| 1 | 1 Environmental correlates of species rank – abundance distributions | | | | |
|----|---|--|--|--|--|
| 2 | global drylands | | | | |
| 3 | | | | | |
| 4 | Werner Ulrich ^{1,*} , Santiago Soliveres ² , Andrew D. Thomas ³ , Andrew J. Dougill ⁴ & | | | | |
| 5 | Fernando T. Maestre ⁵ | | | | |
| 6 | | | | | |
| 7 | ¹ Chair of Ecology and Biogeography, Nicolaus Copernicus University in Toruń | | | | |
| 8 | Lwowska 1, 87-100 Toruń, Poland, e-mail: ulrichw@umk.pl. | | | | |
| 9 | ² Institute of Plant Sciences, University of Bern, Alterbengrain 21, 3013 Bern, | | | | |
| 10 | Switzerland, e-mail: santiago.soliveres@ips.unibe.ch. | | | | |
| 11 | ³ Department of Geography and Earth Sciences, Aberystwyth University, SY23 3DB, | | | | |
| 12 | UK, e-mail: ant23@aber.ac.uk | | | | |
| 13 | ⁴ School of Earth and Environment, University of Leeds, Leeds, LS2 9JT, UK, e-mail: | | | | |
| 14 | a.j.dougill@leeds.ac.uk | | | | |
| 15 | ⁵ Área de Biodiversidad y Conservación, Biología y Geología, Física y Química | | | | |
| 16 | Inorgánica, Escuela Superior de Ciencias Experimentales y Tecnología, Universidad | | | | |
| 17 | Rey Juan Carlos, 28933 Móstoles, Spain, e-mail: fernando.maestre@urjc.es. | | | | |
| 18 | | | | | |
| 19 | *Author for correspondence (Phone: 0048 56 611 2649, e-mail: ulrichw@umk.pl) | | | | |
| 20 | | | | | |
| 21 | Category: Community Ecology | | | | |
| 22 | | | | | |
| 23 | | | | | |
| 24 | Author contributions: SS, ADD, AJD, and FTM collected the data and provided the raw | | | | |
| 25 | data base. WU performed the data analysis. WU wrote the first draft of the manuscript, | | | | |
| 26 | and all authors contributed substantially to revisions. | | | | |
| 27 | | | | | |

28 Abstract

Theoretical models predict lognormal species abundance distributions (SADs) in stable 29 and productive environments, with log-series SADs in less stable, dispersal driven 30 communities. We studied patterns of relative species abundances of perennial vascular 31 plants in global dryland communities to: i) assess the influence of climatic and soil 32 characteristics on the observed SADs, ii) infer how environmental variability influences 33 relative abundances, and iii) evaluate how colonisation dynamics and environmental 34 filters shape abundance distributions. We fitted lognormal and log-series SADs to 91 35 36 sites containing at least 15 species of perennial vascular plants. The dependence of species relative abundances on soil and climate variables was assessed using general 37 linear models. Irrespective of habitat type and latitude, the majority of the SADs 38 (70.3%) were best described by a lognormal distribution. The lognormal was associated 39 40 with low annual precipitation, higher aridity, high soil carbon content, and higher variability of climate variables and soil nitrate. Our results do not corroborate models 41 42 predicting the prevalence of log-series SADs in dryland communities. As lognormal SADs were particularly associated with sites with drier conditions and a higher 43 environmental variability, we reject models linking lognormality to environmental 44 stability and high productivity conditions. Instead our results point to the prevalence of 45 lognormal SADs in variable and stressful ecosystems, which are generally shaped by 46 strong habitat filters and limited colonisation. This suggests that drylands may be 47 resilient to environmental changes because the many species with intermediate relative 48 abundances could take over ecosystem functioning if the environment becomes 49 suboptimal for dominant species. 50

51

52 **Running title**: Rank – abundance distributions of dryland plants

Key Words: aridity, species abundance, competition, lognormal distribution, log-series
distribution, habitat filtering, soil fertility, climate

55

- 57 Introduction
- 58

Since its introduction to ecology by Raunkiær (1909), species abundance 59 distributions (SADs) have been extensively studied (reviewed in McGill et al., 2007; 60 Matthew and Whittaker, 2014, 2015). They provide an exhaustive description of the 61 distribution of species abundances within an ecological community (Magurran, 2004; 62 McGill et al., 2007; Dornelas et al., 2011; Matthews and Whittaker, 2015) and have 63 been linked to differential resource use and competitive strength (Sugihara, 1980; 64 65 Tokeshi, 1998; Pueyo, 2006), disturbance regimes (Gray and Mirza, 1979), stochastic processes (May, 1975, Šizling et al., 2009), or species-specific dispersal rates (Hubbell, 66 2001; Zillio and Condit, 2007). 67 SADs can be grouped into two particular classes of distributions: the log-series and 68 69 the lognormal (Fig. 1; Connolly et al., 2005; Ulrich et al., 2010, 2016). The lognormal is characterized by a comparably high number of species with intermediate abundance and 70 71 smaller numbers of very abundant and very rare species (Fig. 1). In turn, the log-series lacks a distinct group of subdominant species, tipically showing less even distributions 72 73 (Fig. 1). Although it is difficult to relate these models to a particular underlying mechanism (cf. McGill et al., 2007; Ulrich et al., 2010; Cheng et al., 2012; Locey and 74 White, 2013, but see Alonso et al., 2008), lognormal SADs are more likely to be found 75 in closed communities with low temporal and spatial species turnover and a high 76 proportion of species with intermediate abundances (that is the lognormal represents 77 communities with a proper 'middle class' of species) (Magurran and Henderson, 2003) 78 if they are shaped 1) by multiple stochastic processes, independent of niche 79 differentiation, resource use, or competitive ability as predicted by the central limit 80 theorem of statistics (Preston, 1948; May, 1975; Connolly, et al. 2005; Šizling et al., 81

82 2009), 2) by sequential niche partitioning, where competitive strength with respect to

dominant niche axes governs the distribution of species abundances (MacArthur, 1957;

84 Sugihara, 1980; Tokeshi, 1998; Pueyo, 2006), or 3) by environmental filters, such as

85 climate and soil characteristics that select for certain species and species combinations

and limit colonisation (Green and Plotkin, 2007; Zillio and Condit, 2007; Maire et al.,

87 2012). On the other hand, log-series SADs are expected to occur 1) in open colonisation

88 driven communities with high degrees of dispersal and species turnover (Volkov et al.,

2005; Zillio and Condit, 2007; Hirao et al., 2012) or 2) in incomplete samples from
larger species pools (Fisher et al., 1943).

Species abundance distributions have often been theoretically linked to 91 environmental conditions and gradients (reviewed in Magurran, 2004; McGill et al., 92 2007; Dornelas et al., 2011). Some authors assume that lognormal SADs prevail in 93 stable, undisturbed environments, while log-series SADs will be found in disturbed 94 habitats with higher temporal or spatial variability (e.g. Gray et al., 1979; Gray and 95 Mirza, 1979; Hamer et al., 1997; Hill and Hamer, 1998; but see Nummelin, 1998). 96 97 Whittaker (1975) and Hubbell (1979) linked lognormal SADs to higher environmental 98 productivity. Consequently, log-series SADs should predominate at unproductive, e.g. 99 arid, sites. However, the direct influence of environmental conditions on abundance 100 distributions has been very rarely studied empirically. The few existing studies mainly 101 focus on community recovery after severe disturbances (Mouillot et al., 2000), gradients of environmental pollution (e.g. Gray et al., 1979; Death, 1996; Qu et al., 2008), and 102 103 successional stages (e.g. Whittaker, 1965; Bazzaz, 1975; Zaplata et al., 2013). Taken together, current evidence indicates that a directional shift from log-series towards 104 105 lognormal SADs may occur with increasing intensity of interspecific competitive 106 interactions and habitat stability (Tilman, 1982; Lan and Bai, 2012).

