Vascular decompression for trigeminal neuralgia - Past, present and future

Sir,

Classical trigeminal neuralgia (TN) comprises a syndrome of chronic neuropathic facial pain, which is frequently excruciating and refractory to conservative treatment. Symptomatic neurovascular conflicts at the nerve root entry zone are thought to be one of the essential etiological factors of the disease. This provided the rationale for operative management of therapy-resistant TN in the past. The introduction of the operating microscope revolutionized the field of neurosurgery and established microvascular decompression (MVD) for the treatment of TN. Since then, MVD, usually via a retrosigmoid craniotomy, has been the most efficacious surgical procedure for sufficient and lasting symptom control in patients with TN refractory to pharmacological therapy. However, the narrow approach, the vital, complex, and crowded anatomy of the posterior fossa, and the deep and angled working space make neurosurgical treatment difficult, limits adequate visualization of the operative field and identification of neurovascular conflicts, and have frequently led to incomplete nerve decompression and insufficient symptom improvement. The advent of the endoscope gave the neurosurgeons another visualization tool to overcome these limitations and enabled an even less invasive approach than MVD. Since its introduction, neuroendoscopy, whether in the form of endoscope-controlled and endoscope-assisted techniques applied as an adjunct to microsurgery or a fully endoscopic approach as a stand-alone procedure in Neurosurgery increasingly became part of the clinical routine in the management of TN. So far, data on direct comparison between the results of conventional MVD and of neuroendoscopic vascular decompression (NVD) of the trigeminal nerve, and detailed discussions of the pros and cons of both techniques is scarce.

By optimal positioning of the patient for craniotomy and sufficient retraction of the cerebellum, clear advantages of stereoscopic MVD comprise anatomical familiarity and better orientation, a more comfortable sitting position and hands free for dissection, a proximal view of the entire operative field, safer passage of instruments, and fewer limitations of instrumentation and surgical freedom. There is also the benefit of potentially better and more rapid perception and appropriate bimanual management of intraoperative difficulties or complications. Important advantages of monoscopic NVD include reduction of the operative access, less cerebellar retraction and lower associated risks of injury, no need for repeated adjustment of the microscope, and insertion, removal, and exchange of instruments, as well as better magnification, visualization, and a panoramic view of the surgical field and neurovascular relationship. At present, the two procedures should not be seen as being in competition, but rather as complementary. The modern neurosurgeon should be familiar with both of them to ensure optimal patient therapy and outcome. Both MVD and NVD can be offered with reasonable chances of success and are associated with low risks. They do, however, require a certain learning curve and cannot replace profound anatomical knowledge and surgical experience and skill. Furthermore, a clear understanding and the appropriate use of both techniques within our neurosurgical armory are essential.

Currently, besides vascular decompression as the neuroprotective and first-line surgical approach, alternative second-line therapeutic options for TN with or without a scalpel are routinely applied. These range from neurolesional percutaneous procedures, such as balloon compression, glycerol rhizotomy, and radiofrequency thermocoagulation, to stereotactic radiosurgical methods, mainly frame-based gamma knife surgery, but also using frameless linear accelerators.
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