Radiocarbon dating of glacier ice: overview, optimisation, validation and potential
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Abstract. High-altitude glaciers and ice caps from midlatitudes and tropical regions contain valuable signals of past climatic and environmental conditions as well as human activities, but for a meaningful interpretation this information needs to be placed in a precise chronological context. For dating the upper part of ice cores from such sites, several relatively precise methods exist, but they fail in the older and deeper parts, where plastic deformation of the ice results in strong annual layer thinning and a non-linear age–depth relationship. If sufficient organic matter such as plant, wood or insect fragments were found, radiocarbon (14C) analysis would have thus been the only option for a direct and absolute dating of deeper ice core sections. However such fragments are rarely found and, even then, they would not be very likely to occur at the desired depth and resolution. About 10 years ago, a new, complementary dating tool was therefore introduced by our group. It is based on extracting the μg-amounts of the water-insoluble organic carbon (WIOC) fraction of carbonaceous aerosols embedded in the ice matrix for subsequent 14C dating. Since then this new approach has been improved considerably by reducing the measurement time and improving the overall precision. Samples with ~10 μg WIOC mass can now be dated with reasonable uncertainty of around 10–20 % (variable depending on sample age). This requires about 300 to 800 g of ice for WIOC concentrations typically found in midlatitude and low-latitude glacier ice. Dating polar ice with satisfactory age precision is still not possible since WIOC concentrations are around 1 order of magnitude lower. The accuracy of the WIOC 14C method was validated by applying it to independently dated ice. With this method, the deepest parts of the ice cores from Colle Gnifetti and the Mt Ortles glacier in the European Alps, Illimani glacier in the Bolivian Andes, Tsambagarav ice cap in the Mongolian Altai, and Belukha glacier in the Siberian Altai have been dated. In all cases a strong annual layer thinning towards the bedrock was observed and the oldest ages obtained were in the range of 10 000 years. WIOC 14C dating was not only crucial for interpretation of the embedded environmental and climatic histories, but additionally gave a better insight into glacier flow dynamics close to the bedrock and past glacier coverage. For this the availability of multiple dating points in the deepest parts was essential, which is the strength of the presented WIOC 14C dating method, allowing determination of absolute ages from principally every piece of ice.

1 Introduction

High-altitude glaciers and ice caps from midlatitudes and tropical regions contain valuable signals of past climate and atmospheric variability at regional and local scales and are located in areas with large biological diversity and inhabited by the majority of the world’s population. Midlatitude glaciers, for instance in the European Alps or in the Himalayas, are influenced, in particular, by the nearby anthro-
pogenic pollution sources, thereby additionally preserving the signature of human activities. This information can generally be retrieved from glacier ice cores, but needs to be placed in a precise chronological context to allow meaningful interpretation with respect to environmental and climatic changes.

Ice core dating is a sophisticated task and the most common approach is annual layer counting, which relies on seasonally fluctuating signals. A number of ice core parameters such as the stable isotope ratio of hydrogen or oxygen in the water (δD, δ18O), the concentration of trace components (e.g. ammonium, mineral-dust-related trace elements, black carbon) and the presence of melt layers may vary with the seasons. To reduce uncertainty in layer counting, the timescale is additionally anchored with reference horizons like the radioactivity peak resulting from nuclearweapon tests in the 1960s or tephra and aerosol layers caused by volcanic eruptions (Thompson et al., 1998, 2013; Preunkert et al., 2000; Schwikowski, 2004; Eichler et al., 2009; Moore et al., 2012). An independent method is nuclear dating with the naturally occurring radioisotope 210Pb. Determined by the 210Pb half-life of 22.3 years and its atmospheric concentration, the time period accessible for dating is in the order of a century (Gągule, et al., 1983; Eichler et al., 2000; Herren et al., 2013). All these dating techniques fail in the older and deeper parts of glaciers, where plastic deformation of the ice under the weight of the overlying mass results in horizontal ice flow, stretching annual layers continuously with increasing depth. Correspondingly, the age–depth relationship of high-alpine glaciers is strongly non-linear (Jenk et al., 2009) and annual layers and also volcanic signals become undetectable below a certain depth with the current spatial resolution of most analytical methods. Glacier flow modelling can only give rough age estimates with large uncertainties close to the bedrock of high-alpine glaciers (Lüthi and Funk, 2001). Radiocarbon (14C) analysis has been the only option allowing direct and absolute dating of these deeper ice core sections in the rare cases when sufficient organic matter such as plant, wood or insect fragments were found (Thompson et al., 1998, 2002). However, in glacier ice such findings are not only very seldom, but even when they occur, they do not allow for continuous or at least regular dating, which per se limits the application of the 14C technique and its use for deriving a complete chronology based on absolutely dated layers. In the following we refer to the dating of ice with macrofossils as conventional 14C dating.

A new, complementary dating tool was therefore introduced by our group about 10 years ago, based on extracting the µg-amounts of the water-insoluble organic carbon fraction of carbonaceous aerosols embedded in the ice matrix for 14C dating (Jenk et al., 2006, 2007). Carbonaceous compounds represent a large, but highly variable fraction of the atmospheric aerosol mass (Gelencsér, 2004; Hallquist et al., 2009). Total organic carbon (TOC, also referred to as total carbon, TC) is instrumentally divided into two subfractions according to their refractory and optical properties. Elemental carbon (EC) consists of highly polymerised substances which are extremely refractory and light absorbent; therefore this fraction is also called black carbon (BC) or soot (Gelencsér, 2004; Hallquist et al., 2009). EC is merely derived from the incomplete combustion of fossil fuels and biomass. Organic carbon (OC) is formed by weakly refractory hydrocarbons of low to medium molecular weight. Whereas EC is generally insoluble in water, OC is further subdivided into water-soluble organic carbon (WSOC) and water-insoluble organic carbon (WIOC) (Szidat et al., 2004a). In water samples the former is also known as dissolved organic carbon (DOC) (Legrand et al., 2013; May et al., 2013). OC is emitted directly as primary aerosol from a vast variety of sources and emission processes, including mobilisation of plant debris, pollen, vegetation waxes, microorganisms, sponges, the organic fraction of soil as well as emissions from biomass burning (e.g. forest fires) and anthropogenic processes (biomass burning and fossil fuel combustion), but it is also formed in the atmosphere by oxidation of gaseous precursors, with the product referred to as secondary organic aerosol (Gelencsér, 2004; Gelencsér et al., 2007; Hallquist et al., 2009).

