

Lichtheimia Infection in a Lymphoma Patient: Case Report and a Brief Review of the Available Diagnostic Tools

Stefan Zimmerli · Ralf Bialek ·
Igor W. Blau · Andreas Christe ·
Cornelia Lass-Flörl · Elisabeth Presterl

Received: 11 December 2015 / Accepted: 17 April 2016
© Springer Science+Business Media Dordrecht 2016

Abstract We describe the case of a patient with a T-lymphoblastic lymphoma whose disseminated mucormycosis was diagnosed with delay, and we address the diagnostic and therapeutic decision-making process and review the diagnostic workup of patients with potential IFD. The diagnosis was delayed despite a suggestive radiological presentation of the patient's pulmonary lesion. The uncommon risk profile (T-lymphoblastic lymphoma, short neutropenic phases) wrongly led to a low level of suspicion. The diagnosis was also hampered by the lack of indirect markers for infections caused by *Mucorales*, the low sensitivity of both fungal culture and panfungal PCR, and the limited availability of species-specific PCR. A high level of suspicion of IFD is needed, and aggressive diagnostic procedures should be promptly initiated even in apparently low-risk patients with uncommon presentations. The extent of the analytical workup should be decided on a case-

by-case base. Diagnostic tests such as the galactomannan and β -D-glucan test and/or PCR on biological material followed by sequencing should be chosen according to their availability and after evaluation of their specificity and sensitivity. In high-risk patients, preemptive therapy with a broad-spectrum mould-active antifungal agent should be started before definitive diagnostic findings become available.

Keywords Diagnosis · Emerging risk groups · Molecular biology · Mucormycosis · *Mucorales*

Introduction

The epidemiology of invasive fungal infections is changing over time. During the 1990s, *Candida* species were the most common agents of invasive

S. Zimmerli (✉)
Department of Infectious Diseases, Bern University Hospital, University of Bern, 3010 Bern, Switzerland
e-mail: stefan.zimmerli@insel.ch

R. Bialek
LADR GmbH MVZ Dr. Kramer and Kollegen, Lauenburger Straße 67, 21502 Geesthacht, Germany

I. W. Blau
Charité University Hospital, Benjamin Franklin Campus, Hindenburgdamm 30, 12200 Berlin, Germany

A. Christe
Department of Diagnostic, Interventional and Pediatric Radiology, Bern University Hospital, University of Bern, 3010 Bern, Switzerland

C. Lass-Flörl
Division of Hygiene and Medical Microbiology, Medical University, Schöpfstr. 41, 6020 Innsbruck, Austria

E. Presterl
Medical University of Vienna, Waehringer Gürtel 18-20, 1090 Vienna, Austria

fungal infections [1] and in most settings they still are [2]. Infections caused by moulds of the genus *Aspergillus*, on the other hand, are becoming increasingly common, in particular among patients with haematological malignancies [3, 4]; probably also as a consequence of the prolonged prophylactic and therapeutic use of broad-spectrum antifungals such as voriconazole, itraconazole and caspofungin, mucormycoses are emerging as often fatal diseases in immunocompromised patients [5].

Overall, the diagnosis of fungal disease (IFD) can be challenging, even after the introduction of tools such as high-resolution chest computed tomography (CT) and immunological [Galactomannan (GM) and β -D-Glucan (BDG) assays] or molecular biology (PCR) techniques [6] that contribute to a comparatively reliable diagnosis in the absence of culture data. IFD has often been, and may still be, identified unambiguously only by autopsy [7]. Although *antemortem* diagnosis of IFD has improved [8], a proportion of IFD still remains undetected. Unfortunately, the marked reduction in autopsies over time [8] hinders a reliable estimate of its real prevalence in high-risk patients.

Concomitantly with the changing spectrum of pathogens, the range of patients at risk of IFD is also expanding. In addition to the commonly identified at-risk groups such as patients with haematological malignancies (mainly acute myeloid leukaemia and recipients of allogeneic HSCT) and solid organ transplant recipients, patients treated with corticosteroids for exacerbated COPD or with multiple myeloma are increasingly at risk for IFD [9–11].

Guidelines for the diagnosis of fungal infections in high-risk patients have been published [12–14], but the emergence of new at-risk groups as well as the differential availability of diagnostic tools in individual institutions leave a number of open questions that are reflected by variations in the diagnostic procedures used in different centres. Maertens et al. [15, 16] recommend that the diagnostic procedure and the therapeutic approach be chosen on the basis of the perceived risk, thus emphasising the importance of clinical judgement in the diagnostic and therapeutic approach.

