiss-cheese appearance. The cystic spaces are surrounded by foamy histiocytes and giant cells. The collagen bundles in-between are sclerotic.

Whether ultrasound liquefaction of the fat where the inappropriate substance had been injected, and subsequent extraction by a suction cannula helps to eliminate this material remains to be seen.\[88, 89\]

Silicone
Silicone is a filler with irreversible side effects. It is a highly polymerized hydrophobic oil (Silikon 1000, Adatosil 5000, Biopolimero), gel (MDX 4-011), or solid rubber consisting of dimethylsiloxane units. It is well-tolerated but the occasional side effects are dramatic and irreversible; therefore, it is banned for cosmetic use in the European Union and the United States. Those who still use silicone off-label claim that pure silicone\[90\] and proper microdroplet technique prevent adverse effects but this view is disputed. Medical grade silicone oil is pure and sterile. The secret of good results is the injection of truly minute amounts.\[91, 92, 93\] A mixture of silicone with hyaluronic acid was recently described as “the optimal filler.”\[94\]

Side effects are local and systemic. Small nodules are minor complications that occur within a year after injection. They occur due to injection of too much substance. Indurations and erythema with swelling are silicone granulomas that frequently appear 2-12 years after injection. Some authors differentiate between silicoma, which consists almost exclusively of macrophages containing small droplets of silicone oil and contains virtually no inflammatory cells, and silicone granuloma, with silicone containing macrophages, lymphocytes [Figures 13 and 14], and few giant cells that are somewhat artificial. Both respond to intratraesional corticosteroids in most cases. Major complications are systemic with pneumonitis, acute respiratory distress syndrome, sudden death after intravascular injection, migration of large volumes of low-viscosity silicone oil, erysipelas-like reactions, blindness, loss of neurologic functions, and death after silicone oil was inadvertently injected into the ophthalmic or meningeal vessels.

Silicone elastomer particles
Silicone elastomer particles (SEP) suspended in polyvinylpyrrolidone plastdone hydrogel (Bioplastique®) were used in urology and for vocal cord augmentation. It causes some lumps and granulomas.\[95, 96\]

GENERAL CONSIDERATION ON THE HISTOPATHOLOGY OF ADVERSE FILLER EFFECTS
Many fillers display a specific morphology and/or staining pattern in the skin.\[52\] This is both true for acute reactions when the filler is still visible as well as for late reactions such as granulomas and infections with abscesses.

Bovine collagen is seen as a dense eosinophilic mass in the skin. It is not birefringent like human collagen fibers. Early “allergic” reactions show a lymphocytic infiltrate that may turn into a granuloma with many epithelioid cells and some intermingled giant cells.

HA is sometimes seen in the skin as a structureless basophilic substance, corresponding to the Tyndall effect when localized very superficially. Granulomas were seen in the early times of manufacturing of streptococcal HA, most probably due to the content of bacterial protein. This is extremely rare with this product. Another brand new filler caused many granulomas and abscesses with a dense lymphocytic infiltrate, many giant cells, often of excessive size, and abundant eosinophils around basophilic HA. In case of abscesses and fistulation, the foci of neutrophils are seen.

Matriderm demonstrates both hyaluronic acid as well as déxtranomer beads in a cell-rich granuloma. The microspheres are perfectly round and darkly basophilic or purple allowing the product to be identified.
PLLA is seen as crystalloid material in epithelioid cell granulomas with giant cells often surrounded by fibrosis. The material is birefringent permitting its exact identification.

Acrylic hydrogel mainly causes late granulomas and no HA is seen any more. The acrylic particles are polyedric and seen in a dense granuloma with giant cells, many of which try to phagocytose the foreign bodies. Necrotic areas are frequent and contain cholesterol clefts. Clinically visible fistulae correspond to epidermal ingrowths trying to engulf and transpidermal ly eliminate filler materials.

