Rapid MRSA PCR on Respiratory Specimens from Ventilated Patients with Suspected Pneumonia: A Tool to Facilitate Antimicrobial Stewardship

Sergio E. Trevino^{a,c,&}, Morgan A. Pence^{b,&}, Jonas Marschall^{a,d}, Marin H. Kollef^c, Hilary M. Babcock^a and Carey-Ann D. Burnham^b*

^aDepartment of Medicine, Division of Infectious Diseases, ^bDepartment of Pathology &

Immunology, Division of Laboratory and Genomic Medicine, ^cDepartment of Medicine,

Division of Pulmonary and Critical Care, Washington University School of Medicine, St.

Louis, MO, USA, ^dDepartment of Infectious Diseases, Bern University Hospital,

University of Bern, Switzerland

Running Title: MRSA PCR for suspected ventilator-associated pneumonia

&: These authors contributed equally to this work.

*Corresponding author: Carey-Ann D. Burnham, PhD Department of Pathology & Immunology Division of Laboratory and Genomic Medicine Washington University in St. Louis 660 S. Euclid Ave., Campus Box 8118 St. Louis, MO 63110 Telephone: +1 (314) 362-1547 E-mail: cburnham@wustl.edu

1 Abstract

Purpose: Methicillin-resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* (MRSA) is an important cause of pneumonia in ventilated patients. Our objective was to evaluate the GeneXpert MRSA/SA SSTI Assay (Xpert MRSA/SA) (Cepheid, Sunnyvale, CA) for use in lower respiratory tract (LRT) specimens for rapid MRSA detection and to determine the potentially saved antibiotic-days if a culture-based identification method was replaced by this assay.

8 **Methods:** Remnant LRT samples from ventilated patients submitted to the 9 microbiology laboratory for routine culture were tested using conventional culture and 10 Xpert MRSA/SA.

11 **Results:** One hundred (100) of 310 LRT specimens met inclusion criteria. Ten (10) samples were positive for MRSA by Xpert MRSA/SA, while 6 were positive by routine 12 culture methods. Xpert MRSA/SA correctly identified 5/6 positive and 89/94 negative 13 14 MRSA specimens for a sensitivity of 83.3%, specificity of 94.7%, positive predictive 15 value of 45.6% and a negative predictive value of 98.9%. The assay also correctly 16 detected 3/3 positive and 90/97 negative MSSA specimens for a sensitivity of 100%, 17 specificity of 92.8%, positive predictive value of 30% and a negative predictive value of 18 100%. A total of 748 vancomycin and 305 linezolid antibiotic days were associated with 19 the enrolled specimens. Vancomycin and linezolid utilization could decrease by 68.4% 20 and 83%, respectively, if discontinued 1 day after negative PCR results.

21 **Conclusions:** The Xpert MRSA/SA SSTI rapid MRSA PCR assay performed well in 22 respiratory samples from ventilated patients with suspected pneumonia and has the

potential to facilitate stewardship efforts such as reducing empiric vancomycin and
 linezolid therapy.

Keywords: MRSA, PCR, antimicrobial stewardship, ventilator-associated pneumonia,
 Xpert MRSA, *Staphylococcus aureus*

27

28 Introduction

29 Methicillin-resistant Staphylococcus aureus (MRSA) is an important cause of ventilator-30 associated pneumonia (VAP) in the U.S. For patients with suspected VAP, treatment 31 guidelines suggest empirical therapy to cover MRSA in addition to other potential 32 pathogens [1]. Using conventional microbiologic methods, however, 48 h or more may 33 elapse before MRSA can be reliably excluded from a lower respiratory tract (LRT) specimen, with tracheal aspirate (TA), bronchial washing (BW), or bronchoalveolar 34 35 lavage (BAL) being the most frequently obtained specimen types. Thus, clinicians are 36 faced with a long interval of diagnostic uncertainty, obligating prolonged use of broad-37 spectrum empiric antibiotic therapy.

38 The Xpert MRSA/SA SSTI (Cepheid, Sunnyvale, CA) assay is a polymerase 39 chain reaction (PCR)-based assay, which is FDA cleared for detection of MRSA and 40 methicillin-susceptible S. aureus (MSSA) in specimens collected from skin and soft 41 tissue infections. The assay provides a result in approximately 1 h. To detect S. aureus, 42 the assay relies on the detection of spa, the gene for staphylococcal protein A. To infer resistance to methicillin and identify the organism as MRSA, it must also detect the 43 methicillin-resistance gene (mecA) and the junction between the staphylococcal 44 cassette chromosome that harbors mecA (SCCmec) and the S. aureus chromosome. 45

The assay has been adapted for off-label use to detect MRSA in suspected ventilatorassociated pneumonia [2,3] and osteoarticular infections [4].