107 Our knowledge about plant species abundance distributions stems mainly from 108 work done in forests (Hubbell, 1979; Morlon et al., 2009; Ulrich et al., 2015) and temperate grasslands (Bazzaz, 1975; Maire et al., 2012). With the exception of 109 Whittaker's (1965) classical report of a lognormal SAD for Arizona desert plants, 110 similar distributions in arid, semi-arid and dry-subhumid regions (drylands hereafter) 111 have so far not been studied. Drylands, including a variety of habitat types like 112 grasslands, scrublands and savannahs, occupy more than 40% of the terrestrial surface 113 114 area (Safriel and Adeel, 2005) and are vulnerable to human disturbances (Maestre et al. 2012a) and changing climate (Körner, 2000; Reynolds et al., 2007; Dai, 2013), which in 115 116 turn affect nutrient cycles (Maestre et al., 2012b). We do not know whether the abundance patterns observed in forests can be generalised to drylands, and how changes 117 118 in environmental conditions affect the SADs of dryland communities. As plant abundances are directly related to important ecosystem functions in drylands, like 119 primary production and nutrient cycling (Gaitán et al., 2014; Maestre and Escudero, 120 2009), such knowledge can also greatly contribute to our understanding of the 121

consequences of global change on ecosystem functioning in these areas (Maestre et al.,
2012a; Maire et al., 2012).

124 Here we evaluate how environmental factors affect the SADs of 91 dryland

communities from all continents except Antarctica and from three different vegetation

types obtained within an international, large-scale dryland survey (Maestre et al., 2012b,

127 Delgado-Baquerizo et al., 2013). We focus on the gradient between the log-series and

the lognormal type SAD. Based on the available knowledge, we assumed that highly

- 129 variable environmental conditions would favour unstable and dispersal-driven
- 130 communities (reviewed in Fraterrigo and Rusak, 2008), while water-rich, productive
- environments favour stable, competition driven communities (Whittaker, 1979;
- 132 Hubbell,1979). These assumptions lead to three basic starting hypotheses regarding

133 dryland plant communities:

- 134 (1) Dryland communities generally show log-series SADs, as they are dominated by
- 135 habitat filtering and perform under low productive environments,
- 136 (2) woody communities are dominated by fewer species, in accordance to previously
- 137 observed forests data and, therefore, their SADs fit better to log-series distributions than

those of grasslands, which are more evenly distributed (ref),

(3) more arid, and therefore less productive, communities are dominated by log-seriesSADs,

141 (4) lognormal SADs dominate in species rich, communities, and

- 142 (5) log-series SADs are linked to both increased environmental variability and
- 143 decreased importance of habitat filtering.
- 144

145 Materials and methods

146 Study sites and sampling protocol

147

148 Field data were obtained from 230 sites established across precipitation gradients in 17

149 countries from five continents (Argentina, Australia, Botswana, Brazil, Chile, China,

150 Ecuador, Iran, Israel, Kenya, Mexico, Morocco, Peru, Spain, Tunisia, USA and

151 Venezuela). Sites were chosen to cover a wide spectrum of abiotic (climatic, soil type,

- slope) and biotic (type of vegetation, total cover, species richness) features
- 153 characterizing drylands worldwide. These sites include the 224 sites used in Maestre et
- al. (2012b) plus six additional sites in Botswana surveyed in 2012. We restricted our

study to arid, semi-arid and dry-subhumid ecosystems, defined as sites with an aridity 155 index (precipitation/potential evapotranspiration) between 0.05 and 0.65. The sites 156 cover all major biogeographic regions and four basic vegetation types (woodlands, 157 158 savannahs, scrublands, and grasslands). All study sites were sampled quantitatively 159 following the same protocol. At each site, we surveyed 80 1.5 m \times 1.5 m quadrats along four 30-m long transects separated eight meters from each other (see Maestre et al. 160 2012b for full methodology). In each quadrat, we measured the cover of perennial plant 161 species and used the total counts to construct the respective vectors of relative 162 163 abundances. Thus all abundance distributions are based on complete censuses.

A low number of species per site increases the noise in the SAD fits (Wilson et al. 164 165 1998), while selecting a high minimum number of species greatly reduces the number 166 of sites (and vegetation types) considered making statistical inferences challenging. As a 167 compromise, we retained 91 of the study sites, which had ≥ 15 species of perennial vascular plants. Nevertheless, and to assess the robustness of our analysis, we compared 168 169 the results obtained from these sites with those obtained from an extended data set (166 sites) including at least 10 species (as recommended by Ulrich et al, 2010 as the lower 170 171 limit for reliable fits) and from a reduced data set (55 sites) including at least 20 species 172 per site. As the results from these three data sets were qualitatively similar, we only report the results obtained with the 91 sites having 15 species or more. We show the 173 results obtained with the reduced and extended data sets in the electronic supplement. 174

175

176 Biotic and abiotic factors

177 Using a stratified sampling design, we sampled the top 7.5 cm of the soil from up to three different microhabitats per site. These microhabitats always included a location 178 with bare soil (i.e. devoid of perennial vascular plants), as well as sites dominated by 179 180 perennial vegetation (e.g. under trees, shrubs or grasses, depending on the dominant growth forms present within each site). Five samples were collected from each 181 182 microsite, yielding between 10 and 15 samples per site. Soil samples were air-dried at room temperature, sieved (< 2 mm fraction) and analysed in the laboratory to obtain a 183 range of physio-chemical analyses. In each soil sample we measured pH, organic 184 carbon, available phosphorus, and nitrate content as described in Maestre et al. (2012b). 185 These variables were selected because they are either appropriate surrogates of overall 186 soil fertility and nutrient availability for plants in drylands (carbon and nitrogen 187

variables; Whitford, 2002) or they are surrogates of abiotic variables that control 188 nutrient transformations and availability in soils (e.g. pH; Reth et al., 2005). Thus, we 189 190 expect them to be important factors influencing the relative abundance distributions of 191 plant species. Soil variables were pooled to a single site-level value by weighting the 192 values found underneath vegetation or in bare ground areas by their respective cover 193 within the site (cf. Maestre et al., 2012b). As a measure of habitat variability, we calculated for the four soil variables their respective coefficients of variations based on 194 the 10-15 samples obtained per site. 195

196 We also obtained climatic data for each site using Worldclim (http://www.worldclim.org; Hijmans et al., 2005). From this database, we extracted the 197 198 altitude of each site, the mean annual temperature and precipitation, and their annual 199 seasonality. As we expected to see changes in relative abundances along climatic 200 gradients, particularly along the gradient from moist to dry, we calculated the UNEP 201 aridity index as the quotient of annual precipitation and evapotranspiration. To give a 202 more readily interpretable result, we used the aridity level (1- aridity), which is directly related to aridity (higher values indicate higher aridity conditions). Aridity was 203 204 estimated using the Global Aridity Index (Global-Aridity) dataset (http://www.cgiar-205 csi.org/data/global-aridity-and-pet-database; Zomer et al., 2008; Trabucco and Zomer, 206 2009), which is based on the interpolations provided by the Worldclim database. 207

