1
2
3
4 What's new in surgical treatment of infective endocarditis
5
6

7 Thierry Carrel¹, Lars Englberger¹, Jukka Takala²

8 Department of Cardiovascular Surgery¹ and Department for Intensive Care Medicine²
9 University Hospital and University of Bern, Switzerland
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17

18 Correspondence

19 Thierry Carrel, MD

20 Department of Cardiovascular Surgery

21 University Hospital

22 CH-3010 Bern, Switzerland

23 Phone +41 31 632 23 75

24 Fax +41 31 632 44 43

25 Mail thierry.carrel@insel.ch
26
27
28
29

30 Despite recent improvements (newer antibiotics, intensive care and surgical
31 management), left-sided infective endocarditis (IE) is still associated with a significant in-
32 hospital mortality and mid-term attrition rate (1,2). This is particularly true for patients
33 admitted to intensive care unit (ICU) when endocarditis is due to methicillin-resistant S.
34 aureus and organ failures occur (3).

Formatiert: Französisch (Schweiz)

35 Optimal management of IE requires a broad range of expertise (infectious disease
36 specialists, cardiologists, microbiologists, cardiac surgeons and intensivists). Given the
37 low level of evidence available for the management of IE, international guidelines are
38 particularly awaited and rather well implemented (4,5).

39 This report summarizes newer informations regarding indications and timing of surgery in
40 the treatment of IE that reflect changes in the epidemiology (new antibiotics, resistant
41 microorganisms, increased use of cardiovascular implants). They may help select the
42 best treatment for the patients.

43
44 New evidence from systematic reviews and meta-analyses suggest that surgical
45 treatment is clearly superior to conservative management. Recently, Narayan published
46 a meta-analysis on randomized trials, retrospective cohorts and prospective
47 observational studies comparing outcomes between early surgery (<20 days or less) and
48 conservative management (6). In summary, early surgery is associated with significantly
49 lower risk of mortality. Kang compared early surgery to conservative treatment in patients
50 with IE and large vegetations and found significantly reduced composite end points of
51 death from any cause and a lower risk of systemic embolism with surgery (7). Moreover,
52 even in critically ill patients with multiorgan failure, surgery was reasonable in younger
53 patients (< 60 yrs), in those with predominant cardiac failure and/or with uncontrolled
54 sepsis (8).

Formatiert: Französisch (Schweiz)

55 To facilitate decision-making, Wang investigated the utility of risk scores on operative and
56 long-term mortality. The best tool for post-operative stroke was EuroSCORE II, for
57 ventilation >24 h the De Feo-Cotrufo Score while pre-operative inotropes, previous
58 CABG and dialysis were independent predictors of operative and long-term mortality (9).

Formatiert: Französisch (Schweiz)

59

The main message of the ESC guidelines is clear: address patients with IE to an “Endocarditis Team” in tertiary care centers, facilitate early diagnosis using multimode imaging and promptly evaluate indications for surgery (10).

1. Failure to control pulmonary edema or cardiogenic shock or signs of progressive multiorgan failure within 24 hours of maximal conservative therapy should prompt evaluation for immediate surgery.

2. Intracardiac destruction (abscess, severe valve regurgitation, fistula, conduction disturbances) requires surgery as soon as the complication is diagnosed.

3. Controversy (early versus delayed surgery) exists in following situations:

a. Large or increasing vegetations and at least one embolic episode under adjusted antibiotic therapy.

b. IE caused by fungi or multiresistant organisms and specific situations where the risk of surgery is deemed to be too high. Early surgery may need to be reconsidered due to the availability of modern bactericidal antibiotics (daptomycin, ceftaroline and ceftabiprole and fungicidal substances like echinocandins) that may allow successful medical treatment or widen the window of the optimal timing for surgery.

c. Stroke:

Mihos published a review on 14 studies that compared early versus delayed surgery for IE complicated by ischemic stroke (11). Early surgery meant operation performed 3 to 14 days following stroke. Risk ratios were calculated for the outcomes of perioperative stroke, operative mortality and 1-year survival. Early surgery was associated with a significantly increased risk of operative mortality - regardless of surgery within the first 7 days after stroke - but with no observed benefit in 1-year survival.

In our institution, we adopt the following strategy :

a) silent embolism (small MRI finding) or transient ischaemic attack: surgery is performed without delay, especially in case of haemodynamic deterioration and intracardiac destruction.

Formatiert: Französisch (Schweiz)

Formatiert: Englisch (USA)

93 b) haemorrhagic transformation of the ischemic lesion: surgery is usually postponed
94 for 3-4 weeks to avoid full heparinization for the extracorporeal circulation.
95 Exceptionally, surgery is considered in cases of life-threatening cardiac and/or
96 hemodynamic condition. A recent report confirmed that early surgery is safe in IE
97 patients with cerebral infarction, while surgery within 7 days should be avoided in
98 patients with intracranial hemorrhage (12).