Preliminary data in our institution demonstrate that approximately 20% of patients 48 49 with cultures from LRT secretions obtained from Medical and Surgical Intensive Care 50 Units (ICUs) were positive for S. aureus, with 9% determined to be methicillin-resistant. 51 The Xpert MRSA/SA SSTI assay could be beneficial to reduce the interval of diagnostic 52 uncertainty by identifying or ruling out MRSA in patients with suspected VAP and guiding antimicrobial therapy in a more timely fashion compared to conventional culture. 53 54 The objectives of this study were two-fold: 1) to evaluate the analytical performance 55 characteristics of the MRSA/SA SSTI assay for rapid detection of MRSA in LRT 56 specimens and 2) to evaluate its potential role in antimicrobial stewardship efforts for 57 managing suspected VAP, specifically concerning anti-MRSA agents.

58

59 Materials and Methods

Setting. Frozen and fresh LRT specimens collected at Barnes-Jewish Hospital, a 1,250 bed, tertiary care academic medical center, between 2012 and 2014 were included. The study was approved by the Washington University School of Medicine Institutional Review Board. All specimens included in the study were de-identified by an individual not otherwise associated with the study (i.e., the Honest Broker).

65

Standard-of-Care LRT Culture. BAL, BW and TA cultures were plated to 5% sheep's blood, chocolate and MacConkey agar (Remel, Lenexa, KS), using a 1 µl calibrated loop, and incubated at 35°C in an environment with 5% CO₂. Thresholds for workup of

BAL, BW and TA specimens were $\ge 10^3$ CFU/mL, $\ge 5x10^3$ CFU/mL, and $\ge 10^5$ CFU/mL, respectively. Cultures were discarded if no growth was observed following 48 h of incubation. In *S. aureus*, methicillin resistance was confirmed using Kirby-Bauer disk diffusion in accordance with Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute (CLSI) guidelines [5,6]. This standard-of-care LRT culture was used as the gold standard for comparison when calculating the analytical performance characteristics of the Xpert MRSA/SA.

76

77 Limit of detection (LOD) and reproducibility studies. LOD studies were performed 78 using cultured isolates of Staphylococcus epidermidis (clinical isolate), S. aureus ATCC 79 29213 (MSSA), MRSA SCCmec type II, and MRSA SCCmec type IV (clinical isolates). 80 Isolates were resuspended in 0.9% saline to a 0.5 McFarland and subsequently diluted to final concentrations of 10^5 , 10^4 , 10^3 and 10^2 CFU/mL. In addition, a negative saline 81 control was analyzed. Subsequently, LOD studies were repeated in the matrix of 82 83 pooled, S. aureus-negative, BAL fluid. Replicate testing, using 10⁴ CFU/mL of the same group of organisms in BAL fluid, was performed over three consecutive days. 84

85

Retrospective samples. Study procedures were approved by the Washington University School of Medicine Institutional Review Board. Thirty (30) frozen banked LRT specimens (including 13 TAs and 17 BALs), obtained during routine diagnostic work-up prior to this study, were tested using the Xpert MRSA/SA SSTI assay, and the results were compared to the standard-of-care LRT culture result performed in the clinical microbiology laboratory. A flocked swab (Copan, Murrieta, CA) was placed into

92 the specimen and subsequently inserted into the Xpert elution buffer vial. Next, the 93 swab was broken, and the vial was closed and vortexed for 10 sec. A sterile pipette was 94 used to transfer the contents of the elution vial to the "S" chamber of the Xpert 95 MRSA/SA cartridge, and the cartridge was loaded onto the GeneXpert Dx instrument. 96 Testing was otherwise performed and results interpreted according to the 97 manufacturer's protocol.

98

99 Prospective samples. Specimens submitted to the microbiology laboratory for routine 100 bacterial culture during the study period (November 2013 to March 2014) were 101 screened by an Honest Broker for study eligibility in the prospective part of the study. 102 The following 6 items were inclusion criteria: 1) subject \geq 18 years of age, 2) patient 103 admitted to the ICU, 3) patient on a ventilator at the time of sample collection, 4) ≥ 1 ml 104 of remnant TA, BAL, or BW specimen available, 5) specimen tested by GeneXpert 105 within 6 h of collection, and 6) presence of at least one of the following clinical criteria: 106 a) active or recently discontinued use of broad-spectrum antibiotics (vancomycin, 107 linezolid, cefepime or meropenem), b) temperature >38.3°C (within previous 72 h), c) 108 white blood cell or leukocyte count $\geq 10,000/\mu$ l or $\leq 4,000/\mu$ l (within 72 h of specimen 109 collection), d) purulent specimen (>25 polymorphonuclear cells/high power field) or e) 110 recent intubation (within 72 h of specimen collection). Patients with a previous positive 111 result from the Xpert MRSA/SA assay were excluded.

