The colloidal tool-box approach for fuel cell catalysts: utilizing graphitized carbon supports
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Abstract

In the presented study we apply the “tool-box” approach for studying colloidal Pt nanoclusters as building blocks for polymer electrolyte membrane fuel cells (PEMFCs) catalysts utilizing graphitized carbon as support. In line with previous studies we concentrate on the influence of the carbon support on the degradation of PEMFC catalysts. It is demonstrated that a mild etching of the graphitized carbon support can lead to an improved stability under accelerated stress tests targeting carbon corrosion.
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1. Introduction

Colloidal metal nanoclusters stabilized by simple ions are promising building blocks for supported catalysts [1, 2]. In recent years we deployed colloidal nanoclusters for the systematic investigation of Pt based catalysts for polymer electrolyte membrane fuel cells (PEMFCs) and coined the term “tool-box” synthesis [3-8]. The basic concept of the tool-box synthesis is the ability to systematically change individual parameters of the catalyst, while the other parameters remain constant. The synthesis basically consists of two steps. First a colloidal “mother” suspension of Pt or Pt-alloy nanoparticles (NPs) is prepared. The NPs are stabilized by simple, non-strongly binding ions [1, 9], which can easily be removed without changing the NPs, i.e. without the typical applied heating steps, ozone treatment etc. [10-16]. Then in the second step, the NPs are attached to a high surface area support by a simple drying procedure, which does not affect the NP or the support properties. Thus the synthesis allows us for example to change the Pt loading on a carbon support at constant, well-defined particle size [3, 5], or to obtain a constant loading on different carbon supports [7]. Even though the obtained catalysts perform comparable to industrial samples, the main purpose of such studies is to bridge the gap between basic and applied research. That is to perform “use inspired basic research”.

In the last decade most research concerning fuel cell catalysts was focused on the development of new, highly active catalytic materials [17]. In light of the obtained improvements – especially in the field of non-precious metal catalysts [18], “plain” activity optimization may no longer be the most important goal [19]. Instead the aim needs to be to concurrently increase durability and power density (for a given amount of Pt). In our research group, in recent years we therefore focused on fuel cell catalyst stability. One of the main limitations concerning the stability of conventional high surface area (HSA) Pt/C catalysts is the stability of Pt NPs as well as the corrosion of the carbon support [20-26]. Efforts to exchange the carbon support by more stable, oxide based supports may
exhibit some improvements in half-cell tests [27], but the excellent properties of carbon (for example its porosity) are more decisive for the performance in membrane electrode assemblies or fuel cell stacks.

The presented work therefore focuses on the characterization of catalysts supported on graphitized carbon blacks (GCB). The improved corrosion resistance of GCBs as compared to standard CBs (i.e. Vulcan XC72R) is long known and makes them an interesting support for Pt NPs [28]. However, due to the less defective nature of GCBs the challenge is to reach a high dispersion of Pt during the synthesis of Pt/GCB. In conventional synthesis, due to the small amount of agglomeration sites, the formation of large particles is favored. On the other hand, creating surface sites by etching of the carbon poses the risk of destroying the carbon structure and inducing surface sites for carbon corrosion. Here we investigate a possible strategy to circumvent these difficulties. Applying our “tool-box” synthesis approach we investigate GCBs that has been mildly etched before particle attachment. The goal is to generate just the right amount of anchoring sites for Pt NPs, but without destroying the ordered carbon structure.

2. Experimental

2.1 - Colloidal synthesis approach of carbon supported Pt nanoparticles

The catalysts used in this work were in house synthesized based on the original recipe of colloidal Pt nanoclusters by Wang et al. [9] as described in our previous work [4]. As mentioned above, first a colloidal “mother” suspension of Pt NPs with a narrow size distribution and an average particle size of about 2 nm was synthesized via an ethylene glycol route (EG). Then, in the second step, different catalysts were made from the same mother solution by washing, re-dispersing and drying Pt NPs onto various carbon supports. As carbon supports Vulcan XC72R (Cabot Corporation, in the following named Vulcan), GCB and GCB treated in H₂O₂ (GCB H₂O₂) were used. The GCB
support was provided by Umicore AG & Co KG. It was obtained by graphitizing Ketjenblack EC-600JD carbon support (Akzo Nobel) in an inert atmosphere at 3200 °C.