Carbonaceous aerosols are transported in the atmosphere to high-alpine glaciers, where they may be deposited by both wet and dry deposition processes and finally embedded in glacier ice (Lavanchy et al., 1999; Jenk et al., 2006; Legrand and Puxbaum, 2007; McConnell et al., 2007; Kaspari et al., 2011). Consequently, using carbonaceous aerosols allows any piece of ice to be dated, given that it contains sufficient carbon mass. The WSO fraction (i.e. DOC) would be ideal for dating, since it has the highest concentrations in ice. However, its extraction is complicated. It involves the outgassing of aqueous atmospheric CO2, removal of dissolved carbonates, wet oxidation of the organic compounds to CO2 under inert gas and, finally, quantitative trapping of the evolved CO2 (May et al., 2013). Since major contributors of DOC, like light carboxylic acids, are ubiquitous in the air, all these steps are prone to contamination. Therefore, and because of the reasons summarised next, WIOC was selected from the different carbonaceous particle fractions as the most promising target for 14C dating. First, WIOC is mainly of biogenic origin in pre-industrial times (Jenk et al., 2006) and, therefore, supposed to contain a contemporary 14C signal representative of the age of the ice (Jenk et al., 2006; Steier et al., 2006). Second, the average WIOC concentration in ice is higher than the respective EC concentration, allowing for smaller ice samples and a potentially higher time resolution, which consequently provides a better signal-to-noise ratio (mainly determined by the overall blank) and smaller uncertainty of the dating results. Third, OC has a lower probability compared to EC for in-built reservoir ages, e.g. from the burning of old trees or old organic matter (Gavin, 2001; Sigl et al., 2009). Moreover OC is insensitive to potentially insufficiently removed carbonates in mineral-dust-rich layers
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Table 1. Characteristics of the sites discussed and the respective dating approach. ALC stands for annual layer counting, RH for reference horizons and $^{210}\text{Pb}$, $^3\text{H}$, and $^{14}\text{C}$ for nuclear dating. 2p model (two-parameter model), MC (Monte Carlo simulation) and EF (exponential fit) denote the applied approach to finally derive a continuous age–depth relationship (see Sect. 6 for details).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Site</th>
<th>Coordinates elevation</th>
<th>Location</th>
<th>Dating approach</th>
<th>Time span (years)</th>
<th>References</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Belukha</td>
<td>49.80° N, 86.55° E 4115 m a.s.l.</td>
<td>Altai Mountains, Russia</td>
<td>ALC, RH, $^3\text{H}$, $^{14}\text{C}$, 2p model</td>
<td>~9100</td>
<td>Aizen et al. (2016)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Colle Gnifetti</td>
<td>45.93° N, 7.88° E 4450 m a.s.l.</td>
<td>Western Alps, Swiss–Italian border</td>
<td>ALC, RH, $^3\text{H}$, $^{210}\text{Pb}$, $^{14}\text{C}$, 2p model</td>
<td>&gt; 15 200</td>
<td>Jenk et al. (2009)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Juvfonne</td>
<td>61.68° N, 8.35° E 1916 m a.s.l.</td>
<td>Jotunheimen Mountains, Norway</td>
<td>$^{14}\text{C}$ of organic-rich layers and WIOC</td>
<td>~7600</td>
<td>Zapf et al. (2013), Ødegård et al. (2016)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Illimani</td>
<td>17.03° S, 68.28° W 6300 m a.s.l.</td>
<td>Andes, Bolivia</td>
<td>ALC, RH, $^{3}\text{H}$, $^{210}\text{Pb}$, $^{14}\text{C}$, 2p model</td>
<td>~12 700</td>
<td>Sigl et al. (2009), Kellerhals et al. (2010)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mt Ortles</td>
<td>46.51° N, 10.54° E 3905 m a.s.l.</td>
<td>Eastern Alps, Italy</td>
<td>ALC, RH, $^{3}\text{H}$, $^{210}\text{Pb}$, $^{14}\text{C}$, MC</td>
<td>~6900</td>
<td>Gabrielli et al. (2016)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Quelccaya</td>
<td>13.93° S, 70.83° W 5670 m a.s.l.</td>
<td>Andes, Peru</td>
<td>ALC, $^{14}\text{C}$</td>
<td>~1800</td>
<td>Thompson et al. (2013)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tsambagarav</td>
<td>48.66° N, 90.86° E 4130 m a.s.l.</td>
<td>Altai Mountains, Mongolia</td>
<td>ALC, RH, $^{3}\text{H}$, $^{210}\text{Pb}$, $^{14}\text{C}$, EF</td>
<td>~6100</td>
<td>Herren et al. (2013)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(e.g., Saharan dust), which may contribute to the EC fraction because of the higher combustion temperature applied to EC (Jenk et al., 2006). The extraction of WIOC from the ice is straightforward as it can be collected by filtration of the melted ice. Note that in previous publications (Sigl et al., 2009; Zapf et al., 2013) the term POC was used for particulate organic carbon (Drosg et al., 2007). Since POC can be mistaken for primary organic carbon (Gelencsér, 2004; Zhang et al., 2012), we adopted the term water-insoluble organic carbon (WIOC) instead in this overview.

Our research group has a long history in $^{14}\text{C}$ dating of ice core using the aforementioned WIOC fraction of carbonaceous particles. Lavanchy et al. (1999) introduced the initial methods to determine the concentrations of carbonaceous particles in ice from a European high-alpine glacier. Next, the methodology was developed for source apportionment of aerosols by $^{14}\text{C}$ measurements in different carbonaceous particle fractions (Szidat et al., 2004b). This was conducted in close collaboration with the Laboratory of Ion Beam Physics of the ETH Zurich, a well-established $^{14}\text{C}$ dating facility and a world-leading group in accelerator mass spectrometry (AMS) technology, where the analytical aspect of instrumentation was simultaneously and continuously improved (Synal et al., 2000, 2007; Ruff et al., 2007, 2010). The methodology of $^{14}\text{C}$ analysis of the different carbonaceous particle fractions was adopted to study the suitability of WIOC for $^{14}\text{C}$ dating of old ice, finding that it is of purely biogenic origin prior to industrialisation (Jenk et al., 2006, 2007). Since then this novel $^{14}\text{C}$ approach has been applied for the dating of a number of ice cores from different high-altitude mountain glaciers (Table 1) (Jenk et al., 2009; Sigl et al., 2009; Kellerhals et al., 2010; Herren et al., 2013; Zapf et al., 2013; Aizen et al., 2016). Meanwhile the method has been further optimised and was additionally validated by determining the age of independently dated ice. Here we give an overview of the current status of the now routinely applied WIOC $^{14}\text{C}$ dating method for glacier ice, including an update on recent optimisation and method validation. Uncertainties and the potential of this novel approach are discussed and its successful application to a number of ice cores is presented.