Here, we describe an unexpected case of mucormycosis in a patient with T-lymphoblastic lymphoma. Using this real-life case as an example, we address general and site-specific issues linked to the diagnostic and therapeutic decision-making process in at-risk

patients and review the diagnostic workup of patients with potential IFD, outlining the pros and cons of the most common diagnostic and therapeutic options in the daily routine of the fight against invasive mycoses.

Case Report: A Patient with T-Lymphoblastic Lymphoma

A 46-year-old female patient hospitalized at the Berne University Hospital with a mediastinal mass was diagnosed with T-lymphoblastic lymphoma. Pre-induction with corticosteroids reduced the tumour mass drastically, and the first two cycles of a modified hyper CVAD regimen (cyclophosphamide, vincristine, doxorubicin, and dexamethasone alternating with high-dose methotrexate and high-dose cytarabine) induced only a few days of neutropenia and were well tolerated. No antifungal prophylaxis was given.

Before the third cycle of chemotherapy, a staging PET CT showed a 4-cm ring-shaped lesion with central ground glass attenuation in the right lower lobe of the lung. The patient was afebrile and mildly pancytopenic. GM determination and cultures from bronchoalveolar lavage (BAL) fluid were negative, as was the serum GM test.

Two weeks after the start of the third cycle of chemotherapy, the patient became febrile and complained of bilateral flank pain and left homonymous hemianopia. The CRP level was 340 mg/L, and the renal function was normal. CT-guided percutaneous lung biopsy of the solitary lesion in the right lower lobe revealed fragments of angioinvasive fungal hyphae and widespread necrosis. Cultures yielded no growth, and the serum GM test was negative (for a discussion, see [17]). DNA was extracted from the biopsy according to an established protocol [18]. A panfungal real-time PCR amplifying the ITS1 region of the rRNA [19] and a semi-nested PCR targeting the mitochondrial DNA of *A. fumigatus* [20] gave negative results. Biopsy of the largely necrotic right kidney showed necrotic tissue and angioinvasive hyphae compatible with mucormycosis. An MRI scan identified a large haemorrhagic lesion in the right occipital pole. GM was not detected in the cerebrospinal fluid, and a panfungal PCR carried out according to the methods described above was negative.

Empiric treatment for presumed disseminated mucormycosis was initiated with liposomal amphotericin

B (L-AmB) at the dosage recommended by the ECIL guidelines [21, 22].

After 24 days of L-AmB treatment, the pulmonary lesion was resected and cultures grew *Lichtheimia corymbifera*. Species identity was confirmed by sequencing of the amplified ITS1 region of rRNA in a reference laboratory in Spain [23]. The results of the antifungal susceptibility testing (*E* test) showed MICs for amphotericin B of 0.75 mg/L, for itraconazole of 8.0 mg/L, for voriconazole of 32 mg/L, and for posaconazole of 0.75 mg/L.

The same mould was later detected by a panfungal PCR [19] performed on the resected right kidney in the Berne laboratories. Many dichotomously branching hyphae were seen in the necrotic cerebral lesion, but cultures were negative at the time of resection after 71 days of L-AmB treatment. When the polyene was stopped 79 days after treatment start, the patient was given posaconazole (400 mg bid) for 6 months. Eighteen months after the end of antifungal treatment, she was still free of any mould infection.

In summary, diagnosis of this patient's disseminated mucormycosis was delayed despite the suggestive radiological presentation ('reverse halo sign') of her pulmonary lesion [24]. The long turn-around time for the *Mucorales*-specific PCR (4 weeks), as a consequence of the need to involve an external laboratory, the limited availability of *Mucorales*-specific PCRs in our laboratory, as well as the uncommon risk profile (T-lymphoblastic lymphoma, short neutropenic phases) of this patient led to a low level of suspicion (see also [25, 26]). The lack of indirect markers for infections caused by *Mucorales* and the low sensitivity of fungal culture contributed to the challenges of this diagnosis. The favourable outcome of this case of disseminated mucormycosis affecting the lung, both kidneys, and the brain may be a consequence of the relatively low virulence of *Lichtheimia corymbifera* and its sensitivity to the empiric treatment, the rapid recovery from neutropenia, the aggressive surgery, and the high-dose anti-fungal therapy used.