In the following the individual steps of the catalyst synthesis are shortly described. For a more detailed description of the EG synthesis and the so called “tool box” approach see Refs. [4, 9]. The colloidal solution containing Pt NPs was synthesized by mixing a solution of ethylene glycol and NaOH with an ethylene glycol (EG) solution of H₂PtCl₆·H₂O in EG. A typical recipe, the one used here, is to use 50 mL of 0.4 M in NaOH-EG mixed with 1.0 g H₂PtCl₆·H₂O precursor dissolved in 50 mL EG. Alternatively also smaller amounts can be prepared when keeping the concentrations and ratios constant. The yellowish platinum hydroxide or oxide colloidal solution in EG was subsequently heated to 160 °C for 3 h under reflux in an Ar atmosphere. During heating a blackish-brown homogeneous metal particle colloidal suspension with a Pt concentration of 4 g Pt/l EG forms. Alternative to an oil heating bath, a microwave reactor can be applied [29], which renders the particle synthesis extremely fast. The obtained particle suspension is stabilized by the adsorption of simple ions and can be used as mother suspension for different catalysts with identical Pt NPs. The size of the thus synthesized Pt NPs in EG can be checked by small angle X-ray scattering (SAXS) and is very reproducible around 2 nm in diameter exhibiting a narrow size distribution.

To support the Pt NPs onto the carbon powder 1 M HCl was added to the colloidal NP solution in a ratio of ca. 2:1 (ml/ml; HCl/NP solution) for precipitation. The solution was centrifuged (4000 rpm, 6 min) and the supernatant solvent discarded. This procedure was repeated before re-dispersing the NPs in acetone. Finally, the as-synthesized Pt NPs were deposited onto the different carbon supports by mixing the NPs suspension (in acetone) with carbon mixed in 3 mL of acetone and sonicating for 1 h. The catalyst samples were prepared with two different nominal Pt loadings; i.e. 30 wt.% Pt/Vulcan as well as 10 wt.% Pt/GCB and 10 wt.% Pt/GCB H₂O₂. Finally the catalyst is
dried. Efforts to increase the Pt loading of the GCB supports without loss in surface area were not successful.

The actual Pt loading of the catalysts was determined by using inductively coupled plasma mass spectrometry (ICP-MS) measurements. For this, the catalysts were dissolved in aqua regia (freshly mixed cc. HNO₃ and cc. HCl in a volumetric ratio of 1:3, respectively). The concentration of platinum in the diluted aqua regia solution was analyzed by ICP-MS (NexION 300X, Perkin Elmer) through a Meinhard quartz nebulizer and a cyclonic spray chamber, operating at nebulizer gas flow rates of 15 L min⁻¹ (Ar, purity 5.0).

2.2 - H₂O₂ treatment of graphitized carbon

To enhance particle support interaction, the graphitized carbons treated in H₂O₂. For this 50mg of GCB powder was mixed under vigorous stirring into 100 ml of 33 % H₂O₂ solution using a closed vial. The suspension was then heated to 100 °C and kept at this same temperature under stirring for 2 days. Then the suspension was cooled down to room temperature and centrifuged at 4000 rpm for 30 min to remove the remaining H₂O₂. Finally the treated GCB H₂O₂ powder was rinsed twice with MilliQ water and then dried in an oven at 80°C over night.

2.3 - Electrochemical measurements

The electrochemical measurements were performed in a homemade all-Teflon three compartment cell [30, 31]. A carbon rod has been used as a counter electrode (CE), a home build multi-electrode with eight glassy carbon tips served as working electrodes (WE). The potential was controlled using a potentiostat (Princeton Applied Research, model 263A) in a three electrode setup. The reference electrode (RE) was a Schott standard calomel electrode (SCE) placed in a compartment separated by a membrane (Nafion®) in order to avoid the diffusion of Cl⁻ ions into the main compartment [32]. All potentials however are referred to the reversible hydrogen electrode (RHE) which was experimentally determined for each measurement series. The electrolyte was prepared using
Millipore® Milli-Q water (>18.3 MΩcm⁻¹, total oxidizable carbon, TOC < 5 ppb) and concentrated HClO₄ (Suprapure; Merck Germany). Catalyst inks were prepared by ultrasonically dispersing the catalyst powder for at least 15 min in ultra-pure water. Then 20 ml of the catalyst ink was pipetted onto each glassy carbon electrode leading to a Pt loading of 14 µgPt cm⁻² and thereafter dried in a nitrogen gas stream. Care should be taken that the catalyst ink is not heated from the ultrasonic bath, to avoid errors from changing water density. The measurements were performed in de-aerated electrolyte. The electrochemical surface area (ECSA) of the catalysts was determined from the CO stripping charge. In each CO-stripping experiment, carbon monoxide was adsorbed at 0.05 V_RHE until saturation. Afterwards the electrolyte was purged with Ar for 10 min holding the potential. Finally, CO was electrochemically oxidized by scanning the potential from 0.05 to 1.2 V_RHE with a scan rate of 50 mV s⁻¹. All the measurements were performed at room temperature and without rotation.