2 Sample preparation, OC/EC separation and $^{14}\text{C}$ analysis

The preparation of ice samples follows the procedure according to Jenk et al. (2007). First, samples are decontaminated in a cold room ($-20^\circ\text{C}$) by removing the outer layer (3 mm) with a pre-cleaned stainless steel band saw (wiped 3 times with acetone, followed by multiple cuts through a frozen block of ultra-pure water, $18 \text{MΩcm}$ quality), followed by rinsing the samples with ultra-pure water in a class 100 clean bench. Around 20–30 % of the ice samples’ mass is lost during these first steps, resulting in a final mass of about 200 to 500 g (initial mass of around 300–800 g of ice). The samples are then transferred and stored in a freezer at $-20^\circ\text{C}$ in pre-cleaned (soaked and rinsed for 3 days with daily exchanged ultra-pure water) 1 L-containers (Semadeni, PETG).
until they are melted at room temperature directly before filtration. To ensure that carbonates potentially present in the ice are completely dissolved, ~20 mL of 1 M HCl (30 % Suprapure, Merck) are added to the melted samples (Cao et al., 2013), resulting in a pH of < 2, before being sonicated for 5 min. Subsequently, the insoluble carbonaceous particles are filtered onto preheated (5 h at 800 °C) quartz-fibre filters (Pallflex Tissuquartz, 2500QAO-UP) using a dedicated glass filtration unit, also carefully pre-cleaned by being rinsed with ultra-pure water and baking the glass at 450 °C for 3 h. As a second carbonate removal step, the filters are acidified 3 times with a total amount of 50µL 0.2 M HCl (Jenk et al., 2007). Afterwards the filters are left in a class 100 clean bench for 1 h to allow potentially present carbonates to be transformed into CO₂ through reaction with HCl, then they are rinsed with 5 mL ultra-pure water to entirely remove remaining HCl. The filters are left again for 1 h to reach complete dryness, packed in aluminium foil and kept frozen until analysis, when the filters are taken out of the freezer to let them reach ambient temperature (at least half an hour). Details regarding OC and EC separation, AMS ¹⁴C analysis and improvements achieved since the first applications will be discussed in Sects. 3 and 4.

3 Recent optimisation in OC/EC separation and AMS analysis

In previous ice core dating applications using ¹⁴C of WIOC (Jenk et al., 2009; Sigl et al., 2009; Kellerhals et al., 2010; Herren et al., 2013; Zapf et al., 2013), the OC and EC combustion was performed with the two-step heating system for the EC/OC determination of radiocarbon in the environment (THEODORE), developed for aerosol applications (Szidat et al., 2004b). The combustion was conducted in a stream of oxygen for the controlled separation of OC and EC fractions. The temperature for OC separation was set at 340 °C, while for the recovery of EC the temperature was then increased to 650 °C. The CO₂ produced by oxidation during the combustion was cryogenically trapped, manometrically quantified and sealed in glass ampoules (Szidat et al., 2004b). In the earliest application described by Jenk et al. (2006) the CO₂ subsequently had to be transformed to filamentous carbon (graphitisation) using manganese granules and cobalt powder prior to the final AMS ¹⁴C analysis. This was initially performed at the ETH AMS facility (TANDY, 500 kV pelletron compact AMS system) (Synal et al., 2000). Since 2006, the 200 kV compact AMS (mini radiocarbon dating system, MICADAS) has been in operation at the ETH (Synal et al., 2007). The MICADAS is equipped with a gas ion source and a Gas Interface System (GIS) (Ruff et al., 2007; Synal et al., 2007), allowing measurements of ¹⁴C directly in CO₂ with an uncertainty level as low as 1 % (Ruff et al., 2010). The GIS includes a gas-tight syringe for injecting the CO₂ into the ion source of the AMS (Ruff et al., 2010). The syringe has a maximum capacity of 1.3 mL of CO₂ (equivalent to 100 µg of carbon). The position of the syringe plunger is automatically adjusted according to the sample size as well as the helium flow carrying the sample to the ion source. With this, the transformation of gaseous CO₂ to solid graphite targets became needless (Sigl et al., 2009). Instead, the glass ampoules sealed after the combustion of the filters with the THEODORE system were opened in a designated cracker, an integral part of the GIS (Ruff et al., 2007), and the resulting CO₂-He mixture could directly be fed into the MICADAS ion source.

The main advantages of switching from solid to gaseous targets were (1) a decrease in the number of necessary preparation steps and the associated risk of lost samples from incomplete graphitisation, (2) a higher sample throughput, (3) a reduction in the variability and overall blank contribution as well as (4) the elimination of the correction applied to account for fractionation during the graphitisation step, which contributed around 10 % to the overall uncertainty (Jenk et al., 2007). As will be discussed in Sect. 4, a precision increase is one of the main challenges for improving the method.