112 Specimens were screened for eligibility three times per day on weekdays by the 113 Honest Broker. Three hundred ten (310) LRT specimens were screened, and 100 114 specimens met the study inclusion criteria and were tested using the Xpert MRSA/SA.

In addition to the standard-of-care culture, if a specimen was positive for MSSA or MRSA by the Xpert MRSA/SA, 100 µl and 500 µl aliquots were inoculated to blood agar (BD Diagnostics, Franklin Lakes, NJ), in addition to the standard-of-care culture, to attempt to isolate the organism. Isolates recovered using the larger aliquots were not included in sensitivity, specificity, positive predictive value (PPV) and negative predictive value (NPV) calculations.

121

Molecular Typing. SCC*mec* characterization was performed on *S. aureus* isolates using a previously described multiplex PCR assay that detects and differentiates SCC*mec* types I-V [7]. Strain typing of *S. aureus* isolates was performed by repetitivesequence-based PCR (rep-PCR), using the Diversilab Bacterial Barcodes system (bioMérieux, Durham, NC) as previously described [8]. Isolates with a similarity index of \geq 95% were considered to represent the same strain.

128

Detection of high-level mupirocin resistance and chlorhexidine resistance. Phenotypic high-level mupirocin resistance was detected using a 200 µg mupirocin disk (Oxoid, Hampshire, United Kingdom) in accordance with CLSI guidelines [5]. In addition to phenotypic mupirocin resistance testing, a multiplex PCR for detection of *mupA* (mupirocin resistance) and *qacA/B* (chlorhexidine tolerance) was performed as previously described [8,9].

135

Antimicrobial stewardship applicability. Clinical data on subjects whose specimens
 met eligibility criteria were obtained from Washington University's medical informatics

138 clinical data repository, including microbiological culture results (for the LRT specimen tested in the study, in addition to any other positive culture results) as well as 139 antimicrobials utilized. "Total antibiotic days" (all consecutive days that antibiotics were 140 141 administered starting 48 hours prior to the study specimen collection date, until 142 discontinued), were calculated for vancomycin and linezolid. Other antibiotics with 143 activity against MRSA were not included. The number of antibiotic days that could have 144 potentially been avoided was calculated using the "earliest date when antibiotics could 145 be discontinued." This was calculated as the calendar day after a negative MRSA PCR. given that no other clinical cultures were positive for MRSA. The potential reduction of 146 147 antibiotic use (i.e., the number of antibiotic-days saved) was calculated by subtracting 148 this number from the total antibiotic days.

149

150 **Results**

Limit of Detection Studies. The LOD in saline was 10³ CFU/ml for MSSA and MRSA SCC*mec* type IV. For MRSA SCC*mec* type II, the LOD was 10⁴ CFU/ml. In BAL fluid, the LOD was 10³ CFU/ml for MSSA and MRSA SCC*mec* type II, while it was10⁴ CFU/ml for MRSA SCC*mec* type IV.

155

Retrospective validation samples. The Xpert MRSA/SA SSTI assay correctly detected MRSA in 9 of 9 specimens positive by routine culture and did not detect MRSA in 21 of 21 specimens negative by routine culture, resulting in a sensitivity of 100% (95% CI: 62.9-100%), specificity of 100% (95% CI: 80.8-100%), PPV of 100% (95% CI: 62.9-100%) and NPV of 100% (95% CI: 80.8-100%). In addition, MSSA was correctly

detected in 4 of 6 specimens positive by routine culture and was not detected in 24 of 24 specimens negative by routine culture, resulting in a sensitivity of 66.7% (95% CI: 24.1-94.0%), specificity of 100% (95% CI: 82.8-100%), PPV of 100% (95% CI: 39.6-100%) and NPV of 92.3% (95% CI: 73.4-98.7%). Four (4) isolates of MSSA and 7 isolates of MRSA were recovered from the subset of frozen specimens saved for molecular analysis.