2.4 - Accelerated stress test (AST) protocol

The degradation behavior of the catalysts was evaluated employing an accelerated stress test treatment (AST) proposed by FCCJ consisting in potential cycles between 1-1.5 V_RHE with a sweep rate of 500 mV s⁻¹ [22]. This treatment simulates the potentials experienced in a fuel cell during start up and shut down. The treatment consisted in 27000 potential cycles (15h) and the ECSA was recorded every 3000 cycles up to 9000 cycles and then at 18000 and 27000 cycles. All the measurements were conducted at room temperature in Ar saturated 0.1M HClO₄ and without rotation. For a more detailed description of the AST treatment see Ref.[22]

2.5 - Transmission electron microscope (TEM) Imaging

For TEM investigations the catalyst dispersion was diluted by a factor of 1:10. The diluted dispersion was then sonicated for 10 minutes. Afterwards 5 µL of the catalyst dispersion were pipette onto a copper grid (400 mesh; Plano, Germany) coated with a carbon film. In order to keep
the catalyst loading low, after a few seconds the drop was adsorbed off the grid with a tissue. The grid was then dried and the catalyst investigated in a Tecnai T-20 G2 s-TEM (FEI) with an accelerating voltage of 200 kV.

2.6 - Micro-Raman spectroscopy

For the micro-Raman measurements, a drop of the sample was placed on a cleaned glass cover slip on an Olympus IX71 microscope and the sample was left to dry before measuring. A 514 nm CW Argon Ion laser (CVI Melles Griot 35MAP431-200) was aligned into the microscope. Two narrow bandpass filter centered at 510 and 514 nm (Semrock FF02-510/20-25 and FF01-514/3-25) were used to spectrally clean the laser source. The laser light was reflected in the microscope on a 70/30 beam splitter (XF122 from Omega Filters) towards a 100X 1.3 NA immersion oil objective (Olympus UplanFL N) that focused the laser on the sample and collected the Raman signal. The power of the laser focused into a diffraction limited spot at the sample was 350 µW, 120 µW and 600 µW for Figure 2, Figure 3A-B and Figure 3C-D, respectively. For Figure 2 and Figure 3A-B, a 514 nm longpass filter (Semrock LP02-514RE-25) was used to block the 514 nm laser light in the detection path. For Figure 3C-D an additional 514 nm longpass filter was present (Semrock LP02-514RE-25 + Semrock LP02-514RU-25). The Raman spectrum was recorded by using a PI Acton Spectra Pro SP-2356 polychromator (600 g mm$^{-1}$ blazed at 500 nm) and a PI Acton SPEC-10:100B/LN eXcelon Spectroscopy System with a back-illuminated CCD chip (1340 × 100 pixels). X-axis calibration of the spectra was performed after the measurements using a toluene Raman spectrum and/or a Neon pencil calibration lamp (ORIEL instruments, 6032 neon lamp). No further modifications or corrections were performed on the spectra. The data in Figure 3A-B was previously presented [7].

2.7 - Brunauer-Emmett-Teller (BET) analysis

Gas (nitrogen) adsorption isotherms were recorded using a Quantachrome Autosorb-1 Sorption
Analyser in the relative pressure range \(10^{-6}\) to 0.995 \(P/P_0\) at liquid nitrogen temperature (77K). Prior to experiments, the samples were degassed by heating to 200 °C in vacuum (< \(10^{-3}\)torr) for 24 hours. The surface area was determined in the relative pressure range \(0.05 < P/P_0 < 0.3\) using the BET equation [33]. For all samples the BET plots were linear in the relative pressure range examined and the BET constant > 50 confirming the applicability of the BET equation. Pore volumes estimated using t-plots [34].