Since spring 2013, the ¹⁴C analysis has been performed with a MICADAS installed at the Laboratory for the Analysis of Radiocarbon with AMS (LARA laboratory) of the University of Bern, also equipped with a GIS interface (Szidat et al., 2014). There, an improvement was recently achieved by replacing THEODORE with a commercial combustion system, which is a thermo-optical OC/EC analyser (Model4L, Sunset Laboratory Inc., USA), normally used for aerosol OC/EC separation and source apportionment studies (Zhang et al., 2012, 2013, 2014; Zotter et al., 2014). Similarly to the THEODORE system, the carbonaceous particles are combusted in a stream of pure oxygen. The Sunset instrument is specially equipped with a non-dispersive infrared (NDIR) cell to quantify the CO₂ produced during the combustion. The combustion process in the Sunset system follows a well-established protocol (Swiss 4S) for the thermal separation of OC and EC fractions under controlled conditions (Zhang et al., 2012). To avoid potential damage to the infrared cell detector by residual HCl, the final rinsing of the filters after adding HCl for carbonates removal was introduced (see Sect. 2). Recently the Sunset instrument was directly coupled to the zeolite trap of the GIS (Ruff et al., 2010), which allows online ¹⁴C measurements of the carbonaceous fractions separated in the Sunset system (Agrioss et al., 2015). When combusted, the gaseous carbonaceous species pass through a MnO₂ bed heated to 850 °C for completing the oxidation to CO₂, which is further transported by helium to the zeolite trap. This trap is then heated up to 500 °C to release the CO₂ to the gas-tight syringe for the final injection into the AMS ion source (Ruff et al., 2007; Synal et al., 2007).

The newly coupled Sunset-GIS-AMS system has major advantages compared to the old set-up. The OC/EC separation in THEODORE was relatively time consuming and only...
Table 2. Samples analysed for the comparability test for OC/EC separation using the THEODORE apparatus and the Sunset OC/EC analyser directly coupled to the AMS, with WIOC masses and concentrations. Calibrated ages (cal BP) denote the 1σ range.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample ID</th>
<th>AMS Lab. no.</th>
<th>WIOC mass (µg)</th>
<th>WIOC concentration µg kg⁻¹ ice</th>
<th>F¹⁴C</th>
<th>¹⁴C age (BP)</th>
<th>Cal age (cal BP)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1_THEODORE (JUV 3)</td>
<td>ETH 42845.1.1</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>176</td>
<td>1.134 ± 0.017</td>
<td>−1010 ± 120</td>
<td>−46 – −7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>ETH 42847.1.1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>ETH 42849.1.1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>ETH 43446.1.1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1_Sunset (JUV 3)</td>
<td>BE 3683.1.1</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>119</td>
<td>1.157 ± 0.014</td>
<td>−1171 ± 97</td>
<td>−42 – −8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>BE 3701.1.1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2_THEODORE (JUV 1)</td>
<td>ETH 43555.1.1</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>0.743 ± 0.029</td>
<td>2386 ± 314</td>
<td>2011–2783</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>ETH 43557.1.1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2_Sunset (JUV 1)</td>
<td>BE 3679.1.1</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>0.744 ± 0.021</td>
<td>2376 ± 225</td>
<td>2158–2737</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3_THEODORE (BEL 1)</td>
<td>ETH 42841.1.1</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>63</td>
<td>0.771 ± 0.017</td>
<td>2089 ± 177</td>
<td>1886–2310</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3_Sunset (BEL 1)</td>
<td>BE 4282.1.1</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>61</td>
<td>0.725 ± 0.022</td>
<td>2587 ± 233</td>
<td>2353–2924</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4_THEODORE (BEL 2)</td>
<td>ETH 43448.1.1</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>0.402 ± 0.022</td>
<td>7320 ± 440</td>
<td>7686–8588</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4_Sunset (BEL 2)</td>
<td>BE 4175.1.1</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>0.387 ± 0.022</td>
<td>7626 ± 457</td>
<td>7999–9011</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Four ice samples could be processed per day. Two more days were needed to produce all the standards and blanks required for AMS calibration and for quality control and graphitisation (Jenk et al., 2007). Besides the disadvantages of solid graphite targets described before, there is also a risk of losing samples during the delicate phase of flame-sealing the ampoules and later on when scrapping them to allow a clean break in the automated GIS cracker. With the online coupling of the Sunset, this risk is completely removed. Further the preparation and measurement time is significantly reduced because there is no need for offline combustion, resulting in a total measurement time of approximately 35 min per sample only. In addition, it not only allows for an automated protocol of standard injection for AMS calibration, but also offers the possibility for easy and regular (daily) survey of the ¹³C background in the entire process line (Sunset-GIS-AMS) by analysis of variably sized standards and blanks if required (Agrios et al., 2015). Finally, the Sunset system enables continuous monitoring of the combustion process, reducing a potential bias due to charring, and the standardised and automated combustion protocol (Swiss 4S) ensures high reproducibility increasing the overall precision.

With the current set-up, the ¹⁴C/¹²C ratio of the samples is background subtracted, normalised and corrected for mass fractionation by using fossil sodium acetate (¹⁴C free, NaOAc, p.a., Merck, Germany), the reference material NIST standard oxalic acid II (modern, SRM 4990C) and the δ¹³C simultaneously measured in the AMS, respectively (Wacker et al., 2010). All results are expressed as fraction modern (F¹⁴C), which is the ¹⁴C/¹²C ratio of the sample divided by the same ratio of the modern standard. Further corrections are subsequently applied to the F¹⁴C values considering isotopic mass balance (e.g. Jenk et al., 2007) to account for constant contamination, cross contamination and for the procedural blank contribution introduced by the preparation of ice samples (for details see Sect. 4). ¹⁴C ages (before present – BP, i.e. before 1950) are calibrated using OxCal v4.2.4 (Bronk Ramsey and Lee, 2013) with the Northern (IntCal13) or Southern Hemisphere (ShCal13) calibration curves (Hogg et al., 2013; Reimer et al., 2013), depending on the sample site location. Calibrated dates are given in years before present (cal BP) with 1σ uncertainty range (Stuiver and Polach, 1977; Mook and van der Plicht, 1999). For simplicity the ages discussed in the text are given as the mean of this 1σ range ± 1σ. See Sect. 4 for further details regarding the applied corrections, ¹⁴C calibration and discussion of uncertainties.