167

168 **Prospective samples.** Of the 100 prospective specimens, which included BALs, TAs, 169 and BWs, Xpert MRSA/SA detected MRSA in 5 of 6 specimens positive by standard-of 170 care culture resulting in a sensitivity of 83.3% (95% CI: 36.5-99.1%). The false negative 171 was a BAL specimen. Xpert MRSA/SA detected MRSA in an additional 5 specimens, 4 172 BALs and 1 BW, where MRSA was not recovered by routine culture, resulting in a 173 specificity of 94.7% (95% CI: 87.5-98.0%), a positive predictive value (PPV) of 50% 174 (95% CI: 20.1-79.9%) and a negative predictive value (NPV) of 98.9% (95% CI: 93.1-175 99.9%) (Table 1). Of note, in higher volume cultures (500 µL, was inoculated to 5% 176 sheep's blood agar) MRSA was recovered in 3 of the 5 specimens that were negative by routine culture but positive by PCR. Mean cycle threshold (Ct) values were as 177 178 follows: spa 26.41 (range 16.5-35.5), mecA 26.58 (range 17.6-32.5) and scc 27.94 179 (range 19.2-34.6) (Table 2).

180

The Xpert MRSA/SA assay detected MSSA in 3 of 3 specimens positive by routine culture, resulting in a sensitivity of 100% (95% CI: 31.0-100%). In addition, MSSA was detected in an additional 7 specimens, 5 BALs and 2 TAs, which were

negative by routine culture, for a specificity of 92.8% (95% CI: 85.2-96.8%). The PPV and NPV for MRSA were 30.0% (95% CI: 8.1-64.6%) and 100% (95% CI: 94.9-100%), respectively. In higher volume cultures (500 μ l inoculated to 5% sheep's blood agar), MSSA was recovered in 2 additional specimens. The average *spa* cycle threshold was 29.32 (range 16.5 – 35.5) (Table 2). The *spa* cycle threshold for the 2 specimens in which MSSA was only recovered when plating 500 μ l were 26.2 and 29.9.

190 Of the 100 prospective specimens, 36 were visibly bloody, and 13 specimens 191 contained visible mucus; these specimens produced an Xpert MRSA/SA result on the 192 first attempt with the exception of one viscous specimen, which resulted in a pressure 193 error. The assay was repeated on the same specimen, and a valid result was obtained. 194 Of the 51 non-bloody, non-viscous specimens, 3 specimens did not give a result on the 195 first attempt. Two of the specimens gave an error message on the first run, while a third 196 specimen gave an invalid result. The invalid specimen and one of specimens with an 197 error message yielded a valid result upon repeating the assay. One specimen, that gave 198 an error message on the first attempt, gave an invalid result on the second attempt. The 199 specimen had to be diluted 1:10 with sterile saline before a valid result was obtained. 200 Overall, the assay had to be repeated 4% of the time.

201

202 **Characterization of isolates.** A total of 15 MRSA and 9 MSSA isolates recovered from 203 retrospective and prospective specimens were further characterized by SCC*mec* typing, 204 high-level mupirocin resistance, chlorhexidine resistance, and molecular typing. Of the 205 15 MRSA isolates recovered, 12 isolates were SCC*mec* type II, and 3 isolates were 206 SCC*mec* type IV. All 9 MSSA isolates were negative by SCC*mec* typing. Analysis of the

207 24 isolates by rep-PCR demonstrated heterogeneity of strains recovered. In total, 8 208 unique strain types were identified. The largest cluster contained 14 isolates, with the 209 next two clusters containing 4 and 2 isolates. The second and third cluster were 210 unrelated to the first cluster and to each other. Four unique isolates, unrelated to any 211 other isolates in the study, were also identified.

Two isolates, both prospective MRSA isolates, were mupirocin resistant, by both phenotypic and genotypic (*mupA*) methods. None of the isolates tested contained the chlorhexidine tolerance gene, *qacA/B*.

215

216 Retrospective evaluation of the potential impact of Xpert MRSA/SA on 217 Antimicrobial Stewardship. For 27 subjects in the study, MRSA was recovered in 218 culture from a clinical specimen that was not evaluated as part of the study-- tracheal 219 aspirate (24 from 15 subjects), bronchoalveolar lavage (8 from 7 subjects), blood (8 220 from 5 subjects), bronchial washing (6 from 6 subjects), sputum (1), joint fluid (1), 221 abscess (1) and wound (1), with a subset of patients (n = 6) having more than one 222 positive culture. Nares screening swabs for MRSA active surveillance were positive for 9 subjects. 223

Of the 100 subjects associated with the prospective specimen set, 96 received vancomycin and/or linezolid. The four subjects who did not receive these agents were negative for MRSA based on both Xpert MRSA/SA and culture.