Discussion

The epidemiology of IFD has changed substantially in recent years, and rare fungal pathogens are continuously emerging [27]. IFD-related mortality is high and

prognosis is poor, unless IFD is diagnosed early and treated promptly. This case exemplifies the need to critically appraise the risk profile of apparently low-risk patients such as those receiving high-intensity treatment for lymphoid neoplasia. In addition, it is crucial to optimize the diagnostic tools available in a clinical centre, by carefully reviewing the methods used in the diagnostic laboratory and the skills and knowledge of the people involved in the diagnostic workup.

Clinical signs and symptoms related to IFD are unspecific and need to be followed up by appropriate diagnostic procedures [14] as part of an integrated care pathway [28]. In most cases, however, we believe that empirical therapy should be started early even if findings are negative. Other authors (e.g., [29]) have come to the conclusion that empiric and preemptive treatments are equally effective in the presence of positive diagnostic findings.

CT scan findings have a high positive predictive value for IFD when promptly carried out on patients with febrile neutropenia at risk for fungal infections, and almost always they precede results of other diagnostic tests. They usually differ, however, across risk groups [7, 30]. The halo sign on chest CT is associated with an early, haemorrhagic stage of invasive aspergillosis (IA) and provides evidence of an angioinvasive infection. In a neutropenic patient, any pulmonary nodules in the upper lobes should prompt suspicion for fungal disease. Radiologic findings at repeat imaging in patients with early diagnosis of IA evolve from micronodules to partly solid or ground glass nodules, pleural effusion and consolidations to macronodules with no halo sign, cavities and nodules with air crescent signs [31]. The reversed halo sign ("atoll sign") may be indicative of pulmonary mucormycosis, particularly in neutropenic patients, but has been described for infections due to many different pathogens in other settings [24, 32].

Histological and/or cultural evidence from tissue biopsies or resection material are still the gold standard for a diagnosis of proven IFD [14]. Direct microscopy of biopsies originating from relevant material and histopathology should all be used in the mycological diagnostic workup, taking into account the limitation of each method in selected patient collectives [33–35].

Serology (GM test, β -D-glucan test) as well as cultures from relevant tissues should also be an

integral part of the diagnostic workup. The utility of the GM test for the detection of IA has been repeatedly demonstrated (e.g., [36]). A recent report has shown that a combined GM/BDG test detected all 7 biopsy-proven *Aspergillus* infections, but not a *Fusarium* fungaemia [37]. The benefit of the BDG test alone, however, is limited [38] and repeated measurements are recommended.

PCR followed by sequencing can be an extremely powerful and specific diagnostic tool when applied to appropriate clinical samples such as BAL or biopsies. PCR sensitivity and specificity also depend on the targeted sequences: the target of a panfungal PCR can be too long for formalin-fixed biopsies and primers might interact with human DNA, thus reducing sensitivity in contrast to nested PCRs targeting *Mucorales*-specific sequences [39]. Despite the inherent methodological difficulties (reviewed in [17]), standardization of PCR-based diagnosis of invasive fungal infections is advancing [40]. PCR assays are significantly more sensitive than culture, but results need to be put in context: detection of fungal DNA from BAL or paraffin-embedded tissue without radiological or histopathological signs of fungal infection does not necessarily mean IFD. The accidental presence of colonizers or contaminants must always be considered.

The need for invasive diagnostics is somewhat controversial. Various studies [41, 42], however, have shown that CT-guided percutaneous lung biopsy provides good diagnostic material and thus contributes to better therapeutic decisions and to improve the outcome. Some authors [41, 43–45] reported only minor adverse events related to invasive procedures (which may lead to fatal pulmonary haemorrhage and infection). To support safe patient handling practices, however, CT-driven biopsies should be taken only from patients in stabilized clinical conditions.

Conclusions

As exemplified in the presented case, it is imperative to maintain a high level of suspicion of IFD even in apparently low-risk patients with uncommon presentations. Aggressive diagnostic procedures should be promptly initiated. Diagnostic tests such as the GM, BDG test and/or PCR on biological material collected by bronchoscopy or more invasive procedures (CT-

guided biopsies) should be chosen according to their availability, after careful evaluation of their specificity and sensitivity and after evaluation of the patient's status. In any case, empirical therapy with a broad-spectrum mould-active antifungal agent should be started in high-risk patients before definitive diagnostic findings become available, possibly already during the analytical workup.