PMMA (Artecoll, Artefill) is seen as round empty-appearing spaces in a fibrotic tissue. Although appearing to be of relatively uniform size, this depends on the sect on plane of the bead. Epithelioid and giant cells are seen in granulomas.

PAAG is biologically well-tolerated. The main risk is infection that may cause abscesses and necroses. Granulomas contain epithelioid and giant cells. The material is basophilic and does not exhibit a wavy structure often seen with hyaluronic acid.

Silicone oil causes granulomas with droplets of varying size, some of which are seen in epithelioid cells. Giant cells are rare as there are no particles. Dense lymphocytic infiltrates are mainly seen in perivascular localization.

Sclerosing lipogranuloma is a characteristic feature of paraffin and vaseline injections, mainly in the penis to increase its girth. It is characterized by a Swiss-cheese-like aspect in a fibrotic tissue with lymphocytes, epithelioid, and giant cells. The empty spaces are of variable size.

The injection of vitamin E in different oils gives a similar histopathological picture but as these injections are now mainly used in the face, particularly in the lips, by nonmedical persons the changes are much more acute and the inflammatory component is more obvious in these cases.

**IMAGING TECHNIQUES**

Imaging techniques were introduced in the diagnosis of filler complications, particularly in suspected abscesses. Other indications are overfilling, migration, foreign-body granulomas, and scarring. High-frequency ultrasound complemented with magnetic resonance imaging and white blood cell scintigraphy permitted the distinction between infections, fibrosis, granulomatous inflammation, and product migration.

Calcium hydroxyapatite is radio-opaque, and can be seen in normal radiographs. Its injection may cause local hypermetabolism, and it can be a source of false-positive findings in positron emission tomography (PET) scans. Using conventional x-ray films and computed tomography (CT) and magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) techniques, a distinction of different materials is often possible.

**GENERAL TREATMENT REMARKS**

Prevention is always better and easier than treatment. After identifying the filler substance and the exact nature of the adverse side effect, the appropriate therapy is chosen. Early side effects such as injection pain, immediate swelling, and ecema usually do not require specific treatment. Cooling is sufficient to alleviate the immediate postinjection pain; however, this is rare after the addition of lidocaine as a local anesthetic.

Swelling may respond to acetysalicylic acid or another nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory drug. Placement of too much material or in the wrong area requires immediate massage or removal, if possible. Lump formation after CAH injection in the lip is a technical fault as well as too superficial an injection. Proper training for injecting this substance is mandatory.

Blanching extending beyond the immediate area of the injection volume may be a sign of vascular occlusion. Nitroglycerin cream and warming may be sufficient in mild cases.

HA can be rapidly dissolved with hyaluronidase. Most of these enzyme preparations are of animal origin and there is the theoretical possibility of a sensitization. It is wise to use one preparation to get experience with it as the dosage may vary among the different drugs. The effect is usually seen within hours, and reinjection is possible after 24 h; so small doses are recommended in the beginning.

The treatment of late and delayed adverse effects is challenging. First, the responsible substance has to be identified. This is often difficult as the patients do not know, or are reluctant to disclose, which filler was injected. Once a granuloma developed, it is to be assumed that more granulomas will continue to develop.
as long as the foreign material is in the skin. It is not evident whether fillers can be reliably identified on attenuated total reflectance/Fourier transform infrared analysis spectroscopy.[103] Another validated method is the histological examination of sections, which yield quite specific changes with most different fillers.[64,104]

The differentiation of infection from noninfectious granulomas is possible with radioactive labeled leukocytes. In case of infection, antibiotics have to be given for a long period and in doses capable of preventing the infection. Staphylococcus-fast antibiotics, such as cephalosporins, are given intravenously. [105] Vancomycin is used against Staphylococcus epidermidis.