Vancomycin was administered to 88 patients for a total of 748 total antibiotic days, and a mean duration of 8.5 days. Linezolid was administered to 28 patients for a total of 305 total antibiotic days and a mean duration of 10.9 days. If the anti-MRSA

agent had been discontinued 1 calendar day after a negative MRSA PCR result in
patients without any additional culture or PCR results positive for MRSA (including
surveillance swabs), total antibiotic days and mean duration would have decreased.
Vancomycin total antibiotic days would have decreased by 68.4% (512 days) to a mean
duration of 2.7 days; and linezolid would have decreased by 83% (253 days) to a mean
duration of 1.9 days.

236

237 **Cost analysis.** An approximate cost estimate for a single Xpert MRSA/SA assay is \$60; 238 thus for 100 patients, the test costs would have been \$6,000. This is an underestimate 239 of true cost as it does not account for quality control testing, repeat testing, equipment 240 acquisition, or labor. Based on the John's Hopkins Antibiotic Guide, average wholesale 241 prices for the evaluated antimicrobials are estimated to be approximately \$15.56 per 242 day (based on a dose of 1 g b.i.d.) for vancomycin and \$240.22 per day (based on a 243 dose of 600 mg b.i.d.) for linezolid [10]. This is also an underestimate as it does not take 244 into account drug administration costs or expenses for therapeutic drug monitoring and 245 other laboratory testing, such as laboratory testing to monitor renal function in patients 246 receiving vancomycin therapy. It also does not take into account the costs associated 247 with complications due to these antibiotics, particularly vancomycin and renal injury. If 248 vancomycin usage was reduced by 512 days, it would result in savings of \$7,966.72 in 249 drug cost, and if linezolid usage was decreased by 253 days, it would result in savings 250 of \$60,775.66 in drug cost. Based on these estimates, the total potential antibiotic 251 savings were \$62,742.38. Thus, an estimate of potential cost savings would be \$627.42. 252 per patient.

253

254 **Discussion**

Antimicrobial resistance is an increasing threat in the U.S. and worldwide. The CDC has recently listed MRSA as one of the current antibiotic threats in the U.S. and has assigned it a threat level of "Serious" [11]. One core approach to address antimicrobial resistance is to reduce unnecessary antibiotic use, and in order to do so, it is imperative to reduce the window of diagnostic uncertainty, thus shortening the duration of empiric antibiotics.

The Infectious Diseases Society of America (IDSA) recently published a public 261 262 policy document declaring that in order for tests to have a positive impact on patient 263 care, new tests need to provide information about the causative organism, including 264 antimicrobial susceptibility/resistance information, if possible, and must have rapid 265 results, ideally within 1 hour [12,13]. Even with the development of rapid assays, 266 however, the positive impact on patient care can only be achieved if physicians act 267 quickly upon the results and start adequate or stop inadequate antibiotics. Such tests 268 have been scrutinized for the detection of bloodborne pathogens, in a number of 269 studies, often with a positive impact in antimicrobial stewardship efforts [14-16]. There 270 are currently no commercial pathogen-specific assays available for evaluating 271 respiratory specimens for hospital-acquired pneumonia (HAP) or VAP, although the 272 MRSA/SA SSTI assay has been evaluated off-label in this and two prior studies.

273 One specimen that was positive for MRSA by routine culture was negative by the 274 MRSA/SA SSTI assay. A limitation of the Xpert assay compared to culture is that the 275 assay may not detect emerging SCC*mec* variants, and it is possible that the isolate may

have been an SCC*mec* variant [17]. Laboratories considering implementing the assay
should consider local epidemiology before relying on PCR to exclude the presence of *S. aureus* in respiratory tract specimens.

279 Seven specimens (2 MRSA, 5 MSSA) were positive by the MRSA/SA SSTI 280 assay but negative by culture. All 7 specimens had spa PCR cycle thresholds greater 281 than 30 when tested by the MRSA/SA SSTI assay, suggesting that the organism burden 282 in these specimens was low. Alternatively, the PCR assay may have been detecting 283 remnant DNA from dead organisms. Three additional MRSA PCR positive specimens 284 also had spa cycle thresholds greater than 30, but those 3 were recovered in culture. 285 However, in those 3 cases, MRSA was not recovered in routine culture and was only 286 recovered when a larger volume (500 µl) was evaluated. The organism burden in these 287 specimens may thus represent colonization rather than infection, or it may represent 288 non-viable organisms after exposure to antimicrobials, such as linezolid or vancomycin. 289 Laboratories considering such testing may wish to modify the Ct cutoff value for 290 reporting positive PCR results from respiratory specimens.