Formatiert: Englisch (USA)

100 d) Infection of cardiac devices

Formatiert: Französisch (Schweiz)

101 The current incidence of ICD infection is unknown but more complex devices and
102 procedures increase infection rates. Staphylococci cause the majority of infection. All-
103 cause mortality ranges between 0% and 35%. Failure to remove an infected device is
104 associated with relapse and mortality. Complete and early (as soon as possible, but not
105 more than 2 weeks after diagnosis) removal of an infected ICD system (generator and all
106 leads) combined with appropriate antimicrobial therapy is the most effective and safe
107 treatment option. Percutaneous removal is preferred for infected leads, combined with
108 removal of the generator while surgical removal should be considered for large lead-
109 associated vegetations and when valve surgery is indicated.

111 Surgery for IE, should attempt complete removal of the infected tissue and intracardiac
112 reconstruction, including repair or replacement of the affected valve(s). Homografts are
113 considered beneficial in root abscess and aorto-ventricular discontinuity.
114 In a prospective population-based survey, lung analyzed the adherence to the guidelines
115 regarding indications for surgery (14). He found that surgery during acute IE was
116 recommended in almost three out of four patients, but less than 50% of the patients
117 received surgery.

Formatiert: Englisch (USA)

118 The best 1-year survival was observed in patients who had an indication for surgery and
119 were operated on (14). Chu made a similar observation in 1296 prospectively recruited
120 patients, Surgical treatment was performed in 57% but only in 76% of patients with a
121 surgical indication (15). Patients who did not undergo surgical treatment were
122 more likely to have medical comorbidities such as coronary artery disease,
123 previous heart failure, diabetes and renal disease and to have infection
124 caused by S. aureus. In-hospital and 6 month-mortality were higher among
125 patients who did not undergo surgery compared with those who did. In
126 multivariate analysis, significant predictors of nonsurgical treatment were:

Formatiert: Französisch (Schweiz)

Formatiert: Französisch (Schweiz)

127 history of moderate/ severe liver disease, stroke before surgical decision
128 and Saureus etiology. The most common reason for lack of surgery was
129 having a poor prognosis regardless of treatment (33.7%) like hemodynamic
130 instability, death before surgery, stroke, and sepsis.

131 In patients with an indication for surgery, surgery was found to be
132 associated with higher 6-month survival than no surgery. Patients with
133 higher operative risk who underwent surgery had survival similar to
134 patients with lower operative risk treated without surgery, whereas
135 patients with higher operative risk who did not undergo surgery had very
136 low survival (15).

137
138 In summary, patients with IE requiring ICU present special problems. Defining the optimal
139 timing of surgery requires a close interdisciplinary communication between all specialists.
140 Response to initial treatment of hemodynamics and infection, presence and risk of
141 complications, and subtle changes in organ function should be taken into account to
142 outweigh risk and benefits of early versus delayed surgical treatment.

143

144

145

146

147

148

149

150

151

152

153

154

155

156

157

158

159

References

1. Kiefer T, Park L, Tribouilloy C, Cortes C, Casillo R, Chu V et al. Association between valvular surgery and mortality among patients with infective endocarditis complicated by heart failure. *JAMA* 2011;306:2239-47
2. Lalani T, Chu VH, Park LP, Cecchi E, Corey GR, Durante-Mangoni E et al. In-hospital and 1-year mortality in patients undergoing early surgery for prosthetic valve endocarditis. *JAMA Intern Med* 2013;173:1495-1504.
3. Leroy O, Georges H, Devos P, Bitton S, De Sa N, Dedrie C, et al. Infective endocarditis requiring ICU admission: epidemiology and prognosis. *Ann Intensive Care* 2015;5:45.
- 4.. Habib G, Lancellotti P, Antunes MJ, Bongiorni MG, Casalta JP, Del Zotti F et al. 2015 ESC Guidelines for the management of infective endocarditis. The Task Force for the Management of Infective Endocarditis of the European Society of Cardiology (ESC). Endorsed by: European Association for Cardio-Thoracic Surgery (EACTS) and the European Association for Nuclear Medicine (EANM). *Eur Heart J* 2015;36: 3075-3123
5. Baddour LM, Wilson WR, Bayer AS, Fowler WG, Tleyjeh IM, Rybak MJ et al. Infective Endocarditis in Adults: Diagnosis, Antimicrobial Therapy, and Management of Complications. A Scientific Statement for Healthcare Professionals From the American Heart Association. Endorsed by the Infectious Diseases Society of America *Circulation*. 2015;132:1435-86
6. Anantha Narayan M, Mahfood Haddad T, Kalil AC, Kanmanthareddy A, Suri RM, Mansour G et al. Early versus late surgical intervention or medical management for infective endocarditis: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Heart* 2016;102:950-7.
7. Kang DH, Kim YJ, Kim SH, Sun BJ, Kim DH, Yun SC et al. Early surgery versus conventional treatment for infective endocarditis. *N Engl J Med* 2012;366:2466-73.
8. Mirabel M, Sonnevile R, Hajage D, Novy E, Tubach F, Vignon P, et al. ENDOREA Study Group. Long-term outcomes and cardiac surgery in critically ill patients with infective endocarditis. *Eur Heart J*. 2014;35:1195-204.
9. Wang TK, Oh T, Voss J, Gamble G, Kang N, Pemberton J. Comparison of contemporary risk scores for predicting outcomes after surgery for active infective endocarditis. *Heart Vessels* 2015;30:227-34.
10. Habib G, Lancellotti P. The 2015 ESC Guidelines for the management of infective endocarditis. Take-home message of the full 2015 ESC Guidelines, also endorsed by the European Association for Cardio-Thoracic Surgery, European Association of Nuclear Medicine, and European Society of Clinical Microbiology and Infectious Diseases. *European Heart Journal* 2015;36:3036-42.