To ensure comparability between previous data and the newly derived results using the above-described improved set-up configuration, ¹⁴C analysis was conducted on remaining pieces of samples, which were previously processed with the THEODORE set-up. Two samples (JUV 1 and JUV 3) from the Juvfonne ice patch in Norway (Zapf et al., 2013) and two samples (BEL 1 and BEL 2) from an ice core drilled at Belukha glacier in the Siberian Altai (Aizen et al., 2016) were used, covering an age range from modern to more than 8000 cal BP. The OC masses were above 10 µg carbon, except for sample Juv2_Sunset with a carbon mass of 9 µg (Table 2), still resulting in more than 4500 ¹⁴C counts with a corresponding uncertainty of the F¹⁴C of 2%, which we
consider sufficiently low for this comparison. At first, the obtained WIOC concentrations are discussed, which are assumed to agree as indicated by a carbon quantification test carried out on homogeneous aerosol filters using both combustion instruments (Zotter et al., 2014). As expected, a good consistency was found for the WIOC concentrations in the Belukha ice core (Table 2), whereas a discrepancy was observed for the Juvfonna samples, probably related to the natural inhomogeneity of particles in this small-scale ice patch with a distinct ice accumulation behaviour (see below). Concerning the \(^{14}\)C ages, a very good agreement is shown between all parallel samples (Fig. 1). This is also true for the procedural blanks, both in terms of carbon amount and \(^{14}\)C. The WIOC procedural blank measured and used for correction in this comparison experiment was \(1.41 \pm 0.5 \mu g\) of carbon with an \(^{14}\)C of \(0.64 \pm 0.12\) and \(1.21 \pm 0.51 \mu g\) of carbon with an \(^{14}\)C of \(0.73 \pm 0.13\) for THEODORE and the coupled Sunset set-up, respectively (additional details can be found in Sect. 4). In summary, we conclude that dating results obtained with the previously used THEODORE combustion set-up (Jenk et al., 2009; Sigl et al., 2009; Herren et al., 2013; Zapf et al., 2013) and the improved coupled Sunset-GIS-AMS system are in good agreement.

4 Radiocarbon dating uncertainties

First of all, the signal-to-noise ratio of the AMS measurement is defined by counting statistics. Generally, the smaller the sample, the shorter the measurement time and the higher the uncertainty. For defining the contamination contribution of the overall instrument set-up (constant contamination) and the memory effect between subsequent samples of very different \(^{14}\)C content and carbon mass (cross contamination), a series with varying amounts of solid grains of fossil NaOAc and the modern reference material oxalic acid II was combusted with the Sunset and measured for its \(^{14}\)C content. The constant contaminant mass was estimated as \(0.4 \pm 0.2 \mu g\) carbon with a \(^{14}\)C of \(0.8 \pm 0.4\) and for the cross contamination \(0.5 \pm 0.4\) % of the carbon of the previous sample was found to mix with the next injection (Agrios et al., 2015).

The total carbon amounts in ice cores are rather low, in the \(\mu g\) kg\(^{-1}\)-range. Because of that, each step of sample preparation implies a potential risk of contamination with either modern or fossil carbon. Thus a large contribution to the final overall uncertainty on the age is induced by the procedural blank correction, especially for small size samples. It is therefore crucial that cutting, melting and filtrating the ice results in the lowest possible procedural blank with a stable \(^{14}\)C value to ensure a high and stable signal-to-blank ratio for obtaining reliable results with the smallest possible uncertainties. Procedural blanks were estimated using artificial ice blocks of frozen ultra-pure water, treated in the same way as real ice samples (Jenk et al., 2007). Blanks were usually prepared together with samples and their analysis was performed during every AMS measurement session (Sunset combustion and AMS analysis). The mean of the overall procedural blank (WIOC) used to correct all samples is \(1.34 \pm 0.62 \mu g\) of carbon with a \(^{14}\)C of \(0.69 \pm 0.13\) (100 and 54 measurements, respectively, performed over a 10-year period). This includes all values obtained with both the THEODORE and Sunset systems. We decided to use this combined value, since the ice sample preparation step is the far the largest contribution to the blank and is system independent. This mean value is consistent with previously reported results (Jenk et al., 2007; Sigl et al., 2009), indicating the long-term stability of the procedural blanks.

In summary, all the corrections have the strongest effect on low carbon mass samples, resulting in the largest dating uncertainties. Further, such small samples can only be measured for a short period of time, with reduced stability of the \(^{13}\)C current, additionally worsening the signal-to-noise ratio. Old low carbon mass samples are most strongly affected by these two effects because for them the contained number of \(^{14}\)C is particularly low due to the radioactive decay. Among all uncertainties described, the correction for the procedural blank typically contributes around 60 %. As an example, for hypothetical samples with a WIOC mass of 5 or 10 \(\mu g\), the resulting uncertainty of the final calibrated ages for 1000-year old ice would be around \(\pm 600\) or \(\pm 250\) yrs and for 8000-year old ice around \(\pm 1600\) or \(\pm 700\) yrs, respectively. Hence by doubling the mass, the uncertainty is reduced by more than 50 %. We therefore generally discuss dating results only for sample masses larger than \(\sim 10 \mu g\) WIOC, which have an acceptable age uncertainty in the range of 10–20 %.

---

Table 2: OxCal output for the comparability test for OC/EC separation using the THEODORE apparatus and the Sunset OC/EC analysers directly coupled to the AMS. Bars below the age distributions indicate the 1σ range. See Table 2 for details of the samples.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample</th>
<th>Age (calBP)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1_THEODORE</td>
<td>14000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1_Sunset</td>
<td>12000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2_THEODORE</td>
<td>6000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2_Sunset</td>
<td>4000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3_THEODORE</td>
<td>2000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3_Sunset</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4_THEODORE</td>
<td>14000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4_Sunset</td>
<td>12000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

Figure 1. OxCal output for the comparability test for OC/EC separation using the THEODORE apparatus and the Sunset OC/EC analysers directly coupled to the AMS. Bars below the age distributions indicate the 1σ range. See Table 2 for details of the samples.
While calibrating the ages with the OxCal, a sequence constraint can be applied based on the assumption of a monotonous increase of age with depth (Bronk Ramsey, 2008). This approach often leads to a reduction of the final uncertainty, which, however, strongly depends on the sample resolution with depth; see example in Jenk et al. (2009).