208 Fitting of relative abundances

We fitted lognormal (fit_{norm}) and log-series (fit_{lser}) models to the observed SADs as 209 in Ulrich et al. (2010). For this task we used rank- log abundance (Whittaker) plots that 210 show the log-transformed species abundances for each species ranked in declining 211 abundance order (Fig. 1). These plots are superior to classical distribution (Preston) 212 213 plots for fitting as they do not lose information and are not biased due to the grouping of species (Nekola et al., 2008, Ulrich et al., 2010). For each rank – log abundance plot we 214 215 used a maximisation algorithm (implemented in the software application RAD 2.0, 216 Ulrich 2013) that iteratively encapsulates parameter values to find the ones that minimise the average least square differences of observed and predicted relative 217 abundance, respectively 218

219
$$fit = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{S} (lnA_{i,obs} - lnA_{i,pred})^2}{S}$$
(1)

where $A_{i,obs}$ and $A_{i,pred}$ are the respective relative abundances of species *i* in the

community of *S* species. Fits for all communities are contained in the electronic
supplement. We used least squares differences for fitting as they put comparably high
weight on rare and abundant species (Connolly and Dornelas, 2011) thus increasing the
power to discriminate between the lognormal and the log-series models (Ulrich et al.,
2010). In this respect, we note that major axis and reduced major axis have less
discriminative power in the present context as both methods put higher weight on
species with intermediate abundance.

As *fit* (eq. 1) x`equals the residuals sums of squares we compared the relative fits of both distributions using the corrected Akaike information criterion in the form

$$AICc = 2k + Slnfit + \frac{2k(k+1)}{S-k-1}$$
 (2)

The lognormal SAD has k = 3 free parameters (richness S, shape, and error), the 231 logseries is a four parameter model (S, α , X, and error). We used ΔAIC_c to identify the 232 233 best fitting model and assigned models with $\Delta AIC_c > |10|$ as fitting significantly better 234 (Burnham and Anderson 2002). As species differ in the probability to obtain particular 235 least squares values (Connolly and Dornelas, 2011), least squares fitting applied to non-236 linear data might introduce a statistical bias when comparing SADs of different species 237 richness. We minimized this possible bias two-fold: first, we always compared the two model fits for the same community and second, we included species richness as an extra 238 predictor in our analyses. Locey and White (2013) highlighted the problem of 239 240 comparing SADs from communities with different species richness and total abundance. 241 Here we minimize this problem as we always fit both models to the same community 242 and subsequently compare the respective relative fits among communities.

243 Ulrich et al. (2010) studied a third basic shape, the power function, and found it to be rarely realised in natural communities except for some forest tree data. Nevertheless, 244 245 we checked the frequency of power function SADs in the global dryland data set. Our 246 data confirmed the results of Ulrich et al. (2010) and revealed a low power to 247 discriminate between log-series and power function shapes. Thus, we did not consider 248 this model here, but present respective numbers of best and worst fits of all three 249 models (lognormal, log-series and the power function) in the electronic supplement 250 (Table A9).

An auxiliary measure of model fit is the skewness of the abundance distribution (*γ*).
The symmetrical lognormal is not skewed. Unsymmetrical lognormal SADs have nearly
always an excess of rare species, and consequently a negative skewness (McGill, 2003).

The log-series has an excess of relatively abundant species (associated with a positive skewness) mostly in the case of incomplete sampling. An excess of relatively rare species (negative skewness) has been theoretically linked to communities characterised by high colonisation dynamics (Zillio and Condit, 2007).

As an approximate measure of SAD variance, the concept of evenness is closely related to the distribution of relative abundances (McGill et al., 2007). We assessed the evenness (*E*) in species abundances using the Shannon diversity metric H: E = H/ln(S). p_{norm}, skewness, and evenness values for each site are available from figshare (Maestre et al., 2015).

263

264 Statistical analyses

-Assessing the relationships between SADs and site productivity and species richness 265 266 We used ordinary least squares general linear model analysis (GLM) in AICc model selection to link the $\triangle AIC_c$ scores (eq. 2) to environmental data. Environmental data 267 included those variables directly or indirectly related to site productivity, such as 268 elevation, temperature, rainfall, soil pH, organic C, available P and nitrate. We added 269 270 species richness as an additional covariate, to evaluate the relationship between the 271 richness of each community and its observed SAD. Our SAD fits and predictors were moderately spatially autocorrelated (Moran's I < 0.5). However, the global distribution 272 273 of sites studied would cause any spatially explicit modelling, like simultaneous autoregression modelling or similar techniques, to artificially concentrate a large part of 274 275 the variance in environmental data in the spatial distance matrix, masking thereby the 276 underlying influences of the environment (Hawkins, 2012). However, and to account 277 for the spatial structure present in our data, we included the dominant eigenvector of the 278 associated geographical distance matrix as an additional predictor in the GLM analyses 279 (Hawkins, 2012). This dominant spatial eigenvector covered the large scale spatial structure of the sites and explained 85% of total variance in the geographical distance 280 281 matrix.

We selected as the most parsimonious models those with the lowest AICc, using the model selection routine of SAM 4.0 (Rangel et al., 2010). To verify our first to starting hypotheses on the dependence of abundance distributions on environmental states we related ΔAIC_c , skewness, and evenness to latitude (and squared latitude),

climatic and soil variables. Our second hypothesis was then tested by analyzing the relationship between the ΔAIC_c of each community and its species richness.

288

-Evaluating the relationship between SADs and environmental (soil and climate)variability

As our third starting hypothesis is about the influence of environmental variability we 291 292 run separate models using the coefficients of variation of these environmental variables as predictors. Pearson correlation coefficients between predictor variables were always 293 294 lower than 0.7, and therefore multicollinearity problems in our analyses are unlikely. Because vegetation type is strongly linked to temperature and precipitation, we did not 295 296 include vegetation type as a categorical variable into the regression models to avoid multicollinearity problems. To account for possible non-linearity and non-normal error 297 structures, we compared these results with those obtained from generalised linear 298 299 modelling using log-link functions and Poisson error structure. As this latter approach 300 did not improve our results and was largely consistent with the main analyses shown 301 here, we only present them in the electronic supplement (Tables A7 and A8).

We used additive variance partitioning to assess the effects of single environmental predictors on ΔAIC_c , skewness, and evenness. The data used for the present study are available from figshare (Maestre et al., 2015).

305

306 **Results**

307 -General patterns of species abundance distributions in drylands

At the global scale the lognormal model fitted better ($\Delta AICc < 0$) for 64 (70.3%) and

definitely better ($\Delta AICc < -10$) for 58 of the 91 communities with at least 15 species

310 (40.7%; Table 1). Only 10 communities (10.0%) were definitely better fitted by a log-

series ($p_{norm} > 10$) while 23 communities (25.3%) scored intermediate ($-10 \le p_{norm} \le 10$).

Although we found a prevalence of lognormal distributions in each vegetation type

313 (Table 1), there was slight indication that these differ with respect to SAD fit (one-way

- ANOVA: $F_{3,87} = 3.7$, P = 0.02). Tukey post-hoc comparisons point to grasslands as
- having a lower proportion of lognormal type communities (Table.1). Including sites

316 with as few as 10 species made the results increasingly noisy (electronic supplement

Table A1) while at ≥ 20 species per site (Table A2) results were qualitatively identical to

318 those presented above.