291 Two previous studies have examined the performance of the MRSA/SA SSTI 292 assay on LRT specimens. In a validation study, the sensitivity, specificity, and positive 293 and negative predictive values were 99%, 72.2%, 90.7% and 96.3%, respectively, when 294 compared with quantitative cultures for detecting MRSA in LRT samples [3]. Leone et 295 al. utilized the assay to evaluate the presence of MSSA or MRSA in LRT samples of 296 patients with suspected VAP, and reported negative predictive values of 99.7% and 297 99.8%, respectively. In contrast to the evaluation described herein (where MRSA 298 prevalence was 8%), a limitation of the Leone study is that the reported MRSA

299 prevalence was <2%. Neither study estimated the impact of the assay on antimicrobial 300 stewardship efforts or antimicrobial cost avoidance. Other studies have evaluated 301 automated microscopy of mini-bronchoalveolar lavage specimens [18], nares MRSA 302 screening [19] and the Gram stain of a respiratory specimen [20] for the likelihood and 303 diagnosis of VAP.

304 The strengths of this study include that it was conducted in a high-prevalence, 305 high-acuity setting and that it included a calculation of total antibiotic days, potential 306 antibiotic days saved, and an estimated cost savings analysis. In addition, the strain 307 typing and characterization data demonstrate that multiple S. aureus strain types were 308 recovered from the patients in this study. The variety of strain types detected verifies 309 that off-label use of the assay is capable of detecting multiple S. aureus strain types, 310 and it also proves that the study, although conducted at a single center, did not simply 311 repeatedly test the same clone.

312 The limitations of this study include the fact that the assay results were not 313 reported for use into routine clinical care, and all the clinical data were obtained 314 retrospectively from the medical record. Thus, the cost analysis presented is an 315 estimate based on the assumption that the assay results would have impacted 316 antimicrobial therapy. In addition, the cost analysis does not take into consideration 317 patients without clinical improvement after 48-72 h, where discontinuation of 318 vancomycin or linezolid would be unlikely to occur. However, these data suggest that 319 incorporation of this test into the management of ICU patients suspected to have VAP 320 has the potential to provide cost savings to hospitals.

321 There are currently no commercially available assays for rapid detection of 322 MRSA/MSSA in LRT specimens, and thus, off-label use of the Xpert MRSA/SA SSTI 323 assay is a promising alternative for the microbiological diagnosis of VAP. Herein, we 324 demonstrate the sensitivity and specificity of this approach, and suggest that rapid 325 detection of MRSA in LRT specimens using this assay could be a tool to support 326 antimicrobial stewardship efforts in patients with suspected ventilator-associated 327 pneumonia. Prospective studies incorporating this approach into routine clinical use are 328 needed to confirm these findings.

- 329
- 330

331 **Compliance with Ethical Standards**

Funding: This study was funded by a Barnes-Jewish Hospital Patient Safety and Quality Fellowship (to J.M.) and a BIRCWH grant (5K12HD001459-13; J.M.). The funders had no role in study design, data collection and interpretation or the decision to submit the work for publication.

336 Conflict of Interest: C.A.B. has received research support from Cepheid. J.M. has
 337 served on an advisory board for Astellas Switzerland.

338 Ethical approval: The study was approved by the Washington University Institutional339 Review Board.

340 **Informed consent:** Informed consent was not required for this study.

341 Acknowledgments: The authors thank Meghan Wallace for her assistance as Honest
342 Broker.

343

344

3	Δ	5
\mathcal{I}	-	\cdot

346 **References**

347 1. AmericanThoracicSocietyandInfectiousDiseasesSocietyofAmerica. (2005) Guidelines for the

348 management of adults with hospital-acquired, ventilator-associated, and healthcare-associated

349 pneumonia. American journal of respiratory and critical care medicine 171 (4):388-416.

- 350 doi:10.1164/rccm.200405-644ST
- 2. Leone M, Malavieille F, Papazian L, Meyssignac B, Cassir N, Textoris J, Antonini F, La Scola
- 352 B, Martin C, Allaouchiche B, Hraiech S, AzuRea N (2013) Routine use of Staphylococcus
- aureus rapid diagnostic test in patients with suspected ventilator-associated pneumonia. Crit Care
- 354 17 (4):R170. doi:10.1186/cc12849
- 355 3. Cercenado E, Marin M, Burillo A, Martin-Rabadan P, Rivera M, Bouza E (2012) Rapid
- 356 detection of *Staphylococcus aureus* in lower respiratory tract secretions from patients with
- 357 suspected ventilator-associated pneumonia: evaluation of the Cepheid Xpert MRSA/SA SSTI

assay. Journal of clinical microbiology 50 (12):4095-4097. doi:10.1128/jcm.02409-12