5 Validation of the dating accuracy

5.1 First attempts

Validating the accuracy of the approach described here for 
$^{14}$C dating of ice is a challenging task since it requires ice samples with known ages, preferentially covering a large age range. The first validation attempts using ice from Greenland with the age independently determined by annual layer counting failed, because WIOC concentrations are an order of magnitude lower compared to ice from glaciers located closer to biogenic emission sources (Fig. 2). Large ice samples were thus needed; nevertheless they still resulted in small amounts of carbon. Our preparation method is not optimised for such sample sizes, and the required pooling of several pieces of ice may have induced a higher procedural blank. As a result $^{14}$C ages tended to be biased by the procedural blank value (Sigl et al., 2009). $^{14}$C ages of the Fiescherhorn ice core (Jenk et al., 2006) ranged from modern values to 1000 years, thus reasonably matching the age of the ice older than AD 1800 obtained by annual layer counting, for the ice core from Mercedario (31.98° S, 70.13° W; 6100 m a.s.l.) the deepest core sections show ages of < 550 and 320–1120 cal BP, well in line with a tentative chronology based on annual layer counting (Sigl et al., 2009). However, considering the relatively large uncertainty of our method compared to conventional $^{14}$C dating typically derived from samples with much larger carbon masses and the flatness of the $^{14}$C calibration curve between around 500 and 0 cal BP, such young samples are not ideal for precise validation. Two samples from the Illimani ice core, bracketing the AD 1258 volcanic eruption time marker resulted in a combined calibrated age of AD 1050 ± 70 (1σ) overestimating the expected age by around 200 years. This would be an acceptable accuracy if applicable to ice that is several thousand years old (Sigl et al. 2009).

Overall, these were first indications that the $^{14}$C method gives reliable ages. Since then we have had access to independently dated ice from the Juvfonne ice patch and the Quelccaya ice cap, we dated a fly which we discovered in the Tsambagarav ice core and dated ice cores from the Mt Ortles glacier, in which a larch leaf was found, altogether allowing a more robust validation as outlined in the following.

5.2 Recent validation

Juvfonne is a small perennial ice patch in the Jotunheimen Mountains in central southern Norway (61.68° N, 8.35° E). In May 2010, a 30 m-long ice tunnel was excavated, revealing several dark organic-rich layers up to 5 cm thick containing organic remains, which were interpreted as previous ice-patch surfaces and conventionally $^{14}$C dated (Nesje et al., 2012). We received two samples of clear ice adjacent to the organic-rich layers and a surface sample (JUV 1, JUV 2, JUV 3, Table 3). The results derived using WIOC agreed well with the corresponding conventionally dated $^{14}$C ages, ranging between modern and 2900 cal BP (Zapf et al., 2013). In summer 2015 we collected additional clear ice sam-
Table 3. Juvfonne samples analysed for method validation. JUV 1, JUV 2 and JUV 3 were ice blocks collected from the 2010 tunnel (Zapf et al., 2013; Ødegård et al., 2016) and JUV 0 from the 2012 tunnel (Ødegård et al., 2016). To visualise the expected increase in age with increasing depth of the ice patch, samples are listed in stratigraphic order from top to bottom. Sample JUV 1 was collected between two separated organic-rich layers (Poz-56952 and Poz-36460). For comparison, an age range between these two layers was calculated (*, range between the lower and upper 2σ boundary, respectively). The results from subsamples of the individual ice blocks were averaged to derive the combined values shown. Uncertainties (1σ) were calculated by error propagation of all analytical uncertainties for the individual measurements and for the combined values denote the standard error of the unbiased standard deviation. For a graphic display of the comparison see Fig. 3.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample ID</th>
<th>AMS Lab. no.</th>
<th>WIOC g of ice</th>
<th>$^{14}$C age (BP)</th>
<th>Cal age (cal BP)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>JUV 3_1</td>
<td>ETH 42845.1.1</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>292</td>
<td>1.124 ± 0.013</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>JUV 3_2</td>
<td>ETH 42847.1.1</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>268</td>
<td>1.094 ± 0.015</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>JUV 3_3</td>
<td>ETH 42849.1.1</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>325</td>
<td>1.155 ± 0.015</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>JUV 3_4</td>
<td>ETH 43446.1.1</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>208</td>
<td>1.164 ± 0.017</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>JUV 3 (surface 2010)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1.134 ± 0.017</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>JUV 0-A (2015)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.468 ± 0.014</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>JUV 0-B (2015)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.431 ± 0.009</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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Every section was horizontally divided at 73.2 m depth (59.60 m w.e., derived by conventional 
$^{14}$C treatment at 40°C containing the fly was melted, possible contamination from the 
Surf-AMS system was deployed. The ice section and oldest (2, containing the plant fragment) the ice for WIOC 
validation) for which a preliminary age of 2612 ± 101 cal BP was bracketed by two layers. For (3) the open circle indicates an estimated age for the according WIOC ice sampling depth based on a fit through all the conventionally dated organic-rich layers, presented in Ødegård et al. (2016).

Figure 3. Scatter plot showing the ages obtained with the WIOC 
$^{14}$C method for independently dated ice, including the conventionally $^{14}$C dated Juvfonne organic-rich layers (Ødegård et al., 2016), the $^{14}$C dated fly found in the Tsambagarav ice core and the Quellcaya ice dated by annual layer counting (Thompson et al., 2013). Error bars denote the 1σ uncertainty. Note that the Juvfonne WIOC samples and the organic-rich layers were not sampled from the exact same depth, but adjacent to each other. For the youngest (1) and oldest (2, containing the plant fragment) the ice for WIOC $^{14}$C analysis was sampled below the layers whereas the third sample (3) was bracketed by two layers. For (3) the arrow thus indicates the age range between the lower and upper 2σ boundary of these two layers, respectively. For (2) the open circle indicates an estimated age for the according WIOC ice sampling depth based on a fit through all the conventionally dated organic-rich layers, presented in Ødegård et al. (2016).

Recently a number of core segments of the previously dated Tsambagarav ice core (Herren et al., 2013) were re-sampled. In segment 102 a tiny insect (Fig. 4) was found and immediately separated from the ice matrix. Since it was small, a conventional $^{14}$C analysis was not suitable and instead the Sunset-AMS system was deployed. The ice section containing the fly was melted, possible contamination from carbonates and humic acids were removed by an acid-based treatment at 40°C (Szidat et al., 2014), the fly was dried, placed onto a quartz-fibre filter and combusted in the Sunset, resulting in 13 µg of carbon. The age of 3442 ± 191 cal BP (BE-5013.1.1) is in perfect agreement with the age of WIOC from this ice segment of 3495 ± 225 cal BP (Herren et al., 2013) (Fig. 3).