Acknowledgments The authors acknowledge the help by O. Petrini, Breganzona, Switzerland, in preparing the manuscript. The financial support by an Unrestricted Grant by Gilead is gratefully acknowledged. We also thank the patient for agreeing to have her case presented here.

Compliance with Ethical Standards

Conflict of interest The authors have no conflicts of interest to declare.

References

- Pfaller MA, Diekema DJ. Epidemiology of invasive mycoses in North America. *Crit Rev Microbiol*. 2010;36(1):1–53. doi:[10.3109/10408410903241444](https://doi.org/10.3109/10408410903241444).
- Bitar D, Lortholary O, Le Strat Y, Nicolau J, Coignard B, Tattevin P, et al. Population-based analysis of invasive fungal infections, France, 2001–2010. *Emerg Infect Dis*. 2014;20(7):1163–9. doi:[10.3201/eid2007.140087](https://doi.org/10.3201/eid2007.140087).
- Kontoyiannis DP, Marr KA, Park BJ, Alexander BD, Anaisie EJ, Walsh TJ, et al. Prospective surveillance for invasive fungal infections in hematopoietic stem cell Transplantant recipients, 2001–2006: overview of the Transplant-associated infection surveillance network (TRANSNET) database. *Clin Infect Dis*. 2010;50(8):1091–100. doi:[10.1086/651263](https://doi.org/10.1086/651263).
- Kriengkaykiet J, Ito JI, Dadwal SS. Epidemiology and treatment approaches in management of invasive fungal infections. *Clin Epidemiol*. 2011;3:175–91. doi:[10.2147/CLEP.S12502](https://doi.org/10.2147/CLEP.S12502).
- Petrikkos G, Drogari-Apiranthitou M. Zygomycosis in immunocompromised non-haematological patients. *Mediterr J Hematol Infect Dis*. 2011. doi:[10.4084/mjhid.2011.012](https://doi.org/10.4084/mjhid.2011.012).
- De Pauw BE, Donnelly JP. Timely intervention for invasive fungal disease: should the road now lead to the laboratory instead of the pharmacy? *Clin Infect Dis*. 2009;48(8):1052–4. doi:[10.1086/597396](https://doi.org/10.1086/597396).
- Chamilos G, Luna M, Lewis RE, Bodey GP, Chemaly R, Tarrand JJ, et al. Invasive fungal infections in patients with hematologic malignancies in a tertiary care cancer center: an autopsy study over a 15-year period (1989–2003). *Hematologica*. 2006;91(7):986–9.
- Lewis RE, Cahyame-Zuniga L, Leventakos K, Chamilos G, Ben-Ami R, Tamboli P, et al. Epidemiology and sites of involvement of invasive fungal infections in patients with haematological malignancies: a 20-year autopsy study. *Mycoses*. 2013;56:638–45. doi:[10.1111/myc.12081](https://doi.org/10.1111/myc.12081).