- 4. Dubouix-Bourandy A, de Ladoucette A, Pietri V, Mehdi N, Benzaquen D, Guinand R,
- 360 Gandois JM (2011) Direct detection of *Staphylococcus* osteoarticular infections by use of Xpert
- 361 MRSA/SA SSTI real-time PCR. Journal of clinical microbiology 49 (12):4225-4230.
- 362 doi:10.1128/jcm.00334-11

363	5. CLSI Performance Standards for Antimicrobial Susceptibility Testing; Twenty-Fourth
364	Informational Supplement. CLSI document M100-S24. Wayne, PA: Clinical and Laboratory
365	Standards Institute; 2014.

6. CLSI Performance Standards for Antimicrobial Disk Susceptibility Tests; Approved
Standard—Eleventh Edition. CLSI document M02-A11. Wayne, PA: Clinical and Laboratory
Standards Institute; 2012.

369 7. Boye K, Bartels MD, Andersen IS, Moller JA, Westh H (2007) A new multiplex PCR for easy

370 screening of methicillin-resistant Staphylococcus aureus SCCmec types I-V. Clinical

371 microbiology and infection : the official publication of the European Society of Clinical

372 Microbiology and Infectious Diseases 13 (7):725-727. doi:10.1111/j.1469-0691.2007.01720.x

8. Fritz SA, Hogan PG, Camins BC, Ainsworth AJ, Patrick C, Martin MS, Krauss MJ, Rodriguez

374 M, Burnham CA (2013) Mupirocin and chlorhexidine resistance in *Staphylococcus aureus* in

375 patients with community-onset skin and soft tissue infections. Antimicrobial agents and

376 chemotherapy 57 (1):559-568. doi:10.1128/aac.01633-12

9. Hogue JS, Buttke P, Braun LE, Fairchok MP (2010) Mupirocin resistance related to increasing

378 mupirocin use in clinical isolates of methicillin-resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* in a pediatric

379 population. Journal of clinical microbiology 48 (7):2599-2600. doi:10.1128/jcm.02118-09

- 380 10. Bartlett JG, ed. (2016) The Johns Hopkins POC-IT ABX Guide.
- 381 <u>http://www.hopkinsguides.com/hopkins/view/Johns_Hopkins_ABX_Guide/540602/all/**About</u>
- 382 <u>**</u>. Accessed March 20, 2016
- 383 11. CDC. (2013) Centers for Disease Control. Antibiotic Resistance Threats in the United States,
- 384 2013. http://www.cdc.gov/drugresistance/pdf/ar-threats-2013-508.pdf. Accessed March 20, 2016
- 385 12. Caliendo AM, Gilbert DN, Ginocchio CC, Hanson KE, May L, Quinn TC, Tenover FC,
- 386 Alland D, Blaschke AJ, Bonomo RA, Carroll KC, Ferraro MJ, Hirschhorn LR, Joseph WP,
- 387 Karchmer T, MacIntyre AT, Reller LB, Jackson AF, Infectious Diseases Society of A (2013)
- 388 Better tests, better care: improved diagnostics for infectious diseases. Clin Infect Dis 57 Suppl
- 389 3:S139-170. doi:10.1093/cid/cit578
- 390 13. Bauer KA, Perez KK, Forrest GN, Goff DA (2014) Review of rapid diagnostic tests used by
- 391 antimicrobial stewardship programs. Clinical infectious diseases : an official publication of the
- 392 Infectious Diseases Society of America 59 Suppl 3:S134-145. doi:10.1093/cid/ciu547
- 393 14. Banerjee R, Teng CB, Cunningham SA, Ihde SM, Steckelberg JM, Moriarty JP, Shah ND,
- 394 Mandrekar JN, Patel R (2015) Randomized Trial of Rapid Multiplex Polymerase Chain
- 395 Reaction-Based Blood Culture Identification and Susceptibility Testing. Clinical infectious
- diseases : an official publication of the Infectious Diseases Society of America 61 (7):1071-1080.
- 397 doi:10.1093/cid/civ447

398 15. Parta M, Goebel M, Matloobi M, Stager C, Musher DM (2009) Identification of methicillin399 resistant or methicillin-susceptible Staphylococcus aureus in blood cultures and wound swabs by
400 GeneXpert. Journal of clinical microbiology 47 (5):1609-1610. doi:10.1128/JCM.00351-09