-Assessing the relationships between SADs and site productivity and species richness 320 There was a significant latitudinal gradient in \triangle AICc indicating better fits of the 321 lognormal in the Mediterranean communities (GLM $r^2 = 0.17$, P < 0.01). South 322 American communities tended to be better fitted by the log-series than Old World 323 communities (GLM $r^2 = 0.11$, P < 0.05). Evenness peaked around the equator and 324 decreased with increasing latitude (GLM quadratic regression $r^2 = 0.08$, P [quadratic 325 regression term] = 0.01), while skewness did not significantly vary with latitude (r^2 = 326 327 0.03, n.s.). After accounting for the effects of species richness and spatial 328 autocorrelation, average annual precipitation was negatively linked to the fit of the 329 lognormal model (Table 2, Table A4), and explained 8% of the variance in Δ AICc. Communities best described by a log-series occurred along the whole gradient of 330 precipitation while better fits of the lognormal were largely restricted to values of 331 annual precipitation below 650 mm (Fig. 2a, ANOVA $F_{1.89} = 5.1$, P < 0.05, Fig. A2). 332 However, within those communities with aridity levels > 0.5 there was a trend towards 333 log-series-distributed SADs at increased arid environments (Fig. 2b, GLM $r^2 = 0.05$, P < 334 0.05). This trend was supported by the reduced data set (at least 20 species per site 335 included: Fig. A2, GLM $r^2 = 0.16$, P < 0.01). Among the soil variables, only carbon was 336 337 consistently included in the regression models for \triangle AICc (Table 2, Tabs. A3, A4), and explained 6% of the variance. \triangle AICc decreased with increasing soil carbon content 338 339 (Table 2) indicating a better fit of the lognormal in richer soils. This carbon influence was also corroborated by GLM Poisson regression (Table A7). Finally, we found 340 Δ AICc to be positively linked to available phosphorus (Table 2, 6% variance 341 explanation, and Table A7). 342 343 Positive and negative skewness measure the proportions of abundant and rare

species, respectively. AICc model selection pointed to carbon content (Table 2) as
affecting skewness, although this variable explained less than 5% of variance and
consequently was insignificant in the reduced data set (Table A4) and the GLM Poisson
model (Table A7). Evenness was negatively linked to soil carbon content (11% of
variance explained) and these results were consistent regardless of the data subset used
(Table 2, Tables A3, A4, A6).

- 351 -Evaluating the relationship between SADs and environmental (soil and climate)
- 352 variability
- 353 The relative fit of the lognormal model increased with increasing seasonality in
- temperature (Table 3, A5, A6, A8) while seasonality in precipitation had no significant
- effect (Table 3, Tables A5, A6, A8). Despite of the lack of clear regressive trends
- linking AICc and soil variability (Table 3, Tables A5, A6, A8), our data indicate a
- distinction of model fit with respect to nitrate variability (Fig. 3a, Fig. A4A).
- 358 Communities fitted better by a log-series were largely restricted to low nitrate
- variability. Further, lognormal communities significantly decreased in skewness (Fig.
- 360 3b, $r^2 = 0.17$, Fig. A4B) and increased in evenness (Fig. 3c, $r^2 = 0.16$, Fig. A4C) at
- 361 higher nitrate variability, while there were no such trends for log-series communities
- 362 (Figs. 3b, c).
- 363

364 **Discussion**

- 365 -General patterns of species abundance distributions in drylands
- 366 Contrary to our first starting hypothesis (arid communities should be dominated by log-
- series SADs), our study adds dryland plants to the group of communities with a
- 368 prevalence of lognormal abundance distributions (e.g. Tokeshi, 1998; Magurran and
- Henderson, 2003; Connolly et al., 2005; Ulrich et al., 2010). Irrespective of dryland
- habitat type (Table 1), we found that nearly 2/3 of the communities studied were fitted
- better by the lognormal model, which predicts a relative excess of species with
- intermediate abundance. This finding is in line with the only comparable study by
- 373 Whittaker (1965) on desert plant communities, but contrasts to results obtained with
- forest tree communities (Ulrich et al. 2010). Also Leigh (1999), Morlon et al. (2009),
- and Ulrich et al. (2016) have reported log-series abundance distributions to prevail
- particularly in tropical forest communities. Our results do not exclude the possibility
- that abundance distributions of dryland vegetation types, in general, differ from more
- 378 humid forest communities. Therefore our results demand caution about the
- generalisation of abundance patterns obtained from single ecosystems types and theirtransfer to dryland ecosystems.
- The contrasting results from forest and the present dryland studies call for a mechanistic explanation. The forest data studied by Morlon et al. (2009) and Ulrich et al. (2015) represent to a large extent secondary succession forests and plantations. These

are generally characterised by small numbers of highly abundant and larger numbers of 384 rare species, and thus lack the group of intermediately abundant species that 385 characterizes a lognormal distribution (Preston, 1948). Such communities show a 386 comparably low degree of evenness and this community organisation is more in line 387 with a log-series. Studies on boreal forests, containing a relatively low number of very 388 abundant species (often even mono-stands) also reported log-series distributions 389 (Whittaker, 1960). Similarly, in species-rich coral reefs (Connolly et al., 2005) and in 390 tropical and relatively pristine forest communities (Hubbell, 1979; Volkov et al., 2003; 391 392 Cheng et al., 2012) lognormally organised communities seem to prevail. While our study sites comprise areas with different degrees of human activities, none of the 393 394 studied sites are subject to intensive management areas such as cropping, fertilization or planting of species (Maestre et al., 2012b). Thus, our results and those from the 395 396 literature indicate that less impacted ecosystems have a higher probability to follow lognormal species abundance distributions. Consequently, these dryland systems tend to 397 398 accumulate a 'middle class' of species with intermediate relative abundances. Having such a class may make these systems more resistant to functional disturbance because 399 400 these species might take over ecosystem functioning if the environment becomes 401 suboptimal for the dominant ones, potentially enhancing the resilience to environmental 402 changes (Walker et al., 1999).

About a quarter of the communities (25.3%, Table 1) were roughly equally fitted by 403 404 both models. This pattern is in line with previous reports (e.g. Hughes, 1986; Magurran and Henderson, 2003; Ulrich and Ollik, 2004; Dornelas and Connolly, 2008; Vergnon et 405 406 al., 2012), who observed that SADs may be compound functions that capture contrasting parts of local communities and patterns of community assembly. These 407 SADs might comprise on one side the stable elements of resident species following a 408 409 lognormal distribution and on the other site so-called satellite species having a high temporal dynamic and thus being best described by the log-series (Magurran and 410 411 Henderson, 2003). Surprisingly, up to now there is no systematic empirical study on 412 how well the compound model fits to SADs in communities across a variety of habitat-413 types and differing environmental conditions. Apart from the dynamics model of Hughes (1986) and recent work on speciation driven neutral communities (Vergnon et 414 al., 2012) and hidden niche models (Barabás et al., 2013) focusing on multimodality, 415 there is also no explicit theoretical model to predict the precise SAD shape. 416

The large proportion of intermediate SADs also indicates that lognormal and log-417 series SADs rather mark both endpoints of a continuum within which very different 418 dominance structures might be realised (Magurran and Henderson, 2003). We speculate 419 420 that the position within this continuum provides information about the trade-off between 421 species interactions and colonisation – extinction dynamics by which a focal community 422 is shaped. This trade-off should be triggered by the regional species pool size (the colonisation pressure), but also by environmental drivers that act as filters for potential 423 colonisers. Both processes position a focal community into this continuum of SAD 424 425 shapes. The fact that nearly half of our communities ranked intermediate on this continuum makes it probable that dryland communities are assembled by the interplay 426 427 of colonisation dynamics and competitive interactions.