9. Baddley JW. Clinical risk factors for invasive aspergillosis. *Med Mycol.* 2011;49(Suppl 1):S7–12. doi:[10.3109/13693786.2010.505204](https://doi.org/10.3109/13693786.2010.505204).
10. Herbrecht R, Bories P, Moulin JC, Ledoux MP, Letscher-Bru V. Risk stratification for invasive aspergillosis in immunocompromised patients. *Ann NY Acad Sci.* 2012;1272:23–30. doi:[10.1111/j.1749-6632.2012.06829.x](https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1749-6632.2012.06829.x).
11. Meersseman W, Lagrou K, Maertens J, Wilmer A, Hermans G, Vandeschueren S, et al. Galactomannan in bronchoalveolar lavage fluid: a tool for diagnosing aspergillosis in intensive care unit patients. *Am J Respir Crit Care Med.* 2008;177(1):27–34. doi:[10.1164/rccm.200704-606OC](https://doi.org/10.1164/rccm.200704-606OC).
12. Marchetti O, Lamoth F, Mikulska M, Viscoli C, Verweij P, Bretagne S, et al. ECIL recommendations for the use of biological markers for the diagnosis of invasive fungal diseases in leukemic patients and hematopoietic SCT recipients. *Bone Marrow Transplant.* 2012;47(6):846–54. doi:[10.1038/bmt.2011.178](https://doi.org/10.1038/bmt.2011.178).
13. Maschmeyer G, Carratala J, Buchheidt D, Hamprecht A, Heussel CP, Kahl C, et al. Diagnosis and antimicrobial therapy of lung infiltrates in febrile neutropenic patients (allogeneic SCT excluded): updated guidelines of the Infectious Diseases Working Party (AGIHO) of the German Society of Hematology and Medical Oncology (DGHO). *Ann Oncol.* 2015;26:21–33. doi:[10.1093/annonc/mdu192](https://doi.org/10.1093/annonc/mdu192).
14. Ruhnke M, Böhme A, Buchheidt D, Cornely O, Donhuijsen K, Einsele H, et al. Diagnosis of invasive fungal infections in hematology and oncology—guidelines from the Infectious Diseases Working Party in Haematology and Oncology of the German Society for Haematology and Oncology (AGIHO). *Ann Oncol.* 2012;23(4):823–33. doi:[10.1093/annonc/mdr407](https://doi.org/10.1093/annonc/mdr407).
15. Maertens J, Groll AH, Cordonnier C, de la Camara R, Roilides E, Marchetti O. Treatment and timing in invasive mould disease. *J Antimicrob Chemother.* 2011;66(Suppl 1):i37–43. doi:[10.1093/jac/dkq440](https://doi.org/10.1093/jac/dkq440).
16. Maertens J, Theunissen K, Verhoef G, Verschakelen J, Lagrou K, Verbeken E, et al. Galactomannan and computed tomography-based preemptive antifungal therapy in neutropenic patients at high risk for invasive fungal infection: a prospective feasibility study. *Clin Infect Dis.* 2005;41(9):1242–50. doi:[10.1086/496927](https://doi.org/10.1086/496927).
17. Alanio A, Bretagne S. Difficulties with molecular diagnostic tests for mould and yeast infections: where do we stand? *Clin Microbiol Infect.* 2014;20(Suppl 6):36–41. doi:[10.1111/1469-0691.12617](https://doi.org/10.1111/1469-0691.12617).
18. Bialek R, Fischer J, Feucht A, Najvar LK, Dietz K, Knobloch J, et al. Diagnosis and monitoring of murine histoplasmosis by a nested PCR assay. *J Clin Microbiol.* 2001;39(4):1506–9. doi:[10.1128/JCM.39.4.1506-1509.2001](https://doi.org/10.1128/JCM.39.4.1506-1509.2001).
19. Buitrago MJ, Aguado JM, Ballen A, Bernal-Martinez L, Prieto M, Garcia-Reyne A, et al. Efficacy of DNA amplification in tissue biopsy samples to improve the detection of invasive fungal disease. *Clin Microbiol Infect.* 2013;19(6):E271–7. doi:[10.1111/1469-0691.12110](https://doi.org/10.1111/1469-0691.12110).
20. Rickerts V, Just-Nubling G, Konrad F, Kern J, Lambrecht E, Bohme A, et al. Diagnosis of invasive aspergillosis and mucormycosis in immunocompromised patients by semi-nested PCR assay of tissue samples. *Eur J Clin Microbiol Infect Dis.* 2006;25(1):8–13. doi:[10.1007/s10096-005-0078-7](https://doi.org/10.1007/s10096-005-0078-7).