401 16. Spencer DH, Sellenriek P, Burnham CA (2011) Validation and implementation of the

402 GeneXpert MRSA/SA blood culture assay in a pediatric setting. American journal of clinical

403 pathology 136 (5):690-694. doi:10.1309/AJCP07UGYOKBVVNC

404 17. Laurent C, Bogaerts P, Schoevaerdts D, Denis O, Deplano A, Swine C, Struelens MJ,

405 Glupczynski Y (2010) Evaluation of the Xpert MRSA assay for rapid detection of methicillin-

406 resistant Staphylococcus aureus from nares swabs of geriatric hospitalized patients and failure to

407 detect a specific SCCmec type IV variant. Eur J Clin Microbiol Infect Dis 29 (8):995-1002.

408 doi:10.1007/s10096-010-0958-3

409 18. Douglas IS, Price CS, Overdier KH, Wolken RF, Metzger SW, Hance KR, Howson DC

410 (2015) Rapid automated microscopy for microbiological surveillance of ventilator-associated

411 pneumonia. American journal of respiratory and critical care medicine 191 (5):566-573.

412 doi:10.1164/rccm.201408-1468OC

413 19. Langsjoen J, Brady C, Obenauf E, Kellie S (2014) Nasal screening is useful in excluding

414 methicillin-resistant Staphylococcus aureus in ventilator-associated pneumonia. American

415 journal of infection control 42 (9):1014-1015. doi:10.1016/j.ajic.2014.05.026

- 416 20. O'Horo JC, Thompson D, Safdar N (2012) Is the gram stain useful in the microbiologic
- 417 diagnosis of VAP? A meta-analysis. Clinical infectious diseases : an official publication of the
- 418 Infectious Diseases Society of America 55 (4):551-561. doi:10.1093/cid/cis512

MRSA	Culture Positive	Culture Negative
Xpert Positive	5	5
Xpert Negative	1	89

420	Table 1. Detection of MRSA and MSSA in Prospective Samples (n=100)
120	Tuble 1. Detection of Mitch's and Mibbr's in Prospective Sumples (ii=100)

MSSA	Culture Positive	Culture Negative
Xpert Positive	3	7
Xpert Negative	0	90

Specimen Type	Culture	Xpert MRSA/SA	spa Ct	mecA Ct	scc Ct	SCCmec	<i>mupA</i> 422
(BAL, BW or TA)	Result	Result	Value	Value	Value	Type*	(PCR/disk ₃
							diffusion
							testing) 424
ТА	Positive	MSSA	24.2	29.8	0	None	Negative/S N/A 425
BAL	Negative	MSSA	35.3	0	0	N/A	N/A 425
BAL	Positive	MRSA	30.3	30.3	31.6	II	Negative ₄ §6
ТА	Positive	MSSA	26.2	33.3	0	None	Negative/S
BAL	Negative	MSSA	30.6	0	0	N/A	N/A 427
BAL	Negative	MRSA	32.4	31.1	33.4	N/A	N/A 428
BAL	Positive	MRSA	20.3	21.0	22.1	II	N/A 428 Negative/S
ТА	Positive	MSSA	16.5	21.6	0	None	Negative 189
ТА	Positive	MSSA	29.9	0	0	None	Negative/S
BAL	Positive	MRSA	32.6	28.4	29.1	II	Negative/S ⁰
BAL	Positive	MSSA	27.0	0	0	None	Negative ₄ S ₁
BAL	Negative	MRSA	32.5	32.9	34.6	N/A	N/A
ТА	Positive	MRSA	21.1	21.2	22.5	II	Positive/482
BAL	Negative	MSSA	34.6	0	0	N/A	N/A 422
ТА	Positive	MRSA	17.6	17.8	19.2	IV	Negative/S
BAL	Positive	MRSA	27.0	27.3	28.4	II	Positive/4834
BAL	Negative	MSSA	35.5	0	0	N/A	N/A
BAL	Positive	MRSA	23.1	23.3	24.5	IV	Negative/35
BAL	Negative	MSSA	33.4	0	0	N/A	N/A 436
BW	Positive	MRSA	31.4	31.8	33.1	II	Negative/S
							437

421 Table 2. Xpert MRSA/SA Results for Positive Prospective Lower Respriatory Tract Specimens

438 Abbreviations: BAL, bronchoalveolar lavage; BW, bronchial washing; TA, tracheal aspirate; Ct, cycle threshold

^{439 *}N/A indicates an isolate was not recovered, so testing was not performed. None indicates the absence of a detectable SCC*mec* type.