428

429 Environmental triggers

Based on the global positive co-variation of species richness and productivity 430 431 (Whittaker, 1975; Currie, 1991, but see Adler et al., 2011), Whittaker (1975) and Hubbell (1979) initiated the idea that SADs are linked to productivity gradients, with 432 433 increasing lognormality at higher levels of productivity. Therefore, we expected to see a negative correlation of our AICc measure with average precipitation and a respective 434 positive correlation with aridity (hypothesis 2), as plant cover and productivity decrease 435 with increasing aridity (Safriel and Adeel, 2005; Delgado-Baquerizo et al., 2013). This 436 was not the case, as rather we found the opposite pattern between AICc and annual 437 precipitation (Table 2, Fig. 2a), and also a slightly negative effect of species richness on 438 AICc (Table 2). Interestingly, Ulrich et al. (2015) reported a similar negative correlation 439 of the fit of the lognormal distribution with precipitation and also with 440 evapotranspiration in global forest communities. Therefore, both results do not 441 442 corroborate the productivity hypothesis.

This finding links the occurrence of lognormally distributed communities to sites with higher environmental (in this case water) stress. Ecological theory mainly predicts a connection of stress with the log-series, although we note that existing evidence for this assumption is scarce (Gray et al., 1979; Gray and Mirza, 1979; Death, 1996; McGill et al., 2007; Qu et al., 2008). Our results point to strong effects of habitat filtering, and consequently limited dispersal in stressful environments as the major process shaping SADs. Average conditions filter specific sets of species (Wiens and Graham, 2005), and

the abundance rank orders are established in a subsequent step by the interplay of 450 451 species interactions, reproductive success, and local extinction (McGill et al., 2007). Therefore, variability in environmental conditions appears to be more important for the 452 453 variation in species composition and abundances between sites than average conditions 454 (Violle et al., 2012). Indeed, we found significant, albeit contrasting, relationships between AICc and the variability in temperature (Table 3). These results are partly in 455 accordance with our third hypothesis (i.e. log-series SADs should be linked to both 456 increased environmental variability and decreased importance of habitat filtering), and 457 458 indicate the existence of trade-offs in habitat variability with regard to certain abundance distributions, thus complicating the simple environmental variability – 459 lognormal view (Gray et al., 1979; Hamer et al., 1997; Hill and Hamer, 1998).

460

461 Only variability in soil carbon content entered the best fit regression model, and 462 thus soil variability appeared to be much less influential than climate variability as a driver of the variation found in the SADs. However, nitrate variability (Fig. 3) might act 463 464 differently, determining thresholds for community structure. We were surprised to find log-series SADs to be limited to soils with low nitrate variability (Fig. 3a). As nitrate 465 466 variability also caused a negative skewness (Fig. 3b) and an increased community 467 evenness (Fig. 3c), it apparently forces communities towards lognormal abundance structures with a small number of very rare species. These SADs are not predicted from 468 469 colonisation driven models that possess a heavy tail of relatively rare species, for instance neutral models without dispersal limitation (Hubbell, 2001; Zillio and Condit, 470 2007). Our results thus clearly point to variability as a mechanism promoting the 471 emergence of lognormal distributions (Fig. 3a) and limiting local colonisation dynamics 472 (Figs. 3b, c). Consequently, our findings do not corroborate the opposed variability -473 log-series model that predicts disturbed or unstable sites to have log-series distributed 474 475 communities (Gray et al., 1979; Zillio and Condit, 2007). A mechanistic explanation for this result invokes that high small-scale soil variability induces the development of a 476 477 patchy community organisation with many intermediate and low abundant species that, when pooled to samples, nevertheless exhibit a higher evenness than expected from a 478 479 homogeneous environment (equivalent to statistical averaging, Lehman and Tilman, 2000). Such a patchy distribution of soil nutrients is often exacerbated by even light 480 levels of grazing and shifts seen towards increased shrub canopy cover (Berkeley et al., 481 2005). Further this patchy distribution prevents species from becoming locally very 482

abundant, thus reducing the number of dominant species in line with the spatial storage 483 effect (Sears and Chesson, 2007). Alternative explanations for the prevalence of 484 lognormal SADs in more heterogeneous environments is the generalized lack of 485 486 competition hierarchy (intransitive competition) in drylands, which increases codominance of a relative large number of species and is enhanced by environmental 487 heterogeneity (Soliveres et al. 2015). Alternatively, temporal storage effects (Chesson 488 2000) could prevent the dominance of a single species and should become more 489 frequent with rainfall or temperature variability. Temporal storage effects, however, do 490 491 not seem a plausible explanations for the prevalence of lognormal SADs in drylands, as the variability of both temperature and rainfall caused SAD distributions to better fit 492 493 log-series rather than lognormal distributions (Table 3). Regardless of the underlying 494 mechanism, the trigger for the negative skewness is not only caused by an increased 495 number of very rare species but also by the low number of very abundant species. Indeed, small-scale soil variability is known to induce vicariant plant species 496 497 composition and phylogenetic structure (Schreeg et al., 2010; Ulrich et al., 2014), reducing the dominance of the most competitive species. In turn, dispersion-driven 498 499 variability in species composition favours log-series abundance distributions. Thus 500 variability in community composition induced by environmental factors and dispersal 501 might act in opposite directions. We hypothesise that if environmental variability also 502 affects composition, the outcome might be unpredictable and often intermediate 503 between both types of dominance order.

504 The above picture is complicated by the fact that our environmental variables 505 accounted for at most 35% of the variances in dominance structure (Table 3). This is the point where biotic interactions might step in. As the species found within each plot had 506 507 already passed the abiotic habitat filters captured by our environmental variables, 508 observed species composition and dominance structure already contain part of the 509 environmental variance, leaving species interactions to explain the residual variance in 510 SAD shapes. In this respect, dryland plant communities worldwide are predominantly 511 shaped by mutualistic, particularly facilitative, interactions (Soliveres and Maestre 512 2014). Interestingly, mutualistic interactions have been largely neglected in the SAD literature, which has focused on competition as the major process shaping dominance 513 structures (McGill et al., 2007). Many competition based models (reviewed in Tokeshi, 514 1998; but see Mouillot et al., 2000) predict lognormal type SADs. As there are no 515

models that include the interplay of competition and mutualistic species interactions, it
remains unclear whether and to what degree the observed residual variance in SAD
shapes (> 65%) can be explained by both types of interactions.

519 However, a low impact in terms of variance explanation does not mean that an 520 environmental predictor is of low or even no influence. This predictor might severely and selectively constrain species abundance and also filter for possible species 521 combinations. Consequently, such predictors might invoke strong selective pressures on 522 species causing the long-term reshaping of community structure. Unfortunately 523 524 respective long-term effects of low impact environmental drivers are not well known. In this respect we need data on the temporal change in abundance distributions in habitats 525 526 of stable environmental conditions. Such data might allow for an assessment of the real 527 impact of environmental drivers on community structure.

528

529 Conclusions

530 Composition and dominance orders of dryland plant communities are influenced by a manifold of possible drivers. Our results do not point to productivity as a driver 531 532 towards lognormal abundance distributions in drylands. Rather, we identified the small 533 scale variability in soil characteristics to be of major importance for the maintenance of community evenness and the type of SAD. This variability, in combination with arid 534 habitat conditions, is supported by the presence of a proper 'middle class' of 535 abundances. Factors increasing this small-scale soil variability might therefore also 536 537 contribute to the stability of dryland plant communities.