21. Groll AH, Castagnola E, Cesaro S, Dalle JH, Engelhard D, Hope W, et al. Fourth European Conference on Infections in Leukaemia (ECIL-4): guidelines for diagnosis, prevention, and treatment of invasive fungal diseases in paediatric patients with cancer or allogeneic haemopoietic stem-cell Transplantation. *Lancet Oncol.* 2014;15(8):e327–40. doi:[10.1016/S1470-2045\(14\)70017-8](https://doi.org/10.1016/S1470-2045(14)70017-8).
22. Maertens J, Marchetti O, Herbrecht R, Cornely OA, Fluckiger U, Frere P, et al. European guidelines for anti-fungal management in leukemia and hematopoietic stem cell Transplant recipients: summary of the ECIL 3–2009 update. *Bone Marrow Transplant.* 2011;46(5):709–18. doi:[10.1038/bmt.2010.175](https://doi.org/10.1038/bmt.2010.175).
23. Bernal-Martinez L, Buitrago MJ, Castelli MV, Rodriguez-Tudela JL, Cuenca-Estrella M. Development of a single tube multiplex real-time PCR to detect the most clinically relevant Mucormycetes species. *Clin Microbiol Infect.* 2013;19(1):E1–7. doi:[10.1111/j.1469-0691.2012.03976.x](https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1469-0691.2012.03976.x).
24. Georgiadou SP, Sipsas NV, Marom EM, Kontoyiannis DP. The diagnostic value of halo and reversed halo signs for invasive mold infections in compromised hosts. *Clin Infect Dis.* 2011;52(9):1144–55. doi:[10.1093/cid/cir122](https://doi.org/10.1093/cid/cir122).
25. De Pauw B, Walsh TJ, Donnelly JP, Stevens DA, Edwards JE, Calandra T, et al. Revised definitions of invasive fungal disease from the European Organization for Research and Treatment of Cancer/Invasive Fungal Infections Cooperative Group and the National Institute of Allergy and Infectious Diseases Mycoses Study Group (EORTC/MSG) Consensus Group. *Clin Infect Dis.* 2008;46(12):1813–21. doi:[10.1086/588660](https://doi.org/10.1086/588660).
26. Rüping MJ, Vehreschild JJ, Cornely OA. Patients at high risk of invasive fungal infections: when and how to treat. *Drugs.* 2008;68(14):1941–62.
27. Auberger J, Lass-Florl C, Aigner M, Clausen J, Gastl G, Nachbaur D. Invasive fungal breakthrough infections, fungal colonization and emergence of resistant strains in high-risk patients receiving antifungal prophylaxis with posaconazole: real-life data from a single-centre institutional retrospective observational study. *J Antimicrob Chemother.* 2012;67(9):2268–73. doi:[10.1093/jac/dks189](https://doi.org/10.1093/jac/dks189).
28. De Pauw BE, Viscoli C. Managing invasive fungal infections: relying on clinical instincts or on a rational navigation system? *J Antimicrob Chemother.* 2011;66(Suppl 1):i55–8. doi:[10.1093/jac/dkq442](https://doi.org/10.1093/jac/dkq442).
29. Bertz H, Drognitz K, Lubbert M. No difference between posaconazole and fluconazole antifungal prophylaxis and mycological diagnostics except costs in patients undergoing AML chemotherapy: a 1-year “real-life” evaluation. *Ann Hematol.* 2014;93(1):165–7. doi:[10.1007/s00277-013-1854-6](https://doi.org/10.1007/s00277-013-1854-6).
30. Bergeron A, Porcher R, Sulahian A, de Bazelaire C, Chagnon K, Raffoux E, et al. The strategy for the diagnosis of invasive pulmonary aspergillosis should depend on both the underlying condition and the leukocyte count of patients with hematologic malignancies. *Blood.* 2012;119(8):1831–7; quiz 956. doi:[10.1182/blood-2011-04-351601](https://doi.org/10.1182/blood-2011-04-351601).
31. Kuhlman JE, Fishman EK, Siegelman SS. Invasive pulmonary aspergillosis in acute leukemia: characteristic findings on CT, the CT halo sign, and the role of CT in early diagnosis. *Radiology.* 1985;157(3):611–4. doi:[10.1148/radiology.157.3.3864189](https://doi.org/10.1148/radiology.157.3.3864189).