Additionally, we dated three sections from a set of ice cores drilled in 2011 on Mt Ortles (see Table 1 for location) for which a preliminary age of 2612 ± 101 cal BP was derived by conventional $^{14}$C dating of a larch leaf found at 73.2 m depth (59.60 m w.e., ∼1.5 m above the bedrock) (Gabrielli et al., 2016). Every section was horizontally di-

Figure 4. Photo of the fly found in segment 102 of the Tsambagarav ice core. The age of the fly was 3442 ± 191 cal BP, while the surrounding ice yielded an age of 3495 ± 225 cal BP (photo by Sandra Brügger).

6 Applications and current potential of the $^{14}$C method for dating glacier ice

Over the last 10 years the deepest parts of several ice cores drilled in 2011 were dated by applying the presented WIOC $^{14}$C method. To illustrate the current potential of the method with respect to the time period accessible we compiled five ice core chronologies in Fig. 6. The sites dif-
The two-parameter model is based on (Herren et al., 2013) and the glacier on Mt Ortles (see also Gabrielli et al., 2016). To derive a continuous age–depth relationship, a two-parameter flow model (Bolzan, 1985; Gabrielli et al., 2016). To derive a continuous age–depth relationship. For sample details and the fitting approaches applied, see main text and Table 1. References to the original data are summarised in Table 1. Note that for better visibility (avoiding overlap with Tsambagarav and Colle Gnifetti) the curve for the Mt Ortles glacier was shifted down by 20 m and refers to the right-hand y axis (*).

Figure 5. Dating of the bottom part of the Ortles ice core. Circles indicate the ages derived with the WIOC 14C method and the triangle shows the age of the conventionally 14C dated larch leaf found in the ice core (Gabrielli et al., 2016). Light grey circles show the ages obtained for the subsamples. Errors bars represent the 1σ uncertainty.

Table 4. Quelccaya samples analysed for method validation. Calibrated ages (cal BP) denote the 1σ range. ALC stands for annual layer counting.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample</th>
<th>Depth (m)</th>
<th>AMS Lab. no.</th>
<th>WIOC (µg)</th>
<th>F14C</th>
<th>14C age (BP)</th>
<th>Cal age (cal BP)</th>
<th>ALC (yr BP)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>139–140</td>
<td>144.7–146.8</td>
<td>BE 4336.1.1</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>0.888±0.026</td>
<td>954±237</td>
<td>675–1036</td>
<td>730–788</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>149–150</td>
<td>155.2–157.3</td>
<td>BE 4335.1.1</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>0.859±0.018</td>
<td>1216±71</td>
<td>1005–1300</td>
<td>1072–1157</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>157–158</td>
<td>163.9–166.1</td>
<td>BE 4337.1.1</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>0.803±0.025</td>
<td>1761±246</td>
<td>1414–1957</td>
<td>1439–1543</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 6. Compilation of age–depth relationships for five different ice cores, highlighting the importance of the WIOC 14C dating for obtaining continuous chronologies and constraining the very specific glaciological conditions and settings of each site. For simplicity only reference horizons and 14C dates were included. Grey triangles indicate reference horizons (RH) and red circles the 14C-WIOC ages both plotted with 1σ uncertainties (smaller than the symbol size in some cases). For the Mt Ortles core, 210Pb dated horizons with a larger uncertainty were used as RH due to the lack of absolute time markers prior to 1958; the grey triangle at 57.8 m w.e. depth is the conventional 14C age of the larch leaf. Grey shaded areas represent the 1σ range of the respective fit for retrieving a continuous age–depth relationship. For sample details and the fitting approaches applied, see main text and Table 1. References to the original data are summarised in Table 1. Note that for better visibility (avoiding overlap with Tsambagarav and Colle Gnifetti) the curve for the Mt Ortles glacier was shifted down by 20 m and refers to the right-hand y axis (*).

A simple analytical expression for the decrease of the annual layer thickness $L(z)$ (m w.e.) with depth:

$$L(z) = b \left(1 - \frac{z}{H}\right)^{p+1},$$

where $z$ is depth (m w.e.), $H$ the glacier thickness (m w.e.), $b$ the annual accumulation (m w.e.) and $p$ a thinning parameter (dimensionless). The age $T(z)$ as a function of depth can
be calculated when the inverse layer thickness is integrated over depth:

\[ T(z) = \int \frac{dz}{L(z)} = \frac{1}{b} \int (1 - \frac{z}{H})^{-p-1} dz. \]

Solving the integral and setting the age at the surface to be \( T(0) = 0 \), the final age–depth relation is obtained:

\[ T(z) = \frac{H}{bp} \left[ (1 - \frac{z}{H})^{-p} - 1 \right]. \]

The thinning rate (vertical strain rate) is the first derivative of the layer thickness:

\[ L'(z) = \frac{dL(z)}{dz} = -\frac{b(p + 1)}{H} \left( 1 - \frac{z}{H} \right)^p. \]

The model has two degrees of freedom, the net annual accumulation rate \( b \) and the thinning parameter \( p \), both of which are assumed to be constant over time. This allows us to fit the model by a least squares approach through the available reference horizons if the glacier thickness \( H \) is known (if drilled to the bedrock) or can be reasonably well estimated (e.g. from radar sounding). In order to not overweigh the data from the deepest horizons, the model is fitted using the logarithms of the age values. For the ice cores from Colle Gnifetti (Jenk et al., 2009), Illimani (Kellerhals et al., 2010) and Belukha (Aizen et al., 2016), these ages were based on annual layer counting, identification of reference horizons (radioactive fallout and well-known volcanic eruptions) and \( ^{14}\text{C} \) dates. The data are summarised in Table 1. In Fig. 6, only reference horizons and \( ^{14}\text{C} \) dates were included for simplification.

In summary, a reasonable fit was achieved for these three glaciers and the derived annual net accumulations (Colle Gnifetti \( 0.45 \pm 0.03 \text{ m w.e.} \), Belukha \( 0.36 \pm 0.03 \text{ m w.e.} \), Illimani \( 0.57 \pm 0.13 \text{ m w.e.} \)) are comparable with the values previously published (see above), which were determined either by surface measurements or were estimated based on ALC or/and the uppermost age horizons only (e.g. nuclear fallout peak), thereby accounting for the (slight) layer thinning occurring in these uppermost few metres (Nye, 1963).