538

539 Acknowledgements

540 We specially thank Manuel Delgado-Baquerizo, Miguel Berdugo, Matthew A. Bowker,

541 Donaldo Bran, Omar Cabrera, José A. Carreira, Alex Cea, Mohamed Chaieb, Abel A.

542 Conceição, Mchich Derak, Carlos I. Espinosa, Adriana Florentino, Juan Gaitán, Wahida

543 Ghiloufi, Susana Gómez-González, Beatriz Gozalo, Julio R. Gutiérrez, Elizabeth

- 544 Guzmán, Rosa M. Hernández, Elisabeth Huber-Sannwald, Miguel García-Gómez,
- 545 Mohammad Jankju, Rebecca L. Mau, Maria Miriti, Jorge Monerris, Victoria Ochoa,
- 546 Ana Prado-Comesaña Vicente Polo, Aníbal Prina, Eduardo Pucheta, José Luis Quero,
- 547 David A. Ramírez, Roberto Romão, Duilio Torres, Cristian Torres-Díaz, James Val,
- 548 Enrique Valencia, Deli Wang and Eli Zaady for their contribution to the database used.

- 549 This research was funded by the European Research Council under the European
- 550 Community's Seventh Framework Programme (FP7/2007-2013)/ERC Grant agreement
- 551 242658 (BIOCOM). The Ciencia y Tecnología para el Desarrollo (CYTED) program
- funded networking activities (EPES, Acción 407AC0323). WU was supported by the
- 553 Polish National Science Centre (grant 2014/13/B/NZ8/04681). FTM acknowledges
- support from the Salvador de Madariaga program of the Spanish Ministry of Education,
- 555 Culture and Sports (PRX14/00225), and from a Humboldt Research Award from the
- 556 Alexander von Humboldt Foundation.
- 557

558 **References**

- Adler, P.B. et al, .2011. Productivity is a poor predictor of plant species richness.
 Science 333, 1750–1753.
- Alonso, D., Ostlingm A., Etiennem R.S. 2008, The implicit assumption of symmetry
 and the species abundance distribution. Ecol. Lett. 11, 93–105.
- Barabás, G., D'Andrea, R., Rael, R., Meszena, G., Ostling, A. 2013. Emergent
 neutrality of hidden niches? Oikos 122, 1565-1572.
- Bazzaz, F.A. 1975. Plant species diversity in old-field successional ecosystems in
 southern Illinois. Ecology 56, 485-488.
- Berkeley, A., Thomas, A.D., Dougill, A.J. 2005. Spatial dynamics of biological soil
 crusts: bush canopies litter and burial in Kalahari rangelands. African J. Ecol. 43,
 137-145.
- Burnham, K.P., Anderson, D.R. 2002. Model selection and multimodel inference: a
 practical information-theoretic approach, 2nd edition. Springer, New York.
- 572 Cheng, J., Mi, X., Nadrowski, K., Ren, H., Zhang, J., Ma, K. 2012. Separating the effect of
 573 mechanisms shaping species-abundance distributions at multiple scales in a
 574 subtropical forest. Oikos 121, 236-244.
- 575 Connolly, S.R., Hughes, T.P., Bellwood, D.R., Karlson, R.H. 2005. Community
 576 structure of corals and reef fish at multiple scales. Science 309, 1363–1365.
- 577 Connolly, S.R., Dornelas, M. 2011. Fitting and empirical evaluation of models for
- 578 species abundance distributions, in: Magurran, A.E., McGill, B.J. (Eds.)
- 579 Biological diversity: frontiers in measurement and assessment. Oxford University
 580 Press Oxford, pp. 123-140.

- 581 Currie, D.J. 1991. Energy and large-scale patterns of animal- and plant-species richness.
 582 Am. Nat. 137, 27–49.
- 583 Dai, A. 2013. Increasing drought under global warming in observations and models.
 584 Nature Climate Change 3.,52-58.
- 585 Death, R.G. 1996. The effect of habitat stability on benthic invertebrate communities:
 586 the utility of species abundance distributions. Hydrobiol. 317, 97-107.
- 587 Delgado-Baquerizo, M. et al. 2013. Decoupling of soil nutrient cycles as a function of
 588 aridity in global drylands. Nature 502, 672-676.
- 589 Dornelas, M., Connolly, S.R. 2008. Multiple modes in a coral species abundance
 590 distribution. Ecol. Lett. 11, 1008-1016.
- Dornelas, M., Soykan, C.U., Ugland, K.I. 2011 Biodiversity and disturbance in:
 Magurran, A.E., McGill, B.J. (Eds.) Biological diversity: frontiers in
- measurement and assessment. Oxford University Press Oxford, pp. 237-251.
- Fisher, R.A., Corbet, A.S., Williams, C.B. 1943. The relation between the number of
 species and the number of individuals in a random sample of an animal
 population. J. Anim. Ecol. 12, 42–58.
- Fraterrigo, J.M., Rusak, J.A. 2008. Disturbance-driven changes in the variability of
 ecological patterns and processes. Ecol. Lett. 11, 756-770.
- Gaitán, J.J., Oliva, G.E., Bran, D.E., Maestre, F.T., Aguiar, M.R., Jobbagy, E.B.,
 Buono, G.G., Ferrante, D., Nakamatsu, V.B., Ciari, G., Salomone, J.M., Massara,
 V. 2014. Vegetation structure is as important as climate to explain ecosystem
 function across Patagonian rangelands. J. Ecol. 102, 1419–1428.
- Gray, J.S., Waldichuk, M., Newton, A.J., Berry, R.J., Holden, A.V., Pearson, T.H.
 1979. Pollution-induced changes in populations [and discussion]. Phil. Trans. R.
 Soc. Lond. B 286, 545–561.
- Gray, J.S., Mirza, F.B. 1979. A possible method for the detection of pollution-induced
 disturbance on marine benthic communities. Marine. Poll. Bull. 10, 142-146.
- Green, J., Plotkin, J.B. 2007. A statistical theory for sampling species abundances. Ecol.
 Lett. 10, 1037–1045.
- Hamer, K.C., Hill, J.K., Lace, L.A., Langman, A.M. 1997. Ecological and
- biogeographical effects of forest disturbance on tropical butterflies of Sumba
 Indonesia. J. Biogeogr. 24, 67–75.

- Hawkins, B.A. 2012. Eight (and a half) deadly sins of spatial analysis. J. Biogeogr. 39,
 1-9.
- Hijmans, R.J., Cameron, S.E., Parra, J.L., Jones, P.G., Jarvis, A. 2005. Very high
- resolution interpolated climate surfaces for global land areas. Intern. J. Climat. 25,1965-1978.
- Hill, J.K., Hamer, K.C. 1998. Using species abundance models as indicators of habitat
 disturbance in tropical forests. J. Appl. Ecol. 35, 458-460.
- Hirao, T., Murakami, M., Kubota, Y. 2012. Species abundance distributions of moth
 and beetle assemblages in a cool-temperate deciduous forest. Ins. Cons. Div. 6,
 494–501.
- Hubbell, S.P. 1979. Tree dispersion abundance and diversity in a tropical tree forest.
 Science 203, 1299-1309.
- Hubbell, S.P. 2001. The unified theory of biogeography and biodiversity. Princeton
 University Press, Princeton,
- Hughes, R.G. 1986. Theories and models of species abundance. Am. Nat. 128, 879–
 899.
- Körner, C.H. 2000. Biosphere responses to CO₂-enrichment. Ecol. Appl. 10, 1590–
 1619.
- Lehman, C.L., Tilman, D. 2000. Biodiversity stability and productivity in competitive
 communities. Am. Nat. 156, 534–552.
- Lan, Z., Bai, Y. 2012. Testing mechanisms of N-enrichment-induced species loss in a
 semi-arid Inner Mongolian grassland: critical thresholds and implications for
 long-term ecosystem responses. Phil. Trans. R. Soc. Lond. B 367, 3125-3134.
- 636 Leigh Jr. E.G. 1999. Tropical Forest Ecology. Oxford University Press, Oxford.
- Locey, K.J., White, E.P. 2013. How species richness and abundance constrain the
 distribution of abundance. Ecol. Lett. 16, 1177-1185.
- Maestre, F.T., Escudero, A. 2009. Is the patch-size distribution of vegetation a suitable
 indicator of desertification processes? Ecology 90, 1729-1735.
- 641 Maestre, F.T., Salguero-Gómez, R., Quero, J.L. 2012. It's getting hotter in here:
- determining and projecting the impacts of global change on drylands. Phil. Trans.
 R. Soc. B 367, 3062–3075.
- Maestre, F.T. et al 2012b. Plant species richness and ecosystem multifunctionality in
 global drylands. Science 335, 214-218.