32. Legouge C, Caillot D, Chretien ML, Lafon I, Ferrant E, Audia S, et al. The reversed halo sign: pathognomonic pattern of pulmonary mucormycosis in leukemic patients with neutropenia? *Clin Infect Dis.* 2014;58(5):672–8. doi:[10.1093/cid/cit929](https://doi.org/10.1093/cid/cit929).
33. Cornely OA, Arikan-Akdagli S, Dannaoui E, Groll AH, Lagrou K, Chakrabarti A, et al. ESCMID and ECMM joint clinical guidelines for the diagnosis and management of mucormycosis 2013. *Clin Microbiol Infect.* 2014;20(Suppl. 3):5–26. doi:[10.1111/1469-0691.12371](https://doi.org/10.1111/1469-0691.12371).
34. Nguyen MH, Leather H, Clancy CJ, Cline C, Jantz MA, Kulkarni V, et al. Galactomannan testing in bronchoalveolar lavage fluid facilitates the diagnosis of invasive pulmonary aspergillosis in patients with hematologic malignancies and stem cell Transplantant recipients. *Biol Blood Marrow Transplant.* 2011;17(7):1043–50. doi:[10.1016/j.bbmt.2010.11.013](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bbmt.2010.11.013).
35. Sangi AR, Rogers WM, Longacre TA, Montoya JG, Baron EJ, Banaei N. Challenges and pitfalls of morphologic identification of fungal infections in histologic and cytologic specimens: a ten-year retrospective review at a single institution. *Am J Clin Pathol.* 2009;131(3):364–75. doi:[10.1309/AJCP99000ZSNISCR](https://doi.org/10.1309/AJCP99000ZSNISCR).
36. Heng SC, Chen SC, Morrissey CO, Thursky K, Manser RL, De Silva HD, et al. Clinical utility of Aspergillus galactomannan and PCR in bronchoalveolar lavage fluid for the diagnosis of invasive pulmonary aspergillosis in patients with haematological malignancies. *Diagn Microbiol Infect Dis.* 2014;79(3):322–7. doi:[10.1016/j.diagmicrobio.2014.03.020](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.diagmicrobio.2014.03.020).
37. Ceesay MM, Desai SR, Berry L, Cleverley J, Kibbler CC, Pomplun S, et al. A comprehensive diagnostic approach using galactomannan, targeted beta-D-glucan, baseline computerized tomography and biopsy yields a significant burden of invasive fungal disease in at risk haematology patients. *Br J Haematol.* 2015;168(2):219–29. doi:[10.1111/bjh.13114](https://doi.org/10.1111/bjh.13114).
38. Koo S, Bryar JM, Page JH, Baden LR, Marty FM. Diagnostic performance of the (1→3) Beta-D-Glucan assay for invasive fungal disease. *Clin Infect Dis.* 2009;49(11):1650–9. doi:[10.1086/647942](https://doi.org/10.1086/647942).
39. Hammond SP, Bialek R, Milner DA, Petschnigg EM, Baden LR, Marty FM. Molecular methods to improve diagnosis and identification of mucormycosis. *J Clin Microbiol.* 2011;49(6):2151–3. doi:[10.1128/jcm.00256-11](https://doi.org/10.1128/jcm.00256-11).
40. White PL, Barnes RA, Springer J, Klingspor L, Cuena-Estrella M, Morton CO, et al. Clinical performance of aspergillus PCR for testing serum and plasma: a study by the European aspergillus PCR initiative. *J Clin Microbiol.* 2015;53(9):2832–7. doi:[10.1128/JCM.00905-15](https://doi.org/10.1128/JCM.00905-15).
41. Lass-Flörl C, Resch G, Nachbaur D, Mayr A, Gastl G, Auburger J, et al. The value of computed tomography-guided percutaneous lung biopsy for diagnosis of invasive fungal infection in immunocompromised patients. *Clin Infect Dis.* 2007;45(7):e101–4. doi:[10.1086/521245](https://doi.org/10.1086/521245).
42. Rickerts V, Mousset S, Lambrecht E, Tintelnot K, Schwerdtfeger R, Presterl E, et al. Comparison of histopathological analysis, culture, and polymerase chain reaction assays to detect invasive mold infections from biopsy specimens. *Clin Infect Dis.* 2007;44(8):1078–83. doi:[10.1086/512812](https://doi.org/10.1086/512812).
43. Kropshofer G, Kneer A, Edlinger M, Meister B, Salvador C, Lass-Flörl C, et al. Computed tomography guided percutaneous lung biopsies and suspected fungal infections in pediatric cancer patients. *Pediatr Blood Cancer.* 2014;61(9):1620–4. doi:[10.1002/pbc.25091](https://doi.org/10.1002/pbc.25091).
44. Kropshofer G, Meister B, Lass-Flörl C, Cazzolara R. Why is biopsy of suspected fungal lung lesions necessary? *Med Mycol Case Rep.* 2013;2:141–3. doi:[10.1016/j.mmcr.2013.08.002](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.mmcr.2013.08.002).
45. Lass-Flörl C, Freund MC, Nachbaur D. Rate of complications in immunocompromised patients and unexpectedly high proportion of zygomycetes in computed tomography-guided percutaneous lung biopsy specimens. *Clin Infect Dis.* 2008;46(5):784–5.