Since the assumption of constant accumulation \( (b) \) and a constant thinning parameter \( (p) \) over time/with depth is likely only true in a first-order approximation, it is thus no surprise that the two-parameter model may fail to result in a reasonable fit within the derived age uncertainties. In such a case these two underlying assumptions should then be investigated more thoroughly, e.g. as done for the ice cores from Tsambagarav and Mt Ortles. Whereas Tsambagarav is also a cold glacier, Mt Ortles is polythermal. For Tsambagarav, a good fit can be achieved if an additional degree of freedom is given to account for variations in the net accumulation rate while \( p \) is fixed to the initially derived value, suggesting significant changes in the accumulation rate over time. This is supported by the fact that the resulting strong variation in net accumulation is consistent with precipitation changes in the Altai derived from lake sediment studies (Herren et al., 2013). In contrast, a reasonable fit for the Mt Ortles ice core can only be obtained if the thinning parameter \( p \) is allowed to increase with depth, while the annual net accumulation is assumed to be constant over time (i.e. \( b \) fixed to the value defined by the stake measurements and surface layers). This points to an exceptionally strong thinning. The Mt Ortles glacier is polythermal with temperate conditions in the upper part and relatively warm ice with \(-2.8\,^{\circ}\text{C}\) near the bedrock. We hypothesise that the faster horizontal velocity of the warm ice causes exceptional horizontal stress (internal horizontal deformation) on the ice frozen to the bedrock, resulting in stronger thinning. In both cases, a purely empirical approach of fitting the age horizons was chosen to establish the age–depth relationship. Note that, due to the lack of absolute time markers prior to 1958, \( ^{210}\text{Pb} \) dated horizons were used for Mt Ortles. For Tsambagarav a combination of different polynomial functions was used (Herren et al., 2013), whereas a slightly more sophisticated approach by means of a Monte Carlo simulation was applied for Mt Ortles, allowing an objective uncertainty estimate for each depth defined by the density of dating horizons and their individual uncertainty (Gabrielli et al., 2016). These purely empirical approaches are justified given the high confidence assigned to the determined ages for the dated horizons.

As shown in Fig. 6, the time period dated with \( ^{14}\text{C} \), ranges from 200 to more than 10\,000 years. Due to their uncertainty, \( ^{14}\text{C} \) ages derived by our method cannot compete with the conventional methods for dating ice that is only a few centuries old. The strength of \( ^{14}\text{C} \) dating using WIOC is that it allows us to obtain absolute ages from basically every piece of ice from cold and polythermal ice bodies. This is especially valuable for glaciers not containing the last glacial/interglacial transition, such as Tsambagarav and Mt Ortles, since in such cases not even climate wiggle matching of the transition signal with other dated archives is possible. In any case an absolute dating method is preferable to wiggle matching, which is not necessarily reliable. For example, a depletion in \( ^{18}\text{O} \), presumably indicating the Last Glacial Maximum (LGM)/Holocene transition, might not always be a true atmospheric signal, but can be caused by unknown mechanisms potentially happening close to the bedrock (Jenk et al., 2009; Wagenbach et al., 2012). All of the five presented examples show strong thinning towards the bedrock with the oldest ages having been obtained in the range of 10\,000 years. Because of the strong thinning, the \( ^{14}\text{C} \) ages of the deepest samples represent a strongly mixed age of ice with a large age distribution. In these cases, the age limit was thus not determined by the \( ^{14}\text{C} \) half-life of 5730 years (Godwin, 1962), but by the achievable spatial depth resolution since some hundred grams of ice are required.

Since an absolute WIOC mass of \( \sim 10\,\mu\text{g} \) is needed to achieve a \( ^{14}\text{C} \) dating with reasonably low uncertainty, the overall applicability of the method essentially depends on
the WIOC concentration in the ice and the ice mass available. Figure 2 summarises WIOC concentrations determined in ice from various locations around the globe. In general, midlatitude and low-latitude glaciers contain sufficient WIOC from 21 to 295 µg kg⁻¹, allowing dating with less than 1 kg of ice. The highest concentration was found at the Juifonne ice patch, which is small, located at a low elevation and, therefore, by far the closest to biogenic emission sources. WIOC concentrations might be further elevated due to meltwater and superimposed ice formation, enriching water-insoluble particles present in the surface layer. The lowest concentrations of only 2 to 15 µg kg⁻¹ WIOC were observed in polar snow and ice from Greenland and Antarctica. For this concentration range a reliable dating is impossible with the current method capability.

7 Conclusions

Since the introduction about 10 years ago of the ¹⁴C dating technique for glacier ice, in which the WIOC fraction of carbonaceous aerosol particles embedded in the ice matrix was used, major improvements in separating the OC from the EC fraction and in AMS technology have been achieved. The new configuration with direct coupling of a commercial thermo-optical OC/EC analyser to the gas ion source of the MICADAS AMS via its gas introduction interface has two major advantages. First, the measurement time was significantly reduced to approximately 35 min per sample. Second, the implemented automated protocol allows for a controlled routine analysis with high reproducibility and a stable blank, thereby increasing the overall precision.

The presented WIOC ¹⁴C dating method was validated by determining the age of independently dated ice samples. It principally allows absolute and accurate dating of any piece of ice containing sufficient WIOC. With the current set-up, the age of samples with a minimum of ~10 µg WIOC can be determined with satisfying precision of about 10 to 20 %, depending on the age. This requires about 300 to 800 g of ice considering a mass loss of 20–30 % during surface decontamination and the WIOC concentrations typically found in midlatitude and low-latitude glaciers. Dating polar ice with satisfactory age uncertainties is still not possible since WIOC concentrations are around 1 order of magnitude lower. This would require further reduction of the procedural blank for such samples requiring larger ice volumes which potentially could be achieved by an additional, specifically designed sample preparation set-up for such kind of samples.

The ¹⁴C method is suitable for dating ice with ages from 200 to more than 10,000 years. Whereas for ice that is a few centuries old, the conventional dating methods are typically higher in precision and the WIOC ¹³C method presents the only option for obtaining reliable continuous timescales for the older and deeper ice core sections of mountain glaciers. This is not only crucial for interpreting the embedded environmental and climatic history, but gives additional insight into glacier flow dynamics close to the bedrock as demonstrated by the age–depth scales derived from ¹⁴C dating of ice cores from various midlatitude and low-latitude glaciers. It can also reveal information about the time of glacier formation.
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