- 202 11. Mihos CG, Pineda AM, Santana O. A Meta-Analysis of Early Versus Delayed Surgery
203 for Valvular Infective Endocarditis Complicated by Embolic Ischemic Stroke. *Innovations*
204 (Phila). 2016 Jul 19. [Epub ahead of print].
- 205 12. Okita Y, Minakata K, Yasuno S, Uozumi R, Sato T, Ueshima K, et al. Optimal timing
206 of surgery for active infective endocarditis with cerebral complications: a Japanese
207 multicentre study. *Eur J Cardiothorac Surg*. 2016;50:374-82.
- 208 13. Sandoe JA, Barlow G, Chambers JB, Gammage M, Guleri M, Howard P et al.
209 Guidelines for the diagnosis, prevention and management of implantable cardiac
210 electronic device infection. Report of a joint Working Party project on behalf of the British
211 Society for Antimicrobial Chemotherapy (BSAC, host organization), British Heart Rhythm
212 Society (BHRS), British Cardiovascular Society (BCS), British Heart Valve Society
213 (BHVS) and British Society for Echocardiography (BSE). *J Antimicrob Chemother*.
214 2015;70:325-59.
- 215 14. Iung B, Doco-Lecompte T, Chocron S, Strady C, Delahaye F, Le Moing V et al. for
216 the AEPEI Study Group. Cardiac surgery during the acute phase of infective
217 endocarditis: discrepancies between European Society of Cardiology guidelines and
218 practices. *Eur Heart J*. 2016;37:840-8.
- 219 15. Chu VH, Park LP, Athan E, Delahaye F, Freiburger T, Lamas C, et. al. Association
220 between surgical indications, operative risk and clinical outcome in infective endocarditis:
221 a prospective study from the International Collaboration on Endocarditis. *Circulation*.
222 2015;131:131-40.

223 Table

224 Most important informations regarding indications and timing of surgery in left-sided
225 native and prosthetic valve endocarditis (from ESC Guidelines, 2015 - reference 4)

226		
227	Immediate	Emergency surgery must be performed irrespective of the status of
228	(same day)	infection, when patients are in persistent pulmonary oedema or
229		cardiogenic shock despite medical therapy.
230		
231	Urgent	- Severe valvular regurgitation or obstruction leading to heart failure
232	(within days)	- Poor haemodynamic tolerance (high end-diastolic LV-pressure,
233		moderate to severe pulmonary artery hypertension).
234		- Uncontrolled infection leading to intracardiac destruction.
235		- Increasing vegetation despite adequate antibiotic treatment.
236		- Persistent vegetations > 10 mm after more than one embolic episode
237		- Endocarditis caused by fungi or multiresistant organisms (relative
238		indication).
239		
240	Delayed	- Surgery should be considered depending on the tolerance of the
241	(within weeks)	valve lesion and according to the recommendations for the treatment
242		of valve disease.
243		
244	Neurological	- no delay following a silent embolism or transient ischaemic attack
245	complications	if indicated because haemodynamic conditions or intracardiac
246		destruction
247		- interval of 3-4 weeks in case of haemorrhagic transformation of
248		ischemic lesions
249		- no evidence of beneficial effect of angiographic coiling in case of
250		unruptured septic cerebral pseudoaneurysms
251		

252

253