3. Results and discussion

As discussed above, the main aim of the work was to systematically study the degradation of Pt NPs attached to different carbon supports, i.e. Vulcan XC72R as standard CB, GCB, and GCB treated in \(\text{H}_2\text{O}_2\) (GCB \(\text{H}_2\text{O}_2\)). As a first step the different carbon supports were characterized by a standard BET analysis. The results are displayed in Table 1. It is seen that all carbons have a relative low surface area, i.e. between 130 \(\text{m}^2\ \text{g}^{-1}\) for the GCB and 222 \(\text{m}^2\ \text{g}^{-1}\) for the Vulcan support. Interestingly, the \(\text{H}_2\text{O}_2\) treatment increases the BET area of the GCB to a very similar value as obtained for Vulcan, i.e. 208 \(\text{m}^2\ \text{g}^{-1}\). The main difference of the GCBs, however, is their lack of micropores below 2 nm, which is independent of the \(\text{H}_2\text{O}_2\) treatment.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>BET total surface area, (\text{m}^2\ \text{g}^{-1})</th>
<th>Micropore surface area &lt; 2 nm, (\text{m}^2\ \text{g}^{-1})</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Vulcan XC72R (taken from [35])</td>
<td>222</td>
<td>68</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Graphitized carbon black</td>
<td>131</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(\text{H}_2\text{O}_2) treated graphitized carbon black</td>
<td>208</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 1. Different carbon supports and their experimentally determined BET-surface area properties.
The different properties of the carbon also become apparent when trying to attach Pt NPs to them. On Vulcan an even distribution of anchor sites exists and hence the Pt NPs are nicely distributed on the Vulcan support, see Figure 1a. By comparison the particle attachment to the GCB is severely inhibited due to the lack of defect sites. Our efforts to prepare a 30 wt% catalyst on the graphitized support resulted in highly agglomerated particles. Only reducing the nominal loading to 10 wt% resulted in somewhat un-agglomerated Pt NPs, see Figure 1b. In the TEM micrograph the uneven particle distribution on the GCB support is clearly discernible. It seems that the nanoparticles preferential attach to the darker, presumably graphitic planes. But in a 2 dimensional image of a 3 dimensional catalyst, this is difficult to judge. H$_2$O$_2$ treatment in turn improves particle dispersion on the support. For both catalysts the measured and nominal Pt weight loading deviates by 3-12 %, i.e. the attachment is similar; but ECSA values determined from CO stripping (Fig. 5) and calculated based on the Pt content determined by ICP-MS are significantly different. While for Pt/Vulcan an ECSA of 126 m$^2$ g$_{Pt}^{-1}$ is determined, the obtained values of Pt/GCB and Pt/GCB H$_2$O$_2$ are 57 and 84 m$^2$ g$_{Pt}^{-1}$, respectively. That is, the H$_2$O$_2$ treatment improves the ECSA by roughly 50 % (please notice that for all catalysts the same Pt NP colloidal suspension was used).

Figure 1. TEM micrographs of the synthesized Pt/C catalysts. a) 30 wt.% Pt/Vulcan, b) 10 wt.% Pt/GCB, c) 10 wt.% Pt/GCB H$_2$O$_2$. 
In the next step we investigated the influence of the H$_2$O$_2$ treatment on the GCB as well as the influence of AST treatments on the different catalysts using micro-Raman spectroscopy. In Figure 2 the Raman spectra of the bare graphitized carbon blacks (GCB) are shown before and after H$_2$O$_2$ treatment. The Raman spectra consist of two well defined, sharp peaks without any significant shoulder: a G (graphitic) and a D (disorder) band centred at ca. 1600 cm$^{-1}$ and ca. 1350 cm$^{-1}$, respectively. The ratio between D and G band intensity can be used of an indication of graphitic character of the CB. As a result of the H$_2$O$_2$ treatment the I$_D$/I$_G$ ratio increases (from 0.23 to 0.32), which could indicate an increase in the number of defects after the H$_2$O$_2$ treatment.

![Raman spectra of pristine GCB and after H$_2$O$_2$ treatment.](image)

**Figure 2.** Raman spectra of the pristine GCB and after H$_2$O$_2$ treatment. Each spectrum is the average of spectra recorded at 3 different spots. The spectra are normalized to the G peak.