- Maestre, F.T., Ulrich, W., Soliveres, S., Thomas, A.D., Doughill, A.J. 2015. Data from
 "Environmental correlates of species rank abundance distributions in global
 drylands". Figshare doi, 106084/m9.figshare1450709
- MacArthur, R. 1957. On the relative abundance of bird species. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci.
 USA 43, 293–295.
- Magurran, A.E., Henderson, P.A. 2003. Explaining the excess of rare species in natural
 species abundance distributions. Nature 422, 714–716.
- Magurran, A.E. 2004. Measuring biological diversity. Blackwell Oxford.
- Maire, V., Gross, N., Börger, L., Proulx, R., Wirth, C., Pontes, L., Soussana, J.-F.,
- Louault, F. 2012. Habitat filtering and niche differentiation jointly explain species
 relative abundance within grassland communities along fertility and disturbance
 gradients. New Phytol. 196, 497-509.
- Matthews, T.J., Whittaker, R.J. 2014. Fitting and comparing competing models of the
 species abundance distribution: assessment and prospect. Frontiers Biogeogr. 6,
 660 67–82.
- Matthews, T.J., Whittaker, R.J. 2015. On the species abundance distribution in applied
 ecology and biodiversity management. J. Appl. Ecol. 52, 443-454.
- 663 May, R.M. 1975. Patterns of species abundance and diversity, in: Cody, M.L.,
- 664 Diamond, J.M. (Eds.) Ecology and evolution of communities. Cambridge
 665 University Press, pp. 81–120.
- McGill, B.J. 2003 Does Mother Nature really prefer rare species or are log-left-skewed
 SADs a sampling artefact? Ecol. Lett. 6, 766–773.
- 668 McGill, B.J., Etienne, R.S., Gray, J.S., Alonso, D., Anderson, M.J., Benecha, H.K.,
- 669Dornelas, M., Enquist, B.J., Green, J.L., He, F., Hurlbert, A.H., Magurran, A.E.,
- 670 Marquet, P.A., Maurer, B.A., Ostling, A., Soykan, C.U., Ugland, K.I., White, E.P.
- 671 2007. Species abundance distributions: moving beyond single prediction theories
- to integration within an ecological framework. Ecol. Lett. 10, 995–1015.
- Morlon, H., White, E.P., Etienne, R.S., Green, J.L., Ostling, A., Alonso, D., Enquist,
- B.J., He, F., Hurlbert, A., Magurran, A.E., Maurer, B.A., McGill, B.J., Olff, H.,
- 675 Storch, D., Zillio, T. 2009. Taking species abundance distributions beyond
- 676 individuals. Ecol. Lett. 12, 488-501.

- Mouillot, D., Lepretre, A., Andrei-Ruiz, M.-C., Viale, D. 2000. The fractal model: a
 new model to describe the species accumulation process and relative abundance
 distribution (RAD). Oikos 90, 333-342.
- Nekola, J.C., Šizling, A.L., Boyer, A.G., Storch, D. 2008. Artifactions in the logtransformation of species abundance distributions. Folia Geobot. 43, 259–268.
- Nummelin, M. 1998. Log-normal distribution of species abundance is not a universal
 indicator of rainforest disturbance. J. Appl. Ecol. 35, 454-457.
- Preston, F.W. 1948 The commonness and rarity of species. Ecology 29. 254–283.
- Pueyo, S. 2006. Self-similarity in species–area relationship and in species–abundance
 distribution. Oikos 112, 156–162.
- Qu, X.-D., Song, M.-Y., Park, Y.-S., Oh, Y.N., Con, T.-S. 2008. Species abundance
 patterns of benthic macroinvertebrate communities in polluted streams. Intern. J.
 Limnol. 44, 11-25.
- Rangel, T.F., Diniz-Filho, J.A.F., Bini, L.M. 2010. SAM: a comprehensive application
 for spatial analysis in macroecology. Ecography 33, 46-50.
- Raunkiær, C. 1909. Livsformen hos Planter paa ny Jord Kongelige Danske
 Videnskabernes Selskabs Skrifter. Naturvidenskabelig og Mathematisk Afdeling
 7, 1-70.
- Reth, S., Hentschel, K., Drösler, M., Falge, E. 2005. DenNit Experimental analysis
 and modelling of soil N₂O efflux in response on changes of soil water content soil
 temperature soil pH nutrient availability and the time after rain event. Plant and
 Soil 272, 349–363.
- Reynolds, J.F., Stafford-Smith, D.M., Lambin, E.F., Turner, B.L., Mortimore, M.,
 Batterbury, S.P.J., Downing, T.E., Dowlatabadi, H., Fernandez, R.J., Herrick,
- J.E., Huber-Sannwald, E., Jiang, H., Leemans, R., Lynam, T., Maestre, F.T.,
- Ayarza, M., Walker, B. 2007. Global desertification: Building a science for
 dryland development. Science 316, 847-851.
- Safriel, U., Adeel, Z. 2005. Dryland systems, in: Hassan, R. et al (Eds.) Ecosystems and
 human well-being: current state and trends: findings of the condition and trends
 working group. Island Press Washington DC, pp. 623-662
- Šizling, A.L., Storch, D., Šizlingová, E., Reif, J., Gaston, K.J. 2009. Species abundance
 distribution results from a spatial analogy of central limit theorem. Proc. Natl.
 Acad. Sci. USA 106, 6691–6695.

- Schreeg, L.A., Kress, W.J., Erickson, D.L., Swenson, N.G. 2010. Phylogenetic analysis
 of local scale tree soil associations in a lowland moist tropical forest. Plos One 5,
 e13685.
- Sears, A.L.W., Chesson, P. 2007. New methods for quantifying the spatial storage
 effect: an illustration with desert annuals. Ecology 88, 2240-2247.
- Soliveres, S., Maestre, F.T. 2014. Plant–plant interactions environmental gradients and
 plant diversity: A global synthesis of community-level studies. Perspect. Plant.
 Ecol. Evol. Syst. 16, 154–163.
- Sugihara, G. 1980. Minimal community structure: an explanation of species–abundance
 patterns. Am. Nat. 116, 770–787.
- Tilman, D. 1982. Resource competition and community structure. Princeton Univ Press,Princeton.
- 722 Tokeshi, M. 1998. Species coexistence. Blackwell Oxford.
- 723 Trabucco, A., Zomer, R.J. 2009. Global Aridity Index (Global-Aridity) and Global
- Potential Evapo-Transpiration (Global-PET) Geospatial Database CGIAR
 Consortium for Spatial Information. Published online available from the CGIARCSI GeoPortal at: http://wwwcgiar-csiorg/data/global-aridity-and-pet-database.
- 727 Ulrich, W. 2013. RAD 2.0 A Fortran Program for fitting of species abundance
 728 distributions. Published online at <u>www.keib.umk.pl.</u>
- Ulrich, W., Ollik, M. 2004. Frequent and occasional species and the shape of relative
 abundance distributions. Div. Distrib. 10, 263–269.
- 731 Ulrich, W., Ollik, M., Ugland, K.I. 2010. A meta-analysis of species abundance
 732 distributions. Oikos 119, 1149-1155.
- Ulrich, W., Kusumoto, B., Shiono, T., Kubota, Y. 2016. Climatic and geographical
 correlates of global forest tree species abundance distributions and community
 evenness. J. Veg. Sci., 27, 295-305.
- Ulrich, W., Piwczyński, M., Zaplata, M.K., Winter, S., Schaaf, W., Fischer, A. 2014.
 Small-scale spatial variability in phylogenetic community structure during early
 plant succession depends on soil properties. Oecologia 175, 985-995.
- Vergnon, R., van Nees, R.H., Scheffer, M. 2012. Emergent neutrality leads to
 multimodal species abundance distributions. Nature Commun, *3*, #663.

