CT arterial enhancement fraction (AEF) for hepatocellular carcinoma (HCC) screening in patients with end-stage liver cirrhosis
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**Background and aims:** Computer tomography imaging with arterial enhancement fraction (AEF) uses a tri-phasic CT image acquisition (unenhanced, arterial, portal venous phase) to generate color coded CT-images. The purpose of this study was to investigate if the use of the AEF imaging would supersede the acquisition of a fourth contrast phase (equilibrium phase) and thus allow a 25 % reduction of radiation dose. **Materials and Methods:** 55 patients who underwent liver transplantation between 2010 and 2013 and who had a CT scan acquired in four contrast phases (unenhanced, arterial, portal venous and equilibrium phase) on a Siemens Somatom Sensation 64 were included: 35 patients with 108 histologically proven HCC, as well as 20 patients without HCC lesions. 47 lesions were already treated by prior TACE. AEF was calculated using the syngo.via workstation (Siemens, Erlangen, Germany): AEF = [(HU_A - HU_U)/(HU_P - HU_U)] x 100, where HU is the attenuation, A the arterial phase, P the portal phase, and U the unenhanced scan. A total of 6 radiologists read the tri-phasic grayscale images in conjunction with the color AEF-maps. For the second read-out, three readers looked at the CT images with four contrast phases and three readers only at three contrast phases (without equilibrium phase). **Results:** The JAFROC analysis showed a significant difference of the figures of merit (θ) between the tri-phasic read-out without (θ = 0.718) and with the AEF-maps (θ = 0.750, p=0.0007). Diagnostic performance with four-phases (θ = 0.775) was significantly better than with tri-phases (θ = 0.718) without using the AEF-map (p = 0.0018), but not different from the tri-phasic CT with the AEF-maps (θ = 0.750, p = 0.3053). Sensitivity of tri-phasic CT was 36.4 % (95% CI: 32.5 % to 40.5 %) and PPV was 76.5 % (95% CI: 71.0 % to 81.4 %). With the AEF-maps sensitivity and PPV increased to 49.7 % (95% CI: 45.6 % to 53.9 %) and 83.4 % (95% CI: 79.0 % to 87.1 %), respectively. Lesions with and without prior TACE treatment were detected with a sensitivity of 31.9 % and 42.3 % without the use of the AEF map and with a sensitivity of 48.4 % and 51.6 % with the AEF map. A normalized AEF cut-off value of 70 % for small lesions (<1cm) and 60 % for larger lesions (≥1cm) lead to highest HCC-accuracy. **Conclusion:** In HCC CT-screening the fourth equilibrium phase could be replaced by the calculated AEF-maps. This allows a 25 % reduction of radiation dose, which is relevant for patient undergoing repetitive HCC-screening.