In Figure 3, the influence of the AST treatment on the carbon supports of the catalysts is summarized. The Raman spectrum of the Pt/Vulcan catalyst at BOT (Figure 3a) is typical for an amorphous CB [36-38]. By comparing the I$_D$/I$_G$ ratio of the Pt/Vulcan catalyst to the one of the Pt/GCB or Pt/GCB H$_2$O$_2$ catalyst, see Figure 3c and Table 2 (as the Raman spectra of the Pt/GCB and the Pt/GCB H$_2$O$_2$ catalyst are very similar, only the latter is shown in Figure 3), it can be seen that Vulcan is significantly more defected than the GCBs. Furthermore, in contrast to the GCBs the D band of Vulcan exhibits a shoulder towards lower wave numbers, which we didn’t analyse further.
The Raman spectrum of Pt/GCB H$_2$O$_2$ is similar to the one of the bare (without Pt NPs) support. The $I_D/I_G$ ratio is less than half the value as for the Pt/Vulcan catalysts, i.e. 0.51 as compared to 1.27; but, significantly larger than the bare GCB H$_2$O$_2$ (see above). The first observation clearly demonstrates the highly graphitic character of the GCB. The second is contrary to what we observed on standard carbon black [7]. It seems that either the catalyst preparation procedure induces some defects on the GCB support or that a significant amount on graphitic sites is covered by the Pt NPs. A similar observation is reported in ref. [36]. Independent of the interpretation, the difference in defected carbon between the final catalysts Pt/GCB and Pt/GCB H$_2$O$_2$, is relative small; the $I_D/I_G$ ratio is 0.51 and 0.56, respectively.

$\text{Figure 3. Raman spectra 30 wt.% Pt/Vulcan BOT treated at the beginning of the AST treatment (BOT) (a) (taken from [7]) and at the end of the AST treatment (EOT) (b). Raman spectra 10 wt.% Pt/GCBs H$_2$O$_2$ (c) and EOT (d). The AST treatment consisted of 27000 cycles between 1 and 1.5 V$_{\text{RHE}}$. The scan speed was 500 mV s$^{-1}$.}$
Table 2. Relative maximum intensity ratios of the D and G peak (I_D/I_G) of the different catalyst samples determined from the micro Raman spectra. I_D/I_G is determined at the beginning the AST treatment (BOT) and at the end of the AST treatment (EOT). The given values are averaged from three different spots for each sample. The AST treatment consisted of 27000 cycles between 1 and 1.5 V_{RHE}. The scan speed was 500 mV s^{-1}.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Catalyst</th>
<th>I_D/I_G BOT</th>
<th>I_D/I_G EOT</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Pt/Vulcan</td>
<td>1.27</td>
<td>0.97</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pt/GCB</td>
<td>0.51</td>
<td>0.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pt/GCB H_2O_2</td>
<td>0.56</td>
<td>0.51</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The applied AST treatment has a similar effect on the three investigated catalysts. At EOT the intensity of the D peak associated with defective carbon is reduced in relation to the G peak. This observation is in contrary to results reported in ref. [36], where in MEA tests the intensity ratio of D and G peak stayed constant upon applying an AST treatment. The finding could indicate that the under our experimental conditions the AST treatment removes defective carbon sites from the carbon support surface instead of creating new ones [7]. Analyzing the relative change of the I_D/I_G ratio, it is seen that for Pt/Vulcan and Pt/GCB a relative decrease of more than 20 % is obtained, whereas in the case of Pt/GCB H_2O_2 a relative change of less than 10 % is detected. However, the most significant between Vulcan and the GCBs is the oxidation of the Vulcan support indicated by the formation of an additional peak at around 1800 cm^{-1}, which can be assigned to the C=O stretch [7].

The difference in electrochemical stability between Vulcan and the GCBs is even more pronounced in the electrochemical signature. CVs recorded in 0.1M HClO_4 at BOT and EOT display a drastic change of the Pt/Vulcan catalyst due to the AST treatment, see Figure 4a. The Pt H_{upd} region is significantly reduced at EOT. Furthermore a reversible peak assigned to hydroquinone/quinone emerges (at ca. 0.55 V_{RHE}) in addition to an increase of the double layer capacity of the carbon. As a result the typical Pt/C type voltammogram changes to a rather undefined and featureless CV. By comparison the changes in the CV of Pt/GCB and Pt/GCB H_2O_2 inflicted by the AST are only
For Pt/GCB the double layer capacity stays almost constant and only minor changes are observed in the $H_{\text{upd}}$ region. The adsorption of oxygenated species is slightly shifted to higher potentials. For Pt/GCB $H_2O_2$ change in the double layer seems somewhat more pronounced than for Pt/GCB, but else no significant differences are apparent.