- 741 Violle, C., Enquist, B.J., McGill, B.J., Jiang, L., Albert, C.H., Hulsfof, C., Jung, V.,
- Messier, J. 2012. The return of the variance: intraspecific variability in
 community ecology. Trends Ecol. Evol. 27, 1-9.
- Volkov, I., Banavar, J.R., Hubbell, S.P., Maritan, A. 2003. Neutral theory and relative
 species abundance in ecology. Nature 424, 1035-1037.
- Volkov, I., Banavar, J.R., He, F., Hubbell, S.P., Maritan, A. 2005. Density dependence
 explains tree species abundance and diversity in tropical forests. Nature 438, 658661.
- 749 Walker, B., Kinzig, A., Langridge, J. 1999. Plant attribute diversity resilience and
- ecosystem function: The nature and significance of dominant and minor species.
 Ecosystems 2, 95–113.
- 752 Whitford, W.G. 2002. Ecology of desert systems. Academic Press California USA.
- Whittaker, R.H. 1960. Vegetation of the Siskiyou Mountains Oregon and California.
 Ecol. Monogr. 30, 279–338.
- Whittaker, R.H. 1965 Dominance and diversity in land plant communities. Science 147,
 250–260.
- 757 Whittaker, R.H. 1975. Communities and Ecosystems 2nd Ed. MacMillan Publishers
 758 New York.
- Wiens, J.J., Graham, C.H. 2005. Niche conservatism: integrating evolution ecology and
 conservation biology. Ann. Rev. Ecol. Syst. 36, 519–539.
- Wilson, J.B., Gitay, H., Steel, J.B., King, W. McG. 1998. Relative abundance
 distributions in plant communities: effects of species richness and of spatial scale.
 J. Veg. Sci. 9, 213-220.
- Zaplata, M.K., Winter, S., Fischer, A., Kollmann, J., Ulrich, W. 2013. Species-driven
 phases and increasing structure in early-successional plant communities. Am. Nat.
 181, E17-E27.
- Zillio, T., Condit, R. 2007. The impact of neutrality niche differentiation and species
 input on diversity and abundance distributions. Oikos 116, 931-940.
- Zomer, R.J., Trabucco, A., Bossion, D.A., Verchot, L.V. 2008. Climate change
 mitigation: A spatial analysis of global land suitability for clean development
 mechanism afforestation and reforestation. Agricult. Ecosyst. Environm. 126, 6780.

775 **Online resources**

776

777 Electronic supplementary material

- Results from the additional analysis using the extended data set (sites with at least 10
- species) and the reduced data set (sites with at least 20 species)

- **Table 1**. Numbers of better fits of the log-series ($\Delta AICc > 10$) and lognormal ($\Delta AICc <$
- -10) SAD models for the vegetation types included in the present study. Intermediate
- 782 fits refer to $-10 \le \Delta AICc \le +10$.
- 783

| Vegetation type | Better fit of | | | |
|-----------------|---------------|-----------|--------------|--|
| | log-series | lognormal | intermediate | |
| Grasslands | 4 | 22 | 8 | |
| Scrublands | 6 | 21 | 14 | |
| Woodlands | 0 | 15 | 0 | |
| Savannah | 0 | 0 | 1 | |
| Total | 10 | 58 | 23 | |

- **Table 2**. Ordinary least squares (OLS) models to identify relationships between
- environmental variables and the relative fits of the lognormal model (ΔAICc), SAD
- skewness, and evenness The variables included in the best fit models (lowest AICc) are
- in bold type Model beta values and r^2 refer to the beta values and the explained variance
- 789 of the respective model N = 91
- 790

| Variable | $\Delta AICc$ | Skewness | Evenness |
|-------------------------------------|---------------|----------|----------|
| Spatial eigenvector | -0.03 | -0.26 | 0.25 |
| Elevation | 0.01 | 0.03 | 0.15 |
| Species richness | -0.24 | 0.09 | 0.16 |
| Temperature | 0.06 | -0.20 | 0.07 |
| Precipitation | 0.17 | 0.23 | -0.12 |
| рН | 0.07 | -0.11 | 0.07 |
| Available phosphorus | 0.20 | -0.01 | 0.01 |
| Organic carbon | -0.21 | 0.17 | -0.39 |
| Nitrate | -0.09 | -0.02 | 0.03 |
| r ² (OLS total model) | 0.18 | 0.15 | 0.28 |
| r ² (OLS selected model) | 0.16 | 0.14 | 0.25 |

- **Table 3**. Ordinary least squares (OLS) models to identify relationships between soil and climatic variability and the relative fits of the lognormal model (Δ AlCc), SAD skewness, and evenness The variables included in the best fit models (lowest AICc) are in bold type Model parameters and r² refer to the beta values and the explained variance of the
- respective model N = 91
- 797
- 798

| Variable | $\Delta AICc$ | Skewness | Evenness |
|-------------------------------------|---------------|----------|----------|
| Spatial eigenvector | -0.06 | -0.28 | 0.28 |
| Elevation | -0.04 | 0.07 | -0.03 |
| Species richness | -0.21 | 0.03 | 0.24 |
| Temperature seasonality | -0.22 | 0.01 | 0.01 |
| Precipitation seasonality | -0.07 | 0.14 | 0.15 |
| СV рН | -0.04 | 0.06 | -0.12 |
| CV available phosphorus | -0.05 | -0.10 | 0.07 |
| CV organic carbon | 0.13 | 0.15 | 0.17 |
| CV nitrate | -0.08 | -0.39 | 0.45 |
| r ² (OLS total model) | 0.14 | 0.26 | 0.38 |
| r ² (OLS selected model) | 0.12 | 0.23 | 0.35 |

800

Figure 1. Three examples of dryland SADs with best fits. From the left: a site from
Argentina Pampas and the respective log-series fit, a site from China with the respective
lognormal fit, and a site from Spain where both models fit nearly equally well



Figure 2. Better fits of the log-series SAD model (open dots) were independent of the
degree of precipitation (a) while the lognormal model (black dots) generally fitted better
(two exceptions) below 600 mm annual precipitation. Lognormal SADs were found
predominately at higher levels of aridity (b).



Figure 3. Scatter plots of the effect of soil nitrate variability (CV N) on p_{norm} (A), SAD skewness (B), and evenness (C) of the 91 sites having at least 15 species Black and open circles denote sites better fitted by the lognormal SAD and the log-series SAD, respectively. Regression lines for black circles: B: $r^2 = 0.21$, P < 0.001, C: $r^2 = 0.25$, P <

817 0.001

818



820

819