Granulomas often respond to intralesional injection of a mixture of 5-fluorouracil (250 mg/mL), triamcinolone acetonide (10 mg/mL) plus mepivacaine (1 mL), which is given first twice a week and then once a week,[106] in addition to allopurinol given in a dose of 300-600 mg/day.[107] TNF-α inhibitors have not yet gained much acceptance in the treatment of granulomas.

CONCLUSION

Fillers are the most frequently used substances in aesthetic medicine. The “consumers” are not sick individuals, but healthy persons expecting to look better after the procedure. Any adverse effect is a catastrophe for them and for the treating physician. The following measures have to be taken to avoid the adverse effects: The physician must be well-trained, the best product must be used, and indications, contraindications, proper aseptic injection techniques, and adequate localization for each specific filler to be injected should be respected. The client has to follow the physician’s recommendations after treatment. The best would be to give a “filler pass” to the patient that notes which filler was injected when and where. Despite all precautions, adverse effects may occur. The physician should take these adverse effects very seriously and never dismiss a patient’s concerns. The treatment should be instituted as soon as possible.
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Figures and Tables
### Table 1

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Site of injection</th>
<th>Fillers</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Glabella 38, nasal</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>region 25, nasolabial</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>fold 13, forehead 12,</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>periorcular 6, temple 3,</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>cheek 5, eyelids 4,</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>lips 3, chin 1*</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fat 47, hyaluronic acid 23, collagen 8, paraffin 4, polymethyl methacrylate 5, silicon oil 3, poly-L-lactic acid 3, calcium hydroxyl apatite 2, polycaprolactam 1, micronized dermal matrix 1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Signs and symptoms</th>
<th>Additional CNS symptoms</th>
<th>Treatment</th>
<th>Course of the adverse event</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Complete unilateral vision loss 65, ocular pain or headache 47, lack of ocular movement or ophthalmoplegia 40, ptosis 32, extracapsular deviation of the eyes 16, significant skin changes 15, nausea and vomiting 10</td>
<td>CNS symptoms and signs including infarction and hemiplegia 23, one death after 4 days in coma</td>
<td>Observation, digital massage, intracutaneous pressure-lowering agent, intravenous methylprednisolone, oral corticosteroids, oxygen, carbon dioxide therapy, antibiotics, mechanical and chemiluminescency thermal therapy, angiopterygial hemorrhage, corneal flatting, exenteration, hyaluronidase</td>
<td>Vision loss did not improve except for 2 patients. One patient had vision recovering in one eye only. Two patients had ongoing ophthalmoplegia, one patient persistent ptosis</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*When lip and chin were injected on other facial region received fillers.

Eye complications from fillers (adapted from Beleznay et al.[3])
Figure 1

Over correction Tyndall effect hyaluronic acid (Courtesy Dr. M.Cantisano-Zilkha)
Reaction after injection of a new hyaluronic acid filler (Hylacorp®)
Figure 3

Scanning microscopy of the excision specimen of the patient shown in Figure 2.
Medium power histopathology photograph of the hyaluronic acid injection specimen showing clumps of basophilic hyaluronan, giant cells and huge masses of eosinophils.
Figure 5

Close-up photograph of the hyaluronan granuloma with multinucleated giant cells engulfing the material as well as many eosinophils.
Figure 6

Female patient after injection of acrylic gel (Dermalive)
Figure 7

Stretching out the skin makes the granulomas more visible.
Figure 8

Some of the extirpated acrylic gel granulomas
Figure 9

Scanning microscopy of the acrylic gel granulomas
Figure 10

High magnification of an area in the granuloma showing long slender so-called cholesterol clefts in a necrotic tissue as well as smaller polyedric acrylic particles.
Figure 11

Area of the granuloma with multiple acrylic gel particles
Mainly epithelioid cell granuloma with some giant cells and acrylic gel particles
Dense lymphocytic infiltrates around vessels and small silicone droplets (lower margin) reminiscent of small fat cells.
Figure 14

Adverse reaction to silicone displaying small silicone droplets
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