**Figure 4.** (a) Effect of AST treatment on the cyclic voltammograms of 30 wt.% Pt/Vulcan (taken from ref. [7]), (b) 10 wt.% Pt/GCB and (c) 10 wt.% Pt/GCB $H_2O_2$ treated. The cyclic voltammograms were recorded in 0.1 M HClO$_4$ with a sweep rate of 50 mV s$^{-1}$. The AST treatment consisted of 27000 cycles between 1 and 1.5 V RHE. The scan speed was 500 mV s$^{-1}$.

The change in the electrochemical signature of the CVs is in line with the catalyst stability probed by determining the ECSA via CO stripping recorded at BOT and at the EOT (i.e. after 27000
potential cycles between 1 - 1.5V_{RHE} 500 mV s^{-1}) for the three catalysts, see Figure 5a-c. It is apparent that the ECSA loss for Pt/Vulcan is significant larger than for Pt/GCB and Pt/GCB H_{2}O_{2}. Furthermore, all the catalysts display a pre-peak as a shoulder of the main peak at the EOT. Such a pre-peak is often associated to Pt NP agglomeration [39].

**Figure 5.** CO stripping curves at BOT (solid black line) and EOT (dotted blue line). a) Pt/Vulcan, b) Pt/GCB and c) Pt/GCB H_{2}O_{2} treated.

In order to obtain a good statistic of the electrochemical stability of the different catalysts, the AST treatment has been repeated several times for each catalyst. In Figure 6 the electrochemical stability of the different catalysts is summarized in a plot comparing the normalized ECSA loss as a function of the number of potential cycles between 1 and 1.5 V_{RHE}. In this plot the difference between Pt/Vulcan and the Pt/GCB catalysts is highlighted, but it is also seen that the H_{2}O_{2} treatment leads to a significant increase in electrochemical stability under the applied conditions. We can conclude the following stability trend: Pt/GCB H_{2}O_{2} > Pt/GCB > Pt/Vulcan. While Pt/Vulcan loses 33 % of its ECSA after 27000 cycles, Pt/GCB loses 17% and Pt/GCB H_{2}O_{2} only 8%. In addition to the significantly reduced relative ECSA loss, also differences in the trend of ECSA loss with increasing
AST cycles become apparent. For the standard Pt/Vulcan catalyst most of the ECSA loss occurs in the first 10 000 cycles, thereafter the rate of ECSA loss per cycle decreases. By comparison for the two Pt/GCB catalysts a rather constant ECSA loss per cycle is observed. Beside the improved carbon stability of GCB as indicated by Raman, the larger initial degradation of Pt/Vulcan might be in part related to its higher Pt wt.% (related to its BET surface area). A higher Pt loading leads to a reduced interparticle distance, which should favor particle agglomeration.

\[\text{Figure 6.} \quad \text{Comparison of the normalized ECSA as a function of the number of potential cycles during the AST. The ECSA was determined by CO stripping. 30 wt.%Pt/Vulcan blue squared line (taken from [7]), 10 wt.%Pt/GCB red triangular line and 10 wt.%Pt/GCB H}_2\text{O}_2 \text{ black dotted line (the lines serve as guides for the eye). The AST treatment consisted of cycles between 1 and 1.5 V}_\text{RHE} \text{ applying a scan speed of 500 mV s}^{-1}.\]

4. Conclusion

In the presented study we applied the tool-box approach for investigating graphitized carbon as support PEMFC catalysts. The approach enables us to examine the same Pt NPs linked to different supports, i.e. Vulcan, GCB, and GCB treated in hydrogen peroxide. The results clearly demonstrate advantages, but also the limits of GCBs as supports for PEMFC catalysts. The main advantage is the significant higher stability. Applying harsh AST test protocols aimed at degrading the support,
the ECSA loss can be reduced to 25 % of the value measured for Vulcan (for GCB H₂O₂).
Interestingly, especially the initial fast degradation is inhibited. The main limitation, however, is the
low number of anchor sites for NPs on graphitized supports. For high power density applications,
catalysts with high Pt loading (50 wt.% or more) are needed. Mild etching improves catalyst
stability, but further optimization of the procedure is needed to obtain highly loaded catalyst
samples.
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